

Lee & Associates Principal Plowman Disputes Involvement In Wapner Graftfest

By Mark Gutglueck
(ONTARIO—August 11) An attorney for Carol Plowman, a senior vice president and founding principal of Lee & Associates Commercial Real Estate Services in Ontario, this week characterized as “categorically false” reports linking her to an effort by Alan Wapner, the president of the Ontario International Airport Authority Board of Directors, to force air-

port staff to undertake the sale of 198 acres of airport property to a consortium consisting of Lee & Associates and Sares Regis at a price far below the actual market price of that land.
“Ms. Plowman did not participate in the proposed acquisition of the airport property, provide campaign funds in exchange for governmental action or any business benefit, or enter into any

bribe, payoff, or quid pro quo with Wapner or any other public official,” Theodore Stream, of the law firm Stream Kim Hicks Wrage & Alfaro, which represents Plowman told the Sentinel in a letter dated August 11.
The letter was precipitated by the Sentinel’s July 28 coverage of developments in the FBI’s nine-year ongoing investigation into activity involving the Ontario

International Airport Authority that had taken place that day in the form of FBI and other federal agents serving search warrants at the homes and offices of Wapner, who in addition to being the president of the airport authority is also an Ontario city councilman; San Bernardino County Fourth District Supervisor Curt Hagman, who is also a board member with the

airport authority; Frank Lizarraga, a one-time Ontario planning commissioner who is Wapner’s personal attorney; James Su, the owner of EDI Media; the business office of Andy Sehmelis, a major campaign donor to Wapner, Hagman and other local elected officeholders; and at a mansion in the 600 block of North Canon Drive of Beverly Hills. The day of those raids, **See P 2**

County Coming Through With \$11 Million For SB Homeless Assistance

Next Tuesday, it is anticipated that the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors will come through with a final \$11 million installment to allow the City of San Bernardino to proceed with the San Bernardino Hope Campus.

The navigation center is intended to serve as an effective remedy to the unending proliferation of homelessness in and around the county seat.

Considerable effort and tens of millions of governmental dollars have gone into continuous but most uncoordinated homeless relief efforts locally. While a few of those have proven effective, there has been extensive criticism of those efforts overall, which their critics have blasted as vehicles for the diversion of funds into the pockets of individuals exploiting an unregulated system.

San Bernardino municipal officials say they are committed to finding a real solution to the challenge of homelessness in their city, which is more acute than it is anywhere else in the county. They say that if entrusted with funding, they will not squander it and the programs they will put in place will consist of tangible buildings in which people will be able to reside and not have to be on the streets.

In February 2023 the San Bernardino mayor and city council declared homelessness a local emergency and three months later announced plans to create the 200-bed San Bernardino Hope Campus on the site of the former School of Hope, formerly **See P 3**

Wapner Resigning From All Commission, Board & Adjunct Posts, Effective Friday

(ONTARIO—August 12) Electing to jump rather than being shoved, Ontario City Councilman is resigning all of his appointive assignments growing out of his status as a city councilman. That will include his beloved position[s] as both a member of the Ontario International Airport Authority Board of Directors and that panel’s president. He will

also walk away from his membership, as the City of Ontario’s representative, on the board of directors of Omnitrans, which oversees local rapid transit operations consisting essentially of bus lines and of which he is the current president; the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, of which he was formerly the president; the San Bernardino

County Council of Governments, of which he was formerly the president; the Southern California Association of Governments, of which he was a past president; the National Association of Regional Councils; the California Association of Councils of Government.

He dropped his letter of resignation off at the Ontario City Clerk’s Of-

fice unsigned on Tuesday, saying he would return on Friday, August 14, to sign it and make it official and binding. Thus, at present, he retains his status as a member of all of the boards, commissions, committees and governmental adjunct positions conferred upon him, most of which came as the result of a voted by the city council, of which he remains a member.

Ontario, Despite Being Flush With Cash & Getting Residents To Hike Sales Tax In 2022, Is Seeking Another Sales Tax Increase

Four years after Ontario’s voters passed a one-cent-per dollar sales tax override, the city council this week moved to put another half-cent sales tax increase on the November 3 ballot.

That action came despite Ontario’s existing ranking as the most economically well-situated municipality in San Bernardino County.

The move raised ire among a contingent of the city’s residents, who took note of multiple considerations, which they said the four members of the city council who supported raising taxes on their constituents had disregarded.

The city council con-

the November 3 ballot.
Two years ago, the Upland council initiated a similar move, only to lose its nerve in the face of questions about what it was trying to accomplish. On July 22, 2024, the council voted to send to the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters a measure revising the city’s business license schedule for inclusion on **See P 3**

Sheriff’s Deputies Kill Mentone Man After He Shoots At Trespassers On His Property

A 78-year-old Mentone man was fatally wounded this afternoon by gunfire directed at him from several San Bernardino County sheriff’s deputies while he was barricaded in his home.

The fatal shooting took place after a man,

identified by reliable individuals knowledgeable about the incident as Paul Ronald Selby, had himself used a firearm to shoot at Southern California Edison contract employees who were trimming trees and ground vegetation in the 300 block of King Street in Mentone as part of an effort to reduce the summer fire hazard and had come onto his property, located at 325 King Street.

None of those workers was hit, and all of them retreated and took cover. A 9-1-1 call went out immediately.

Deputies were dispatched to the location from the Yucaipa Sheriff’s Station. The first of those arrived at the scene at 10:49 a.m., followed by other units. It was at that point that Selby retreated into his house where he and his wife, 74-year-old Linda Selby, barricaded themselves. **See P 3**

Upland Solons Using Ballot In Business License Reset Attempt

Upland’s most successful businesses would see the cost of their city business licenses jump by a whopping 3,240 percent, from the current \$864 to \$28,000, if a majority of the city’s voters support a measure the Upland City Council on August 3 placed on the upcoming November ballot.

All five of the council’s members – Mayor Bill Velto, First District Councilwoman Shannon Maust, Second District Councilman James Breitling, Third District Councilman Carlos Garcia and Fourth District Councilman Rudy Zuniga – voted to place a measure revamping the city’s business licensing regulations, including the pricing schedule on yearly registrations on

The California Voting Rights Act Created A Wilderness Of Mirrors That Made It Impossible To Tell Whether The Choices Of Voters Do Or Do Not Reflect Their Interest from front page

the FBI also served subpoenas for the production of documents at Ontario City Hall and the administrative offices of the Ontario International Airport and the Ontario International Airport authority.

Stream's registering of objections with the July 28 article, which ran in the July 31 edition of the *Sentinel*, extended to a passage within it that chronicled the experiences of Kelly Fredericks, who served as the first executive director of the airport authority and manager of the airport following the transference of ownership and management of the airport to the City of Ontario/the Ontario International Airport Authority from the City of Los Angeles in 2016, and Mark Thorpe, who succeeded Fredericks as the airport authority's executive director in 2017. The *Sentinel* article cataloged how Wapner, shortly after the local takeover of the airport, began pressuring Fredericks to inventory airport property to ascertain what parcels might be classified as "surplus," and be sold off to interested buyers. The article related how Fredericks was reluctant to have the airport/airport authority divest itself of property, even it was not at that time being put to aviation-related use, in that it might be later needed for operational expansion, and described how Fredericks' resistance to Wapner's demands ultimately resulted in his being pushed out of the executive director/airport managerial role by the board, essentially at Wapner's insistence.

Also contained in the July 28 *Sentinel* narrative was a description of Thorpe's experience as the airport authority's

executive director, which paralleled that of Fredericks', but played out over a longer period. The *Sentinel* related how, while Thorpe was running the airport, Wapner's previously amorphous demand that the airport administration ready what he characterized as "surplus airport property" for eventual sale grew into a more sharply defined instruction that 198 acres east of the runway be readied for sale in accordance with an offer tendered by Lee & Associates and the Sares Regis Group at a price of \$101 million. The *Sentinel* article provided a description of how Thorpe, who shared Fredericks' reservations against selling off airport property piecemeal or in any fashion based upon its potential for use in future aviation applications, in essence dragged his feet and resisted those instructions until a denouement materialized in which making that sale was not necessary. That resolution, the *Sentinel* article reported, consisted of an arrangement involving San Antonio, Texas-based USAA Real Estate Company and McDonald Property Group of Newport Beach, functioning as a joint venture under the title of CanAm Ontario, leasing the 198 acres for 55 years rather than purchasing the property outright at an overall cost of \$625 million, a number that dwarfed the \$101 million offer by Lee & Associates/Sares Regis. The agreement specified that CanAm Ontario was to make a non-refundable \$10 million deposit with the airport authority, after which USAA Real Estate Company/McDonald Property Group, functioning jointly, were given time to obtain local jurisdictional entitlement and environmental approvals to construct buildings and improvements on the property. In the first five years, CanAm Ontario agreed to pay the airport authority \$25 million per year and in the second five years, \$30 million per year, such that at the end of the first ten years,

the airport authority will have received \$275 million. Over the remaining 35 years of the lease, CanAm was to pay the authority an average of \$10 million per year.

