

Disgruntled Over Low Wages, Worker Torches Ontario Warehouse Worth \$600M

A self-styled labor activist who claims he was economically exploited by both Kimberly-Clark and NFI Industries while he was working at the Ontario distribution center operated by the latter exacted revenge against both Tuesday morning by torching the contents and the internal workings of his workplace, resulting what is estimated as a half of a billion dollars damages.

Chamel Abdulkarim,

29, apparently was motivated by intense resentment toward the companies and had no intention of sidestepping responsibility for what he did, having recorded videos of himself as he engaged in the mayhem, which consisted of igniting highly flammable paper goods stacked on pallets throughout the warehouse, located at 4815 S. Hellman Avenue in Ontario, while he made statements con-

veying what he said was the issue that motivated his actions – corporate greed – while he intimated that the companies would be subject to more of the same if they did not provide what he and his colleagues at the facility considered a fair and decent wage. /s/ Complainant's signature

As Abdulkarim was engaged in the mayhem inside the facility sometime between midnight and 12:30 a.m. on April

7, he posted to his personal Instagram account, “gentleman_without_a_filter,” a video in which a pallet of a paper product can be seen while a voice, later identified as that of Abdulkarim is heard saying, “If you’re not going to pay us enough to fucking live or afford to live, at least pay us enough not to do this shit.” A hand holding a lighter bearing “FC Bayern Munich” branding is then seen within the vid-

eo’s visual frame as the lighter is used to set the paper products on fire. The video continued to show, from a first-person perspective, an individual using the same lighter setting fires to multiple pallets of paper goods inside a warehouse. The video then cut to a shot depicting a pallet of paper products set on fire within a large warehouse. The video then showed the camera pointed at the See P 2

Senator Cervantes Sues Sacramento & Four Of Its Police Officers Over Falsified DUI Arrest

31st District California State Senator Sabrina Cervantes has sued the City of Sacramento, four identified officers in its police department and as many as 20 of their unidentified law enforcement colleagues in the aftermath of her arrest last year on charges of driving under the influence, an accusation authorities now acknowledge had no basis in fact.

According to the suit, filed on April 6 in U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California, Cervantes was “targeted by Sacramento police officers and subjected to a baseless DUI investigation and arrest” following a crash that occurred on May 19, 2025 at approximately 12:55 p.m. as she was headed east on S Street in a state-issued Toyota Camry to the state Capitol building for a legislative session when a Ford Explorer headed north on 14th Street failed yield at a stop sign and broadsided her. The lawsuit contends at least four of the department’s officers engaged in official misconduct; that two of the officers engaged in perjury; that three of the officers lied with regard to the crash and the events of its aftermath, including one officer who lied to another officer; that the most senior of the four officers conspired with the others to have Cervantes falsely arrested and charged; and that the officers, either singly or collectively were responsible for making misrepresentations to a judge and state officials.

The attorneys representing Cervantes – James Quadra, See P 2

Upland Mobile Home Residents Learn City Council Canceled Rent Control 10 Months Ago

Upland Mayor Bill Velto and all four of his council colleagues this week found themselves in Dutch with some 2,223 of their constituents who just learned the rental/leasing rate on their abodes are about to escalate dramatically.

The residents of the City of Gracious Living’s six mobile home parks are now seeing or are about to experience a

hefty rise in the amount of money they are being charged to rent space on a per month basis. For some of those, the jump in the amount they must fork over to their landlords to keep a roof over their heads could be as much as 25 percent.

On the order of 2,81 percent of the city’s 79,040 residents will be affected by the erasure of mobile home rent con-

trol Their personal bout with inflation is to come about as a consequence of action taken very quietly by the city council ten months ago.

Indeed, many of those now animated against City Hall see the manner in which the mayor and council acted as being almost as offensive as what they did.

Members of the council have not denied that

what they did was self-consciously sneaky nor that some arrangement was made with the landowners who stood to profit by the council’s action to impose a temporal gap between the council’s action dropping the restrictions on rental rates and the imposing of the lease increases to delay the outpouring of the now accumulating sense of outrage.

In June 2025, hidden in a single legislative bundle, was a complete and radical undoing of a protection measure put in place for the benefit of a group or what might reasonably be considered a class of city residents collectively described as among the city’s most economically vulnerable.

According to city documents, the city’s See P 3

Formation Of Multi-Agency Team Including SBC Law Enforcement Seeking To Undermine Gangs

The board of supervisors this week approved an arrangement involving the San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Department, County Probation Department, San Bernardino Police Department, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security establishing a multi-agency

Gang Intelligence Team to investigate, disrupt and dismantle criminal street and prison gangs operating throughout the Inland Empire.

The task force was created under a non-financial memorandum of understanding by which the activities and joint programs will be funded by the already existing budget allotments speci-

fied for the participating entities.

The agreement formalizes a partnership among the agencies and departments involved to enhance intelligence sharing and enforcement strategies. The team will conduct coordinated investigations targeting violent and organized gang activity across the region. See P 3

Bible Challenged As Inappropriate For Student Reading Under RUSD Book Policy

As was most likely inevitable, local resident has utilized the Redlands Unified School District’s policy allowing the removal of books from school libraries and classroom shelves deemed to be age inappropriate for students to lodge a challenge of the King James Bible.

Last year, on August 19, 2025, by a bare 3-to-2 majority with members

Candy Olson, Jeannette Wilson and Michelle Rendler prevailing and Melissa Ayala-Quintero and Patty Holohan dissenting, the board voted to allow virtually anyone to challenge a book on the basis of its “explicit” content and have it temporarily removed while an evaluation of whether it should be permanently banned will consider the first two such challenges

lodged.

Under the library book policy, anyone who has knowledge about the presence of a particular book in any district school library can object to or challenge its “explicit” content, have the book in question within three days consequently temporarily taken out of circulation and then have it provided to a “district review committee” com-

Sentinel Correction

On February 19, 2021 the San Bernardino Sentinel published an article headlined “Incoming Upland City Attorney Had A Hand In The 1980’s Southridge Graft-fest.”

The article in the main dealt with Upland’s hiring of Steven Deitsch as that city’s city attorney. As a much younger man in the 1980s, Deistsh, who was then in partner-

ship with Timothy Sabo in the law firm of Sabo & Deitsch, had alternated with Sabo in serving as the City of Fontana’s redevelopment agency attorney.

While he was Fontana redevelopment attorney, Deitsch had advised the city when it issued \$65 million in certificates of participation – a type of municipal bond – and took in \$55 mil- See P 3

prised of the superintendent, assistant superintendent of educational services and either the director of elementary or the director of secondary education. The district review committee then has two months to read and review the book and use a somewhat idiosyncratic or subjective numerical grading system ranking the book in question as to its sexual

content, violence, social and educational context, suitability for the varying ages of students who have access to the library where it is available and the book’s potential for negative impacts on those reading it.

In December, the board voted to remove “Push,” a 1996 novel by Sapphire dealing with rape, incest and teen pregnancy, See P 3

Abdulkarim's Anticapitalistic Social Activism Appears To Have Been Grounded In His Inability To Make Decent Money In What Was A Dead-End Warehouse Job *from front page*

ground and the same voice said, "should have paid us enough to fucking live." The video footage then depicted the inside of a large warehouse with a pallet burning in the distance. Thereafter, a left hand holding a cigarette and a right hand holding the lighter are visible on the video, as Abdulkarim is heard saying, "You know, we may not get paid enough to fucking live, but these bitches dirt cheap."

The video then depicted a wide shot of the warehouse with several pallets of paper good on fire. What sounds to be Abdulkarim's voice repeats several times "All you had to do was pay us enough to live."

The last shot of the video showed the fire spreading throughout the warehouse as the voice says, "There goes your inventory."

Meanwhile, according to information gleaned

from both NFI Industries corporate officers and the City of Ontario's public safety dispatch logs approximately 18 employees were working the graveyard shift on April 7, 2026 at the warehouse located at 4815 South Hellman Avenue when, at 12:34 a.m., the Ontario Police Department received a call for service for a structure fire. The Ontario Fire Department responded and requested assistance from multiple additional fire departments due to the size of the fire and the need to ensure the fire did not spread to surrounding buildings. All employees successfully evacuated and no injuries were reported. An initial appraisal by a local fire inspector was that the structure fire was likely caused by arson.

Employees at the scene stated Abdulkarim

eo cameras with audio recording capability to show that Cervantes was not evincing signs of intoxication – an unsteady gait and slurred speech – which the officers cited in their arrest reports and in the application for a warrant provided to a judge to forcefully draw blood from her.

It was the application for that warrant that the officers perhaps went furthest with regard to both violating Cervantes rights and engaging in criminal activity of their own, according to Quadra, Coll and Sanford. In that application, an assertion was made that the issuance of the warrant was necessary because Cervantes was refusing to comply with a request for the testing of her blood. In actuality, the audio portion of the video of the exchange between Cervantes and one of the officers demonstrates Cervantes told the officer she wanted to yield to the far more scientifically sound and objective testing of her blood rather than taking

had been present at the warehouse prior to the start of the fire, but was not present with the other evacuated employees. Information indicates that as the employees were moved to a position out of harm's way, an effort to locate Abdulkarim and ascertain if he was yet in the warehouse was begun.

Firefighters and the police who had responded to the location reported the building was completely engulfed in flames by 1:15 a.m. Approximately 175 firefighters from 14 agencies responded to contain the fire. The entire 1.2 million square foot warehouse caught fire. An NFI Industries manager initially estimated that the fire caused approximately \$500,000,000 worth of damage to property and products inside the warehouse.

