

Point Of No Return Nears As Echevarria & Chastain Approach Colton Mayoral Runs

By Mark Gutglueck

As the candidacy filing period for positions up for election in 22 of the 24 municipal elections in San Bernardino County this year approaches, the major operative question in Colton is whether either or both of Mayor Frank Navarro's two rivals on the city council will oppose him for reelection.

Navarro has been Colton's mayor since

2018, when he convincingly beat Mark Garcia with 77.37 percent of the vote. He was reelected mayor in 2022, when he again trounced Garcia, although not quite as lopsidedly, with 69.28 percent of the vote. Previously, in 2012, he had been elected to the city council representing the city's Third District, having unseated then-incumbent Vincent Yzaguirre in that contest by

polling 56.74 percent of the vote. In 2016, he defeated Kelly Chastain to remain as the Third District councilman. Chastain had previously been mayor and was previously Third District councilwoman.

Navarro had been a founder and among the most active participants in Citizens for Colton First, a grassroots political reform and fiscal watchdog group. The or-

ganization was formed to advocate for municipal transparency and fiscal responsibility, ensured through government monitoring. The group scrutinized city budgets, sought what was referred to as fiscal responsibility and advocated for cost-cutting measures such as eliminating perks to city officials such as auto allowances for city council members. Citizens for Colton First and

its members also filed complaints with the Fair Political Practices Commission to enforce transparency laws, while targeting dark money and unregistered political action committees serving as major donors in local elections and eliminate or expose backroom dealings.

Citizens for Colton First's political activism took place in an atmosphere in which **See P 2**

Judith Valles, 1933-2026

Judith Valles, who was San Bernardino Mayor from 1998 until 2006, had an illustrious career in the educational field before entering politics and the daughter of what is now recognized as one of the foremost families of the county seat, has died. She was 93.

The daughter of Mexican immigrants Gonzalo and Jovita Valles, Judith Lopez Valles was born in 1933, the seventh of eight siblings. Valles was heavily influenced by both of her parents and her older brother, Amilcar Michael Valles, known as Mike.

In 1942, when she was nine years old, her brother, Hanibal Antonio Valles, known as Tony, was killed in a training accident while serving with the U.S. Army Air Corps in the opening year of the United States' involvement in World War II.

Judith would later recall, "Tony's body was flown home, and my father went to the cemetery to arrange his burial. After everything was arranged with the church, my mother went to select a casket, and the employee asked, 'Are you Mexican?' When she said she was, the employee told her Mexicans could not be buried there, that Mexicans and Negroes were to be buried in the section on the other side of the hedge."

This made her father, Valles said, apoplectic.

Gonzalo resolved to defy the lack of respect that was being shown toward his son. He removed Tony's body, in the casket, to the Valles family home's living room, where it remained while her father got in contact with Congressman Harry Sheppard.

See P 3

Propriety Of Redlands Police Union Pressuring City Council For Raises Questioned

Controversy – or a wealth of differing opinion – has broken out with regard to the efforts by Redlands police officers to pressure the city council and the city manager to raise their pay.

In recent weeks, Redlands Police Officers Association president Jeff Frisch has sent out emails to a cross section of Redlands residents, seeking their assistance

in persuading top city officials to up the pay and benefits of the city's police officers.

"Our city and residents support us, but the budget for the Police Department is woefully inadequate," Frisch wrote in the missive. "Over the past ten year's [sic] the Redlands total city budget has increased 100% from approximately 70 million dollars in 2016

to approximately 140 million. Despite this increase and additional funding from Measure T, our police department budget has lagged far behind the rest of the city's spending, leaving officer pay and compensation well below other law enforcement agencies in the Inland Empire."

According to Frisch, "Our average 10-year Redlands police officer

now ranks dead last in compensation compared to neighboring Inland Empire agencies. Please give the city council and city manager the courage to follow through on years' worth of promises to resolve this problem so that our city can recruit police officers and fill positions that have been vacant for multiple years. Please Visit www.RedlandsPoa.org for the

complete salary survey."

Frisch closed the email with a tacit request that the reader carry his message forward to the city's decision-makers. "Please use these city emails and cc us: city-council@cityofredlands.org [and] cduggan@cityofredlands.org," he wrote

Upon going to the Redlands Police Officer Association **See P 3**

Firestorm Of Objections As Legislature Moves To Gut The California Public Records Act

Characterizing a significant number of those who use the California Public Records Act as having "frivolous" or "malicious" intent in seeking access to documents and other materials pertaining to governmental operations, a Southern California Assemblywoman has drafted a bill that would delay responses to requests

for information, data, reports or records contained in state, county, city or agency files and subject those deemed by officials to have abused the process to lawsuits brought by the government.

In March, Assemblywoman Blanca Pacheco introduced Assembly Bill 1821, which she said will address what she,

other members of the state legislature and hundreds of city and county officials up and down the state describe as an intolerable and growing burden on local agencies caused by mounting numbers of and increasingly complex public records requests.

Under Pacheco's proposed law, the time by which coun- **See P 3**

Judge Nixes County EIR Certification For Joshua Tree Lovemore Project

San Bernardino County Superior Court Judge Jeffrey Erickson on June 4 effectively rescinded the San Bernardino County's 2025 approval of the Lovemore Ranch project in Joshua Tree.

Axel Cramer and Dane Hollar, who were then 27 years old and 24 years old, respectively, in 2020 approached the

county, proposing on behalf of their company, Green Collar Builders, what ultimately evolved into the Lovemore Ranch project. There original concept involved construction of 31 separate ½ acre+ lots on 18.4 acres on Alta Loma Drive between Hillview Drive and Sunset Road in Joshua Tree, which was in keeping **See P 3**

SBC's Currently Retired Employees' Pension Cost Now Over 1/3 Of A Billion Dollars

County taxpayers will pay more than one-third of a billion dollars within the next several weeks to cover the cost of pensions for the county's retirees in the upcoming 2026-27 fiscal year. This week, the board of supervisors, at the urging of County Chief Executive Officer Luther Snoke, directed Auditor-Controller/Treasurer/Tax Collector Ensen

Mason to make an advance payment of the county's estimated fiscal year 2026-27 annual contribution to the board of retirement within 30 days after the commencement of the county's fiscal year July 1. According to a report/recommendation bearing a date of June 23, 2026 from Snoke to the board of supervisors but which was authored by

Matthew Erickson, the county's chief financial officer, "The total County General Fund retirement contribution for 2026-27 is estimated to be \$370,564,500 discounted by \$12,549,051 after a reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earnings of \$7,585,517, for a net savings of \$4,963,534 for the prepayment amount of \$358,015,449."

The report stated, "Under Government Code Section 31582(b) the Board of Supervisors can authorize the Auditor Controller/Treasurer/Tax Collector to make an advance payment of all or part of the County's estimated annual retirement contribution, provided that the payment is paid within 30 days after the commencement of the County's fiscal year. The

County has taken advantage of this advance payment option in the past, prepaying the General Fund contribution to the San Bernardino County Employees' Retirement Association (SBCERA) for the entire fiscal year. The prepaid amount is discounted by SBCERA, resulting in savings to the General Fund. " According to the Snoke/Erickson re- **See P 5**

Colton Council Echevarria-Chastain-Toro Majority Did Not Deviate From Past Pattern When The Opportunity Of Shedding Mob's Hold On City Hall Presented Itself from front page

no fewer than five elected Colton officials – former Mayor Karl Gayton, former Mayor/Councilman Abe Beltran, former Councilman Don Sanders, former Councilman James Grimsby and former Councilman Ramon Martinez were charged with acts of political corruption by federal and state prosecutors and ultimately convicted.

Prior to his election to the city council in 2012, Navarro served four years on the Colton Planning Commission.

In 2018, Colton's voters, by a relatively close 54.35 percent to 45.65 percent margin, voted to reduce its governing system from a mayor elected at large and six council positions to a mayor elected at large and four council posts.

Kelly Chastain was first elected to the Colton City Council to represent what was then the city's District 3 in 1996, displacing then-Councilman Abe Beltran, who was consumed with scandal. She continued to represent the old District 3 until 2006, when she became mayor. In 2010, she was soundly defeated in her mayoral re-elective effort after a series of prosecutions of her colleagues on the council by the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office and the U.S. Attorney's Office and scandals that grew out of revelations relating to pay-for-play politics and conflicts of interest in which both former City Manager Daryl Parrish and former Assistant City Manager Mark Nuami were implicated. Some of the ethical lapses that surfaced during that period was Nuami's arrangement to convey money from developers to Chastain while he, Nuami, was simultaneously serving as

Fontana mayor.

In 2022, as Colton was finalizing its reduction from six council districts to four council districts, Chastain made a return to the city council by being elected to a two year-term to represent the city's redrawn Second District. In 2024, she was reelected to a four-year term representing the Second District.

In November 2020, John Echevarria was elected to represent Colton's Fifth District, which still existed under the previous six-district electoral system, with districts 3, 5, and 6 up for election in 2020 for two-year terms. Echevarria's first term ran from December 2020 to December 2022. In 2022, he was re-elected, running in that instance to represent the newly formed District 4.

During Navarro's second term as mayor, he has been bedeviled by actions taken by the council majority of Chastain, Echevarria and First District Councilman David Toro that have run counter to the reforms he championed as a member of Citizens for Colton First and as a member of the council and then as mayor when he had sufficient votes to do so.

A major issue in this regard was the city's past tendency, during the mayoral regimes of George Fulp, Karl Gaytan, Deirdre Bennett, Chastain and Richard DeLaRosa, to forego open and competitive bidding procedures on city contracts and franchises. Fulp narrowly avoided the fate of Gaytan, Beltran and Sanders, who were named in a federal indictment pertaining to their acceptance of bribes, primarily because he had been recalled from office in 1996, and the alleged crimes he had engaged in fell beyond the statute of limitations.