The July 28 *Sentinel* article, in the context of delineating the significance of the FBI's activity that day and its relationship to the investigation of Wapner and Hagman that had been ongoing at least since 2018, dwelled at length on what were alleged by those who had alerted the FBI to be quid pro quos, i.e., bribes, involving the two members of the airport authority. Under state law, specifically Government Code § 84308, appointed governmental officials in California from 1982 until 2023 were prohibited from accepting \$250 or more from a donor and then voting or taking action on any matter impacting that donor financially or otherwise. In 2022, Government Code §84308 was altered, effective January 1, 2023 so that it was made further applicable to elected officials with a threshold donation amount of \$500. Those who had lodged the initial complaints with the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's Office with regard to Wapner and Hagman had done so because of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office's unwillingness to pursue action against the pair based on their violations of Government Code §84308, and sought an examination of the prospect of prosecuting Wapner and Hagman, as well as another member of the airport authority board, Jim Bowman, on those areas of U.S. federal law which parallel Government Code §84308, including but not limited to 2 Code of Federal Regulations § 200.303 and related provisions in the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, which governs conflicts of interest and prohibited contributions for governmental agency officials involved in awarding contracts, grants, or oth-

er entitlements.

In illustrating what the FBI had been asked to investigate, the *Sentinel* reported that corporate officers with both Lee & Associates and the Sares Regis Group had provided Wapner with at least \$219,040.07 in political donations between 1998 and 2024, making the further clarification that \$123,444 of that came from partners/employees within Sares Regis and \$95,596.07 came from individuals associated with Lee & Associates. The *Sentinel* identified those making the donations on behalf of Sares Regis as that company's principals John Hagestad and Peter Rooney as well as its employees Larry Lukanish, Kenneth Coatsworth, Patrick Russell, Vincent Ciavarella and William Thormahlen. The *Sentinel* delineated those connected with Lee & Associates who had made the donations as Joe McKay, Mike Wolfe and Carol Plowman.

The *Sentinel's* July 28 narrative propounded the theory pursued by the FBI that Wapner's and Hagman's demonstrable pattern of accepting campaign donations from donors, who were not solely limited to but did include the Lee and Associates and Sares Regis principals and employees, and then taking action in their official capacity as airport authority directors that was beneficial to those donors potentially constituted bribery and/or soliciting bribes (18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B)) and honest services wire fraud (18 U.S.C. § 371), which approximate the California state offense of violating Government Code §84308.

In his letter to the *Sentinel*, Stream took issue with the *Sentinel* including reference to Plowman in the series of events that led to the FBI investigation and constituted the issues being explored by investigators and evaluated by the U.S. Attorney's Office for possible prosecution. In this way, Stream asserted, the *Sentinel* article contained "false and defamatory state-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.
The *Sentinel's* main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the *Sentinel* is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

ments" at least insofar as Plowman is concerned and that the *Sentinel* had "no factual basis for grouping her with the other identified individuals" who had provided donations above the \$250 limit formerly specified in Government Code §84308 or the \$500 limit the current version of Government Code §84308 imposes on both appointed and elected public officials.

According to Stream, Plowman "did not make the substantial campaign contributions the article attributes or imputes to her. To the extent the article attributes any portion of the stated \$95,596.07 or \$219,040.07 collective totals to Ms. Plowman, that attribution is false and materially misleading."

In his letter, Stream implies that Plowman gave no money to Wapner.

"The article identifies no communication between Ms. Plowman and Wapner concerning the airport property, no role she played in the proposed transaction, and no contribution she made in exchange for official action," Streams letter states. "Instead, the *Sentinel* aggregated alleged contributions by numerous people over more than two decades, inserted Ms. Plowman's name into those collective totals, and then accused the named individuals of graft, bribery, and paying off a public official."

In suggesting that Plowman did not provide money to Wapner as the July 28 *Sentinel* article reported, Stream cites

the article's failure to provide the precise dates and amounts contributed to Wapner by Plowman to insinuate that the donations were never made.

"The article provides no breakdown identifying any amount supposedly contributed by Ms. Plowman, no dates of any purported contributions by her, and no factual basis for grouping her with the other identified individuals and attributing that substantial sum to them collectively," Stream wrote.

While the *Sentinel* did not include a blow-by-blow description of the donations from the Lee & Associates and Sares Regis principals and employees in the July 28 article, it had previously reported on the generosity shown to Wapner by Lee & Associates in sponsoring his political activity. In an article published on February 20, 2026, the *Sentinel* reported that Lee & Associates and its various principals/employees had provided Wapner with at least \$97,153.14 in political contributions. In an article published in the *Sentinel's* July 18, 2025 edition, it was noted that Lee & Associates had endowed Wapner's political war chest with at least \$95,596.07. In a *Sentinel* article published on August 2, 2024, it was reported that Lee & Associates had provided Wapner with, at minimum, \$95,596.07 for use in his electioneering efforts. In an article published on October 7, 2022 pertaining to Wapner having pressured Thorpe and

Continued on Page 6

With Their Residents Rejecting Sales Tax Increases Upland Solons Looking To Tap Business Owners
from front page

the November 5, 2024 ballot. While that plan called for making a modest \$4 reduction in the cost of a business license for 2,930 of the city’s 3,083 business grossing under \$100,000 per year, it entailed a somewhat complicated formula for calculating any particular business’s licensing fee, such that 618 of the city’s 2,049 businesses making over \$100,000 but less than \$1 million

per year and 18 of the 596 business in the city making over \$1 million per year were also to see their annual licensing fees reduced, while, 153 businesses making under \$100,000, 1,422 businesses making \$100,000 to \$1 million and 578 businesses making over \$1 million per year would have their taxes increased. The measure, if passed, would have meant that those operations in the top earning brackets would have seen their permits to conduct business in the city jump from \$864 to \$29,500, subject to an annual consumer price index increase of up to 5

percent per year. There was an immediate outcry, particularly as city officials had waited to what was virtually the last minute prior to the deadline to submit a ballot measure to the county registrar of voters’ office, providing no realistic opportunity to discuss the terms, conditions or effects of licensing cost increases that were to be voted on and no opportunity for adjustment or compromise. The city withdrew the ballot measure. The city council instead put a sales increase proposal measure on the ballot, which like a similar measure on the 2022

ballot, was rejected by the city’s voters. Just as it had two years ago, the city council again waited until the 12th hour to consider and vote on an item calling for a revamping of the business licensing schedule it has had in place for more than three decades. At its August 3, 2026 meeting the city council resurrected the effort to convince the city’s residents they should radically increase the business fees of a relative handful of businesses as a ploy to increase revenue flowing into City Hall. According to city

staff, the city’s business license tax structure in place since 1994 goes too easy on larger businesses which bring in far more money than more modest operations. Essentially, all businesses, large and small, they said, pay the same licensing fee. The city categorizes 4,975 of the 5,993 businesses in the city as “small,” i.e., showing a profit of \$500,000 or less, according to gross receipts. Under the terms contained in the measure to go before voters in November, the city’s more profitable businesses will be hit for what officials said was “their fair share,” while the li-

censing fees to be paid by 3,296 of them will be reduced. The measure calls for raising the maximum business licensing fee from \$864 to \$28,000, a figure only somewhat less daunting than the proposal contained in the measure proposal in 2024 that was ultimately withdrawn. The measure would also up the minimum licensing fee from \$54 to \$75 It would also levy on storage facilities a new burden of \$50 per storage unit. Supposedly, a business with \$100,000 in gross receipts pays \$64.80 for a business
Continued on Page 5

Shooting At Southern California Edison Workers Trimming Trees On His Land Gets Mentone Man Killed
from front page

As the law enforcement presence on King Street mounted, the sheriff’s department dispatched an armored vehicle and a special weapons and tactics team to the area. Two crisis negotiators, one known to utilize an aggressive, high-pressure confron-

tational strategy and another more accustomed to an empathetic approach toward possible deescalation, were sent to the command post for the control perimeter around the incident. The armored vehicle, which was stationed roughly 60 feet from the

two-story Selby residence, and at least some of the tactical team outfitted in combat gear were visible from home. A nearly two-hour-long effort to persuade Selby to disarm himself and come out of the residence did not produce the desired results. The department propelled teargas canisters into the home, and after a brief interlude, Linda