Around the same time as the call for service for the structure fire, a woman identified by federal authorities as "Witness 1" and described as someone who "has a close personal relation-

a far less scientifically accurate and subjective eye movement field sobriety test that he was requesting.

A statement under the penalty of perjury was then made by one of the officers to the magistrate requesting the warrant to the effect that Cervantes was being uncooperative in the effort to determine whether she had been driving under the influence. That representation was made despite Cervantes having already agreed to the drawing of her blood at the hospital and providing access to the testing results to the department. The police officer seeking the court order omitted from the affidavit submitted to the judge that the officer who had questioned the state senator had informed her when he made the request that she submit to the eye movement test that she had a constitutional right to refuse to take the test.

The lawsuit makes note of proposed legislation Cervantes had sponsored earlier that

ship with Abdulkarim" and his "roommate," called the Ontario Police Department, according to federal officials "to request a wellness check" on him. According to a document subsequently prepared by an investigator with the FBI, "Shortly before the fire, Witness 1 received text messages from Abdulkarim that stated he wanted to 'say goodbye' and that 'he just wants to hear her voice one more time.' Witness 1 also told the dispatcher that Abdulkarim worked at 4815 South Hellman Avenue."

The FBI, which knows Witness 1's identity, is concealing it to protect her privacy.

"Witness 1 recorded a portion of this conversation with Abdulkarim, and provided the recording to law enforcement," according to an affidavit filed with the federal court by the lead agent with the FBI team that looked into the arson perpetrated by Abdulkarim. That agent is currently assigned to FBI's Los Angeles Field Office and the Riverside

year aimed at regulating and limiting the use of license plate readers, a key piece of enforcement technology that has boosted the effectiveness of police operations but which critics say is subject to misuse and abuse and represents a threat to civil liberties. The lawsuit suggests it was resentment toward Cervantes with regard to this legislation that motivated the Sacramento Police Department to abuse its authority in falsely arresting her.

Quadra, Coll and Sanford claim to have an advantage in the case they are pursuing on Cervantes' behalf by virtue of the verbal exchanges captured by the audio function of the bodyworn video cameras in use by the officers when they engaged with the driver who ran the stop sign and essentially T-boned Cervantes as well as with one another during their response to the accident scene and during the follow-up investigation. Those videos and their accompanying au-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

Resident Agency for a federal joint terrorism task force, where he investigates individuals who commit violent criminal acts in furtherance of their political and social ideology.

According to the agent, "During that call, Witness 1 asked about Abdulkarim's motivation for setting the fire and Abdulkarim responded, 'They had it coming . . . fucking eight hours, six days, [unintelligible] stuck paying rent on a bullshit ass apartment that I can't afford

to fucking live . . . pedophiles out here fucking children, profiting off [unintelligible] fucking wars.' In the same call, Abdulkarim also stated 'a lot of people are going to understand' and then compared what he did to when 'Luigi popped that mutherfucker.' Abdulkarim also said, 'I just cost these motherfuckers billions.'"

The reference to Luigia was to Luigi Mangione, who is facing 11 New York state charges and four federal charges

Continued on Page 4

dio tracks establish that the officer who encountered the other driver was highly indulgent of her, allowed her to continue with a cell phone call she was engaged in when the officer came upon her, did not, as was done with Cervantes, come close enough to her to be able to detect whether there might be alcohol on her breath, did not require her to get out of her vehicle, did not seek to or actually have her perform a field sobriety test and, when he raised the issue of whether driving while intoxicated was an issue, phrased it as a statement – "No alcohol today, right" – rather than directly questioning her as to that issue, as was done with Cervantes. An exchange between the officers established that they had concluded early on that it was not Cervantes who was at fault in the accident but rather the other driver. Nevertheless, the members of the department – individually and collectively – angled toward establishing

that Cervantes was driving while intoxicated.

Moreover, the officers utilized their understanding of a circumstance which was knowingly misrepresented to Cervantes in an effort to strengthen the accusation of driving under the influence against her. The T-boning of her car as well as her last-second maneuvering had driven Cervantes into the oncoming lane of traffic, such that when her car came to a stop, she was parked against the curb facing the traffic flow. After the accident, she had summoned her senatorial office's chief of staff to the scene, where permission had been granted for her to go to the hospital for precautionary treatment of the injuries she had sustained in the accident. At the time she left to seek medical attention, the state-issued vehicle she had been driving when the accident occurred was where it had come to rest, facing westbound traffic on S Street. Af-

Continued on Page 6

Sacramento Police Officers Seemed Fixated On Making DUI Bust Of State Senator Cervantes Before Evidence Against Her Was Solidified *from front page*

Rebecca Coll and Robert Sanford – seized upon the results of a toxicology test done on blood drawn from Cervantes at the hospital to which she had been driven in the immediate aftermath of the accident which indisputably established that she had no alcohol nor drugs in her system to make their case that the police had no grounds to effectuate the driving under the influence arrest.

Quadra, Coll and Sanford were able to further credibly contradict the police department's assertion that the officers had reasonable suspicion and/or probable cause to believe Cervantes was intoxicated by using the officers' bodyworn vid-

Bible Challenged Under Redlands Unified School District's Inappropriate Library Book Policy *from front page*

from library shelves and restricting "The Bluest Eye" a 1970 novel by Toni Morrison which takes as its themes an 11-year-old black girl's impressions and feelings of inferiority informed by sexualized child abuse and perception that Caucasian features are more attractive than Negroid ones to students over the age of 18.

Wilson and in particular Olson have argued

that there are books that are too sexualized and in appropriate for young minds. Critics have called the library materials policy a close-minded approach to life and experience as well as an outgrowth of Olson's Christian religiosity, which she and both Wilson and Rendler are inappropriately imposing on others within an educational context.

Aaron Fernando, a

Redlands who was previously critical of the book challenge policy, cited the Bible as inappropriate reading material because of its sometimes sexualized content.

Indeed, Judges (16): 1 references prostitution; Genesis (19): 33-36 describes an act of double incest, resulting in a father, Lot, impregnating both his daughters; Genesis (35): 22 describes a son having sexual relations with his father's concubine; Genesis (38): 2 provides an account of Judah raping the daughter

of a Canaanite; Genesis (38): 8-9 deals with masturbation; Genesis (38): 15-18 depicts Judah prostituting his daughter-in-law; 2 Samuel (13): 11-14 relates a tale of a brother raping his sister; 2 Samuel (16): 22 provides an account of King David's son, Absalom, having it off with his father's concubines; Ezekiel (16): 28 depicts unbridled prostitution; Ezekiel (23): 5-8 describes Aholah's immersion in a culture of prostitution; Ezekiel (23): 11-21 celebrates Aholah's sister

Security."

Mendoza noted that "The proposed memorandum of understanding also includes non-standard terms and conditions that differ from the county's standard contract language as follows:

1. The memorandum of understanding is silent on governing law.

* The County standard contract requires California governing law.

* Potential Impact: Having no specified governing law in the memo-

ter, Aholibah, endeavoring to outdo her sister in her "whoredom"; 2 Samuel (6): 20 describes King David indulging in wholesale public indecent exposure; 2 Samuel (11) provides a description of adulterous sex.

Fernando does not, in actuality, intend that the Bible be declared a prohibited text but instead is attempting to contract the district's attitude toward it and that of other banned or restricted materials that contain similar passages.

In February, a panel of understanding results in uncertainty over which laws will govern the interpretation of the memorandum of understanding and leads to ambiguity in the interpretation of the memorandum of understanding terms. The United States Department of Homeland Security is a federal agency with offices located nationwide; City of San Bernardino Police Department is a local city agency, and California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is a state

consisting of Superintendent Juan Cabral, Assistant Superintendent of Educational Services Patti Buchmuller and Director of Secondary Education Jean Joye recommended that the King James Bible remain available in the disbon the district's libraries' book .

The school board will consider the appropriateness of the Bible as student reading material at its next board meeting, scheduled for Tuesday, April 14 at 6 p.m. at district headquarters.

agency with offices throughout California. The memorandum of understanding could be interpreted under any state law, or under federal law, depending on where the claim is brought, including California."

In this way, according to Mendoza, "Any questions, issues, or claims arising under this memorandum of understanding could require the County to hire outside counsel competent to advise on the applicable state or federal

Continued on Page 5

Sheriff & Probation Departments Join With SBPD, California Department of Corrections And The Department Of Homeland Security In Creating Gang Intelligence Team *from front page*

According to a report authored by Sheriff Shannon Dicus and Tracy Reece, the chief probation officer in the county probation department presented to the board of supervisors by Carolina Mendoza,, the chief financial manager within the sheriff's department, "The Inland Empire's region of San

Bernardino County is a major hub for gang activity and drug trafficking, due to its strategic location for transportation, which represents a complex and evolving problem that extends beyond major urban areas into suburban and rural pockets. As a result, the region experiences a high rate of gang-related organized

Ratelle's Depredations In Running Fontana By Osmosis Spread Disrepute To Those In Government Associated With Him, Fairly & Unfairly, Deservedly & Undeservedly *from front page*

lion loans from the Glaziers' Union to construct \$120 million worth of infrastructure to facilitate the completion of the Southridge residential project. The Ten-Ninety Corporation, which was owned and controlled by brothers Dick and Bill Ashby and Larry Red-

man, was the proponent of the Southridge Project, originally planned to be comprised of 9,100 residential units, some neighborhood commercial elements and a school in the southwest quadrant of Fontana.