In 2023, the Colton City Council on a 3-to-2 vote, with Bennett, Echevarria and Toro prevailing and Navarro and Councilman Luis Gonzalez dissenting, voted to extend the city's exist-

ing trash-hauling franchise with refuse handler CR&R, which was set to end in 2026, until 2036. Bennett, Echevarria and Toro supported giving CR&R the extension without conducting a competitive bid involving other trash haulers, despite CR&R having inherited the franchise from its corporate predecessor, Republic Services, shortly after Republic Services was itself given a no-bid ten-year extension of the franchise prior to its 2016 expiration in 2015 and despite the original franchise with Republic Services' corporate predecessor Taormina Industries having been secured through what an investigator hired by the city concluded was a rigged process.

In 1996, when a competitive bid was held to select a franchise trash handler for Colton in the aftermath the city's dissolution of its in-house sanitation department, Burrtec Industries won that competition. At that point, however, Mayor Fulp and councilmen Beltran and Sanders, working behind closed doors, conspired with then City Manager Malik Freeman and Deputy City Manager Daryl Parrish to rescind that decision and award the franchise to Taormina Industries. Subsequently, Police Chief Bernie Lundsford and City Attorney Julie Biggs arranged for the hiring of former Riverside County Deputy District Attorney Mark McDonald to examine the circumstances under which the franchise had ultimately been awarded.

Ultimately, McDonald delivered his findings, which became known as the McDonald Report, which scathingly identified a fixed bidding process marred by Taormina's provision of inducements, which McDonald characterized as "tantamount to bribes" to Fulp, Beltran and Sanders, as well as conduct on the part of Fulp's hand-picked city manager, Freeman, abetted by Parrish, which resulted in the contract

being steered to Taormina despite a consultant, R.W. Beck, hired by the city to evaluate the applicants for the franchise making a first straightforward determination that Taormina's proposal was inferior to that put forth by Burrtec and another trash company, Waste Management, Inc. That money had been laundered to Fulp, Beltran and Sanders through a consultant hired by Taormina, Gil Lara, according to McDonald.

"Taormina, with the help of Gil Lara and others, successfully exerted influence upon certain willing Colton City officials, such that Taormina had a lock on the contract before the bid process ever began," according to the McDonald Report.

McDonald stated in the report that Parrish acknowledged he recognized rigging the awarding of the contract in such a way that the franchise was given to a company that had been outperformed by two of its competitors was highly improper but that he had gone along with what had been done because he had "mouths to feed" and could not afford to lose his job.

In 2023, members of the community impromptu the Colton City Council to consider the full implication of extending a franchise with a company, the corporate predecessor to which had obtained the franchise through bribery. Those Colton residents further asked the council to hold a competitive bid for the franchise rather than creating a circumstance by which the franchise holder would be able to keep the contract for hauling trash for four decades without having the rates it charged customers and the level of its service subjected to a comparison to other providers of refuse hauling. Chastain, Echevarria and Toro spurned those requests, which triggered accusations that they, like Fulp, Beltran and Sanders before them, were on the take. Long dormant memories with regard to the corruption and depredations

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

that occurred during Chastain's first go-round as an elected official in Colton from 1996 until 2010 began registering with older residents of Colton. Questions about Echevarria, a police sergeant and now a lieutenant in neighboring San Bernardino who should have been more sensitive to the prospect of the mob muscling in on city government than he seemed to be, surfaced. Toro made a set of ill-advised statements, asserting that he did not think the competitive bid process is beneficial to the community.

Referring to competitive bids using the municipal parlance of request for proposals, using its acronym form of RFP, Toro pronounced, "I personally do not have confidence in the RFP process." Toro explained that a company he owned had failed to capture a contract it had tendered a bid on and that he was convinced his company was the most qualified applicant to provide the needed service but that it had lost out because another company was willing to do so at a lower cost. "I've been through an RFP process in my personal life and saw what happened," he said.

Colton residents and business owners have expressed the view that by holding the competitive bid for the trash franchise, the city could have ensured that whichever company came away with the franchise, the trash fees paid by homeowners and businesses would have been reduced by millions of dollars over the coming

decade.

Chastain, Echevarria and Toro all insist that they had pure motivation in voting to extend CR&R's franchise without the company having to compete to keep it. Some residents, at least, say they believe Chastain's troubling history in officer leaves open the possibility CR&R's generosity to her might have influenced her vote. Among those residents are ones who say they find it difficult to believe that Toro would be take money in exchange for his vote to favor CR&R, but that he is sufficiently naive to believe that avoiding a confrontation with the company by putting it through its paces to force it to lower its profit margin in Colton is somehow in the city's best interest. Some knowledgeable city residents and business owners said they, too, doubted that Echevarria is on the take. His motivation in voting for the CR&R franchise rollover did not consist of him getting money from the trash hauler for himself but rather ensuring that the company keep the franchise because his girlfriend was employed by CR&R.

To Navarro, the reason why his three council colleagues voted to extend the trash hauling franchise without conducting a competitive bid is less important than the consideration that in doing so, the council collectively failed to look after the best interests of those he and the rest of the council were elected to serve – the city's resi-

Continued on Page 4

In Office, Ibarra Ran Head On Into Ruthless Sharks Whom She Resisted. They Resented Her Independence. Nevertheless, She Survived.

from front page

website, one immediately encounters a pop-up that boldly states, "Redlands Police Officers are one of the lowest compensated agencies in the Inland Empire area." it thereafter provides what is asserted to be a "full salary survey."

According to the post-

ing, the Redlands police department's officers are poorly remunerated when "compared with 11 comparable agencies."

This comparison of the compensation of officers with the department is made for recently recruited officers and those with five, ten, 15, 20 and 25 years as police officers as compared with their counterparts with similar tenures who are employed by the Beaumont, Chino, Fontana, Murrieta, Ontario, Palm Springs, Riverside, San Bernardino, Rialto and Upland police depart-

ments and the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department.

According to the survey's summary, Redlands officers starting out, i.e., those with "0 years experience," rank 10th among the 12 departments, as they are remunerated at a level 8.84 percent below the average in total compensation, and at 17.24 percent below average when considering salary only.

Redlands officers with 5 years experience, according to the survey, rank 11th of the 12 departments 8.42 percent

below the average in total compensation, and at 21.44 percent below average when considering salary only.

Redlands officers with 10 years experience, according to the survey, rank 12th of the 12 departments at 9.61 percent below the average in total compensation, and at 22.64 percent below average when considering salary only.

Redlands officers with 15 years experience, according to the survey, rank 10th of the 12 departments at 6.04 percent below the average in

total compensation, and at 22.64 percent below average when considering salary only.

Redlands officers with 20 years experience, according to the survey, rank 10th of the 12 departments at 5.62 percent below the average in total compensation, and at 22.64 percent below average when considering salary only.

Redlands officers with 25 years experience, according to the survey, rank 10th of the 12 departments at 5.89 percent below the average in total compensation, and at

22.64 percent below average when considering salary only.

The compendium of statistics and assertions that the officers of the department are being taken advantage of by the city's leaders was put together with the assistance of the law firm – Rains Lucia Sterns St. Phalle & Silver – that represents the association. While the presentation does put the association's and its constituent officers' best foot forward in making that case, some of those who do not count them-

Continued on Page 4

Joshua Tree Residents Did Not Simply Accept County's Application Of A Laissez-Faire Environmental Analysis Of Double Density Housing Project

from front page

with the half-acre minimum lot size traditionally adhered to in Joshua Tree.

In time however, the scope of the project was increased to 64 units, such that the lot sizes averaged 0.2875 of an acre,

or slightly more than a quarter of an acre.

Residents in Yucca Valley, who had not moved to overtly opposed the original proposal, became alarmed at the uprating in the subdivision's density, and pressed the county

to resist allowing that intense of a land use at the location.

On January 23, 2025, the San Bernardino County Planning Commission approved the 64-unit Lovemore Ranch residential subdivision on the 18.4 acres.

More than 60 local residents, contending that neither the project proponents nor the county gave proper notice to

the owners of land that falls within a distance of 300 feet of the project site such that those residents who are to be impacted by the project did not have an opportunity to weigh in with regard to it before its approval, appealed the planning commission's decision to the board of supervisors.

The board of supervisors, citing California's Housing Affordability Act, rejected the appeal,

giving go-ahead to the project on April 8, 2025. Those officials said state mandates calling on local jurisdictions to approve housing projects to ease the California's housing crisis tied their hands, such that they had to approve the project.

Residents in Joshua Tree, including those living or owning property at the periphery of Lovemore Ranch and others

living both proximate to the site and at a further distance banded together under the collective authority of two groups, those being the Morongo Basin Conservation Association and Joshua Tree Village Neighbors. They retained San Luis Obispo-based attorney Babak Naficy, who specializes in that province of the law relating to land use, the California

Continued on Page 4

Those Seeking Governmental Records & Documents Abuse The Public Record Disclosure Process, Legislation Implies

from front page

ties, cities and agencies would have additional time to respond to California Public Records Act requests would be increased from 10 calendar days to 24 and would allow agencies to charge hourly fees ranging from

\$22 to \$66 for staff time spent searching for and reviewing records if those materials have any conceivable commercial use.

AB 1821 would further authorize a state or local agency to sue a member of the public for filing a public records request by alleging that in seeking the documents or information in question, the requester evinced "malicious intent."

Pacheco has tacitly acknowledged that she authored and carried Assembly Bill 1821 at the behest of the League of California Cities and the California State Association of Counties. In forums where she has not needed to respond to opponents of the bill, she has decried so-called "data-scaping" efforts by members of the public who out of antagonism toward the government are seeking to overwhelm governmental

agencies with burdensome and voluminous requests that cannot be responded to under the existing timelines by existing government resources and staff.