Selby exited the home. Deputies learned from her that Selby was alone in the house. According to the department, at approximately 2 p.m., Selby fired on the deputies, who returned fire. He was struck and killed by a fusillade. Selby had been a resident at 325 King Street since 1985. San Bernardino County Superior

Court records he had no criminal record whatsoever, but had been cited for a handful of traffic infractions over the last quarter century. He was convicted and fined in 2003 for driving a vehicle in which one of the passengers was not wearing a seat belt. He was convicted and fined in 2004 for driving without a seat belt. A charge of exceeding the

speed limit that was filed against him in 2012 was dismissed in 2013. In 2017, a charge of driving without a seat belt filed against him was dismissed. The court record reflects that he was sued in 2007 for damages resulting from a traffic accident, but that the case was dropped in 2011 before going to trial.
-Mark Gutglueck

Ontario Seeking Another Sales Tax Increase
from front page

raised the sales tax from 7.75 percent to 8.75 percent. If approved by voters, the measure will increase the sales tax paid by cus-

tomers at Ontario’s retail establishments from the current 8.75 percent to 9.25 percent. At present, Ontario, along with Chino, Fort Irwin, Landers, Mentone, Yucca Valley, Adelanto, Bloomington Barstow, Apple Valley, Rialto, Redlands, San Bernardino and Fon-

tana among cities, incorporated towns and unincorporated towns in San Bernardino County impose an 8.75 percent sales tax within their boundaries. Only neighboring Montclair, with its 9 percent sales tax, and Wrightwood, with its 9.75 percent sales tax

which has come about as a result of being located in two counties – Los Angeles and San Bernardino – simultaneously, have higher sales tax than Ontario. If the voters pass the measure the council approved for the ballot in November, Ontario’s 9.25 percent sales

tax will exceed that of Montclair, making it the city in San Bernardino County with the highest sales tax. In California the basic state sales tax rate is 6.0 percent. Counties and cities, as well as agencies and districts are able to impose sales tax increas-

es within the boundaries of their jurisdictions, pursuant to a majority of voters within those jurisdictions agreeing to the increase. For this reason, the sales tax rate in California can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. The Ontario City
Continued on Page 4

Former SB Interim City Manager Rejected \$17M State Homeless Program Grant
from front page

an educations center for special needs students located at 796 East 6th Street in San Bernardino that had closed down in 2022 and had been purchased by the city. The city forthrightly pursued tapping into various forms of revenue to complete the project and fund its operations, including seeking state and federal grants, some which entailed matching funds to be put up by the city. This activity was carried out, despite substantial chaos in the city at the administrative level because of the No-

vember 2022 resignation of former City Manager Robert Field in the aftermath of Mayor Helen Tran’s election earlier that month and the city’s seeming inability to land a permanent city manager replacement. In January 2024, the city was provided with a \$34,944,702 Project Homekey program grant from the California Department of Housing and Community Development, the largest such grant ever received by any city in California, to undertake the development of the San Bernardino Community Wellness Center, a large-scale interim housing facility for chronically homeless men, built at the existing Lutheran Social Services campus

on G Street, consisting of 140 modular interim housing units to complement an existing 70-bed emergency shelter there. Thereafter, the city applied for another state grant to assist with the funding of the Hope Campus. In July 2024, the State of California offered the City of San Bernardino another \$17 million grant to help fund a comprehensive, full-service homeless shelter on the Hope Campus. Interim City Manager Rochelle Clayton, however, was concerned about the strings that were attached to accepting the money from the state. On August 23, 2024, Clayton wrote a letter to California Department of Housing and

Community Development’s Homekey Program manager Connor Leahy stating the city did not want the money. Clayton’s refusal to accept the \$17 million state offer sparked anger and discontent with her among four members of the city council, who as a result did not finalize her promotion to the full-fledged city managerial position as they had previously intended. The loss of state funding for the Hope project has remained a sore spot for the city council and the city in the two years that have passed. The city did not give up on the project concept. As recently as six months ago, the city had cobbled together \$12.3 million from disparate

external and internal sources toward the projected \$21 million the project would cost, leaving the city some \$8.7 million short. Since then, three of the members of the council who have been in favor of the project and who were very sore at Clayton when she rejected the \$17 million in state funds in 2024 – First Ward Councilman Ted Sanchez, Second Ward Councilwoman Sandra Ibarra and Fourth Ward Councilman Fred Shorett – stood for reelection. Sanchez and Ibarra were defeated outright. Shorett is locked into a runoff election against challenger Joe Salas in November. All three thus face the possibility that one of the legacies

each wanted to leave the city – the creation of the Hope Center – will elude them. It seems the County of San Bernardino, in the form of the county board of supervisors, is poised to resurrect the prospects of the Hope Center in such a way that Sanchez, Ibarra and Shorett can have the annals record them as having voted to proceed with the project before Sanchez and Ibarra, for sure, and Shorett, possibly, must leave the council dais in December. On Tuesday, August 18, the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors will consider a resolution “committing up to \$11,000,000 as a one-time capital contri-
Continued on Page 12

By Upping Its Municipal Sales Tax To The Highest Of All SB Cities, Officials Could Be Shooting Their Toes Off, Car Dealership Representative Warns Ontario Council Members *from front page*

Council's August 7 action angered a cross section of the city's residents. They noted that the city remunerates its employees at rate well above those in all other cities in the county, that Ontario has an annual budget that is hands down the largest in the county at \$1.2 billion running through all of its municipal funds, such that Ontario officials have at their disposal more than the combined totals of funding enjoyed by the two cities that have the next two largest budgets in San Bernardino County. The politicians that voted to put the measure on the ballot, those city residents critical of the sales tax increase it would impose said, are supported by the employee unions, and were thus seeking to transfer to the city's residents, even more of the burden of paying city employees' inflated salaries. The members of the city do not have the courage or the character to stand up to the city employee unions by seeking to impose on municipal employees pay cuts or pay freezes before going to the option of increasing the tax burden on city residents, the measure's opponents said.

The residents opposing the sales tax proposal noted that city residents had approved Measure Q, the 2022 one cent sales tax override, and that representations made by the city at that time were that the revenue from that measure would redress the financial issues Measure Q's proponents, including city officials, said the city was facing.

In 2022, with the amount of money progressing through all of Ontario's municipal funds approach \$1 billion, city officials said the Measure Q one-cent-per dollar sales tax add-on would generate another \$89 million per year in

revenue. According to the city, however, now that the sales tax add-on contained in Measure Q is in place, it is generating \$60 million for the city annually.

City officials are not above making false claims in supporting their proposals, according to those opposed to the latest sales tax increase measure, and just as those city officials made phony claims about Measure Q in 2022, they are doing so with the current measure.

A case in point, Ontario residents pointed out, was Mayor Leon's assertion he, and by extension the other three council members at the August 7 meeting, "didn't vote to impose a tax. I voted to give the people an opportunity to vote on it. That's their democratic right. Right?"

Leon, and the three members of the council, it was noted by the opponents of the current sales tax increase measure, did not also, at the August 7 meeting, place a measure on the ballot to rescind Measure Q, nor did Leon and the three members of the council place on the ballot a measure to substitute the half-cent sales tax for the one-cent sales tax imposed by Measure Q. By Leon's logic, it was pointed out, the people have a right to the opportunity to vote on such proposals as well.

According to campaign literature that was jointly distributed by the Leon, Bowman and Wapner campaigns in 2010, 2014 and 2018, those three, who referred to themselves as "Team Ontario," were responsible for having guided Ontario with regard to its financial affairs to its enviable position as the most fiscally well-positioned city in San Bernardino County. Ontario residents who are now questioning the city's need for additional sales tax revenue

in 2026, many of whom questioned the need for Measure Q in 2022, want to know why City Hall is going hat in hand to the city's residents for more money, if Team Ontario has done such a good job delivering the city into its vaunted position among San Bernardino County's cities economically.

It was pointed out that Ontario, among San Bernardino County's 22 cities and incorporated towns is nowhere near the top in terms of household income when ranking the financial position of its citizenry. Indeed, residents of Chino Hills, Rancho Cucamonga, Upland, Redlands, Chino, Fontana and Yucaipa all significantly outearn the residents of Ontario per capita or per family, while residents in Loma Linda and Rialto have incomes marginally better than those living in Ontario. With Ontario's residents already staggering under the burden of the high cost of living throughout Southern California and the added sales tax the City of Ontario is already collecting, it is unconscionable that Leon, Bowman, Dorst-Porada and Macias are pushing them to increase that burden, the opponents of the tax measure say.