Fontana's then-city manager, Jack Daze

Ratelle, had convinced the members of the Fontana City Council that the Ten-Ninety Corporation lacked the financial wherewithal to finance the construction of the infrastructure to support the Southridge project and that the project would not come to fruition unless the city and its taxpayers defrayed the cost of the infrastructure.

Of note is that the Ashby Brothers and

Redman were the purchasers of the certificates of participation. It was the proceeds from the sale of those bonds and the loans from the Glaziers' Union which were utilized to pay to construct the Southridge infrastructure, consisting of roads, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm drains, sewers and a school. The City of Fontana over the next thirty years diverted a portion of the property tax it

was receiving to service the debt it had taken on to build the Southridge infrastructure - making regular payments of \$3.12 million per quarter or \$12.48 million annually and a total of \$374.4 million. Over those 30 years, the Ashbys and Redman saw a return of \$202.8 million on their investment in the certificates of participation - a profit of 137.8 million.

The law firm of Sabo & Deitsch, by serving

as the bond counsel on the issuance of the \$65 million in certificates of participation was paid 0.5 percent of the issuance or \$325,000 and by serving as the disclosure counsel on the \$65 million issuance was paid 0.25 percent of the \$65 million issuance or \$162,500. Thus, in overseeing that bond creation and sale, Sabo & Deitsch netted \$487,500.

That the Ashbys and

Continued on Page 5

For A Quarter Of A Century Upland's Mobile Home Residents Had Presumed Rent Rate Protection Was A Given *from front page*

Mobilehome Rent Review ordinance was formulated and put in place no later than at some point in the municipal Fiscal Year 1998/1999, and perhaps existed in a somewhat different form previous to that. In the city's 2003/2004 an-

nual report, the City of Upland declared it had "maintained rent stability for the [city's] 860 mobile home occupants" and that it in response to inquiries pertaining to the "Mobile Home Rent Control Ordinance," the redevelopment agency had initiated the process of amending the city's then-current mobile home rent stabilization ordinances as part of a five-year review of the city so-called "Consolidated Plan." The Mobilehome Rent Review, referred to by

its acronym MRR, was also known as the Rent Stabilization Ordinance, or the acronym RSO. Under the Upland Rental Stabilization Ordinance, which was part of the Upland Municipal Code, the maximum increases in the lease for space in the city's mobile home parts was 3 percent per year.

Typically, mobilehome residents purchase their mobilehome and then most either purchase land upon which it sits or rent/lease space in a mobile home park.

The smallest standard, modern manufactured mobile homes start at around 450 feet, aligning with the definition of a "tiny house," though single-wide homes generally provide 700 square feet of living space. Some single-wide mobile homes range to being as roomy as 1,300 square feet and double-wides can offer 1,300 square feet to 2,500 square feet of dwelling space. Often, but not universally, mobile homes are occupied by older, in

many cases retired, individuals or couples who are no longer raising families and therefore live alone. Those retired are generally on fixed incomes, so the decision to purchase and live in a mobile home is one that is economically driven. Thus, for mobile home purchasers, a rent stabilization ordinance being in place in a city, such as was the case in Upland, becomes a deciding factor as to whether to purchase a mobile home and where to locate it. De-

spite mobile homes being technically movable, relocation can be very complicated and prohibitively expensive.

Last year, more than a quarter of a century, without any explanation of the rationale for doing so and without any replacement of or substitution of an amended or altered version, the city council precipitously repealed Upland's rent stabilization ordinance. In taking that action, it did so by obscuring it near

Continued on Page 15

Abdulkarim Went About His Arsonistic Mayhem Deliberately, Broadcasting His Action & Seemingly Wanting To Be Caught *from page 2*

in the December 4, 2024 murder of Untied Health-Care DEC Brian Thompson in Manhattan.

According to the FBI agent, "Abdulkarim sent to Witness 1 multiple photographs via text message of the exterior of the building and the fire as he was walking away from the warehouse. According to messages provide to law enforcement, Abdulkarim texted a co-worker about his motivation for the arson at approximately 1:33 a.m. on April 7, 2026. In those messages, Abdulkarim texted, among other things, the following: '1% is a fucking joke'; 'Billionaires profiting off of war...'; and 'All you had to do was pay us enough to live. Pay us more of the value WE bring. Not corporate. Didn't see the shareholders picking up a shift.'

Ontario police officers arrived at the warehouse while the fire was yet raging, at that point looking for Adulkarim in particular, who had not been among the roughly 17 workers at the warehouse who had evacuated safely shortly around 12:30 a.m. Sometime thereafter, officers encountered Abdulkarim roughly two miles from the warehouse walking along a sidewalk. Their interaction with him was recorded by at least one Ontario PD Officer's body-worn camera. According to the FBI agent who reviewed the body camera footage taken from one of the officer's perspective, Abdulkarim approached the officers with his hands up and a flashlight from his cell-phone pointed at the officers. "He appeared to be talking on the phone with someone," according to the affidavit of the FBI agent. "Abdulkarim stated he was 'turning himself in.' Abdulkarim did not respond when asked what his name was but pulled his wallet out

of his pocket and threw it at the feet of a nearby police officer."

One of the police officers asked Abdulkarim whom he was on the phone with, and he replied, "my roommate," according to the FBI agent.

Abdulkarim sat on the edge of the sidewalk after being directed to by an Ontario police officer. When asked by one of the officers where he worked, Abdulkarim, responded, "That fire shit right there."

According to the FBI agent, "Abdulkarim also told officers, 'I'm confessing.' Ontario PD placed Abdulkarim in handcuffs and searched his pockets. During this search, an officer found a lighter with 'FC Bayern Munich' branding. Following being taken into custody, an officer asked if Abdulkarim's name is 'Chamel' and he replied 'Yes, that is the name on my wallet.' At this point, Abdulkarim stopped answering officers' questions saying, 'I don't answer questions.'"

The fire escalated into a six-alarm emergency, which ultimately entailed a response by at least 140 firefighters and reportedly as many as 175, who were staging from more than 20 fire engines from several agencies. Large sections of the roof collapsed both from the fire and as a consequence of the intense. The warehouse was valued at approximately \$156 million. The contents of the warehouse, said to represent a three months' supply of the wipes, paper towels, diapers and facial tissues to be consumed by one-fourth to one-third of the population of the American Southwest, were a total loss. The approximate retail value of its contents, has been placed at \$450 million.

An intensive multi-agency investigation ensued after Abdulkarim's, arrest, which included a search warrant being served at his residence in Highland.

Both the U.S. Attorney's Office and the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Of-

fice moved quickly to file charges against him. The obvious charges that loom under both prosecutorial authorities pertain to arson.

According to the lead FBI agent, the warehouse building he is accused of destroying was is used in interstate commerce and was used in activities affecting interstate commerce, such that he can be prosecuted under federal law, specifically 18 United States code Section 844(i). Despite his eligibility for federal charges being lodged against him, federal prosecutors are going to defer to the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office because a state statute that is going to be applied in this case will, upon conviction, entail a more substantial penalty, conceivably resulting in life imprisonment. That crime is Penal Code Section 451.5(a), aggravated arson. In addition to the single aggravated arson charge, the district attorney's office is also hitting him with six Arson of a Structure or Forest in violation of Penal Code Section 451(c) charges. All seven are felony charges, with the 451.5(a) charge being the most serious and applying to the destruction of the entire warehouse. The six other charges grow out of what are known to be, as a consequence of the videos and photos that Abdulkarim made of his action, six separate fires he lit while in the warehouse.

In addition, according to the district attorney's office, Abdulkarim will likely spend most of, if not the rest, of his life incarcerated because of the sentencing enhancements prosecutors will endeavor to have the jury or judge apply to him in this case.

"Chamel A. Abdulkarim is eligible for imprisonment in the state prison due to: the current charge is a serious or violent felony; defendant," a charging document filed by the San Bernardino county District Attorney's Office States. "Chamel A. Abdulkarim has a prior

serious or violent felony conviction; defendant Chamel A. Abdulkarim has been convicted of a crime with a Penal Code section 186.11 enhancement. It is further alleged as to each count, pursuant to Penal Code section 1170, subdivision (b)(2), that the following circumstances may apply in this case: The crime involved great violence, great bodily harm, threat of great bodily harm, or other acts disclosing a high degree of cruelty, viciousness, or callousness; The defendant was armed with or used a weapon at the time of the commission of the crime; The victim was particularly vulnerable; The defendant induced others to participate in the commission of the crime or occupied a position of leadership or dominance of other participants in its commission; The defendant induced a minor to commit or assist in the commission of the crime; The defendant threatened witnesses, unlawfully prevented or dissuaded witnesses from testifying, suborned perjury, or in any other way illegally interfered with the judicial process; The defendant was convicted of other crimes for which consecutive sentences could have been imposed but for which concurrent sentences are being imposed; The manner in which the crime was carried out indicates planning, sophistication, or professionalism; The crime involved an attempted or actual taking or damage of great monetary value; The crime involved a large quantity of contraband; The defendant took advantage of a position of trust or confidence to commit the offense. The crime constitutes a hate crime under section 422.55; The defendant has engaged in violent conduct that indicates a serious danger to society; The defendant's prior convictions as an adult or sustained petitions in juvenile delinquency proceedings are numerous or of increasing seriousness; The defendant has served a prior term in prison or county jail

under section 1170(h); The defendant was on probation, mandatory supervision, postrelease community supervision, or parole when the crime was committed; and The defendant's prior performance on probation, mandatory supervision, postrelease community supervision, or parole was unsatisfactory; and any other aggravating factors that may be permitted by law."