Despite the assertions by Pacheco and others that the California Public Records Act has been widely abused and is in need for reform, a spectrum of those who make use of the law which was passed by the California State Legislature and signed by then-gov-

ernor Ronald Reagan in 1968 requiring inspection or disclosure of governmental records to the public upon request, unless exempted by law, maintain that it has become an indispensable element of the California Government Code which holds officials accountable and prevents excessive secrecy in the application of governmental authority.

The First Amendment Coalition, a nonpartisan, nonprofit public inter-

est organization dedicated to advancing free speech, a free press, and the public's right to know founded in 1988 maintains that Assembly Bill 1821 is contrary to the concept of government transparency, accountability and democracy.

David Snyder, executive director of the First Amendment Coalition characterized Assembly Bill 182 as the latest effort by the government "to get around account-

Continued on Page 5

Valles' Family Members Were Participants In Historic SB Events

from front page

"My father contacted the congressman, who made arrangements to have Tony's body flown to Arlington Cemetery in Washington. That upset my mother, who said, 'I want my son buried here in San Bernardino, so I can go visit his grave.'" Valles said.

Through her father's efforts and then the in-

tercession of Sheppard and an attorney, Tony was buried in the San Bernardino cemetery in accordance with Jovita's wishes.

Two years later, the landmark the Lopez V. Seccombe case in which her father and brother played parts, was adjudicated. Mike Valles, when he was 12 years old, went to the Perris Hill Plunge with a friend. Judith identified her brothers companion as another kid her brother's age, who was Italian..

"When they got to the entrance of the plunge,

Mike's Italian friend was allowed to go through the gate, but they asked my brother, 'Are you Mexican?' and he said, 'Yes.'" Valles recalled. "They wouldn't let him in, saying he had to come back on Fridays. Fridays were the only days Mexicans were allowed into the plunge. Saturdays were the day of the week when the pool was emptied for cleaning, so on Fridays they would let Mexicans in. So, Mike had to stay outside while he watched his friend swim."

When Mike later that night related to their fa-

ther what had occurred to him that day," Valles said, Gonzalo was "furious. He got in touch with some attorneys."

Growing out of that incident was the lawsuit Lopez V. Seccombe, which was sponsored by the Mexican American Defense Committee and utilized the journalist Ignacio Lopez as the plaintiff. Lopez sued the city and Mayor William Seccombe over discriminatory "whites-only" policies. The court ruled that the exclusion of Mexican-Americans violated the 5th and 14th

Amendments, setting a foundational precedent for later desegregation efforts in California.

Judith graduated from San Bernardino High School in 1951 and attended San Bernardino Valley College from 1952 to 1953. Thereafter, on the strength of a two-year associates [A.A.] degree and an emergency teaching credential, Valles taught elementary and high school for several years. In 1964, she graduated from the University of Redlands with a bachelor's degree in English.

She was appointed to the San Bernardino Valley College faculty in 1965, where she taught Spanish until 1984. She headed the department of foreign languages from 1972 to 1976, chaired the division of humanities from 1976 to 1981 and was dean of Extended Day and Summer Session from 1981 to 1983.

In 1970, she earned a master's in Spanish literature at the University of California, Riverside and began and did doctoral work at UCLA.

Valles was the ad-

Continued on Page 11

Judge Found The Board Of Supervisors Making A Simple Declaration That Environmental Issues Were Resolved With Subdivision Plan Approval In Joshua Tree To Be Inadequate *from page 3*

Environmental Quality Act and environmental public policy issues.

Nacify, on behalf of those residents, filed a petition for a writ of mandate on May 5, 2025 in San Bernardino Superior Court, asking that the court call upon the county to rescind its approval of the project, asserting the county did not abide by the California Environmental Protection Act nor the county code in approving the Lovemore Ranch project.

One of the issues raised in the lawsuit was the adequacy or lack thereof done in assessing the effect the development would have in the area on and around the project site.

Under the California Environmental Quality Act, an examination of the environmental impacts of a project must be made. Some discretion is left to the governmental decision-making body that oversees land use issues and possesses approval and/or denial authority with regard to a development project as to what type of analysis of the environmental issues is to be carried out and what mitigations of the impacts are to be required.

In evaluating the Lovemore proposal, the county elected to use a mitigated negative declaration, also referred to as an initial study, as the means of providing the project with its environmental certification.

A simple negative declaration is the least exacting type of development impact assessment and a mitigated negative declaration is the second-least stringent assessment. On the other end of the scale, an environmental impact report is the most involved and exhaustive type of environmental analysis and certification there is, followed by an environmental impact study.

An environmental impact report consists of an in-depth study of the project site, the potential and actual impacts the project will have on the site and surrounding area in terms of all conceivable issues, including land use, water use, air quality, potential contamination, noise, traffic, and biological and cultural resources. An environmental impact report specifies in detail what measures can, will and must be carried out to offset those impacts. An environmental impact study is somewhat less exacting and an environmental impact assessment less stringent still. A mitigated negative declaration is a statement by the ultimate land use authority – as in this case the city council – that any identified impacts from the project will be mitigated or offset by the conditions of approval for the project. A negative declaration, the least exacting type of certification there is, merely states that the initial study done by the agency planning staff sufficiently identified any environmental issues and that there are no environmental problems of consequence involved in the proposed project.

The two groups believe the county cut corners in giving Cramer, Hollar and Green Collar Builders clearance to develop the property without undertaking an environmental impact report and making a finding that the conditions of approval ensured that any untoward impacts would be addressed.

It was Nacify's contention that the county did not take seriously enough its obligation to fully assess the environmental impacts of the project. Judge Erickson concurred, ruling the county erred in not consulting the

Regional Water Quality Control Board about Lovemore's wastewater treatment plant and did not adequately analyze the intensity with which stormwater will flow off

Redlands Police Union Aggressively Seeking Raises *from page 3*

selves as advocates for the union or the officers found elements of the case being made somewhat disingenuous.

Four of those comparison departments – Ontario, Riverside and Palm Springs – are divisions of cities that have substantial wealth. The City of Riverside has a current annual budget of over \$1.4 billion. The City of Ontario has an annual budget that exceeds \$1.1 billion through all of its municipal funds. Fontana has a current operation budget of \$883.9 million. Palm Springs, with a population of 44,540, has an annual budget of over \$180 million.

In contrast, Redlands, with a population of 74,300, has an annual \$133 million operating budget.

Additionally, the City of Chino within the last

Running For Mayor Is Risking Echevarria's Hold On The Elected Position He Now Has *from page 2*

dents.

Two years ago, Navarro was on a trajectory to leave as mayor following the end of his current term. At that time he was 79 years old and after devoting four years to being a member of the planning commission, six years as a city council member and almost six years as mayor, he felt he should end his commitment to the city, which went beyond merely attending and presiding over city council meetings twice a month and included attending as a member meetings of the Inland Valley Development Agency Board of Directors, the San Bernardino International Airport Authority Board

of the developed property. Judge Erickson, contradicting the assertion that Lovemore Ranch would have no significant environmental impact, issued a writ

two years saw annual infusions of \$24 million and \$25 million over the last two years in additional revenue following its residents approval in March 2024 of a one-cent-per-dollar sales tax override.

San Bernardino was until a municipal charter changeover a decade ago bound by a provision of the Charter for that city adopted in 1905. One provision put into that charter in 1939, known as Section 186, required that city's public safety employees – firefighters and police officers – be paid on a scale equal to the average pay of police officers and firefighters in ten similarly-sized California cities by population. San Bernardino is California's 18th largest city. Section 186 was a primary factor in driving the City of San Bernardino into bankruptcy in 2012, a status it did not leave until 2017. Dispensing with Section 186 was a primary motiva-

tion in San Bernardino officials and voters replacing the 1905 Charter with a redrafted city charter in 2016. Nevertheless, along with other considerations, vestiges of Section 186 are a primary factor in San Bernardino police officers being among the highest paid law enforcement officers in the region. The survey the Redlands Police Officers Association relied upon found that the deputies of the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department were one of two set of officers who, on average, were more poorly paid than Redlands officers. The San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department serves as the contract law enforcement agency, i.e., the police department, to four of the cities that are most proximate to Redlands – Yucaipa, Loma Linda, Highland and Grand Terrace. Thus, Redlands police officers, according to the survey it is cit-

ing, are better paid than the majority of the police officers in adjoining cities.

Some residents, in particular ones who are employed in the private sector, were pout off by the association making reference to a number of job perquisites in which it was claimed other department's officers were given a better deal than police officers in Redlands. Those perquisites or benefits extended to things such college tuition reimbursements as well uniform or equipment allowances, together with the ability to accrue benefits commonly perceived as intended for contingencies. While government workers are provided with holiday leave, sick leave and vacation leave, such benefits are not universally extended to those who do not work in the public sector, though some workers for private sector companies are

she will remain on the council to complet the remaining two years in her current term as councilwoman. If she wins, she will need to resign her Second District post, at which time the council would have the option of replacing her by appointment or calling for a special election. Part of the calculation for Chastain is that she does not risk her current hold on the Second District council seat and she would gain even greater name recognition than she already enjoys by running this year. That name recognition would be of assistance to her in 2028, when she is due to run again in the Second District. Another part of the calculation is that her effort would most likely be in vain. In 2016, in a head-to-head match against Navarro in what was then the Third District, where in the past she had been the incum-

of mandate compelling the county to set aside its certification of the mitigated negative declaration. The county's approval of the project has therefore been voided,

pending the submission of a more comprehensive environmental report and its certification.

-Mark Gutglueck

ing, are better paid than the majority of the police officers in adjoining cities.