According to a City Council agenda report, if passed, the .5 percent additional sales tax will generate \$32.5 million per year and will go into the city's general fund.

The city of Ontario's general fund, which covers City Hall's operational expenses, for the now underway 2025/2026 fiscal year is \$481.48 million.

Mayor Leon sought to defend placing the measure before the city's voters, asserting that despite Ontario's envious financial position as one of the dozen wealthiest of the State of California's 483 municipalities, the city he oversees is still in a tenuous fiscal position.

"We have historically been looked at as the poor man of the Inland Empire's western cities," Leon said of Ontario.

"Compared to Chino, Chino Hills, Rancho Cucamonga and even Fontana now, the attitude about us has been, 'Oh, it's just Ontario.' That why we want to spend so much more improving its north community and central part, which for many, many years before me was neglected. I never had the votes to make those improvements because we now know the south-center of the city was on full neglect, as is the northeast. The upgrading of the city, which has most of our stock in the old part of town, cost a lot just to redo. The infrastructure has been there for over 100 years, in fact over 125 years. We are ahead of the curve in repairs but behind in upgrades."

Leon said he and the rest of the council did not disregard the wishes or sentiment of the city's residents in putting the latest tax override measure before them in November.

"My real concern is what the people want," Leon said, indicating he will allow the residents to make up their own minds as to whether they want to up the amount of sales tax they pay on a daily basis or not. "I guarantee you, I'm not going to be campaigning for a tax increase. I will be promoting the equalization of the entire city so that Ontario Ranch doesn't get all of the goods and the old city remains untouched in the neighborhood. There are massive drainage issues and flooding every year in the north neighborhoods. There are dark streets with no streetlights. There are kids who walk through raging rivers trying to get to school. Every park is in dire need of upgrades, as are streets and gutters. That isn't cheap to fix, and I'll make it happen one way or the other. Would it be easier with more money? Yes, but if the people don't want the tax, then there's going to be some serious readjustment of our budget"

Leon explained by he did not couple the upcoming measure with a

measure to rescind the one cent-per-dollar sales tax add-on contained in Measure Q or a measure to substitute the half-cent sales tax for the one-cent sales tax embodied in Measure Q, in so doing seeming to indicate that he is personally, if not publicly, in favor of upping the sales tax rate.

"I did not put anything on the ballot that would make it even more difficult to sustain a real effort to upgrade the old city," he said. "Just the infrastructure alone is immense. It's hard to give up money and ask for new money when it's the same amount of money. If I was doing it like you say, it would've been better to just leave it alone, right?"

Leon asserted, "I didn't do this, by the way. I didn't bring it forward. Staff brought it forward as a way to match the need., I simply continue to state if people want to see the city improve quickly, then they can make the choice. I did not want to stand in the way of that."

Leon further posited that the tax will hurt outsiders more than it will city residents, since Ontario has such a dynamic commercial base that customers from throughout the region are coming to Ontario.

"Don't forget 65 percent of every dollar is paid by outside people, that is, those who visit the city who do not live here. So, for 35 cents on the dollar, Ontario residents can make a lot of money for themselves. I'm not promoting it. I'm not downgrading it. I just simply left it in the hands of the people and I'm not making any arguments to them either way."

Chris Robles, who was formerly the chairman of the San Bernardino County Democratic Central Committee and has more recently taken up the role of a grassroots activist leading a push for social change in the city calling itself FairOntario, told the council on August 7, "You're basically saying we don't care about residents and we don't care to tell people about how the financ-

es of the city are used. You have wasted all the money with Measure Q and that's why you're putting more money on the ballot." He told the council it was asking the city's resident to risk "putting more money in your hands blindly with no guarantee that the people are going to get any of the money."

Ted Burnett counseled the council to "spend more time on the things that matter to Ontario residents, such as the air quality. I'm not against you continuing to do things for special interests, but special interest is the people of District 4 that don't have a community center. You invested all this money everywhere else building community centers. I want you to concentrate on something and be good stewards of the money you currently have."

Tina Silva told the council members that "before asking working families to pay more, the city should demonstrate a thorough financial review and that it has exhausted spending alternatives."

John Sackrison, the executive director of the Inland Empire Automobile Dealers Association, warned that by upping sales tax to be charged in the city, the council was in danger of shooting itself in the foot, as the tax on big ticket items such as vehicles, machinery and large appliances can be substantial, and cautious buyers will find dealers, outlets and stores elsewhere which are not subject to add-on sales taxes and that will sell those items for the same price, so that they can save substantially on the outlays they are to make. He said Ontario had already made that mistake with Measure Q.

"Less than four years ago, Ontario increased its sales tax and now for every vehicle sold in the city it receives \$1,000, which is more than double compared to 2022," Sackrison said. "In these same four years, dealership profitability has dropped 40 percent."

-Mark Gutglueck

Suspicion Hung Over Wapner For Years But His Position & Power Insulated Him Until Now *from front page*

ner’s personal attorney; James Su, the owner of EDI Media; the business office of Andy Sehrmelis, a major campaign donor to Wapner, Hagman and other local elected officeholders; and at a mansion in the 600 block of North Canon Drive of Beverly Hills. The day of those raids, the FBI also served subpoenas for the production of documents at Ontario City Hall and the administrative offices of the Ontario International Airport and the Ontario International Airport Authority.

Residents Unwilling To Tax Themselves Will Be Willing To Heavily Tax Successful Businesses, Upland Officials Believe *from front page*

license; one that makes \$200,000 pays \$129.60 for a business license; one that makes \$300,000 pays \$194.40 for a business license; one that makes \$400,000 pays \$259.20 for a business license; one that makes \$500,000 pays \$324 for a business license; one that makes \$600,000 pays \$388.80 for a business license; one that makes \$700,000 pays \$453.60 for a business license; one that makes \$800,000 pays \$518.40 for a business license; one that makes \$900,000 pays \$583.20 for a business license; one that makes \$1 million pays \$648 for a business license; one that makes \$1.1 million pays \$712.80 for a business license; one that makes \$1.2 million pays \$777.60 for a business license; one that makes \$1.3 million pays \$712.80 for a business license; one that makes \$1.3 million pays \$842.40 for a business license. All businesses that make 1.33 million or more pay a uniform \$864 per year for the right/privilege to operate in

Word emanating from informed sources in government and the Ontario/West San Bernardino County community is that the pay-to-play culture that both Wapner and Hagman were steeped in was brought to the attention of the FBI and the U.S. Attorney’s Office too many times and with concrete examples of graft, quid pro quos, payoffs, kickbacks and bribes which could not be ignored. Wapner, who has been on the city council since 1994, has in that time collected at least \$3.8 million in campaign contributions, making him the most prolific political fundraiser among municipal and county elective officeholders in county history. Wapner’s

the City of Upland.

Under the reform, the city’s businesses would be divided into five categories, those being retail, service, professional contractor and rental. Curiously, this cataloging does not include manufacturing, warehousing or agricultural.

According to a chart provided by the city, the current tax for retail, service, contractor and rental businesses is pegged at 0.054 percent after the first \$200,000 of gross receipts. The current rate for businesses categorized as “professional” was given as “cost per employee,” with no further specification. The chart provided a somewhat confusing set of taxing or fee models – 3a, 3b, 3c and a repeat of 3c – without specifying which model would actually go into effect if the measure were to be adopted. According to the chart, the model 3b rate was what was “previously recommended.” This appeared to be the rate that was proposed in 2024, but which was ultimately withdraw. The first 3c model applies to 2027 and the second 3c model appears to be what would be in effect from 2028 onward.

Under the 3a model, retail businesses would pay 0.1 percent of sales, presumably after the first \$200,000; service businesses would pay 0.2

technique in raising funds is legendary, one feature of which is his buttonholing anyone and everyone with business before the City of Ontario or the various commissions or governmental entities of which he is a board member and then asking for support, without making any overt threat but implying that for the prospective donor’s pending project, franchise application or contract that has or is coming before the governmental entity in question to be met with approval, a donation to his political war chest is in order.

A shockingly high percentage of those businesses and entities which have obtained project approval and secured fran-

percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000; professional oriented businesses would pay 0.3 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000, contractors would pay 0.2 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000 and rental businesses would pay 0.3 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000.

Under the first 3b model, retail businesses were to have paid 0.075 percent of sales, presumably after the first \$200,000; service businesses were to have paid 0.17 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000; professional oriented businesses were to have paid 0.175 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000, contractors were to have paid 0.2 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000 and rental businesses were to have paid 0.3 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000.

