

Big Bear Lake Residents Resist City Blindsiding Them With Neighborhood Trash Site

Little more than a fortnight after Big Bear Lake officials blindsided those living within one of the city’s residential districts by undertaking the construction of a garbage dump in their neighborhood, residents have struck back, hitting the city manager and senior city administrators with a series of moves they did not anticipate. Over the weekend of October 11/12, word was spreading among a

handful of residents in Big Bear Lake that the city was closing out its Clean Bear Site #2, located at 39690 Big Bear Boulevard, and was going to replace it with one to be sited on two lots owned by the city near the corner of Lark and Cienega roads, adjacent to an existing residential neighborhood. The Clean Bear program in the City of Big Bear Lake provides drop-off locations for

residents and tourists – that is tenants and guests of owners of residential properties within the city – who cannot use curbside trash service. The sites accept household refuse, recycling, green/yard waste, pine needles, E-waste and ashes. That the city properties adjoining Lark and Cienega roads was jarring to those who lived in the neighborhood, which included the aforementioned Lark Road and

Cienega Road, as well as Cienega Court, Moab Lane and the area around the Sacred Heart Camp and Retreat. Residents of the area had not been alerted to any items on the city’s planning commission and city council agendas referencing development projects in the area or mention of an alteration of the city’s zoning maps or codes that would have presaged development in the general or specific area. As

their had been no hint of any development proposal, including those in compliance with the area’s residential zoning or proposals of any other type, dismay and panic ensued. One resident in particular, Sue Ellis, who lives with her family on Lark Road proximate to the parcel at the corner of Cienega Road and Lark Road, initiated phone calls to City Hall and then See P 2

Singh Crash Proving To Be A Double Whammy For San Bernardino County

San Bernardino County and its 24 municipal jurisdictions are on the brink of suffering a second heavy blow as the result of Jashanpreet Singh’s irresponsible wielding of the Freightliner tractor and trailer he was hauling on October 21.

That day, as the 21-year-old Singh was at the wheel of the semi-truck barreling westbound on the 10 Freeway in the number four lane west of the 15 Freeway, he did not brake at all and ran into the back of and obliterated a white Kia Sorento that had been at a full stop for several seconds before the impact and continued with considerable force into the back of a white pickup truck, which careened to the left as the Freightliner continued unabated into the back of another semi-tractor-pulled trailer, the rear of which momentarily lifted into the air upon impact. The only somewhat-diminished momentum of the Freightliner carried it forward as it careened to the right across two lanes of traffic where it ran into the front of a disabled tractor connected to a long flatbed trailer and the back of the service truck in front of the disabled tractor that were in place on the shoulder of the freeway. The disabled tractor’s front hood was draped open forward and appeared to have been clipped and destroyed along with major elements of the truck’s engine in the collision. A man engaged in repairing the disabled See P 2

Rancho Cucamonga Deputy Killed During Response To Divorced Couple Confrontation

A man engaged in an intense argument with his wife that escalated into gunfire used one of the guns in his possession to fatally shoot with a single shot one of the first deputies to arrive on scene during the noon hour on Monday, October 27. The man, identified as Angelo Jose Saldivar, 47, was captured less than an hour later, after he

fled on a motorcycle in a mad dash on the 210 Freeway westward into Los Angeles County and then reversed course in what was an apparent effort to ditch pursuing authorities, only to have an unanticipated run-in with the side of a vehicle driven by an off-duty deputy, a colleague of the many he had just killed. Saldivar survived the

collision, despite having been launched forward over the hood of the car he had collided with and then impacting the ground on his back while being carried forward by his momentum at a speed nearing or exceeding 60 miles per hour and then being hammered by his tumbling motorcycle. It is anticipated that the San Bernardino County District