Some residents, in particular ones who are employed in the private sector, were pout off by the association making reference to a number of job perquisites in which it was claimed other department's officers were given a better deal than police officers in Redlands. Those perquisites or benefits extended to things such college tuition reimbursements as well uniform or equipment allowances, together with the ability to accrue benefits commonly perceived as intended for contingencies. While government workers are provided with holiday leave, sick leave and vacation leave, such benefits are not universally extended to those who do not work in the public sector, though some workers for private sector companies are

Continued on Page 12

bent and had won three elections, she drew 700 of 1,827 total votes or 38.31 percent to Navarro's 1,127 votes or 61.69 percent. The last time she ran for mayor, in 2010, with the advantage of incumbency, she yet managed to lose, garnering 3,289 votes of 8,185 cast or 40.18 percent to David Zamora's 4,896 votes or 59.82 percent.

Echevarria is also running for mayor this year. For him, the proposition is riskier. Unlike Chastain, he was last elected in 2022, to a four-year term. He is thus due to stand for reelection in the Fourth District this year. He cannot run for both mayor and Fourth District councilman. If he loses his bid for mayor, he will give up his status as an elected official.



Assemblywoman Apparently Did Not Anticipate The Depth Of Outrage Her Move To Inhibit Governmental Document Disclosure Would Provoke from page 3

ability.”

Snyder said, “I cannot speak for the proponents of this bill, but what they are saying, generally, is that requests made pursuant to the California Public Records Act are over-burdensome and that government agencies and staff members need more time and money to comply with the requests. They say that there are an excessive number of abusive requests, ones that are made for the purposes of harassment of public employees and government staff and that there are too many requests where those filing for records are not interested in receiving the results but rather in gumming up the works of government. Assemblywoman Pacheco and both the California League of Cities and the California Association of Counties are making the argument that California Public Records Act is much too burdensome and that this bill fixes the problem. Our position is there are already solutions in the law for those problems. Under the California Public Records Act, government agencies need not respond to requests that are truly frivolous or burdensome. This law is not needed to achieve the objective its sponsors have set for it.”

Those who are over-burdened in the efforts to uncover information locked and hidden in government files are the citizens, whose major tool consists of the California Public Records Act, Snyder said, rather than the public officials who must abide by the law aimed at promoting open transparent government.

It appeared, Snyder said, that Pacheco had fallen under the influence of California League of

Cities and the California Association of Counties, organizations which are which answerable and sensitive to the concerns of government employees and elected officials than common citizens subject to the authority of government officials.

“Everyday, for the public that is requesting information from the government, too many citizens face a process that is too burdensome and much too expensive,” Snyder said. “The law recognizes there is a power disparity between the government and the public. Members of the public making requests of the government for information doesn’t have the information. The government does. The public doesn’t know what the agency possesses, how the records are stored or where they are stored. The government does. The California Public Records Act is a means to even that power imbalance. What Assembly Bill 1821 would do is prevent that balancing of power from taking place. It would, on all fronts, make trying to get information from the government more expensive. It would make it so getting that information will take longer. Worse, it would allow the government to take a requestor into court if someone in the government deemed the submission of the request to have been made with malicious intent, a term that was not defined. That provision is ripe for abuse. Most gov-

County’s Current Pension Cost: \$358 Million from front page

port, “SBCERA has calculated a discount of \$12,549,051 to the General Fund for year 2026-27. The County Administrative Office analyzed the financial impact of prepaying the retirement contribution and has determined that the County will benefit from the transaction. The estimated retirement contribution of \$370,564,500 and related discount amount of \$12,549,051

ernment officials who are involved in responding to public information requests act in good faith. Nevertheless, for those who are not acting in good faith, Assembly Bill 1821 would give them the opportunity to bring anyone who is using the California Public Records Act into court if they perceive them as a political enemy. The most damning aspect of this proposed law is folks who are contemplating using the California Public Records Act would have to consider the price and consequences of submitting a records request. If you are looking for information about what the government is doing, using the California Public Records Act is probably the best and most logical way to do that, but if that means the government can haul you in front of a judge just for asking some questions, most people are going to think twice before getting involved in monitoring how government works.”

Snyder said Assembly Bill 1821’s sponsors “say it would be limited to truly problematic requestors and that some members of the public are behaving like vandals in submitting frivolous requests to agencies they are angry with.”

The vast majority of those filing public record requests are doing so earnest and with a benign purpose in mind, Snyder said. In those relatively rare cases in which a malcontent is abusing

are projected values. While the prepayment is expected to generate an estimated net savings of \$4,963,534, these savings are subject to investment risk. Any benefit or loss realized between the projected discount and the actual returns by SBCERA as a result of the retirement advance payment will be incorporated into the county’s future employer’s contribution rates, thus ultimately accruing to the county.”

In 2025-26, the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$343,660,700 discounted by \$11,042,157

the act to bedevil bureaucrats, government officials can simply ignore the requests and bypass the burden they might otherwise represent.

“The solutions for those sorts of abuses are already in the current law,” Snyder said. “If they see this as a problem, then they should come up with common sense solutions and not make life easier for government agencies that are hiding important information while making it more difficult for those requesting. If the request [for information or documents] is overly board, public officials have an obligation to assist the requestor to narrow the request. That is where the efforts [of the government] should be made, in training staff members how to assist the public in obtaining the information that is being sought.”

To the alarm of government transparency advocates, soon after the Assembly Bill 1821 was introduced and given its first committee hearing, Pacheco, at the behest of other legislators and the California League of Cities and the California Association of Counties, acquiesced to the addition of what open government advocates consider even more ominous provisions, such as subjecting those who use the California Public Records Act to lawsuits and costly judgments.

Pacheco and her chief of staff, Nikki Johnson, apparently did not fore-

after a reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earnings of \$7,195,492, for a net savings of \$3,846,665 for the prepayment amount of \$332,618,543.

In 2024-25, the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$344,267,200, discounted by \$11,121,253 after a reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earnings of \$7,533,998, for a net savings of \$3,587,255 for the prepayment amount of \$333,145,947.

In 2023-24 the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$327,921,300, dis-

see the virulent reaction Assembly Bill 1821 provoked over a widespread sector of the public, both within her district and outside of it, involving heavily influential entities across the political spectrum.

One suggestion was that in addition to the California League of Cities and the California Association of Counties, Pacheco had carried the legislation on behalf of her own Democratic Party, members of which are in ascendancy generally throughout California, including dominating local government. The bill would be of benefit to those officeholders, most of whom are Democrats, Assemblyman Carl DeMaio, a Republican from San Diego pointed out in his opposition to AB 1821.

Others who traditionally identify as being with or in league with the Democratic Party, extending to government reform advocates, found Assembly Bill 1821 equally offensive.

In response to very pointed questions about how Assembly Bill 1821 gutted key elements of the California Public Records Act and the spirit of transparency behind it, Pacheco, Johnson and Pacheco’s public spokeswoman, Alina Evans, headed for the tall grass.

The Sentinel sought from Pacheco, Johnson and Evans whether Pacheco could outline the flaws that exist in the California Public Records Act as it now

counted by \$10,706,708 after reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earnings of \$5,839,028 for a net savings of \$4,867,680 for the prepayment amount of \$317,214,592.

In 2022-23 the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$323,661,600 discounted by \$10,623,608 (for a simple interest discount rate of 2.53%, after reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earnings of \$2,433,080) for the prepayment amount of \$313,037,992.

In 2021-22 the total county general fund retirement contribution

exists, if the assemblywoman still believes the act’s provisions represent too much of a burden on public officials and public agencies and if she thinks members of the public are too invasive in their requests for information from the government.

The Sentinel asked Pacheco through Johnson and Evans, to illustrate how Assembly Bill 1821 improves the current circumstance?

The Sentinel asked Pacheco, through both Johnson and Evans in separate emails, why Assemblywoman Pacheco believes the California Public Records Act is in need of reform. The Sentinel, through the emails to Johnson and Evans, asked what cogent response Assemblywoman Pacheco would provide to those who say that Assembly Bill 1821 is an attempt to place govern-

ment operations under an inappropriate umbrella of secrecy, one that restricts sunshine from illuminating government’s operations. The Sentinel directly sought from Assemblywoman Pacheco a cogent and definitive justification, defense and rationale for Assembly Bill 1821 and asked her to controvert those who maintain the proposed law will be damaging to the concept of openness, transparency and rationality in the operation of government.

Neither Pacheco, Johnson nor Evans responded.

was \$327,506,400 discounted by \$10,806,432 (for a simple interest discount rate of 3.07%, after reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earnings of \$751,580) for the prepayment amount of \$316,699,968.

In 2020, in the midst of the COVID Crisis, the county electing to make an advance payment of half of its estimated annual retirement contribution. Its total general fund retirement contribution that year was calculated at \$299,386,600. Half of the estimated County General Fund retirement contribution

Continued on Page 11

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2616458

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner MELISSA HERNANDEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: MELISSA ALVARADO HERNANDEZ to MELISSA LOPEZ ALVARADO

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 07/16/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S30

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filing Date: June 4, 2026
Judge Joseph T. Ortiz
Gabriel Mendoza, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 5, 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIVBA 2600357,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Brian Israel Andrade, filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Brian Israel Andrade Dominguez.

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 07/14/2026, Time: 01:30 PM, Department: B1
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 05/28/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: JAMES R BAXTER
Published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga

Public Notices

on 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2615768,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Jeff Andrew O'claray, filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Jeff Andrew O'claray to Jeff Andrew Oclaray.

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 07/13/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S31

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS ? Ontario in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 06/01/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph Ortiz
Published in the SBCS Ontario on 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY

Sara Maria Flores, 9342 Shadowood Dr #C, Montclair, Ca, 91763, Telephone No: 909 286-5611, CASE NUMBER

FAMSB2601480,

Sara Maria Flores, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Bernardino, San Bernardino Superior Court, 351 North Arrowhead Ave, San Bernardino, California, 92415, BRANCH NAME: Historic District

CITATION - FREEDOM FROM PARENTAL CUSTODY AND CONTROL

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

To and to all persons claiming to be the father or mother of said minor person(s) named , David Flores

By order of this Court you are hereby cited and advised that you may appear before the Judge Presiding in the above-entitled court on -, at - of that day, then and there to show cause, if any you have, why said person should not be declared free from the control of (his/her) (their) parents according to the petition on file herein.