Under the first 3c model, to be effective in 2027, retail businesses would pay 0.06 percent of sales, presumably after the first \$200,000; service businesses would pay 0.09 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000; professional oriented businesses would pay 0.09 percent of income, presumably after the first

chises and contracts with the City of Ontario and the Ontario International Airport Authority are identified as donors on the campaign financing disclosure documents Wapner, like all elected officials in California, is required by law to fill out twice annually during non-election years and more often in election years.

According to some of those who approached the FBI and the U.S. Attorney’s Office in regard to Wapner over the past several years, Wapner was able to sidestep being brought to account by local and state authorities, most notably the Ontario Police Department and the San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office,

\$200,000, contractors would pay 0.1 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000 and rental businesses would pay 0.15 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000.

Under the second 3c model, to be effective in 2027, retail businesses would pay 0.065 percent of sales, presumably after the first \$200,000; service businesses would pay 0.125 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000; professional oriented businesses would pay 0.125 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000, contractors would pay 0.15 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000 and rental businesses would pay 0.2 percent of income, presumably after the first \$200,000.

There was no explanation given as to the disparity of percentages in the models or the disparity in ratios between the business types from model to model.

Without using as many words, Mayor Velto and the members of the city council said they were scapegoating the most successful portion of the business community because of the city’s financial challenges. Despite the acknowledgments from the council that that city is behind the eight-ball, the documentation provided

primarily, in the case of the police department, because he was formerly a police officer, detective and lieutenant with that agency and now has tremendous influence over the department as a council member, and, in the case of the district attorney, because District Attorney Jason Anderson was formerly one of Wapner’s city council colleagues, formerly served as a lawyer representing Wapner when Anderson was in private practice and Anderson has tapped into the same political support network within the Ontario and San Bernardino County establishment that has backed Wapner in his run as a public official for more than three decades. It was for that rea-

with the proposal to put the measure on the ballot stated, in justification for increasing the burden on a select minority of the city’s businesses, that “The City has a longstanding history of strong fiscal stewardship of public funds.”

According to that documentation, “The city adopted a balanced budget, however there is a structural deficit of \$5.7M (FY 27) and \$6.1M (FY 28) One-time fixes and \$8.5 million from reserves – which are from the city’s rainy-day funds (Economic Uncertainty Fund) – will be used in the next two years. The City has \$241 million in unfunded infrastructure liability for its streets and sidewalks. Without the measure and the locally controlled funding it would provide, the city would need to make \$4 million in future cuts to essential local services.”

That documentation celebrated the measure as a solution that “only applies to businesses in Upland” and a means to “not raise taxes for residents or homeowners.”

According to the documentation, if the city’s residents approve the measure, the city will realized \$634,888 in revenue from the business license fees imposed on businesses making \$500,000 or less, \$1,009,825 from busi-

son that those disturbed by the manner in which Wapner was prioritizing government action to favor his personal and political associates turned to federal authorities.

Wapner on Tuesday deferred his resignations on the multiple committees and boards he is on until Friday so that he could attend two critical meetings involving a subcommittee and another delegation of the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority on Thursday, August 13. On that day, tomorrow, the SBCTA Transit Committee is to meet and selected members of the authority are also scheduled to participate in the Metro Valley Study Session. The authority’s

Continued on Page 6

nesses doing \$500,000 to \$3 million of business annually and \$1,701,070, from those businesses taking in over \$3 million a year. This would boost the city’s business tax revenue, which is separate from the sales tax revenue it receives, to \$3,345,783 annually, a \$2,157,796 over the \$1,187,987 in business license fees it currently takes in.

One thing that makes city employees and the city council enthusiastic about the financial solution the measure represents is that those who will be hit hardest by the tax/fee increase do not have the political muscle to resist it. Upland Assistant City Manager Stephen Parker, whose line of expertise is in city finances, said there were a mere nine businesses operations in the city who fall into the \$28,000 business license fee category. Half of the city’s retail businesses bring in \$117,000 or less per year. Only 78 retail businesses in the city have more than \$4.1 million in gross receipts annually. In this way, city officials believe, those who will object to the business license fee increases will be vastly outnumbered by those who will not be personally impacted by it.

-Mark Gutglueck

Wapner, Anticipating Removal From Adjunct Assignments, Resigns Instead *from front page*

transit committee often sets the parameters for what the discussions and votes of the entire 29-member authority board, which consists of a representative in the form of a mayor or council member from the county’s 22 cities, two

Plowman Insists She Had Nothing To Do With Paying Off Wapner *from page 2*

other airport staff members to sell the 198-acre airport property to the Lee & Associates/Sares Regis consortium, the Sentinel reported that between 1999 and that month, McKay, Wolfe, Plowman and Lee & Associates had supplied Wapner with \$95,596.07 in campaign funding.

Available California Form 460 campaign funding disclosure records filed by Wapner in connection with his campaigns going back to his first run for the city council in 1994 that are in the possession of the Sentinel are marred by, two instances of an entire missing year and in a third and a fourth case missing reports covering

incorporated towns and the county’s five supervisors. In this way, the transit committee in the past has controlled what projects were to be considered and which were to be taken off the table, whether freeway commuting options were to be prioritized over rail transit options and whether certain proposals would be previewed to the entire board or rejected without further discussion.

at least six months each. That incomplete record shows that Plowman provided Wapner with \$1,000 on December 8, 1999; \$1,000 on November 28, 2000; \$1,000 on December 27, 2001; \$1,000 on January 28, 2003; \$500 on May 29, 2003; \$1,000 and \$99, both on June 9, 2005; \$1,000 on February 6, 2006; \$1,000 on June 1, 2006; \$1,000 on December 12, 2007; \$1,000 on February 18, 2009; \$1,000 on September 8, 2009; \$1,000 on February 27, 2012; \$1,000 on February 25, 2013; \$1,000 on May 19, 2014; \$5,000 on October 17, 2014; \$1,000 on March 20, 2015; \$1,000 on February 16, 2016; \$1,500 on February 17, 2017; \$99 on May 31, 2018, \$1,000 on February 3, 2020; \$2,500 on February 5, 2021 and \$2,500 on April 12, 2022..

Stream’s letter im-

The Ontario City Council at its August 18 meeting is set to consider a vote to remove Wapner from all of his committee, commission, board and adjunct assignments. Indications were that as recently as last weekend, Saturday and Sunday, August 8 and 9, Wapner was intent on engaging in some very serious arm-twisting of Councilman Jim Bowman, his longstanding ally on the council, in seeking to

plied that Plowman did not contribute to Wapner’s campaign fund.

“To the extent the article attributes any portion of the stated \$95,596.07 or \$219,040.07 collective totals to Ms. Plowman, that attribution is false and materially misleading,” Stream wrote. “During the past 24 months, Ms. Plowman’s only contributions were two checks to the Business Roundtable—one for \$1,000 and one for \$3,000. No contribution by Ms. Plowman had any connection to Wapner, the proposed property transaction, or any governmental action.”

As Stream did not acknowledge in his August 11 letter to the *Sentinel* that Plowman provided any money to Wapner’s campaign fund, he did not offer any explanation of what Plowman’s purpose was in the more the more than \$28,000

exploit Bowman’s various vulnerabilities, including his alcoholism, financial constraints and some questionable political trade-offs involving past votes on the city council and the Ontario International Airport Authority Board of Directors. Wapner’s belief was that with his own vote, that of Bowman and that of Councilwoman Daisy Macias, he could prevent the council from achieving

investment she has made in Wapner’s political career. He makes no reference to Government Code § 84308, which prohibited Wapner from engaging in any action, as the president of the Ontario International Airport Authority, concerning or impacting Lee & Associates and Sares Regis, given the political contributions he had received from those companies, their principals and employees. Nor did he reference the parallels in federal law to Government Code § 84308 – 2 Code of Federal Regulations § 200.303, 18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B) and 18 U.S.C. § 371 – which formed the basis of the FBI’s investigation of possible bribery or solicitation of a bribe on the parts of Wapner and Hagman.

Stream did write in his letter to the *Sentinel*, “Ms. Plowman categori-

the three votes to remove him from his appointed assignments.

By Monday, however, Wapner had discarded that approach.

The Sentinel is informed that someone who was not identified convinced Wapner that he should do as Hagman did in the days after the July 28 FBI raids, in which Hagman stepped down from all of the committee and other assignments he had taken

on as adjuncts to his position as Fourth District County supervisor.

Wapner’s resignation will have historic implication, as he has been the only president of the Ontario International Airport Board of Commissioners since its inception in 2012. It is anticipated that Councilwoman Debra Dorst-Porada will be appointed to replace him on August 18.

-Mark Gutglueck

cally denies every statement and implication that she participated in, knew of, authorized, facilitated, funded, or benefited from any bribe, payoff, graft, quid pro quo, pay-to-play arrangement, or other corrupt transactions.”