Attorney’s Office will charge him with first degree murder and seek the application of the death penalty pursuant to a sentencing enhancement relating to having killed an on-duty police officer once Saldivar is released from the hospital where he remains in stable condition. The sheriff’s department dispatch center received multiple calls

from the neighbors of Veronica Garcia Saldivar, also known as Veronica Garcia Zaragosa, a resident of the condominium complex at 12346 Hollyhock Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, which is part of the residential component at the north end of Victoria Gardens, at around 12:30 pm on Monday, October 27. Those calling reported hearing loud See P 3

Intensified Investigation Found International, Familial & Insider Aspects To Desert Railroad Cargo Thefts

A recently intensified crackdown on railroad cargo theft carried out by a multitude of agencies and entities, including the San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Department and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad Police has had significant success, while revealing a large international and familial com-

ponent among the perpetrators. Investigators have learned that the thieves have previously succeeded because they had inside information about railroad company operations. According to the Association of American Railroads, “In recent years, organized crimi-

nal groups have increasingly targeted the nation’s railroads using sophisticated tactics and technology to commit cargo theft. While these attacks occur across every freight provider and in every region, collectively the result is rippling supply chain disruptions and costly delays that im-

Immigration Agent Shoots Man Interfering With ICE Operation

An Ontario man who confronted U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement officers as they were engaged in a field operation near his home was shot when one of the federal agents perceived him to have used his vehicle in an aggressive and potentially lethal maneuver to obstruct them. Carlos Jimenez, 24,

had left the trailer in which he resides within the Country Meadows Mobile Home Park, located at 1855 East Riverside Drive at 6:30 a.m. on Thursday October 30 in hid Lexus RX350. He was due to be at work in Baldwin Park at 8 a.m. Giving himself an hour-and-a-half to make the 26-mile com- See P 3

Layer Upon Layer Of Backhanded Partisan Maneuvering Created Proposition 50

California’s elected Democratic leadership makes no bones about Proposition 50, acknowledging that it is intended to gerrymander the Golden State’s U.S. Congressional Map in a way that will be even more favorable to their party. In making that admission, they insist however that it was not they but the Republicans who cast the first stone with

regard to loading the political dice. Proposition 50 was drafted by Democratic Governor Gavin Newsom with input from at least seven other Democratic officeholders and their advisors. No Republicans were involved in framing the proposition. It calls for a one-time suspension the authority of the state’s independent redistrict-

ing commission, which was established with the passage of Proposition 20 in 2010, to instead adopt a map drawn by the current state legislature. The California legislature, which meets at the statehouse in Sacramento, consists of the upper legislative house, the California Senate, and the lower legislative house, the California Assembly. A supermajority

– more than two-thirds – of the California Senate, are Democrats, consisting of 30 of the body’s 40 members. Likewise, the Assembly is overwhelmingly dominated by the Democratic Party, with which 60 of its members are affiliated, while 20 of its 80 total seats are held by Republicans. California, the most populous of the nation’s 50 states, is respon-

sible for electing 52 of the 435 members of the U.S. House of Representatives, who meet at the Capitol Building in Washington, D.C. At present, Democrats lopsidedly outnumber Republicans in California’s 52-member Congressional delegation 43-to-9. That is a reflection of the degree to which California leans leftward politically. See P 5

Lax State Of California Licensing Policy Prevented Feds From Revoking Singh's Commercial Trucker's Permit *from front page*

truck was severely injured as a result. Both passengers in the Sorrento were killed and pronounced dead at the scene. The driver of a Toyota Tacoma severely injured in the crash was transported to a hospital, where he died.

A 43-year-old driver of a Dodge Avenger sustained major injuries, while a 57-year-old passenger in a Chevrolet 2500 had a minor injury, according to the California Highway Patrol.