If the Court finds that the interest of the minor(s) requires his or her protection, the Court shall appoint counsel to represent the minor(s). Such counsel shall be appointed whether or not the minor(s) is able to afford counsel. If you appear without counsel and are unable to afford counsel, the Court shall appoint counsel for you if you request appointed counsel.

The purpose of this action, to free the minor(s) from the custody of (his/her) (their) parent(s), is to permit the adoption of said minor(s) to a suit-

Public Notices

able adopting parent.

The Court may continue these proceedings, not to exceed thirty (30) days, as necessary to appoint counsel and enable counsel to become familiar with these proceedings.

Given under my hand and seal of the Superior Court of the County of San Bernardino, State of California, this 03/10/2026 day of -,-.

By Dawn Santos
Published in the SBCS Ontario on 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026

FBN20260004577
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ETERNAL LOVE CREATIONS 2025 11707 CLOVERLAWN CT. ADELANTO, CA 92301: MAYELA MURO VILLAGRANA

Business Mailing Address: 11707 CLOVERLAWN CT. ADELANTO, CA 92301

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 14, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MAYELA MURO VILLAGRANA

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/15/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9676

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 5, 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

FBN20260005005

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

SIGNATURE AUTO FINISH [and] HYDROFORCE PRESSURE WASHING 2822 S BAKER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761: CASTLE DYNAMIC SOLUTIONS LLC 2822 S BAKER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

Business Mailing Address: 2822 S BAKER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CHARLES CASTLE JR, Managing Member

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/28/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K7325

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 5, 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

Public Notices

FBN 20260004228
The following person is doing business as: THE WELCOME HOUSE. 13558 DELUVINA CT VICTORVILLE, CA 92392; MAILING ADDRESS 28416 FRESSIA DR WINCHESTER, CA 92596; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CANDICE M CALDWELL
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ CANDICE M CALDWELL, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 07, 2026
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/15/2026, 05/22/2026, 05/29/2026, 06/05/2026 CNBB20202601MT

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: HARVEY FIDOR ESTROSOS

CASE NO. PROVA2500938

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of HARVEY FIDOR ESTROSOS: a petition for probate has been filed by PUNAY ESTROSOS in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that PUNAY ESTROSOS be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 27, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F1 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052

Public Notices

of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Punay Estrosos:

MARK JACKSON SB 273743

LAW OFFICE OF MARK JACKSON

19 E CITRUS SUITE 201 REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 488-0990

markjackson@lawofficeof-markjackson.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 29 and June 5, 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

SUMMONS - (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) CIVSB2526672

NOTICE TO Jakkrit YUANGYAI; DOES 1 TO 10, INCLUSIVE. (AVISO DEMANDADO): YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): Keneta LEMIRE

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación. Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica

no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso. The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino

San Bernardino Justice Center
247 West Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Chloe McGrath Wright
State Bar #329699
Inland Counties Legal Services

1040 Iowa Avenue, Suite 109
Riverside, CA 92507-2106
Phone: (951) 248-4537

DATE (Fecha): September 19, 2025
Clerk (Secretario), by Indian Cuen Rubio, Deputy (Adjunto)

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 5, 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: ROBERTO VARGAS ALVAREZ

CASE NO. PROVA2600443

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of ROBERTO VARGAS ALVAREZ: a petition for probate has been filed by ALFONZO VARGAS ALVAREZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ALFONZO VARGAS ALVAREZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent

Public Notices

Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 28, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Alfonso Vargas Alvarez:

R. SAM PRICE SB 208603/

PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 454 Cajon Street REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 9500
attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on and June 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JOSEPH SALCIDO

CASE NO. PROV2600204

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JOSEPH SALCIDO: a petition for probate has been filed by MARIO SALCIDO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MARIO SALCIDO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 27, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F1 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052

of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Alfonso Vargas Alvarez:

R. SAM PRICE SB 208603/

PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 454 Cajon Street REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 9500
attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on and June 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JOSEPH SALCIDO

CASE NO. PROV2600204

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JOSEPH SALCIDO: a petition for probate has been filed by MARIO SALCIDO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MARIO SALCIDO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 28, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Alfonso Vargas Alvarez:

R. SAM PRICE SB 208603/

PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 454 Cajon Street REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 9500
attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on and June 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JOSEPH SALCIDO

CASE NO. PROV2600204

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JOSEPH SALCIDO: a petition for probate has been filed by MARIO SALCIDO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MARIO SALCIDO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain

Public Notices

tative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 16, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

Superior Court for San Bernardino County – Victorville District

Department V15
14455 Civic Drive, Suite 200

Victorville, CA 92392
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Mario Salcido: R. SAM PRICE SB 208603/PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
454 Cajon Street
REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 9500
attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19 & 26, 2026.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC LIEN SALE

Notice is hereby given that personal property in the following units will be sold at public auction pursuant to Sections 21701-21716 of the California Self-Service Storage Facility Act. A public lien sale will be conducted by www.storage-treasures.com on the 3rd day of July 2026, at or after 9:00 am. The property is stored by All American Storage Ontario located at 505 S. Mountain Avenue, Ontario, CA 91762. Purchases must be made in CASH ONLY. Items are sold AS IS WHERE IS and must be removed at the time of sale. All American Storage Ontario reserves the right to refuse any bid or cancel auction. The items to be sold are generally described as follows: miscellaneous personal and household goods stored by the following persons:

Unit	Name
B022	Juanita A Cabrera
C011	Terri Lynne Cole
C034	Juanita A Cabrera
C159	Farell Pattio
D062	Juan Hernandez
E092	Jesus Macias

Dated: 06/17/2026
Signed: Garrett Gossett
storage-treasures.com
Sales subject to prior cancellation in the event of settlement between Owner and obligated party.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on

Public Notices

June 19, 2026 and June 26, 2026.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC LIEN SALE

Notice is hereby given that personal property in the following units will be sold at public auction pursuant to Sections 21701-21716 of the California Self-Service Storage Facility Act. A public lien sale will be conducted by www.storage-treasures.com on the 3rd day of July 2026, at or after 10:00 am. The property is stored by AAA All American Storage Fontana located at 14918 Foothill Blvd, Fontana, CA 92335. Purchases must be made in CASH ONLY. Items are sold AS IS WHERE IS and must be removed at the time of sale. AAA All American Storage Fontana reserves the right to refuse any bid or cancel auction. The items to be sold are generally described as follows: miscellaneous personal and household goods stored by the following persons:

Unit Name
D067 Dante C Breceda
D070 Beatriz Sosa
E146 Maria G Uribe
F189 Sean Simmons

Dated: 06/15/26
Signed: Garrett Gossett
storage-treasures.com
Sales subject to prior cancellation in the event of settlement between Owner and obligated party. Published In the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 19 and June 26, 2026.

SUMMONS (FAMILY LAW)

NOTICE TO RESPONDENT (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): WILLIAM DAVID BLACKWELL YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. Read the information below and on the next page. Lo han demandado. Lea la informacion a continuacion y en la pagina siguiente.

PETITIONER'S NAME IS ANGELA McKINNEY CASE NUMBER F A M S B 2 5 0 7 6 7 8

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this Summons and Petition are served on you to file a Response (Form FL-120) at the court and have a copy served on the petitioner. A letter or phone call will not protect you. If you do not file your Response on time, the court may make orders affecting your marriage or domestic partnership, your property, and custody of your children. You may be ordered to pay support and attorney fees and costs. For legal advice, contact a lawyer immediately. Get help finding a lawyer at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), at the California Legal Services Website (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), or by contacting your local county bar association. Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de haber recibido la entrega legal de esta Citacion y Peticion para presentar una Respuesta (formulario FL-120) ante la corte y efectuar la entrega legal de una copia al demandante. Una carta o llamada telefonica o una audiencia de la corte no basta para protegerlo. Si no presenta su Respuesta a tiempo, la corte puede dar ordenes que afecten su matrimonio o pareja de heco, sus bienes y la custodia de sus hijos. La corte tambien le puede ordenar que pague manutencion, y honorarios y costos legales. Para asesoramiento legal, pongase en contacto de inmediato con un abogado. Puede obtener informacion para encontrar un abogado en el Contro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en el sitio web de los Servicios Legales de California (www.lahelpca.org) o poniendose en contacto con el colegio

NOTICE OF PUBLIC LIEN SALE

Notice is hereby given that personal property in the following units will be sold at public auction pursuant to Sections 21701-21716 of the California Self-Service Storage Facility Act. A public lien sale will be conducted by www.storage-treasures.com on the 3rd day of July 2026, at or after 9:00 am. The property is stored by All American Storage Ontario located at 505 S. Mountain Avenue, Ontario, CA 91762. Purchases must be made in CASH ONLY. Items are sold AS IS WHERE IS and must be removed at the time of sale. All American Storage Ontario reserves the right to refuse any bid or cancel auction. The items to be sold are generally described as follows: miscellaneous personal and household goods stored by the following persons:

Unit	Name
B022	Juanita A Cabrera
C011	Terri Lynne Cole
C034	Juanita A Cabrera
C159	Farell Pattio
D062	Juan Hernandez
E092	Jesus Macias

Dated: 06/17/2026
Signed: Garrett Gossett
storage-treasures.com
Sales subject to prior cancellation in the event of settlement between Owner and obligated party.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on