Stream withheld judgment as to whether Hagestad, Rooney, Lukanish, Coatsworth, Russell, Ciavarella, Thormahlen, McKay and Wolfe, in providing political contributions to Wapner, were engaged in an attempt to influence his decision-making as the president of the Ontario International Airport Board of Commissioners, but insisted that his client was engaged in no such illicit effort. He demanded that the *Sentinel* “[c]orrect the false and misleading attribution to Ms. Plowman of having participated in supplying substantial

campaign funding to Wapner” and that it [r]emove Ms. Plowman’s name from the portions of the article associating the identified contributors with criminal or corrupt conduct.”

Stream demanded that the *Sentinel* retract those portions of the July 28 article which related to how “Ms. Plowman supplied campaign funding constituting or contributing to ‘graft,’ a ‘classic quid pro,’ ‘a bribe to a public official’ or payment intended to benefit persons “paying [Wapner] off.”

Stream further wants the *Sentinel* to back off from any reportage that “Ms. Plowman participated in, funded, knew of, or benefited from bribery, graft, a payoff, a quid pro quo or any other corrupt transaction.”



Public Notices	Public Notices	Public Notices	Public Notices	Public Notices	Public Notices	Public Notices
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2609610, TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: ,Lori Christine Beard, filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Lori Christine Beard to Lori Christine Chronis, THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing. Notice of Hearing: Date: 08/31/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: Civil The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Di-	vision, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS ? Upland in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition. Dated: 04/14/2026 Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph Ortiz Published in the SBCS Upland on 7/24/2026, 7/31/2026, 8/7/2026, 8/14/2026 SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) CIVRS2602139 NOTICE TO ANIL ANIL aka ANIL KUMAR, JEEVAN DASS and DOES 1 to 10 (AVISO DEMANDA-DO): YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): SAMANTHA MARQUEZ and ADDISON	SAENZ NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you	cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court’s lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una repuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar on formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede	usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratu de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendoso en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene	derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de vaoir recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corta pueda desechar el caso. The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es): San Bernardino Superior Court 8303 Haven Ave., Rancho Cucamonga California 91730 Rancho Cucamonga Courthouse The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff’s attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Robert A. Zermeno 500 N. State College Bl., Ste. 1100 Orange CA 92868 Telephone: 855-952-9477 DATE (Fecha): 03/05/2026 Clerk (Secretario), by Sabrina Jamison, Deputy Published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga on: 07/24/2026, 07/31/2026, 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB2621051 TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner SIH WIDI ROMADHONA filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: SIH WIDI ROMADHONA to DONNA SIHWIDI ROMADHONA THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing. Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 31, 2026 Time: 8:30 a.m. Department: S28

Public Notices

Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Raymond Rojas, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 20, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2621048

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner RUBI ESMERALDA CHAIDEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MIGUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ to MIGUEL ANGEL CHAIDEZ THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: AUGUST 31, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S28
Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Raymond Rojas, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 20, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7& 14, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2621047

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner RUBI ESMERALDA CHAIDEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MIA ESMERALDA GONZALEZ to MIA ESMERALDA CHAIDEZ THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this

Public Notices

matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: AUGUST 31, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S28
Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Raymond Rojas, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 20, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7& 14, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIVSB2620687

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner KENDALL DARIAN RAND filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

KENDALL DARIAN RAND to KENDALL DARIAN TILLMAN THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: SEPTEMBER 4, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S35
Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Erika Hernandez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 10, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on

Public Notices

July 17, 24 & 31 and August 7, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIVSB2621414

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner JOSEMIGUEL GONZALEZ GAMINO filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JOSEMIGUEL GONZALEZ GAMINO to JOSEMIGUEL GAMINO THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: SEPTEMBER 11, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S23
7th Floor
Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Janai Piedra, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 10, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 17, 24 & 31 and August 7, 2026.

Once trust collapses, power decides the outcome.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Janai Piedra, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 10, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 17, 24 & 31 and August 7, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIVSB2620687

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner KENDALL DARIAN RAND filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

KENDALL DARIAN RAND to KENDALL DARIAN TILLMAN THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: SEPTEMBER 4, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S35
Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Erika Hernandez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 10, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on

Public Notices

filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260006137
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

KAR COMPANY 17334 FOOTHILL BLVD FONTANA, CA 92335: KUNAL VERMA Business Mailing Address: 17334 FOOTHILL BLVD FONTANA, CA 92335

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: January 1, 2022.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KUNAL VERMA, Owner
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/30/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K7211

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260006527
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

VANGUARD PARTITION SPECIALTIES 9431 HAVEN AVE SUITE 100 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: JUAN E LOPEZ SR Business Mailing Address: 9431 HAVEN AVE SUITE 100 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUNE 15, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JUAN E LOPEZ SR, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/13/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K4616

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260006387
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CALIFORNIA ADU PROFESSIONAL 7912 CYPRESS AVE FONTANA, CA 92336: RENE SILVA-GONZALEZ Business Mailing Address: 7912 CYPRESS AVE FONTANA, CA 92336: RENE SILVA-GONZALEZ

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUNE 1, 2022.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Public Notices

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 8, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ RENE SILVA-GONZALEZ, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/08/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J3256

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7& 14, 2026.

FBN20260006387

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CALIFORNIA ADU PROFESSIONAL 7912 CYPRESS AVE FONTANA, CA 92336: RENE SILVA-GONZALEZ Business Mailing Address: 7912 CYPRESS AVE FONTANA, CA 92336: RENE SILVA-GONZALEZ

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 8, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ RENE SILVA-GONZALEZ, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/08/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J3256

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7& 14, 2026.

FBN20260006208

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

TRUSTWORTHY TRANSPORTATION SERVICES 1748 W SUMMIT AVE RIALTO, CA 92377: CLAUDE O NUGENT Business Mailing Address: 1748 W SUMMIT AVE RIALTO, CA 92377

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: JUNE 1, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CLAUDE O NUGENT, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/30/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K9236

Notice-This fictitious name

Public Notices

statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260005290

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CONVERSATIONS WITH THEA, LLC 13062 LOIRE VALLEY DRIVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739: CONVERSATIONS WITH THEA, LLC 13062 LOIRE VALLEY DRIVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739

Business Mailing Address: 13062 LOIRE VALLEY DRIVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number B20260230557.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ LA-THEA SONYA DAVIS, Managing Member

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/04/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K7211

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 5, 12, 19 & 26, 2026. Corrected on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260005652

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CH-BRIDGE FUTURE FUND 9229 UTICA AVE STE 140 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: EXPRESS WORKFORCE FOUNDATION 9229 UTICA AVE, SUITE 140 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Business Mailing Address: 9229 UTICA AVE STE 140 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: MAY 10, 2020.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ THERESA JONES ZAROUR, CEO

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/15/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K9232

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of

Public Notices

another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260004636
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

MY HEART WERXS 1752 E. LUGONIA AVE. 117 #228 REDLANDS, CA 92374: CHARLEY J DUNCAN

Business Mailing Address: 1752 E. LUGONIA AVE. 117 #228 REDLANDS, CA 92374

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: May 13, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CHARLEY J DUNCAN, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/18/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 26 and July 3, 10 & 17, 2026

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIVSB2621051

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner SIH WIDI ROMADHONA filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

SIH WIDI ROMADHONA to DONNA SIHWIDI ROMADHONA THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: AUGUST 31, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S28
Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.

Raymond Rojas, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 20, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on

Public Notices

July 24 & 31 and August 7& 14, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2621048

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner RUBI ESMERALDA CHAIDEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MIGUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ to MIGUEL ANGEL CHAIDEZ THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 31, 2026 Time: 8:30 a.m. Department: S28 Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz Judge of the Superior Court.

Raymond Rojas, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: July 20, 2026 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7& 14, 2026.