Despite a *Sentinel* request, the district attorney's office did not disclose or provide any documents relating to what prior serious or violent felony Abdulkarim was convicted of; what crime with a Penal Code section 186.11 enhancement Abdulkarim had been convicted of; what great bodily harm had actually rather than potentially resulted from Abdulkarim's action; how or with what weapon Abdulkarim was armed at the time of the arson; who the others he induced to participate in the commission of the crime were; what minor he induced to commit or assist in the commission of the crime; what witnesses he threatened or unlawfully prevented or dissuaded from testifying, suborned perjury from; what other crimes he was convicted of for which consecutive sentences could have been imposed but for which concurrent sentences were imposed; and what contraband was involved in the commission of the crime.

The *Sentinel's* survey of San Bernardino County Superior Court records turned up no indication Abdulkarim had been convicted of any criminal offense in San Bernardino County. Whatever conviction or convictions referenced by the district attorney's office apparently took place elsewhere.

While there were no criminal cases involving Abdulkarim in San Bernardino County, there were two civil cases which might shed light on what occurred earlier this week.

Abdulkarim's constant refrain during both

of his phone calls, one with his roommate and one with another worker at NFI Industries, and during his social media posting prior to, during and after his act[s] of arson with regard to not having been paid enough in his social media posting and it both phone calls, is reflected in a lawsuit that was filed against him by Midland Credit Management, Inc., which was attempting to recover money that company said he owed it. That lawsuit, filed in August 2025 and which is yet ongoing, seems to undergird his contention that he is experiencing difficulty and has fallen behind the eight ball financially as his wages as an NFI Industries employee been insufficient for him to keep his head above water.

In February 2024, Abdulkarim, represented by David Myers, Jason Hatcher and Andriana Bravo of the Rancho Cucamonga-based Myers Law Group, filed suit against Primeflight Aviation Services, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, an unfair labor action for failure to provide rest periods, failure to provide accurate wage statements, failure to pay wages due at separation and unfair competition. The lawsuit was launched as a class action suit, on on Abdulkarim's behalf and "all of those similarly situated." In December of 2024, the parties reached a fully executed settlement agreement and in February of 2025, the case was dismissed with its class action status being resolved. The circumstance suggests that Abdulkarim considered himself to be a crusader with regard to employee rights.

He found himself channeled into a blue collar position, working in warehouse. While there has been an intense round of development of warehousing in the Inland Empire and San Bernardino County going back for fifteen years, with local politicians welcoming such projects based upon the

Continued on Page 12

Upland Used “Omnibus Ordinance,” An Ambiguous Descriptor, To Mask The Substance Of The Changes It Made To Different Specific Provisions Of The City Code *from page 3*

the bottom of a nine-pronged “omnibus ordinance” that drew little attention to, and indeed seemed designed to draw little attention to, its contents. This hindered the ability of the public to be aware of or to participate in the vetting, discussion or approval process for the ordinance or its contents. The ordinance was then passed without any

public comment on what would have very likely been a controversial repeal of an existing ordinance.

Thereafter, the city stood by while the impacted individuals remained in the dark. Ultimately, those individuals – the mobile home residents – were notified by their landlords rather than the city. The land-

lords presented news of the change as a fait accompli, with which those residents had no opportunity to quibble, quarrel, impact or change.

The public record shows that the agenda for the May 27, 2025 Upland City Council meeting listed as item number 13 a “business item” consisting of the “consideration of an omnibus ordinance amending various sections of the Upland Municipal Code.” Upland City Council meetings are normally held on the

second and fourth Monday night of the month. In this case, the meeting was held on Tuesday evening, because of that week’s conflict with the three-day Memorial Day Weekend.

Nowhere in the agenda for the May 27, 2025 meeting is there a description of what those “various sections” of the city code consist of. Nevertheless, technically and legalistically, the city was in compliance with the requirement contained in the Ralph M. Brown Act that it

make public disclosure of the action ahead of the vote. The Brown Act, California’s open public meeting law, requires that an agenda providing a bare-bones description of what is to be discussed and/or voted upon by a local legislative body be posted at least 72 hours in advance.

At the May 27, 2025 meeting, the discussion with regard to the omnibus ordinance was extremely abbreviated. Though the item was up for discussion during what was considered to

be a public hearing, no members of the public offered a comment on the proposed changes. The council considered the item on the basis of the ordinance’s title only and waived the necessity of have to read the full contents of the ordinance, which further obscured what was occurring, noting only that Ordinance No. 1997 “amending various sections of the Upland Municipal Code as specified” and was being given the first of two approvals by the council,

Continued on Page 7

Sheriff & Probation Departments Join Multi-Agency Gang Task Force *from page 3*

law, which may result in fees that exceed the total memorandum of understanding amount.”

Moreover, according to Mendoza, “The memorandum of understanding does not require any of the participating agencies to meet the county’s insurance standards, as required pursuant to County Policies 11-05, 11-07, and 11-07 SP.

Further, in her report, Mendoza told the board and the public that “County policy requires contractors to carry appropriate insurance at limits and under conditions determined by the County’s risk management department and as set forth in county policy and in the county standard contract.”

The potential impact of that, Mendoza said, is that “The County has no assurance that the outside agencies will be financially responsible for claims that may arise under the memorandum of understanding, which could result in expenses to the county that exceed the total memorandum of understanding amount. The memorandum of understanding does not require any of the participating agencies to indemnify the County, as required by County Policies 11-05, 11-07, and 11-07 SP. The County standard contract indemnity provision requires contractors to indemnify, defend, and hold County harmless from third party claims arising out of the acts, errors, or omissions of any person.”

Mendoza said there is therefore a potential that if Gang Intelligence Team operations go awry, the county might accrue some financial li-

ability.

“The participating agencies are not required to defend, indemnify, or hold the county harmless from any claims, including indemnification from claims arising from the participating agencies’ negligent or intentional acts,” Mendoza wrote. “If the county is sued for any claim that may arise under the memorandum of understanding, the county may be financially responsible for the defense of the claim and any resulting judgment/settlement.”

Despite the financial risks, Mendoza recommended that the board of supervisors sign off on having the county and its agencies join in as members of the Gang Intelligence Team.

Gang activity is persistent in Southern California, representing a constant area of focus for law enforcement and community leaders, a reality that has ex-

isted for decades. Gang violence is widespread, dangerous, and deadly in virtually all of San Bernardino County’s communities. Gangs cross racial, ethnic, age, socio-economic, and geographic boundaries. Though they are not necessarily gender-specific, the predominant number of gang members are males. They exist in San Bernardino County’s most urbanized settings, but are present in its suburban, and rural communities environments. Gang members in San Bernardino county have been parents, students, employed, and educated.

The impact of gangs on several of San Bernardino County’s communities has been severe, defining the context and culture of whole neighborhoods. Gangs often provide a paradoxical sense of security, status, or belonging, especially in neighborhoods with scarce resources. The

drug trade, overcrowding, poor infrastructure, and limited access to mental health care create fertile ground for recruitment.

Despite numerous interventions and efforts to address gang violence, the problem remains a significant challenge to community safety and cohesion. The gang problem in Southern California in general is a broad problem that requires a collective response from parents, family members, friends, community members, government, law enforcement to prevent the devastation caused by gangs and to protect youths who are the primary targets for gang recruitment.

Mendoza wrote in the report, which bore the same date as that of the meeting, April 7, 2026, “While the terms and conditions of the memorandum of understanding are exceptions

to the county’s standard language, approval by the board of supervisors is necessary for the sheriff’s department and the probation department to participate in the Gang Intelligence Team. County counsel and risk management have reviewed the non-standard language and provided input.”

Mendoza informed the board that approval of the pact as recommended would “authorize the memorandum of understanding for the formation of, and participation of the sheriff’s department and probation department in the Gang Intelligence Team that will conduct in-depth, comprehensive investigations targeting the most violent, disruptive, and influential criminal street and prison gangs in the Inland Empire’s communities, to disrupt and dismantle these criminal organiza-

Continued on Page 7

Stone Departed Fontana In Protest Over City Management’s Financial Irregularities *from page 3*

Redmond had the ability to purchase \$65 million in certificates of participation in the early- to mid-1980s controverted their claim and that of Ratelle that the Ten-Ninety Corporation was not able to defray a substantial percentage of the cost of the infrastructure and off-site improvements the Southridge

project would require. It was subsequently detailed that the Ten-Ninety Corporation had been providing kickbacks to Ratelle, hiding and laundering those payments to him by putting money into a credit line the city manager had opened at the MGM Grand Hotel in Las Vegas.

The February 19, 2021 article with regard to Deitsch, Sabo and Ratelle referenced further alleged irregularities in that era of Fontana’s history relating to Neil Stone, who was employed as Fontana’s development agency di-

rector from August 1983 through February 1987 and in which capacity he was also serving as the city’s redevelopment director.

Those allegations regarding Stone were incorrect. Neil Stone did not engage in any financial irregularities or illegalities. Herein are the corrections.

The article stated that “Stone owned property in the name of his children, which was different from his own, in [a] redevelopment area in the northwest quadrant of the city. Upon learning of that circumstance,

Deitsch elected to take no action.” The article further connects Stone to Ratelle, and states they had engaged “in illegal or highly questionable activities in their capacities as high-ranking staff in Fontana.”