Public Notices

de abodgados de su condado. NOTICE – Restraining orders on page 2: These restraining orders are effective against both spouses or domestic partners until the petition is dismissed, a judgment is entered, or the court makes further orders. They are enforceable anywhere in California by any law enforcement office who has received or seen a copy of them. AVISO – Las ordenes de restricción se encuentran en la pagina 2 : Las ordenes de restricción estan en vigencia en cuanto a ambos conyuges o miembros de la pareja de hecho hasta que se despida la peticion, se emita un fallo o la corte de otras ordenes. Cualquier agencia del orden publico que haya rocbido o visto una copia de estas ordenes puede hacerlas acatar en cualquier lugar de California. FEE WAIVER : If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the clerk for a fee waiver form. The court may order you to pay back all or part of the fees and costs that the court waived for you or the other party. Exencion de cuotas : Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentacion, pida al secretario un formulario de execion de cuotas. La corte puede ordenar que usted pague, ya sea en parte o por completo, las cuotas y costos de la corte previamente exentos a peticion de usted o de la otra parte. The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y direccion de la corte son): SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 351 N ARROWHEAD AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415 The name, address and telephone number of petitioner's attorney, or petitioner without an attorney, are: (El nombre, direccion y numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante si no tiene abogado, son): ANGELA McKINNEY, In Pro Per 18268 LAPIS LN SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 (213) 610-0903 360-9821 Filed: NOVEMBER 12, 2025 by Christa Martin Del-Campo, Deputy clerk (Asistente) for Clerk of the Court (Secretario) Published in The San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19, 26 and July 3, 2026.

de abodgados de su condado. NOTICE – Restraining orders on page 2: These restraining orders are effective against both spouses or domestic partners until the petition is dismissed, a judgment is entered, or the court makes further orders. They are enforceable anywhere in California by any law enforcement office who has received or seen a copy of them. AVISO – Las ordenes de restricción se encuentran en la pagina 2 : Las ordenes de restricción estan en vigencia en cuanto a ambos conyuges o miembros de la pareja de hecho hasta que se despida la peticion, se emita un fallo o la corte de otras ordenes. Cualquier agencia del orden publico que haya rocbido o visto una copia de estas ordenes puede hacerlas acatar en cualquier lugar de California. FEE WAIVER : If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the clerk for a fee waiver form. The court may order you to pay back all or part of the fees and costs that the court waived for you or the other party. Exencion de cuotas : Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentacion, pida al secretario un formulario de execion de cuotas. La corte puede ordenar que usted pague, ya sea en parte o por completo, las cuotas y costos de la corte previamente exentos a peticion de usted o de la otra parte. The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y direccion de la corte son): SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 351 N ARROWHEAD AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415 The name, address and telephone number of petitioner's attorney, or petitioner without an attorney, are: (El nombre, direccion y numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante si no tiene abogado, son): ANGELA McKINNEY, In Pro Per 18268 LAPIS LN SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 (213) 610-0903 360-9821 Filed: NOVEMBER 12, 2025 by Christa Martin Del-Campo, Deputy clerk (Asistente) for Clerk of the Court (Secretario) Published in The San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19, 26 and July 3, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

N U M B E R CIVSB2615436,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Christine Andrea Ryan, filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Jacob Rudolph Sandoval to Jacob Rudolph Sandoval Ryan; Logan James Sandoval to Logan James Sandoval Ryan; THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 07/13/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: Civil
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 05/26/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T Ortiz
Published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga on 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NO. CIVRS2500785

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

MAXIMIANO CELSO GARCIA, an individual; and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): CAU VAN TRUONG, an individual

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after these summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and the court may enter judgment against you.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Civil Division of the Rancho Cucamonga District
8303 Haven Avenue
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Plaintiff: Cau Van Truong, an individual

Defendant: Maximiano Celso Garcia, an individual; and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive

Attorney for Plaintiff:

Public Notices

Lillian Sedaghat (SBN 236962)
Natalie Lozovsky, Esq. (SBN 356569)
9454 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 830
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
Telephone: (424) 777-0078
Date Issued: March 1, 2025
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

N U M B E R CIVSB2615436,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Christine Andrea Ryan, filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Jacob Rudolph Sandoval to Jacob Rudolph Sandoval Ryan; Logan James Sandoval to Logan James Sandoval Ryan; THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 07/13/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: Civil
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 05/26/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T Ortiz
Published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga on 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NO. CIVRS2500785

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

MAXIMIANO CELSO GARCIA, an individual; and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): CAU VAN TRUONG, an individual

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after these summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and the court may enter judgment against you.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Civil Division of the Rancho Cucamonga District
8303 Haven Avenue
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Plaintiff: Cau Van Truong, an individual

Defendant: Maximiano Celso Garcia, an individual; and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive

Attorney for Plaintiff:

Public Notices

Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 06/09/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: JOSEPH T. ORTIZ

Indian Cuan, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19 & 26 and July 3, 2026

FBN20260002393

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

EXCEL TRANSPORTATION, 34755 ELMWOOD LN YUCAIPA, CA 92399; TERESA ZAROUR

Business Mailing Address: 34755 ELMWOOD LN YUCAIPA, CA 92399

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ TERESA ZAROUR, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 03/19/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 17 & 24 and May 1 & 8, 2026. Corrected on June 12, 19, 26 & July 3, 2026.

FBN20260005074

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

FAJAS COLOMBIANAS INNER BEAUTY 8990 SIERRA AVE UNIT D FONTANA, CA 92335; VANESSA MARTINEZ

Business Mailing Address: 11665 SUENO COURT FONTANA, CA 92337

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUNE 22, 2021.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ VANESSA MARTINEZ
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/01/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19 & 26 and July 3, 2026.

FBN20260005280

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ZAPATA INSURANCE SOLUTIONS 1536 CARNATION

Public Notices

WAY UPLAND, CA 91786; ZAPATA PRIVATE WEALTH GROUP LLC 1536 CARNATION WAY UPLAND, CA 91786

Business Mailing Address: 1536 CARNATION WAY UPLAND, CA 91786

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: JUNE 1, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DENISE M ZAPATA, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/04/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19 & 26 and July 3, 2026.

FBN20260005280

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ATS DYNAMICS 13949 RAMONA AVE STE C CHINO, CA 91710; AIR TRADE SERVICES INC 13949 RAMONA AVE STE C CHINO, CA 91710

Business Mailing Address: 13949 RAMONA AVE STE C CHINO, CA 91710

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: JUNE 30, 2021.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ IVAN CAO, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/15/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K6677

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19 & 26 and July 3, 2026.

FBN20260005060

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

C TAPIA AWNINGS 9471 KEMPSTER AVE FONTANA, CA 92335; CENOVIO TAPIA-GARCIA [and MARIA SANCHEZ

Business Mailing Address: 9471 KEMPSTER AVE FONTANA, CA 92335

The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CENOVIO TAPIA-GARCIA
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on:

Public Notices

06/01/2026
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 12, 19 & 26 and July 3, 2026.

FBN20260005215

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CYRIL DENTAL CARE 6626 CARNELIAN ST. RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701; L. KHALIL DENTAL CORPORATION 11687 MOUNT WAVERLY CT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91737

Business Mailing Address: 6626 CARNELIAN ST. RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUNE 1, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ LIDYA KHALIL, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/03/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of

Public Notices

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of William John Springer, aka William J. Springer A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Roseanne F. Grubb in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that The petitioner requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority., Roseanne F. Grubb be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F2 at 09:00 AM on 07/30/2026 at Superior Court of California, County of Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino Fontana Division, San Bernardino, 17780 Arrow Boulevard, Fontana, California 92335, Fontana Division

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Diane E. Robbins: 414-0797
201 West F Street Ontario California 91762
Telephone No: (909) 391-9000
Published in the SBCS Ontario on: 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

Public Notices

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: CHERYL DIANE VANDERWALL
CASE NO. PRO-VA2600469

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of CHERYL DIANE VANDERWALL: a petition for probate has been filed by KRISTI JO DIANE GEMOLL in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION for Probate requests that KRISTI JO DIANE GEMOLL be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 28, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District Department F1 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing.

Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Mathew Alden (California Bar Number 288429) 255 North D Street Suite 200 San Bernardino, CA 92401 (909) 414-0797 mralden123@gmail.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 26 and July 3 & 10, 2026.

FBN20260005872
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

Public Notices

BLUEFIN 15229 HALLMARK LN VICTORVILLE, CA 92394; MARLON R SOLARES
Business Mailing Address: 15229 HALLMARK LN VICTORVILLE, CA 92394

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MARLON R SOLARES, Owner
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/24/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9535

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 26 and July 3, 10 & 17, 2026

FBN20260005091
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

SCIENCE EDVENTURES 18217 BETONY PLACE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407; JOANN C PERSAUD
Business Mailing Address: 18217 BETONY PLACE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: April 19, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JOANN C PERSAUD, MRS

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/01/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 26 and July 3, 10 & 17, 2026

FBN20260005255
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

RC PIANO LESSONS 8006 HENBANE STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739; LINO LEVERAGE GROUP INC. 8006 HENBANE STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739
Business Mailing Address: 8006 HENBANE STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: June 1, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KIMBERLY LINO, Secretary
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on:

Public Notices

06/04/2026
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9676

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 26 and July 3, 10 & 17, 2026

FBN20260005984
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ANOVIS 1 SOURCE 3350 SHELBY STREET SUITE 200 ONTARIO, CA 91764; ANOVIS SOLUTIONS LLC 3350 SHELBY STREET SUITE 200 ONTARIO, CA 91764
Business Mailing Address: 3350 SHELBY STREET SUITE 200 ONTARIO, CA 91764

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number B20260250841.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or name listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CINDY ALEJANDRA MALDONADO GOMEZ, Manager

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/25/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 26 and July 3, 10 & 17, 2026

FBN 20260004304
The following person is doing business as: ROD CITY 1537 W 7TH ST APT 107 UPLAND CA 91786; MAILING ADDRESS PO BOX 4015 MONTCLAIR CA 91763; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE L RODRIGUEZ

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 14, 2016

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JOSE L RODRIGUEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/08/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB23260117MT

FBN 20260005190
The following person is doing business as: MAMA SQUIRLS SHINY'S 17570 ROY RD HINKLEY CA 92347; MAILING ADDRESS PO BLOOMINGTON CA 92316; BLOOMINGTON CA 92316; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