Singh survived the crash with only a minor contusion. Based not only on an objective assessment of his condition after the crash but on the analysis of the dashcam footage, Singh was ar-

rested and charged with driving under the influence. The San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office charged him with three counts of manslaughter in addition to a driving while intoxicated charge. On October 24, he was arraigned on one count of PC191.5(A)-F: gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated; a count of VC23558-E: causing bodily injury or death; another count of PC191.5(A)-F: gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated; another count of VC23558-E: causing bodily injury or death; another count of VC23153(F)-F: driving under the combined influence of alcohol/drugs causing injury; another count of VC23558-E: causing bodily injury or death; a count of

PC12022.7(A)-E: great bodily injury on a person; and another count of PC12022.7(A)-E: great bodily injury on a person a situation in which a collision results in death can be prosecuted as murder, gross vehicular manslaughter or gross vehicular manslaughter. During the hearing before Judge Shannon L Faherty, it was determined that Singh's skill with English is insufficient for him to engage in a reasonably communicative exchange with the court and that he is in need of an interpreter during future hearings. Judge Faherty entered for him not guilty pleas and denials to the charges against him.

U.S. Transportation Secretary Sean Duffy less than a week after the collision Singh was involved in has indicated he is contemplating withdrawing \$160 million in federal funding earmarked for transportation projects based on

his disapproval of the way it has allowed drivers like Singh to operate commercial vehicles on its roadways.

The California Department of Motor Vehicles in response to the Sentinel's inquiries was vague as to Singh's status as a licensed commercial driver. With regard to how Singh, with his limited skills in English and inability to decipher the California Driver Handbook or certain road signs, was able to obtain commercial licensing, the Sentinel was referred to the California Highway Patrol. California Highway Patrol Spokesman Rodrigo Jimenez told the Sentinel he could not answer questions with regard to Singh's licensing status at this time.

The Sentinel's independent examination of the record relating to Singh, whose residence is in Yuba City, indicates that he exploited gaps and inconsistencies be-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.
The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service
Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936
to learn of locations where the Sentinel
is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
SBCSentinel@yahoo.com
Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936
Message Line 951-567 1936

tween state and federal licensing requirements to originally obtain a commercial license and perpetuated his hold on the license as a result of the State of California's active resistance to the tightening of licensing requirements for foreign nationals who are present in the United States unregistered and illegally that took place earlier this year. Moreover, extrapolating on

partial information that pertains only to Singh's driving history in San Bernardino County, it appears possible, indeed likely, that the state did not bring to bear its own traffic laws which would have resulted in the Singh's forfeiture of his commercial license prior to the October 21 fatal collision.

Singh came to the United States in 2022
Continued on Page 4

City Putting A Garbage Dump Into A Residential Neighborhood *from front page*

drove there. She reported that over a period of four days, consisting of October 13, 14, 15 and 16 when City Hall was open, she was unable to find anyone in place at the civic center who had any direct knowledge about the circulating rumor. Any city employees working in either city administration or the city's planning/land use divisions who knew anything about the project, she was told, were out in the field or in meetings, such that they could not be disturbed.

On October 20, those in the Cienega/Lark neighborhood were awakened to the sound of trees being felled, heavy equipment rumbling into place and base for paving being unloaded onto the city's two parcels. That day, she composed a formal letter to the city, requesting veracity and clarity with regard to the work that was starting at the site. This brought a response from the city's

director of economic development, Kelly Tinker, who acknowledged that the project was under way. She shared Tinker's response with one of her neighbors, Seth Feldman, who contacted another Big Bear Lake resident, Peter Ciulla.

Both Feldman and Ciulla were alarmed at the city's action and agreed to look into the matter

Ciulla initiated an in-depth examination of the action the city was taking, extending to the zoning on the property in question, the city's approval process or lack thereof for the project, the city's regulations with regard to project's including the city code and Big Bear Lake's development code and state environmental regulations as pertain to development.

Feldman penned a letter outlining his concerns about the city's actions.

In making his inquiries, Ciulla had queried Tinker about what was going on at the property. On October 27, Tinker had emailed him, informing him that the property was being pre-

pared for use as a "temporary staging area" without specifying what the city's long-term goal with regard to the property was.

On October 28, Ciulla responded by sending a letter via email to both Tinker and Big Bear City Manager Eric Sund, stating in the body of the email that Sund, Tinker and other city officials should deem him to be writing "On behalf of myself and other concerned residents in the area."