FBN 20260005958 The following person is doing business as: WRIGHT MOUNTAIN METAL WORKS 8079 MIRA MAR RD PHELAN CA 92371;[MAILING ADDRESS 8079 MIRA MAR RD PHELAN CA 92371]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TIMOTHY M MACDONALD The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: NOV 03, 2009 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ TIMOTHY M MACDONALD, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/25/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026, 07/17/2026, 07/24/2026 CNBB27202601MT

NOTICE OF PETI-

Public Notices

TION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

Estate of Patricia Ann Duchay Case NO. PRO-VA2600518

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of Estate of Patricia Ann Duchay A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Cherie D. Evans in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority., Cherie D. Evans be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F1 at 09:00 AM on 08/19/2026 at Superior Court of California, County of Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino Fontana Division, , San Bernardino, 17780 Arrow Boulevard, Fontana, California 92335, Fontana Division

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Diane E. Robbins: 201 West F Street Ontario CA 91762

Public Notices

Telephone No: (909) 391-9000

Published in the SB

Published in the SB

Published in the SB

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

HENRY MARQUES JR Case NO. PRO-VA2600542

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of HENRY MARQUES JR A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Ricky Marques in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority., Ricky Marques be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F2 at 09:00 AM on 08/31/2026 at Superior Court of California, County of Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino Fontana Division, , San Bernardino, 17780 Arrow Boulevard, Fontana, California 92335, Fontana Division

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing

Public Notices

of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Soon O Kim: 12600 Brookhurst St #102 Garden Grove CA 92840

Telephone No: 323-810-6633

Published in the SB

Published in the SB

Published in the SB

FBN20260006208

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

TRANSPORTATION SERVICES 1748 W SUMMIT AVE RIALTO, CA 92377: CLAUDE O NUGENT

Business Mailing Address: 1748 W SUMMIT AVE RIALTO, CA 92377

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: JUNE 1, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CLAUDE O NUGENT, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/30/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K9236

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260006907

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

RIZO TECH REFRIGERATION 6327 OPAL ST RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701: SALVADOR RIZO

Business Mailing Address: 6327 OPAL ST RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: January 1, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ SALVADOR RIZO, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/24/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K7325

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in

Public Notices

the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 31 and August 7, 14 & 21, 2026.

FBN20260006419

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

INFERNAL COFFEE 13495 QUAIL CT FONTANA, CA 92336: ANTHONY D HERNANDEZ [and] ADRIAN R HERNANDEZ

Business Mailing Address: 13495 QUAIL CT FONTANA, CA 92336

The business is conducted by: COPARTNERS.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: August 31, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ANTHONY D HERNANDEZ, General Partner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/09/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 31 and August 7, 14 & 21, 2026.

FBN20260005152

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

DJ MOTORS LLC 12520 RECCE CANYON COLTON, CA 92324: DJ MOTORS LLC

Business Mailing Address: 2097 E WASHINGTON ST STE 1E PMB 309

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered under the number B20260247559.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: May 26, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DIEGO A MACEDO CAMACHO, CEO

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/03/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the

Public Notices

original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 17, 24, 31 and August 7, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JUANITA BLANCO GOODSON aka JUANITA B. GOODSON CASE NO. PRO-VA2600596

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JUANITA BLANCO GOODSON aka JUANITA B. GOODSON: a petition for probate has been filed by MICHAEL GOODSON in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MICHAEL GOODSON be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

The PETITION requests the decedents will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held September 10, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F3 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are

Public Notices

fornia law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Michael Goodson:

R. SAM PRICE SB 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 454 Cajon Street REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 9500 attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 7, 14 & 21, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: WILLIAM BLANCO GOODSON aka WILLIAM GOODSON, JR.

CASE NO. PRO-VA2600574

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of WILLIAM BLANCO GOODSON aka WILLIAM GOODSON, JR.: a petition for probate has been filed by YVONNE CORINE SANCHEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that YVONNE CORINE SANCHEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held September 22, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are

Public Notices

a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Yvonne Corine Sanchez:

R. SAM PRICE SB 208603
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
454 Cajon Street
REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 9500
attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 7, 14 & 21, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:
SHARON LOVEJOY
AKA SHARON LYNN WARFORD Case NO. PROVA2600586

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of SHARON LOVEJOY AKA SHARON LYNN WARFORD A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by AARON LEIMANN in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.. AARON LEIMANN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F3 at 09:00 AM on 09/09/2026 at Superior Court of California, County of Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino Fontana Division, , San Bernardino, 17780 Arrow Boulevard, Fontana, California 92335, Fontana Division

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date

Public Notices

of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

AARON LEIMANN:
7250 PAINTER AVE.
WHITTIER CA 90602
Telephone No: 323-328-7490

Published in the SBCS Ontario on:
08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026

SUMMONS
CASE NO. CASE NO.: D-26-731629-D
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: FEI XIAO
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: YUXIA PENG, Plaintiff
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
DEPT.: T

A Complaint for Divorce has been filed by Plaintiff YUXIA PENG against you. The object of this action is divorce. Plaintiff seeks a decree dissolving the marriage and the other relief requested in the Complaint for Divorce filed in this case.

If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 21 days after this summons is served on you this summons is served on you (not counting the day of service), you must:

1. File with the Clerk of Court a formal written answer to the Complaint for Divorce.

2. Pay the required filing fee to the court, or file an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and request a waiver of the filing fee.

3. Serve a copy of your answer upon Plaintiff YUXIA PENG at the address shown below.

If you fail to respond, Plaintiff can request your default. The Court can then enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint for Divorce.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT DEPT.: T
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO.: D-26-731629-D
YUXIA PENG, Plaintiff,
vs.

FEI XIAO, Defendant.
STEVEN D. GRIERSON
CLERK OF COURT By: Cecilia Dixon Date issued: 06/24/2026 Eighth Judicial District Court Family Courts and Services Center 601 North Pecos Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

ISSUED ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: YUXIA PENG, Self-Represented 4110 SANDERLING CIRCLE #432 LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel August 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Public Notices

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2622340

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner STACY MARIN GARCIA filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

STACY MARIN GARCIA to STACY GARCIA
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: September 18, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S27
Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino
San Bernardino District-Civil Division

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court.
Janai Piedra, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Dated: August 4, 2026
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
N U M B E R CIVSB2621360

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioners CHRISTINA and BRIAN TAYLOR filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: SOPHIA ROSE COLLINS-TAYLOR to SOPHIA ROSE TAYLOR.

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 09/08/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S30

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Public Notices

Dated: 07/24/2026
Indian Cuen, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T Ortiz
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel August 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2026.

FBN20260005255
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

RC PIANO LESSONS 8006 HENBANE STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739: LINO LEVERAGE GROUP INC. 8006 HENBANE ST. RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739

Business Mailing Address: 8006 HENBANE STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: June 1, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KIMBERLY LINO, Secretary

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/04/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J9676

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 26 and July 3, 10 & 17, 2026. Corrected on August 7, 14, 2 & 28, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA GUADALUPE CALDERON aka GUADALUPE CALDERON
CASE NO. PRO-VA2600567

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA GUADALUPE CALDERON aka GUADALUPE CALDERON: a petition for probate has been filed by MARIA G LENNON in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MARIA G LENNON be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held September 9, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F1 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335
IF YOU OBJECT to the

Public Notices

granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Maria G Lennon:

R. SAM PRICE SB 208603
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
454 Cajon Street
REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 9500
attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 14, 21 & 28, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
N U M B E R CIVSB2623127

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioners RAFAEL SAVAGE ESPINOZA MONARREZ JR. filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

RAFAEL MARCIAL ESPINOZA MORALES JR. to RAFAEL MARCIAL ESPINOZA MONARREZ JR.

[and]
ALEXANDER FELIX ESPINOZA MORALES to ALEXANDER FELIX ESPINOZA MONARREZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 09/23/2026, Time: 09:00 AM, Department: S24

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for

Public Notices

hearing of the petition.
Dated: 08/12/2026
Sarena Perez, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T Ortiz
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel August 14, 21 & 28 and September 4, 2026.

FBN20260005652
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CH-BRIDGE FUTURE FUND 9229 UTICA AVE STE 140 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: EXPRESS WORKFORCE FOUNDATION 9229 UTICA AVE, SUITE 140 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Business Mailing Address: 9229 UTICA AVE STE 140 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: MAY 10, 2020.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ THERESA JONES ZAROUR, CEO

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/15/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K9232

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 24 & 31 and August 7 & 14, 2026.

FBN20260007308
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

BEST ONTARIO SMOG CHECK 2201 E 4TH ST ONTARIO, CA 91764: EDWEN AURELIUS

Business Mailing Address: 2201 E 4TH ST ONTARIO, CA 91764

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ EDWEN AURELIUS, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/04/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K5930

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 14, 21 & 28 and September 4, 2026.

FBN20260007256
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
KAREFURPAWS 7721 LOCKHAVEN CT RANCHO

Public Notices

CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: KAR-EN CIFUENTES

Business Mailing Address: 7721 LOCKHAVEN CT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KAREN CIFUENTES, Founder

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/04/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K5930

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 14, 21 & 28 and September 4, 2026.

FBN20260007299
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

PREMISE LEDGER FINANCIAL 6618 AMETHYST AVE A306 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91737: DEIDRA E LOWE

Business Mailing Address: 6618 AMETHYST AVE A306 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91737

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DEIDRA E LOWE, Book-keeper

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/06/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 14, 21 & 28 and September 4, 2026.