Factually, neither Neil Stone nor his wife nor children owned property within any of the City of Fontana’s nine redevelopment project areas. The only property owned by Neil Stone and his wife within the City of Fontana was their primary residence at 10840 Nuevo Drive, Fontana. His children [both mi-

nors at the time] owned no property within the City of Fontana.

In his capacity as the city’s development director, Stone was responsible for supervision and direction of the city’s multi-faceted development division, including building and safety, community development, economic development, grants, housing and planning-zoning departments and the redevelopment agency. Stone’s departure from the City of Fontana, per his resignation letter as addressed to the city manager, mayor

and each member of the city council stated that the cause for his resignation was due to his perception of the city’s financial irregularities including his continuing objection to the city attorney-redevelopment attorney also serving as the bond counsel and disclosure counsel with regard to bonds issued by the city and his inability to secure budget and expenditure reports from the city’s finance department for the departments and redevelopment agency for which he was responsible.

Mason Says His Putting The Brakes On Supervisors' Intended Giveaway To The Sheriff's Deputies' Union Is What Got Him In Dutch With The County Supervisors *from page 4*

ter she was en route to the hospital, the individual who oversees the motor pool for the state legislature had come to the location and moved the car so that it was no longer parked facing the flow of traffic. When the officers, who knew the car had been moved, arrived at the hospital, they insinuated into their questioning of Cervantes with regard to the accident suggestions about the position of the two involved vehicles that they knew, or logically should have understood, would produce an answer from her that would be inconsistent with where her car had been positioned after the accident which by inference – indeed a false one – that would support the conclusion that she was intoxicated. Thus, by being honest and straightforward and having a clear, unimpeded and unimpaired recollection of what had occurred, which was actually an indication that she was not under the influence of any illicit substances or alcohol, Cervantes fell into a trap set by the officers intended to serve as a false but nevertheless credible indicator that she might be intoxicated, which then served as evidence used, disingenuously and intellectually dishonestly, against her in the effort to seek a warrant.

The department did not wait to obtain the results of the chemical analysis of the blood drawn from Cervantes, but on the basis of what the officers, it turned out erroneously, claimed were “objective signs of intoxication,” issued her a citation – tantamount to an arrest – for driving under the influence. Thereafter, members of the department compounded the previous

action through further misrepresentations to the Department of Motor Vehicles in preparation for Cervantes' hearing regarding the pending suspension of her driving license and, according to a release by Quadra, “by leaking false claims to the media that Senator Cervantes had been driving under the influence, damaging her reputation and public standing.”

The four Sacramento police department employees named in the lawsuit are officers Daniel Williams, Bailey Foster and Kevin Lucas and Sergeant Kristin Beal.

According to Quadra, Coll and Sanford, Officer Williams deactivated his body camera at one point for five minutes as part of his effort to frame Cervantes with regard to the false driving while intoxicated charge. The department was unable to produce or otherwise withheld production of the footage from Sergeant Beal's bodyworn camera, despite Beal having gone to the hospital to interview Senator Cervantes on May 19, 2025.

According to the lawsuit, “In order to obtain the warrant against plaintiff, defendant Lucas signed a warrant affidavit under penalty of perjury when he made multiple false statements. Defendant Lucas falsely stated that Senator Cervantes had refused to submit to a blood test. Defendant Lucas also falsely claimed that Senator Cervantes had an unsteady gait, slurred speech and an appearance of drowsiness, and, even if any of those statements had been truthful, Defendant Lucas concealed the material facts that Senator Cervantes had been injured in a car crash and had told officers that her back and the whole side of her body was in pain. Outrageously, the warrant affidavit cited Senator Cervantes' alleged refusal to undergo a subjective sobriety test as reason for the alleged probable cause to issue a warrant, yet concealed the material fact that Of-

ficer Williams repeatedly reiterated to Senator Cervantes that she had the absolute right to refuse the purely voluntary test. Officer Lucas also failed to disclose the source and basis of key supposed observations, preventing the judge from independently evaluating the reliability of it and implying that Officer Lucas was involved in the investigation.”

Lucas was not at the hospital but rather at police headquarters in the minutes and hours following the accident.

While Cervantes was at the hospital, awaiting an examination by medical personnel, she engaged directly with at least three personnel from the police department, those being Foster, Williams and Beal. As Cervantes was being pressed to allow the officers to proceed with the investigation into whether she was under the influence in accordance with a protocol they had structured, she signaled that she was willing to have an analysis of her blood done and that she considered such an evaluation as being preferable to a horizontal gaze nystagmus, a test which relies on a police officer subjectively judging the eyes' response to stimulus. Quadra, Coll and Sanford maintain in the suit that “After Senator Cervantes' blood was drawn, Officer Williams completed a false statement under penalty of perjury stating that Senator Cervantes had refused to complete a chemical test after her arrest in violation of California Vehicle Code § 23612, and that Senator Cervantes had refused to state what time she stopped driving. This false statement was transmitted by unknown personnel of the Sacramento Police Department, an agency of the City of Sacramento, to the California Department of Motor Vehicles.”

In this way, according to the lawsuit, Foster pushed for the department to seek a court order for the blood test even though Cervantes

had already agreed to provide one voluntarily. The depiction of Senator Cervantes was in this regard a false one which was and remains damaging to her reputation, according to Quadra, Coll and Sanford.

Working in tandem, Williams and Foster sought to manufacture a case that Cervantes was intoxicated, according to the lawsuit. While at the hospital interviewing Cervantes, who had been injured and was in pain, Williams, with Foster nearby, pressed the state senator about how it was that she drove to the curb after she was struck. She explained that her vehicle had been propelled to the opposite side of the street she had been driving on, and to avoid oncoming traffic, she steered over to the curb on the left side of the street. At the time she was being questioned, she was unaware that the head of the legislature's fleet management division, Alex Cruz, had moved the vehicle from the curb facing oncoming traffic on S Street to a safe spot on 14th Street, and therefore was somewhat disoriented as to the actual circumstance by Williams' references, statements and questions about the car being found around the corner on 14th Street. Williams had, however, spoken to Cervantes' chief of staff, Cesar Anda, who told him that the Toyota Camry Cervantes was driving was at the curb on S Street facing traffic when he arrived at the accident scene.

A few minutes later, Officer Foster, in a phone call to police headquarters in which he engaged in a conversation with someone he referred to as “Sarge,” stated, “I do think she is intoxicated – the state senator.” Foster did not tell the sergeant that Cervantes had offered to have the hospital disclose her blood test results to determine her state of sobriety. He stated, demonstrably falsely based upon the recordings of Cervantes' exchanges with the officers, that she was slurring her words. Then, a

few minutes later, he had a second phone exchange with “Sarge” in which he said that Cervantes “story” did not “add up,” because of where the state vehicle was found parked after the accident, even though Foster had been present when Cervantes' chief of staff, Anda, explained that the car was parked on S Street when he arrived at the accident location, and even through neither Officer Foster nor Officer Williams ever asked anyone if the vehicle had been moved after Cervantes left for the hospital. The position of the Toyota Camry driven by Cervantes immediately after accident is indisputable, based on a nearby security camera video obtained by the Sacramento Police Department.

At one point during the afternoon of May 19, 2025 after Foster was in touch with someone at police headquarters, according to Quadra, Coll and Sanford, Foster told Williams, “No matter what, we're writing a warrant.”

Both Williams and Foster were complicit in stampeding toward falsely charging with Cervantes with driving while intoxicated, Quadra, Coll and Sanford maintain. “Defendants Foster and Williams did not have probable cause for a warrant; in fact, body cam footage reveals Defendant Williams commenting, ‘If I had to make a wild guess, there is a possibility – I have a reasonable suspicion that she has something on board.’” the lawsuit states. “On board” is apparently law enforcement slang for someone being under the influence of drugs.

“Neither reasonable suspicion nor a ‘wild guess’ is a basis to effect a lawful arrest in California nor to seek a warrant,” the lawsuit states.

According to Quadra, Coll and Sanford, at one time or another during their encounters with Cervantes, Sergeant Beal and officers Williams and Foster shut their bodyworn cameras off.

This was in defiance

of Sacramento Police Department General Order 525.07 (F) (1), which states “Once their body camera is activated, employees shall not deactivate bodyworn cameras until the investigation enforcement is concluded.” Sacramento Police Department General Order 525.07 (F) (4) states “Employees shall audibly record the reason for deactivation in all instances.”

“Sergeant Beal directed that a warrant be requested to draw Senator Cervantes' blood despite lack of probable cause,” according to Quadra, Coll and Sanford. “Defendants Williams and Foster conspired with Defendant Lucas and Sergeant Beal to fabricate probable cause for a warrant. Senator Cervantes is informed and believes that defendants Williams, Foster and Lucas acted at the direction of Defendant Beal in violating Senator Cervantes' rights. Senator Cervantes is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the city is liable for the constitutional violations alleged herein because the city's officials or employees, acting under color of law, deprived a person of [her] particular rights under the United States Constitution.

According to Quadra, Coll and Sanford, it appears the Sacramento Police Department had animus toward Cervantes because of legislation she had written which hamstrung the department.

According to the lawsuit, Cervantes introduced Senate Bill 275 on January 4, 2025 “to curb potential abuses by law enforcement agencies, including the Sacramento Police Department, associated with the use of automated license plate reader systems.”

Automated license plate readers photograph license plates and use algorithmic information processing systems and access to databases, which thereby, the lawsuit says, “allow for the widespread and systematic collection of license

Continued on Page 12

While Technically Meeting Disclosure Requirements, Upland Council Last Year Rescinded Mobile Home Resident Protection Ordinance Without The Knowledge Of Those Directly Affected *from page 5*

pursuant to a vote. On a motion by Councilman James Breitling that was seconded by Councilman Rudy Zuniga, the item was passed unanimously.