ANN M. CORRAL OSORIO
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 27, 2026

Public Notices

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SHIRLEY M LOPEZ
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ SHIRLEY M LOPEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/03/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232616GMT

FBN 20260004928
The following person is doing business as: COCINA ARTESANAL CATERING BY DANNY'S TACOS 1649 HOME AVE SAN BERNARDINO CA 92411; MAILING ADDRESS 1649 HOME AVE SAN BERNARDINO CA 92411; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DANIEL ORDAZ MOTA; YULIANA G CENDEJAS

The business is conducted by: A MARIED COUPLE. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 27, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ DANIEL ORDAZ MATA, CO OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/27/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232615MT

FBN 20260005077
The following person is doing business as: WILD SPACE OVERLND 13851 ROSWELL AVE STE D CHINO CA 91710; MAILING ADDRESS 13851 ROSWELL AVE STE D CHINO CA 91710; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MINGSHENG ZHOU

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR 05, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MINGSHENG ZHOU, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/01/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232614MT

FBN 20260005037
The following person is doing business as: G&M PLUS UPHOLSTERY 18992 VALLEY BLVD BLOOMINGTON CA 92316; MAILING ADDRESS 18992 VALLEY BLVD BLOOMINGTON CA 92316; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

ANN M. CORRAL OSORIO
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR 05, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MARTHA J. ACEVES, C.E.O.
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/28/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232611MT

Public Notices

HECTOR G GARCIA MENDOZA
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ HECTOR G GARCIA MENDOZA, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/29/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232613MT

FBN 20260004992
The following person is doing business as: INLAND EMPIRE SCHOOL OF BARBERING AND COSMETOLOGY 1705 E WASHINGTON ST COLTON CA 92324; MAILING ADDRESS 1705 E WASHINGTON ST COLTON CA 92324; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TANAI R ATLAS

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ TANAI R ATLAS, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/28/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232612MT

FBN 20260004960
The following person is doing business as: BAD CROW 17727 GRANADA AVE FONTANA CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS 17727 GRANADA AVE FONTANA CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO BAD CROW INC 17727 GRANADA AVE FONTANA CA 92335 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 6508911

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LUIS C. RAMOS, C.E.O.
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/27/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232611MT

FBN 20260004957
The following person is doing business as: CORRAL DESIGNS; CORRAL GLAM EVENTS 7551 MUMS CT FOPNTANA CA 92336; MAILING ADDRESS 7551 MUMS CT FOPNTANA CA 92336; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ANN M. CORRAL OSORIO

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LUIS C. RAMOS, C.E.O.
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/27/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232608MT

Public Notices

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ANN M. CORRAL OSORIO, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/28/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026 CNBB232610MT

FBN 20260005052
The following person is doing business as: DB TREE SERVICE 4631 OCEANSIDE DR APT B CHINO CA 91710; MAILING ADDRESS 4631 OCEANSIDE DR APT B CHINO CA 91710; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO D&B PROJECTS & SOLUTIONS 4631 OCEANSIDE DR APT B CHINO CA 91710 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 6550713

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ DIEGO A. VASQUEZ ANDRADE, C.E.O.
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/01/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/12/2026, 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/

Public Notices

knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LUCITANA A. CHURON, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 05, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260004521 The following person is doing business as: SMART SELL,R/E INC. 11023 EUCALYPTUS STREET SUITE 210 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; MAILING ADDRESS 11023 EUCALYPTUS STREET SUITE 210 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO IRFANNAZIR SMART SELL,REAL ESTATE, INC. 11023 EUCALYPTUS STREET SUITE 210 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 5534102 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ IRFAN NAZIR, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/14/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name

Public Notices

statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/22/2026, 05/29/2026, 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026 CNBB21202610MT CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260004390 The following person is doing business as: R&CLIQUE 2049 S HELLMAN AVE UNIT E ONTARIO CA 91761; MAILING ADDRESS 20730 HAINES ST PERRIS, CA 92570; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CLAUDIA A MUNOZ MONDRAGON; RONALD K ALUMBAUGH The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CLAUDIA A MUNOZ MONDRAGON, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/12/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/22/2026, 05/29/2026, 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026 CNBB21202603MT CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260004473 The following person is doing business as: DENT MASTER CALIFORNIA MOBILE AUTO BODY REPAIR- PAINTLESS DENT REMOVAL &

Public Notices

BUMPER REPAIR 1805 S TIPPECANOE AVE SAN BERNARDINO CA 92408; MAILING ADDRESS 1805 S TIPPECANOE AVE SAN BERNARDINO CA 92408; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JACOB M GEORGE The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JACOB M. GEORGE, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/13/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/22/2026, 05/29/2026, 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026 CNBB21202603MT CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260004566 The following person is doing business as: SEPTIC CONTROL 12448 SHEEP CREEK ROAD PHELAN, CA 92371; MAILING ADDRESS 12448 SHEEP CREEK ROAD PHELAN, CA 92371; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ADRIAN DURAN; AGUSTIN DURAN The business is conducted by: GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ADRIAN DURAN,

Public Notices

GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/15/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/22/2026, 05/29/2026, 06/05/2026, 06/12/2026 CNBB21202606MT CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260004665 The following person is doing business as: S&J AUTO 10802 KADOTA AVE UNIT C MONTCLAIR CA 91763; MAILING ADDRESS 10802 KADOTA AVE UNIT C MONTCLAIR CA 91763; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SARKIS VARTANIAN The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SARKIS VARTANIAN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/19/2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/01/2026, 05/08/2026, 05/15/2026, 05/22/2026 CNBB18202602MT CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

Public Notices

DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260003890 The following person is doing business as: ONTARIO RANCH GROCERY OUTLET. 4258 E. ONTARIO RANCH RD. ONTARIO, CA 91761; MAILING ADDRESS 1060 ROLLING HILLS DR. CORONA, CA 92878; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO J&G INDUSTRIES 1537 W. MAIN STREET EL CENTRO CA 92243 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 4722638 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JASON PINEDA, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 27, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/01/2026, 05/08/2026, 05/15/2026, 05/22/2026 CNBB18202602MT CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260003801 The following person is doing business as: EVICTION PRO'S. 9220 HAVEN AVE SUITE 300 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; MAILING ADDRESS 8313 VET LN FONTANA, CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO FRANCISCO J RAMIREZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business

Public Notices

name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ FRANCISCO J RAMIREZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 23, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/01/2026, 05/08/2026, 05/15/2026, 05/22/2026 CNBB18202601MT CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260002016 The following person is doing business as: STUDIO 64 ENGRAVING AND GLASS ETCHING. 1065 N RANCHO AVE COLTON CA 92324 ; MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ISAAC S LEONPERALTA The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ISAAC S LEONPERALTA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MARCH 11, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county

Public Notices

clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026 CNBB13202610CV CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

FBN 20260002185 The following person is doing business as: E & G LANDSCAPING SERVICES. 1876 GOULD STREET LOMA LINDA, CA 92354; MAILING ADDRESS 1876 GOULD STREET LOMA LINDA, CA 92354; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EVERARDO MANZO SANCHEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR 13, 2025 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ EVERARDO MANZO SANCHEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MARCH 16, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026 CNBB13202604MT CORRECTION DATES 05/01/2026, 05/08/2026, 05/15/2026, 05/22/2026 CORRECTION DATES 06/19/2026, 06/26/2026, 07/03/2026, 07/10/2026

Taxpayers Laying Out \$350 Million For County Retirees' Pensions from page 5

tion for 2020-21 was \$149,693,300, discounted by \$4,782,230 (for a simple interest discount rate of 2.64%, after reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earning of \$827,682) for the prepayment amount of \$144,911,070.

In 2019-20 the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$265,419,200, discounted by \$8,252,097 (for a simple interest discount rate of 2.10%, after reduction for the foregone estimated treasury earning of \$2,665,531) for the prepayment amount of \$257,167,103.

In 2018-19, the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$262,463,800, discounted by \$8,205,753 (at a simple interest discount rate of 3.13%) for the prepayment amount of \$254,258,047.

In 2017-18, the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$222,388,000, discounted by \$7,236,227, at a simple interest discount rate of 3.25%, for a prepayment amount of \$215,151,773 In 2016-17, the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$211,164,300, discounted by \$7,373,705, at an interest discount rate of 3.49%, for a prepayment amount of \$203,790,595. In 2015-16, the total county general fund retirement contribution was \$218,014,200, discounted by \$7,696,277, at an interest rate of 3.53%, for a prepayment amount of \$210,317,923.” With the exception of 2016-17, the county’s retirement costs have been escalating. In 2011, the county made a \$132,263,097 prepayment to the board of retirement to cover the cost of pensions for retired employees during the 2011-12 fiscal year, reflecting a prepayment discount of

\$5,299,603 from the \$137,562,700 owed by the county as its annual contribution to the retirement fund that year. In 2012, the county made a \$154,626,037 prepayment to the board of retirement to cover the cost of pensions for retired employees during the 2012-13 fiscal year, reflecting a prepayment discount of \$5,907,863 from the \$160,533,900 owed by the county as its annual contribution to the retirement fund through June 30 of 2013. In 2013 the county made

a \$172,478,057 prepayment to the board of retirement to cover the cost of pensions for retired county employees during the 2013-14 fiscal year, reflecting a prepayment discount of \$6,589,943 from the \$179,068,000 owed by the county as its annual contribution to the retirement fund through June 30 of 2014. In 2014-15, the county made a \$182,185,164 prepayment to the board of retirement to cover the cost of pensions for retired employees during the 2014-15 fiscal year,

reflecting a prepayment discount of \$6,922,236 from the \$189,107,400 owed by the county as its annual contribution to the retirement fund that year. Of note is that in past years, it was the county treasurer-tax collector/ auditor-controller who made the recommendation to the board of supervisors to make the retirement fund prepayment. This year, because tension between Treasurer-Tax Collector/Auditor-Controller Ensen Mason broke out

into open hostility with four of the members of the board of supervisors opposing his reelection in the June 2 Primary balloting and his resultant loss to his opponent, Rancho Cucamonga City Councilman Ryan Hutchison, much of Mason’s authority has been commandeered by the office of the county’s chief executive officer. It was thus Snoke who made the prepayment recommendation to the board of supervisors. -Mark Gutglueck

Valles from page 3

ministrative dean of academic affairs at San Bernardino Valley College from 1983 to 1987. She also held the position of chief instructional officer at the college and the position of executive vice president of academic and student affairs from 1987 to 1988.