While thanking Tinker for her October 27 email, Ciulla lamented that "far from clarifying the city's intent, confirms that the city's current actions are procedurally improper and legally void. This letter serves as a formal demand that the City of Big Bear Lake immediately cease and desist all work, grading, and use of any and all city-owned parcels on Cienega Road, including, but not limited to, APNs 030721218 and 030721215, for a 'temporary staging area' or any other purpose not explicitly permitted by the parcels' R-1 (Single-

Family Residential) zoning."

The city's actions are unlawful, Ciulla contended, because a temporary use permit in this circumstance is legally invalid because "The subject parcels are zoned R-1 (Single-Family Residential) [and] er the Big Bear Lake Development Code (e.g., Table 17.25.030.A), a 'public works staging area' or 'waste facility' is not a permitted or conditionally permitted use. It is, by omission, a prohibited use."

Furthermore, Ciulla's missive holds that "The temporary use permit ordinance (Section 17.03.290) requires the city to make a finding that the temporary use is 'compatible with surrounding land uses' [and] It is a legal impossibility for an administrative body to 'find' a use to be 'compatible' when the city council, in its legislative capacity, has already deemed that exact use incompatible by prohibiting it in the zoning code. The temporary use permit is a legal fiction that cannot authorize a prohibited use."

In moving to construct a trash dump or trash holding facility, temporary or permanent, on property zoned solely for residential use and proximate to existing residential uses, according to Ciulla, violates both the City of Big Bear lake's development code and State of California's environmental law.

In pursuing the project, Ciulla contended, the city knowingly misapplied its conditional use permitting process. "[Y]our suggestion that a permanent facility can be authorized by a 'conditional use permit process' is a demonstrable misrepresentation of the development code. A conditional use permit is an administrative tool that can only be used for uses already listed as conditional in the R-1 zone. A waste facility is not. The city's correct zone for this industrial use is the C-5 (Commercial-Industrial) zone, which explicitly lists 'waste management facilities, and utility yards' as a permitted use. The city is fully aware that its only legal path to place a C-5 use on R-1 land is via

a high-barrier, legislative general plan amendment and zone change. The city's claim of a 'conditional use permit' path appears to be an intentional effort to bypass this required, more rigorous, and more public legislative process."

Ciulla asserted that "[T]he city is in clear violation of the California Environmental Quality Act."

Under the California Environmental Quality Act, an examination of the environmental impacts of a project must be made. Some discretion is left to the governmental decision-making body that oversees land use issues and possesses approval and/or denial authority over a development project as to what type of analysis of the environmental issues is to be carried out and what mitigations of the impacts are to be required. A simple negative declaration is the least exacting type of development impact assessments and a mitigated negative declaration is the second-least stringent type of development impact as-

Continued on Page 5

Texas’s Support Of Republican Effort To Preserve Congressional Majorities In 2026 Triggered California Democrats’ Current Gerrymandering Ploy *from front page*

When Donald Trump was inaugurated for the second time following a four-year lay-off in January of this year, his party, the Republicans, held a slight 220-to-215 majority in the House of Representatives and an equally thin – 53-to-45, with two Independents – advantage in the U.S. Senate. This gave him leeway to carry out his

executive policies with little effective resistance and the opportunity to pursue with relative success his legislative agenda. The whirlwind of initiative he pursued, while pleasing to his political base, generated controversy and provided Democrats and other Trump critics with ammunition to attack him. This sparked concern

over the prospect that the marginal Congressional majorities the GOP now enjoys could be eradicated in the mid-term 2026 election.