It was then scheduled for a second reading, i.e., consideration and vote, at the city council's June 9, 2025 meeting. Ordinances under California general law must be voted upon and passed twice and then be subject to a 30 day delay before going into effect. In this way, it was the vote to take place at the June 9 meeting that will provide the final determination as to whether the omnibus ordinance would go into effect.

The City of Upland in this case, through the personage of clerk Kerri Johnson, had arranged, at a cost of \$522.87, that the largest circulation daily newspaper in Upland, the Inland Valley *Daily Bulletin*, to publish on June 3, 2025 a legal notice of the pending finalization of the adoption of the ordinance, containing a summary of the omnibus ordinance.

With the all-capital-letter caption of "Notice Of Proposed Adoption of Ordinance No. 1997," the legal advertisement stated, "Please Take Notice that on June 9, 2025 at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, Upland City Hall, 460 North Euclid Avenue, Upland, California, the City Council of the City of Upland shall consider the adoption of Ordinance No. 1997, entitled 'An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Upland amending various sections of the Upland Municipal Code as specified.' The aforementioned ordinance was introduced for first reading on May 27, 2025 by the City Council."

A summary of the omnibus ordinance followed, stating the city council's anticipated action would "amend and update various sections

of the Upland Municipal Code as part of the City of Upland's annual review" of its codes.

"First," the summary stated, "the ordinance would amend Section 2.12.010 to remove the requirement that the city manager's appointment of the director of finance must be approved by the city council. Second, the ordinance would update Section 2.14.020(C) to permit the city clerk's designee to issue confirmation of receipt of campaign disclosure statements required by the Political Reform Act. Third, the ordinance would amend Section 2.48.090 to provide that notices inviting bids under the city's formal bid procedure shall be posted on the city's website instead of in a newspaper of general circulation, and to remove the requirement that the city solicit bids from a bidder's list. Fourth, the ordinance would update Section 2.56.060(C) to increase the city's gift limit under its conflict of interest regulations from \$420.00 to \$630.00. Fifth, the ordinance would amend multiple sections of Chapter 3.32, "Claims Against City." The ordinance would replace Sections 3.32.020, 3.32.030 and 3.32.040 regarding facsimile signatures of warrants, payroll checks, and submitting certified manual signatures. Additionally, the ordinance would replace Section 3.32.050, regarding operation of the city's check signing machine, in its entirety. Sixth, the Ordinance would remove Section 12.12.050(G) (2), defining eligibility criteria for discounted water charges under the city's water service regulations."

Of note, is that the summary was generally more forthcoming with respect to the nature of the other elements of the city code being altered than with respect to the mobile home rent in-

crease limitations, which was made in the most cursory and indirect manner.

At the June 9 meeting, the discussion of and vote on the omnibus ordinance was not allotted a separate public hearing but rather placed on the meeting's consent calendar along with 16 other items. The consent calendar is normally reserved for items that are considered routine and noncontroversial, and which are grouped together to be collectively voted upon with a single vote. On a motion by Councilman Breitling seconded by Councilman Zuniga, the consent calendar was unanimously approved by the mayor and council.

Subsequent to the June 9 meeting, on June 16, at cost to the city of \$537.14, the Inland Valley *Daily Bulletin* ran a notice of the adoption of Ordinance No. 1997, which again contained a summary of the ordinance's contents, essentially identical to that contained in the previously published notice.

The appearances of the May 27 and June 9 agendas, the action at the meetings and the legal notices garnered no citizen/resident attention.

The matter remained pretty much unremarked until quite recently when the landlords at three of the city's five mobile home parks informed their tenants they are going to increase their lease payments by 5 percent. There have been reports that the other two mobile home parks are on the verge of or have already given indication they will seek lease increases of 10 percent this year. In reaction to some immediate protests to the 5 percent increase, one representative of a corporation that owns one of the city's mobile home parks said tenants should gird themselves for a 10 percent increase next year.

While they remained silent over the last ten months for strategic reasons meant as a favor or benefit to the mayor and four members of the city council, own-

ership/management of the mobile home parks hailed the eradication of the Mobilehome Rent Review/Mobile Home Rent Control Ordinance as a positive development that was long past due. They point out that the expense of owning, operating and maintaining a mobile home park is not insubstantial and that there are risks involved that can render the parks unprofitable and/or marginally profitable. Ownership must deal with empty spaces in the parks that can be difficult to fill and payment delinquencies are a common experience which will ultimately entail evictions, which can prove expensive. Another issue is the rehabilitation of mobile homes on their premises abandoned by former tenants, the ownership of which has reverted or fallen to them. Dilapidated homes represent potential liability to landlords, they say. A factor to be considered is the relative frequency or ratio between what mobile home owners consider to be "good" tenants and "bad tenants."

In addition, the landlords and their advocates say, the value of real estate has escalated so precipitously in recent years and the regulations with regard to density on residential projects has been so relaxed that those who own mobile home parks stand to make a tremendous profit by simply shuttering their mobile home parks and either developing the property as single-family or multifamily homes or selling the property to a development company with that intent. This justifies, they say, raising leasing or rental rates on mobile home space beyond the 3 percent increase per year.

None of that, those living in the city's mobile homes say, makes the manner in which the city council blindsided okay. The fashion in which the city council acted in May and June of 2025 lacked transparency, the maintain, and was a betrayal of the mobile home residents who had counted upon city offi-

cial to not only be open about how they function but to provide everyone an opportunity to weigh in on city policy before it is formulated, altered or disregarded.

At this point, it is not known how many mobile home owners will elect to move to another location or sell their coaches, quite possibly at a loss, to the mobile home park owners. That represents not just a single boon or double boon to the landlords. When a mobile home sells, the mobile home park ownership/management can stipulate a new rent price altogether, beyond the maximum of 3 percent yearly increase that was formerly in place if no sale took place and the owner remained when the Mobilehome Rent Review/Mobile Home Rent Control Ordinance was intact, beyond the 5 percent increase at least three-fifths of mobile home owners in Upland are experiencing this year and beyond the 10 percent increase it is anticipated the mobile home park owners are looking to impose next year.

The daughter of a couple living in a mobile home in one of Upland's mobile home parks told the *Sentinel*, "The residents at my parents' senior mobile home park in Upland were caught off guard this week by a letter from their landlord, letting them know the city got rid of the rent stabilization ordinance and that their rent would be increasing. Upon investigation, the city council included this repeal as part of an omni-

bus ordinance and listed it at the end after a multitude of other, unrelated codes. The city's posted agendas had many ordinances with meaningful names that resulted in public discourse according to meeting minutes, but made no mention of the rent stabilization ordinance until around page 273 of supporting documents. The city, including the representatives of those constituents who would be impacted, made no effort to let them know so they could participate in public discourse."

She suggested the city council and other Upland officials had deliberately obscured the substance of the omnibus ordinance relating to the rent stabilization ordinance to prevent any effective resistance to what the city council did for the mobile home park owners.

"My gut tells me they waited a long enough time to avoid any chance of amendments or referenda in response," she said. "It is an obviously sneaky and underhanded way to exploit the least advantaged people in the community. Mobile home parks are disproportionately home to elderly and disabled, most of whom are on fixed incomes. Their tricky methods are now resulting in increased monthly costs for people who already struggle. It also lowers the value of their home when the associated land lease is higher. So, my parents and many like them have lost equity in their investment as a result of this."

-Mark Gutglueck

County Joins Gang Task Force *from front page*

tions through state and federal prosecution and authorize the sheriff and the chief probation officer to execute the memorandum of understanding and non-substantive amendments, including changes to the scope of work, on behalf of the county."

The board of supervisors unanimously approved entering into the

agreement to form the joint anti-gang task force and the sharing of information and cooperation between the various agencies. The supervisors offered a collective statement that "The partnership helps to achieve the Countywide Vision by working collaboratively to ensure that public safety resources are maximized and that the county remains a safe, secure and thriving environment for all residents and businesses.

Public Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, March 24, 2026, the City Council of the City of Grand Terrace adopted Ordinance No. 375, and said Ordinance was introduced for the first reading (by title only and waiving further reading of text) on Tuesday, March 10, 2026.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND TERRACE ADDING CHAPTER 9.37 (AGGRESSIVE SOLICITATION) OF TITLE 9 (PUBLIC PEACE, MORALS AND WELFARE) OF THE GRAND TERRACE MUNICIPAL CODE

The City Council of the City of Grand Terrace (the "City Council") will add Chapter 9.37 to the Grand Terrace Municipal Code to address aggressive solicitation in public areas. It responds to increasing behaviors such as following individuals, repeated unwanted requests, threatening language, physical contact, and obstruction of pedestrian or vehicle traffic. The ordinance also targets solicitation in sensitive locations like banks, ATMs, and public transportation areas, as well as interactions with motorists that may imply threats to safety or property. It is intended as a lawful time, place, and manner regulation that preserves public safety while respecting constitutionally protected activities.

Ordinance No. 375 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Grand Terrace by the following vote: AYES: Mayor Bill Hussey, Mayor Pro Tem Michelle Sabino, Council Member Doug Wilson, Council Member Jeff Allen and Council Member Matt Brown NOES: None. ABSENT: None.

A certified copy of the full text of this ordinance is available at the office of the City Clerk, 22795 Barton Road, Grand Terrace, California 92313-5295, during regular business hours.