FBN20260007102
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CULTIVATOR CO 17404 MADRONE ST. FONTANA, CA 92337: KENNY CAO

Business Mailing Address: 17404 MADRONE ST. FONTANA, CA 92337

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KENNY CAO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/29/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/

Public Notices

County Sentinel 03/13/2026, 03/20/2026, 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026 CNBB1202601MT CORRECTION DATES 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026, 05/08/2026, 05/15/2026 CORRECTION DATES 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026, 06/19, 2026, 06/26/2026 CORRECTION DATES 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026, 07/17/2026, 07/24/2026 CORRECTION DATES 07/31/2026, 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026

FBN 20260002788 FICTICIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT The following person is doing business as: SAFETYLINE TRAINING & CONSULTATION 36195 GOLDEN GATE DR YUCAIPA CA 92399; MAILING ADDRESS 36195 GOLDEN GATE DR YUCAIPA CA 92399; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAFETYLINE TRAINING & CONSULTATION, LLC. 36195 GOLDEN GATE DR YUCAIPA CA 92399 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20260065318 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ VICTOR M. GAMEZ, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 02, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202603MT CORRECTION DATES 05/08/2026, 05/15/2026, 05/22/2026, 05/29/2026 CORRECTION DATES 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026, 06/19, 2026, 06/26/2026 CORRECTION DATES 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026, 07/17/2026, 07/24/2026 CORRECTION DATES 07/31/2026, 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026

FBN 20260007177 The following person is doing business as: SIGNS FONTANA 9368 SIERRA AVENUE FOINTANA CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS 9368 SIERRA AVENUE FOINTANA CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE R ESTRADA RIVERA The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JAN 01, 2017 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JOSE R ESTRADA RIVERA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/03/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB32202607MT

FBN 20260007131 The following person is doing business as: STRAIGHT TAPERZ 1241 W BASELINE ST SAN BERNARDINO CA 92411; MAILING ADDRESS 1241 W BASELINE ST SAN BERNARDINO CA 92411; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO STRAIGHT TAPERZ, LLC. 1241 W BASELINE ST SAN BERNARDINO CA 92411 STATE OF ORGANIZATION XA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20260340120 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILTY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all infor-

Public Notices

mation in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JONATHAN I. DELGADO ORTIZ, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/30/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB32202606MT

FBN 20260007180 The following person is doing business as: J & M INCOME TAX 108 ORANGE ST STE #12A REDLANDS CA 92373; MAILING ADDRESS 108 ORANGE ST STE #12A REDLANDS, CA 92373; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JAIME FUENTES The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JAIME FUENTES, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/03/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB32202605MT

FBN 20260007179 The following person is doing business as: J & M OFFICE AND MORE 108 ORANGE ST STE #12A REDLANDS, CA 92373; MAILING ADDRESS 108 ORANGE ST STE #12A REDLANDS, CA 92373; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JAIME FUENTES The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JAIME FUENTES, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/03/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB32202604MT

FBN 20260007049 The following person is doing business as: ANGELWINGSUAS 25590 PROSPECT AVE #42D LOMA LINDA CA 92354; MAILING ADDRESS 25590 PROSPECT AVE #42D LOMA LINDA CA 92354; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JEVITA R WEBSTER The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she

Public Notices

knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JEVITA R WEBSTER , OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/28/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB32202603MT

FBN 20260007041 The following person is doing business as: JUST CURVES I 428 W B ST ONTARIO CA 91762; MAILING ADDRESS 428 W B ST ONTARIO CA 91762; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SHARON C SANDOVAL The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/SHARONCSANDOVAL, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/28/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB32202602MT

FBN 20260007181 The following person is doing business as: AMY & JESSE CLEANING SERVICES 17668 PINEDALE AVE FONTANA CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS 17668 PINEDALE AVE FONTANA CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO AMY & JESSE CLEANING SERVICES, LLC 17668 PINEDALE AVE FONTANA CA 92335 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20260288300 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JOSE DE JESUS LOPEZ LOPEZ, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/03/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB32202601MT

FBN 20260006859 The following person is doing business as: CARIBBEAN JEMS DANCE COMPANY. 24168 RADWELL DR MORENO VALLEY, CA 92553; MAILING ADDRESS 24168 RADWELL DR MORENO VALLEY, CA 92553; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EDWARD OLIVE JR The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares

Public Notices

as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ EDWARD OLIVE JR, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/22/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB31202603MT

FBN 20260006905 The following person is doing business as: ABELINO'S HOMEMADE STYLE MEXICAN FOOD. 652 E REDLANDS BLVD REDLANDS, CA 92374; MAILING ADDRESS 652 E REDLANDS BLVD REDLANDS, CA 92374; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ABELINO'S HOMEMADE STYLE MEXICAN FOOD LLC 652 E REDLANDS BLVD REDLANDS CA 92374 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20260281766 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ISAAC GOMEZ GARCIA, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/23/26 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/07/2026, 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026 CNBB31202604MT

FBN 20260007445 The following person is doing business as: L. MARROQUIN TRUCKING 16594 ARROW BLVD UNIT #62 FONTANA CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS PO BOX 1874 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91729; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUIS E MARROQUIN The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LUIS E MARROQUIN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/11/2026

County Taking Up The Slack On SB Homeless Support Center Project Funding from page 3

bution to the City of San Bernardino to develop the City of San Bernardino’s homeless outreach prevention and education campus, a regional low-barrier homeless and recuperative care navigation center,” according to the language contained in that resolution.

The resolution states that the navigation center, which is to be regional in nature, will provide “200 interim housing beds consisting of 180 congregate and 20 non-congregate beds, including up to 70 recuperative care beds, on-site supportive services, and resources for individuals experiencing homelessness.”

The resolution noted that previously, in October 2023, the board of supervisors earmarked \$3 million to be

Public Notices

FBN 20260007496 The following person is doing business as: EBMRE; EBMRE. COM EBM REAL ESTATE 1808 FOOTHILL BLVD STE 160 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730; MAILING ADDRESS 1808 FOOTHILL BLVD STE 160 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EQUITY BUILDING MANAGEMENT REAL ESTATE, INC 1808 FOOTHILL BLVD STE 160 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION B20260356055 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ M A PONCE DE LEON, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/12/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026, 09/04/2026 CNBB33202605MT

FBN 20260007445 The following person is doing business as: L. MARROQUIN TRUCKING 16594 ARROW BLVD UNIT #62 FONTANA CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS PO BOX 1874 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91729; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUIS E MARROQUIN The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LUIS E MARROQUIN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/11/2026

FBN 20260007390 The following person is doing business as: GLACE LABEL 7318 MESA VERDE CT FONTANA CA 92336; MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA CA 92701; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO KEBELYN Y HERNANDEZ HERMOSILLO The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

Public Notices

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026, 09/04/2026 CNBB33202604MT

FBN 20260007418 The following person is doing business as: TOOTH FEST 1216 ALDERWOOD LN MENTONE CA 92359; MAILING ADDRESS 1216 ALDERWOOD LN MENTONE CA 92359; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SADE MOREL The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SADE MOREL, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/10/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026, 09/04/2026 CNBB33202603MT

FBN 20260007328 The following person is doing business as: ANTOJITOS VERO 13122 7TH ST APT 7 CHINO CA 91710; MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA CA 92701; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO AMERICA HERMANDEZ VAZQUEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ AMERICA HERNANDEZ VAZQUEZ , OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/06/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026, 09/04/2026 CNBB33202601MT

center and does not intend to fund the program beyond that at this time.

“This commitment does not create any ongoing County obligation to fund operations, supportive services, maintenance, future capital improvements, operating deficits, or the replacement of reduced or unavailable operating revenues,” the resolution states.

On January 22 of this year when the annual count of the homeless in the county mandated by the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development was undertaken, there were 1,172 homeless individuals tallied within the city limits, a reduction of 363 from the 1,535 total homeless counted in January 2025. Of those 1,172, at least 737 were unsheltered, without a roof over their heads and no access to even temporary quarters in which to sleep.

-Mark Gutgluck

Public Notices

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ KEBELYN Y HERNANDEZ HERMOSILLO , OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/07/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026, 09/04/2026 CNBB33202602MT

FBN 20260007328 The following person is doing business as: ANTOJITOS VERO 13122 7TH ST APT 7 CHINO CA 91710; MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA CA 92701; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO AMERICA HERMANDEZ VAZQUEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ AMERICA HERNANDEZ VAZQUEZ , OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/06/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/14/2026, 08/21/2026, 08/28/2026, 09/04/2026 CNBB33202601MT