Political maps throughout all 50 states are traditionally redrawn the year following the decennial census, that being the year ending in 1, and are first employed in the year ending in 2. Generally, those maps remain effective for a decade. President Trump’s political team undertook the rare, indeed virtually

unheard of, tack of seeing which states, those being the ones where the Republicans were in ascendancy, might consider redrawing their congressional district lines mid-decade in a way that might ensure the election of a handful more Republicans, thus allowing the Republicans to keep their numerical edge in the lower legislative house. In Texas, Governor Greg Abbott signaled his willingness to redraw that state’s 38 congressional districts

in accordance with his fellow Republicans’ request. Thereafter he set about having the Republican-dominated Texas state legislature comply with his wishful agenda to redraw the Texas Congressional District Map in such a way that the current balance of 25 Republicans, 12 Democrats and one vacant seat might be shifted to 30 Republicans and 8 Democrats after the November 2026 election. Halfway through July, when Governor Abbott

unveiled the Texas plan to unseat the five Texas Democrats and got the Texas Legislature to approve the new electoral map and other Republican-led states such as Indiana, Florida and Missouri began inching toward doing the same, Governor Newsom began speaking openly about how the democratic process had been sucker-punched.

To Democrats, there was no doubt their rivals were simply greasing *Continued on Page 5*

Ontario Man Shot During Confrontation With ICE & Border Patrol Agents *from front page*

mute in weekday morning rush hour traffic, as he routinely did, was a reasonable allotment he calculated, barring a disastrous accident and back-up on 60, 71, 57 or 10 freeways.

Country Meadows is situated on the north-

west corner of Riverside Drive and Vineyard Avenue. After exiting the residential park and while traveling on Vineyard Avenue, Jimenez observed, less than two blocks north of Riverside Drive, a Department of Immigration vehicle had stopped a Honda Accord going south on Vineyard Avenue and a team of agents – consisting of an Immigration and Customs Enforcement officer, an enforcement and

removal operations deportation officer and two U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of Field Operations officers – was engaging with the Honda’s three occupants.

The Customs Enforcement officer was speaking with the driver of the Honda on the driver’s side of the stopped vehicle when Jimenez pulled up in his Lexus RX350.

There is a discrepancy between what Jimenez

maintains was said during the ensuing exchange and what the Customs Enforcement officer says was said.

According to Jimenez, he “asked” the officers to be careful, telling them they were practically next to a school bus stop, and children would be their momentarily. At that point, according to Jimenez, one of the other three officers – and he was not clear on whether it was the removal op-

erations deportation officer or one of the two U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of Field Operations officers, unholstered his gun and approached him, prompting him to shout, or say loudly, “Are you really going to shoot an American citizen?”

At that point, Jimenez said, he pulled forward.

According to federal agents and the U.S. Attorney’s Office, Jimenez’s account omits

crucial details.

While Jimenez was “engaged in a verbal altercation with the officers at the vehicle stop,” another officer approached with his firearm and told Jimenez to leave, before holstering his firearm and arming himself with his pepper spray. Jimenez was ordered to leave the area. Though Jimenez at that point drove his Lexus northward and away from the *Continued on Page 5*

Saldivar Retreated Into His Ex-Wife’s Condominium When Deputies Arrived, Emerging Thereafter To Slay Nuñez *from front page*

yelling and intense arguing, with two caller’s relaying that they believed they heard gunshots.

Records indicate that Angelo Saldivar and Veronica Saldivar, who married in 2007, previously and as late as 2023 cohabited at 12346 Hollyhock Drive Unit 2 in Rancho Cucamonga. San Bernardino County Superior Court records show that on November 13, 2024, Veronica filed for divorce and that a final dissolution of the marriage was granted on July 30, 2025, with

the notice of the entry of judgment filed on August 20, 2025. It appears that Veronica retained the Hollyhock Drive domicile. There is conflicting information to suggest that Angelo had been living at 6323 Ashton Court in San Bernardino, from February 2023 until July 2025 and that he had maintained a residence as well at 17186 Pioneer Way from February 2022 until March of 2025. There is an indication that Angelo Saldivar was living off and on at 2609 West

Myers Road in San Bernardino from January 2017 until July of 2025.

There are reports to indicate that Angelo was, unrealistically, pursuing a reconciliation with his ex-wife.