Daysi Alcocer
City Clerk

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 10, 2026

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER CIV SB 2606616

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner BRIAN ANTHONY POLLASTRINI filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: BRIAN ANTHONY POLLASTRINI to ANTHONY BRIAN POLLASTRINI

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the

Public Notices

court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 04/28/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S22

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 03/17/2026
Stephanie Garcia, Deputy Clerk of the Court
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER CIV SB 2607035

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner LINDA ARMENDARIZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

LINDA ANN MARIE JAUREGUI to LINDA JAUREGUI ARMENDARIZ

[and]
LINDA ANNE ARMENDARIZ to LINDA JAUREGUI ARMENDARIZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: May 6, 2026 Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S25

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 03/20/2026
Sylvia Guajardo, Deputy Clerk of the Court
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

FBN20260002291
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

TERRA VISTA DENTAL CARE DENTAL OFFICE 7211 HAVEN AVENUE STE D RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701; RAJURKAR DENTAL INC 7211 HAVEN AVENUE STE D RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701
Business Mailing Address: PO BOX 9224 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number B20250426072.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.
By signing, I declare that all

Public Notices

information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ SEJAL RAJURKAR, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 03/18/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K9232

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

FBN20260001035
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

KC TAGS AND REGISTRATION SERVICES 17334 FOOTHILL BLVD FONTANA, CA 92335; KAR AUTO GROUP INC 17334 FOOTHILL BLVD FONTANA, CA 92335
Business Mailing Address: 17334 FOOTHILL BLVD FONTANA, CA 92335

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: February 9, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KUNAL VERMA, PRESIDENT
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 02/10/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K9236

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

FBN20260001680
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CATERPILLAR LANDSCAPE 7601 ARCADIA AVE HESPERIA, CA 92345; JULIO C. MALDONADO MESA
Business Mailing Address: 7601 ARCADIA AVE HESPERIA, CA 92345
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: March 3, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JULIO C. MALDONADO MESA, Owner
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 03/03/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy F30106

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Public Notices

information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MAO-CHIU CHUI

CASE NO. PRO-VA2600203

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MAO-CHIU CHUI: a petition for probate has been filed by DEANNA CHUI REDDY in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION for Probate requests that DEANNA CHUI REDDY be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held April 28, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing.

Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Deanna Chui Reddy:

Mathew Alden (California Bar Number 288429) 255 North D Street Suite 200 San Bernardino, CA 92401 (909) 414-0797 mralden123@gmail.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER 2606398,
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Buddy Williams, filed

with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Buddy Kole Williams to Buddy Kole Ouellette,
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 04/27/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: 537
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 03/16/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga on 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026

Public Notices

information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MAO-CHIU CHUI

CASE NO. PRO-VA2600203

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MAO-CHIU CHUI: a petition for probate has been filed by DEANNA CHUI REDDY in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION for Probate requests that DEANNA CHUI REDDY be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held April 28, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing.

Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Deanna Chui Reddy:

Mathew Alden (California Bar Number 288429) 255 North D Street Suite 200 San Bernardino, CA 92401 (909) 414-0797 mralden123@gmail.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER 2606398,
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Buddy Williams, filed

with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Buddy Kole Williams to Buddy Kole Ouellette,
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 04/27/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: 537
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 03/16/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga on 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026

Public Notices

information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MAO-CHIU CHUI

CASE NO. PRO-VA2600203

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MAO-CHIU CHUI: a petition for probate has been filed by DEANNA CHUI REDDY in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION for Probate requests that DEANNA CHUI REDDY be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held April 28, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing.

Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Deanna Chui Reddy:

Mathew Alden (California Bar Number 288429) 255 North D Street Suite 200 San Bernardino, CA 92401 (909) 414-0797 mralden123@gmail.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER 2606398,
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Buddy Williams, filed

with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Buddy Kole Williams to Buddy Kole Ouellette,
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 04/27/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: 537
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 03/16/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga on 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026

Public Notices

information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 20 & 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MAO-CHIU CHUI

CASE NO. PRO-VA2600203

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MAO-CHIU CHUI: a petition for probate has been filed by DEANNA CHUI REDDY in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION for Probate requests that DEANNA CHUI REDDY be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held April 28, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing.

Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Deanna Chui Reddy:

Mathew Alden (California Bar Number 288429) 255 North D Street Suite 200 San Bernardino, CA 92401 (909) 414-0797 mralden123@gmail.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on March 27 and April 3 & 10, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER 2606398,
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Buddy Williams, filed

with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Buddy Kole Williams to Buddy Kole Ouellette,
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 04/27/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: 537
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 03/16/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga on 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026

Public Notices

ERY (217705)
ALBRIGHT LAW
74900 HIGHWAY 111
SUITE 125
INDIAN WELLS, CA
92210
Telephone No: (949) 945-8221
FAX No: (760) 818-8718
russell@albright-law.com
and ashlea@albright-law.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 3, 10 & 17, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIVSB2607757
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner MIN KYOUNG LEE filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: MIN KYOUNG LEE to KATIE MIN KYOUNG CHEON

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 05/08/2026, Time: 09:00 AM, Department: S29

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 03/27/2026
Leslie Zepeda, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2026.

NOTICE OF HEARING

FAMVS901404
To: JORGE ENRIQUE RAMIREZ

Petitioner: Maria Eugenia Rosales

Respondent: Jorge Enrique Ramirez
A Court Hearing will be held as follows:

Date: April 28, 2026
Time: 10:30 a.m.

Department B3
Barstow Superior Court
Barstow Court House
235 East Mt. View Street
Barstow, CA 92311
Maria Eugenia Rosalez,

In Pro Per
Stewart & Gray Rd Unit

12A
Downey, CA 90241
(760) 353-5723
ma.eugenia.rosales@gmail.com

Dated: March 25, 2026
Commissioner Jason S.

Wilkinson
Jennifer Garner, Deputy Clerk, for the Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2026.

FBN20260002837

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

KRAZY QS DESIGN 960 WESTERN AVE COLTON, CA 92324; KRISTEN J QUIROS

Business Mailing Address: 960 WESTERN AVE COLTON, CA 92324

Public Notices

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: March 19, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KRISTEN J QUIROS, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 03/03/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9535

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2026.

FBN20260001329

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

NATURE GARDEN 19669 OLD EL MIRAGE RD ADELANTO, CA 92301; SUJUN WU

Business Mailing Address: 19669 OLD EL MIRAGE RD ADELANTO, CA 92301

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ SUJUN WU, Owner
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 02/20/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy 4624

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 3, 10, 17 & 24 and May 1, 2026.

FBN20260001460

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

REAL ESTATE AND LENDING SIMPLIFIED 3200 E GUASTI RD 100 ONTARIO, CA 91761; REAL ESTATE AND LENDING SIMPLIFIED 3200 E GUASTI RD 100 ONTARIO, CA 91761

Business Mailing Address: 1814 LAKEWOOD AVE UPLAND, CA 91784

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number B20260088731

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: February 20, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on

Public Notices

this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JOHN ABRIL, President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 02/25/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J6733

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on February 27 and March 6, 13 & 20, 2026. Corrected on April 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2026.

FBN20260001501

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

BIRDEYE LOANS 3200 E GUASTI ROAD, 100 ONTARIO, CA 91761; REAL ESTATE AND LENDING SIMPLIFIED 3200 E GUASTI RD 100 ONTARIO, CA 91761

Business Mailing Address: 1814 LAKEWOOD AVE UPLAND, CA 91784

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number B20260088731

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: February 20, 2026.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JOHN ABRIL, President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 02/26/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on February 27 and March 6, 13 & 20, 2026. Corrected on April 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2026.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER 2608100,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner Santiago Cerda, filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Santiago Stephen McAuliffe.

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 05/18/2026, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: 5147
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/01/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz

Published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026

Public Notices

lished in the SBCS ? Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/01/2026
Judge of the Superior Court: Joseph T. Ortiz
Published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga on 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026

FBN20260003035

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CASTANEDA BACKHOE 1958 S. OAKLAND AVENUE ONTARIO, CA 91762; ADOLFO CASTANEDA

Business Mailing Address: 1958 S. OAKLAND AVENUE ONTARIO, CA 91762

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: NOVEMBER 1, 2025.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ADOLFO CASTANEDA, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 4/06/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J1808

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 10, 17 & 24 and May 1, 2026.

FBN20260003058

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

MADD.CUSTOMZ 13289 16th STREET CHINO, CA 91710; JOSE R MADRIGAL

Business Mailing Address: 13289 16th STREET CHINO, CA 91710

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JOSE R MADRIGAL, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 4/07/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9535

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 10, 17 & 24 and May 1, 2026.

FBN20260002935

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ZAIGA INDIAN CUISINE 15332 RAMONA AVE FONTANA, CA 92336; K&J FREEDOM INC 15332 RAMONA AVE FONTANA, CA 92336

Business Mailing Address: 15332 RAMONA AVE FONTANA, CA 92336

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

Public Notices

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KAMALPREET SINGH, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 4/06/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9236

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 10, 17 & 24 and May 1, 2026.

FBN20260002687

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ONT FIELD 2000E. CONVENTION CENTER WAY ONTARIO, CA 91764; ASM ONTARIO CENTER LLC 3401 CENTRELAKE DRIVE, SUITE 600 ONTARIO, CA 91761

Business Mailing Address: 3401 CENTRELAKE DRIVE, SUITE 600 ONTARIO, CA 91761

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ FRANK J. LIZARRAGA, JR., Vice-President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 3/30/2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9277

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on April 10, 17 & 24 and May 1, 2026.