Valles was appointed president of Golden West College in Huntington Beach in 1988, making her the first Latina to

head any college or university in California. She remained as the chief executive of Golden West until retiring in 1993. Valles has also served as acting president of Coastline Community College and Oxnard College for brief tenures.

She was elected to the San Bernardino Community College District board of trustees. She produced and hosted a Spanish-speaking television show, “Impacto,” for KVCR-TV and “La

Voz” at KCSB. Valles has been the subject of three doctoral dissertations on leadership, and invited to lecture on gender and leadership issues as a visiting professor at Harvard University.

She sported something of a chip on her shoulder for much or all of her life, having once said, “As a woman and minority, you have to work twice as hard and twice as long.” She received the Citi-

zen of Achievement Award from the League of Women Voters in 1989 and the YWCA Outstanding Women of Orange County Award in 1990. She was inducted into the San Bernardino Valley College Alumni Hall of Fame in 1991.

Meanwhile, her brother Mike, who had graduated from UCLA, had involved himself in the world of politics, not as a candidate or officeholder himself, but first as rep- Continued on Page 12

Redlands Cops Pressuring City Council For Raises *from page 4*

similarly looked after by their employers. The ability to accrue holiday leave, sick leave that is not used or vacation leave is neither guaranteed nor common in the private sector. That Redlands officers were bemoaning that the terms of their holiday leave accrual, annual sick leave accrual and annual vacation leave accrual was not as generous or open-ended as that of some of their counterparts with other departments was not viewed positively by many of those who saw the association's presentation. Among those citizens were some who found the complaint that the city was not being generous enough in allowing officers who were fortunate enough to not to have gotten sick or injured to cash out the time they were not injured or sick to be disrespectful toward the city's taxpayers.

Similarly, the officers' complaint that their tuition reimbursement was lower than that offered by six of the 12 departments surveyed and the same as that offered by one of the departments was taken as a bit presumptuous, particularly since four of the comparison departments provided no tuition reimbursement to their officers.

The association made a point of eight of the comparison departments providing higher employer-paid life insurance, two providing insurance of a lesser monetary value or none and one providing an identical amount of coverage.

With regard to court appearances, which are an inherent part of police work, Redlands pays its officers overtime for showing up to court, consisting of three hours plus all hours actually worked. That arrangement was generally comparable or in some cases slightly more generous than the policies of other departments.

The association also raised the issue of the department having no specific compensation out-

lined for police officers standing by for a possible court appearance. Four of the other departments similarly had no specified pay, while four specified paying their officers straight time, one specified paying officers half time and two specified overtime. On this issue, Redlands officers are protected by California's wage law.

The association made an issue out of the department having no compensation specified for detectives on call. That is the case with seven of the other 11 departments surveyed.

The association complained that the \$100 per month incentive paid to officers who are bilingual runs behind the incentives paid by the other departments.

A recurrent refrain of Redlands residents exposed to the association's charge that the city was being too parsimonious with respect to its police officers' pay was that Redland Police Officers, relatively speaking, do not have overly challenging assignments, *vis-a-vis* the setting they function within.

Redlands is not a hotbed of criminal activity.

Crime statistics vary depending upon the methodology used by the statisticians doing the ranking. Redlands is thus shown to fall, variously, somewhere on the scale within the top nine percent and top 23 percent of the safest cities in the country and the state. Redlands is typically considered to be one of the top 300 safest communities in national studies. Of those on that vaunted roster, roughly one-fifth are smaller, affluent enclaves, some of which are gated or involve limited entry. On a state and regional level, Redlands maintains a solid reputation in that regard, with across-the-board criminal activity within its city limits statistically less than that in 78 percent of U.S. cities. Regional crime and safety evaluations generally indicate that in Redlands the violent crime rate sits at roughly 298.6 per 100,000 residents, which is notably lower than the national average of about

359 per 100,000

Using statewide rather than national metrics, Redlands with a 298.6 violent crimes per 100,000 residents is substantially below the California average of 518, translating to typical Redlands resident being 42.4 percent less likely to be victimized by a violent crime than the average resident of California. That carries over to the province of deadly violence, as Redlands residents are 5.7 percent less likely to be murdered than others in the Golden State.

That security margin, however, does not apply as starkly to property crimes, as the 2,152.2 incidents of theft of all kinds per 100,000 population in Redlands is just marginally below the rate of 2,161.3 per 100,000 population in California. The nuance in that statistic is that victims in Redlands are more likely to have their homes, property or vehicles burglarized than violated by an act of strong-arm or armed robbery.

There are Redlands residents who believe the city's men in blue deserve every penny they are asking for and more. They attribute the enhanced safety of the community to the performance of the department and its officers.

Others interpret those positive statistics differently, saying they are an indicator of the inherent quality of the community itself, which makes it a far cry from the mean streets of San Bernardino, which has on multiple occasions over the last two decades been ranked as one of the 20 deadliest cities in the United States. That statistic applies to the difficulty and danger of the job of policing, as well. Officers in Redlands, similar to officers in Upland, have made bitter pronouncements that those in the community they serve value their contributions lower than the residents in San Bernardino, for example, which remunerate their police officers at a rate of roughly 109 percent of what Redlands officers receive. It has been pointed out, however, that San Bernardino police officers

are more likely to be injured or killed on the job than officers working in Upland or Redlands.

An element discernible in the statistics cited by the Redlands Police Officers Association is that while the base salary levels of Redlands police officers generally run behind those officers with the Ontario, Fontana, Palm Springs, Riverside, Murrietta, San Bernardino, Chino, Beaumont and Upland, the benefits Redlands police officers are provided with are on average with or slight better than those available with officers in most other cities.

One Redlands resident who had received the email from Frisch said he found particularly offensive the call to "give the city council and city manager the courage" to provide the city's police officers with raises, stating that Frisch was actually encouraging residents to assist members of the police department in "intimidating and extorting" the city council into providing the officers with raises the city could not afford.

"The city council, for all of its shortcomings, is doing the best it can with the existing revenue available," the resident said. "The police department is one of whatever it is, depending on how you count them, 19 or 20 departments and divisions. The city has a balanced budget. Having one department increase its spending radically is either going to unbalance the budget or require shortages and a drawdown in services in other departments. It is not the police department's place nor that of its officers to dictate how the city's money should be spent."

The resident said, "Mine isn't one of them, but there are families in Redlands with two incomes who are still on the brink of losing their houses. Every last one of the police officers in Redlands are paid well enough that they can pay their mortgages on a single paycheck alone. The police union wants even more money for those officers, which has to be paid from somewhere.

That means those who live in the city whose financial situation is already marginal will be hit even harder."

The resident said the message city residents should send to the city council is 180 degrees opposite that which Frisch is calling for.

"They should tell the city council to have the courage to tell the police union, 'No,'" he said.

In actuality, the City of Redlands' single highest expense in its operational budget is police department salaries. In 2025, the city paid out to one of its police department employees, former Deputy Police Chief Travis Martinez, a staggering \$1,326,105.74. That payout included his salary and a settlement of a claim he made which pertained to what he claimed was wrongdoing on the part of other members of the department, most notably another former Redlands deputy police chief, Michael Reiss. Lawsuits filed by individuals, mostly Redlands Police Department

personnel, that named the city and Reiss as defendants, have now cost the city in excess of \$3.7 million.

A handful of Redlands residents have observed that the Redlands Police Officers Association has in the past proven instrumental in preventing the city and the police department's management division from meting out discipline to police officers and holding those engaged in unbecoming activity accountable, which has allowed those officers, such as Reiss to not only remain as members of the department but to advance in rank. Presumably, those residents say, had the department purged itself of problem officers such as Reiss when issues involving them first cropped up, the savings the city would have made in avoiding the cost of lawsuit settlements could have been used to provide the increased pay and benefits the association is now requesting.

-Mark Gutglueck

Valles Gone At 93 *from page 11*

representative for various members of the California legislature, which intimately familiarized him with local issues, constituent advocacy, the mechanics of legislation, and politics. Toward the end of his employment as a field representative for elected representatives, he became ever more active in campaigns, and eventually transitioned into a political consultant. He managed or directed scores of local races beginning in his 40s, at times working on as many as a dozen in a single election, ranging from candidates for Congress to the California Senate and Assembly, the board of supervisors, mayorships and city councils. Mike Valles was much in demand, as he had mastered the formula for running a compelling campaign that would drive voters to the polls. Most of the candidates he worked for or backed proved victorious.

In 1997 he master-

mindful Judith's run for San Bernardino mayor. Following her strong showing in the November election, Judith proved victorious in the February 3, 1998 runoff, making her the first Hispanic mayor in San Bernardino history. She served two terms.

In 1998, Valles established the Valles Family Scholarship at San Bernardino Valley College.

She received an honorary doctorate from the University of Redlands in 2000 and the California Community Colleges' Distinguished Alumni Award the same year. She received the Ohtli Medal in 2003 from the government and people of Mexico for her contributions to the Mexican-American community.

After completing her second term as mayor, she was appointed President of Los Angeles Mission College.

In 2015, she published a book *As My Mother Would Say: Como Decia Mi Mama* about life lessons that she received from her mother.

-Mark Gutglueck