FBN 20260001020

The following person is doing business as: DIAMOND DIGITAL SOUND. 8188 WHITLOCK AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; MAILING ADDRESS 8188 WHITLOCK AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CARLOS TORRES

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: FEBRUARY 10, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ CARLOS TORRES, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: FEBRUARY 10, 2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Public Notices

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026 CNBB13202601MT

FBN 20260002129

The following person is doing business as: FUNZON STUDIO. 1940 E ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; MAILING ADDRESS 1940 E ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 5 POINTS SERVICES LLC 3038 CANYON VISTA DR COLTON CA 92324 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20260100695

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MARCH 13, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ARTURO GILBON TRUJILLO, MANAGING MEMBER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MARCH 13, 2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026 CNBB13202602MT

FBN 20260002057

The following person is doing business as: BILLY J'S RESTAURANT. 9976 SIERRA AVE SUITE A FONTANA, CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS 9976 SIERRA AVE SUITE A FONTANA, CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JUAN DE LA CRUZ

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: FEB 16, 1997

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JUAN DE LA CRUZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MARCH 12, 2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026 CNBB13202603MT

FBN 20260001285

The following person is doing business as: E & G LANDSCAPING SERVICES. 1876 GOULD STREET LOMA LINDA, CA 92354; MAILING ADDRESS 1876 GOULD STREET LOMA LINDA, CA 92354; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EVERARDO MANZO SANCHEZ

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ EVERARDO MANZO SANCHEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MARCH 16, 2026

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Public Notices

does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 03/27/2026, 04/03/2026, 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026 CNBB13202604MT

FBN 20260002192

The following person is doing business as: DAY DAY JANITORIAL. 7471 JUTLAND LN CHINO, CA 91708; MAILING ADDRESS 7471 JUTLAND LN CHINO, CA 91708 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CUIXIAN GUAN

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAR 06, 2026

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 1

Public Notices

RATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION B20260107011. The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ FADI S. MAHER, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 07, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202612MT

FBN 20260002911 The following person is doing business as: GENESIS PROFESSIONAL SERVICE. 10573 CHERRON CT ADELANTO, CA 92301; MAILING ADDRESS 10573 CHERRON CT ADELANTO, CA 92301; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO GENESIS CLEANING CORPORATION 10573 CHERRON CT FONTANA CA 92301 STATE OF INCORPORATION 5847385 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JESSICA BADILLO VAZQUEZ, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 03, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202613MT

Cervantes' Legislation Limiting License Plate Reading Use Provoked The Police Department, Her Lawyers Maintain from page 6

plate information" and the operators of those vehicles and their recurrent and shifting whereabouts. That technology, according to the lawsuit, "can be a useful law enforcement tool" but also "poses serious risks to privacy when misused. SB 274 addressed these privacy risks. Law enforcement agencies and associations throughout California opposed California SB 274, arguing it would hinder their ability to solve crimes."

Public Notices

FBN 20260002792 The following person is doing business as: RICOS BOLIS. 2505 KERN ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407; MAILING ADDRESS 2505 KERN ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 9407; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CLIVIA T GONZALEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CLIVIA T GONZALEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 02, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202614MT

FBN 20260003107 The following person is doing business as: YUCCA VALLEY FARMERS MARKET AT FOUNDERS PLAZA; YUCCA VALLEY CERTIFIED FARMERS MARKET AT FOUNDERS PLAZA; CERTIFIED FARMERS MARKET AT FOUNDERS PLAZA YUCCA VALLEY; CERTIFIED FARMERS MARKET; CERTIFIED FARMERS MARKET 1; YUCCA VALLEY FARMERS MARKET 1. 55762 TWENTYNINE PALMS HIGHWAY YUCCA VALLEY, CA 92284; MAILING ADDRESS PO BOX 448 YUCCA VALLEY, CA 92286; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LORI G HERBEL The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LORI G HERBEL, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 08, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name

The collective law enforcement agency resentment toward Cervantes manifested in the underhanded effort to destroy her reputation when the events of May 19, 2025 unfolded, according to Quadra, Coll and Sanford.

"Rather than accept Senator Cervantes' offer to have the hospital provide test results to the officers, Officer Williams responded that they could not accept the hospital's test result because they are protected by Senator Cervantes' privacy rights," the lawsuit sates. "Obviously, Senator Cervantes was able to consent to disclosure of drug and alcohol tests (which the hospital performed and which were nega-

Public Notices

statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202615MT

FBN 20260003102 The following person is doing business as: GARCIA & SONS. 9237 LIVE OAK AVENUE FONTANA, CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS 9237 LIVE OAK AVENUE FONTANA, CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ISRAEL GARCIA The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ISRAEL GARCIA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 08, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202616MT

FBN 20260002964 The following person is doing business as: SMITTYS SOLUTION HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING. 2439 MILL CREEK RD MENTONE, CA 92359; MAILING ADDRESS 2439 MILL CREEK RD MENTONE, CA 92359; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JUSTIN N SMITH The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JUSTIN N SMITH, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 06, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

tive), but Officers Williams and Foster wanted to perform their less accurate and subjective test instead of accepting the chemical tests performed by the hospital. During defendants Williams and Foster's initial interview of Senator Cervantes, which lasted approximately ten minutes, Senator Cervantes did not slur her words, walk with an unsteady gait, smell of alcohol, or have any indicators of being under the influence. She was suffering from a spine injury and was in pain, which caused her to move with care, but she did not sway, stumble, weave back and forth as she walked down a long hallway from the emergency room waiting area

Public Notices

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202617MT

FBN 20260002891 The following person is doing business as: VICTOR SIGN DESIGN. 145 E 48TH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404; MAILING ADDRESS 145 E 48TH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO VICTOR J LUCERO The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR 03, 2026 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ VICTOR J LUCERO, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 03, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202618MT

FBN 20260002676 The following person is doing business as: LASH DIVINESTUDIO. 17112 SLOVER AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; MAILING ADDRESS 224 BRYANT ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO KASSANDRA MASCARENO The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: FEB 20, 2026 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ KASSANDRA MASCARENO, OWNER Statement filed with the

to be interviewed, or give any other indication of being under the influence." Despite Senator Cervantes' innocence, unknown actors who are named as Defendant Does 1 through 20 released to the press and/or other third parties the false claim that Senator

Warehouse Worker Torches 1.2 Million Square Foot Building & Its Contents In Bid To Wreak Revenge For The Financial Injustice He Endured from page 4

assertion that they represent economic development and employment opportunities, reform

Public Notices

County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MARCH 30, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202619MT

FBN 20260003086 The following person is doing business as: PARRY'S INDIAN CUISINE, INC.. 7216 HELENA PL FONTANA, CA 92336; MAILING ADDRESS 9654 BASELINE RD RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO PARRY'S INDIAN CUISINE, INC. 7216 HELENA PL FONTANA CA 92336 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR07, 2026 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ PREHLAD SINGH, PRSIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 07, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202620MT

FBN 20260003079 The following person is doing business as: RGS FIELD SERVICES. 17615 FILBERT ST. FONTANA, CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS 17615 FILBERT ST. FONTANA, CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO RENE G SANCHEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares

Public Notices

as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ RENE G SANCHEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 07, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202621MT

FBN 20260001819 The following person is doing business as: FRENCH FLORIST 330 N 6TH ST 113 REDLANDS CA 92374; MAILING ADDRESS PO BOX 262 REDLANDS CA 92373; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO NWC GROUOP, LLC 330 N 6TH ST STE 113 REDLANDS CA 92374 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ DIANA LIN, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MARCH 06, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202601MT

FBN 20260002978 The following person is doing business as: RICH AND FAMOUS BARBERSHOP 9513 CENTRAL AVE MONTCLAIR CA 91763; MAILING ADDRESS 9513 CENTRAL AVE MONTCLAIR CA 91763; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CHARLIE GARCIA, JAIME HERNANDEZ

Cervantes had been driving under the influence, to the detriment of Senator Cervantes' reputation."

Those employed by the City of Sacramento and its police department to speak officially on their behalf declined comment. A member of

and economic justice advocates have simultaneously maintained that warehouses to not offer employment at wages that are substantial enough for workers to be able to support a family, and that the relatively unskilled labor that takes place within them is suitable only for the poorly educated portion of the region's workforce. Abdulkarim's frustra-

Public Notices

The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CHARLIE GARCIA, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 06, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202602MT

FBN 20260002788 The following person is doing business as: SAFETYLINE TRAINING & CONSULTATION 36195 GOLDEN GATE DR YUCAIPA CA 92399; MAILING ADDRESS 36195 GOLDEN GATE DR YUCAIPA CA 92399; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAFETYLINE TRAINING & CONSULTATION, LLC. 36195 GOLDEN GATE DR YUCAIPA CA 92399 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20260065318 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A. By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ VICTOR M. GAMEZ, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 02, 2026 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/10/2026, 04/17/2026, 04/24/2026, 05/01/2026 CNBB15202603MT

the police department knowledgeable about what had occurred and the fallout that has ensued internally told the *Sentinel*, "This one isn't going to come out well, obviously."

-Mark Gutglueck, reporting from Sacramento

tion at his situation appears to have boiled over into some very destructive action.

He remains in custody at the West Valley Detention Center in Rancho Cucamonga, where he was booked on charges of felony arson of a structure and aggravated arson. He is being held without bail.

-Mark Gutglueck