On October 27, it appears, he began flailing when he was unable to convince her to end their estrangement, and a bitter argument ensued, during which he sought to physically force her to leave the 12346 Hollyhock Drive premises and accompany him to some yet unknown destination, having latched onto her arm and attempting to drag her toward her car.

Both Angelo and Saldivar are known to

have owned numerous firearms. At some point after the former couple had begun their argument, at least two and perhaps as many as four gunshots rang out.

Upon the first of the sheriff’s vehicles reaching Hollyhock Drive, Angelo Saldivar apparently retreated into the condominium he once shared with his wife and their teenage daughter. It is believed, but not known for sure, that he may have armed himself with at least one and perhaps two of his wife’s firearms at that point.

Either the first or the second deputy to arrive on Hollyhock Drive was Andrew Nuñez, 27,

a five-year veteran of the sheriff’s department who had been stationed in Rancho Cucamonga for four of those years. When, after a short interlude inside the condominium Angelo Saldivar emerged, Nuñez was the closest deputy to the condominium’s entrance. It is not clear whether Nuñez had oriented himself properly as to location or whether he knew that the domestic disturbance was centered at Unit 2 at the 12346 Hollyhock Drive address. To the nearby residents who were observing the goings-on, it did not appear that Nuñez, who was standing near his patrol unit

with the driver’s side door open, saw Angelo Saldivar on the porch or, if he did, recognized he was the individual at the center of the incident he had been called to. Saldivar then raised the gun and fired a single shot at Nuñez, who was no more than 50 to 55 feet away, hitting him in the head.

Several people saw Nuñez felled. Some ducked for cover. Saldivar apparently went back into the condominium. There are conflicting reports as to whether he fired more shots at other deputies on the scene or ones who arrived shortly thereafter, or if the gun shots heard by some bystanders were *Continued on Page 5*

Investigators Have Penetrated An International Railroad Cargo Theft Ring *from front page*

compact American families.”

A statistic quoted by the Association of American Railroads is that in 2024, total costs to the nation’s largest railroads from theft exceeded \$100 million.”

Railroad industry sources and law en-

forcement professionals report that a substantial amount of railroad cargo theft takes place as a consequence so-called “inside jobs,” that is, with the assistance of those who work with the railroads themselves or as part of the rail infrastructure and support network. Those having specific knowledge of the placing and timing of the shipment by rail of high-value goods is

a common vulnerability and a major factor in the success of organized rings who target rail cars for theft.

The *Sentinel* can report that a breakthrough in the effort to deter railroad theft has been made with investigators’ discovery that organized crime is employing individuals who assume relatively low-ranking and even temporary positions with rail compa-

nies or at rail terminals and rail yards to obtain information about what goods are being transported on which trains and in specific rail cars, together with information about when and where the trains are to remain stationary for an extended period.

Somewhat ironically, law enforcement has succeeded in obtaining inside information about how the galère of thieves

were able to obtain internal information about the various railroad companies’ operations.

While authorities are keeping close to the vest exactly how they have been able to get an inside position within the aggregate of criminals working the railroad industry in Southern California, they were able to do just that, leading to a series of recent arrests of those who were doing al-

right for themselves and their families by diverting goods from their intended recipients to fences and the black market.

On October 1, 2025, investigators with the San Bernardino county Sheriff’s Department’s Rural Crimes Task Force executed three search warrants, one on a residence in the 8800 block of Maple Avenue in Hesperia, another on a resi- *Continued on Page 7*

Singh Accumulated Numerous Speeding Violations During His Three-Year Truk Driving Career *from page 2*

illegally at the age of 17 and later applied for asylum status to allow him to remain in the United States despite having violated the law by coming across the Mexican border into California undocumented.

Shortly after he arrived, he began driving large two axle vehicles, including stake bed and box trucks. It appears that he was doing so without having first obtained a standard, noncommercial Class C license, the basic licensure for all drivers in California. Without being registered as an alien present in the country, he thereafter obtained a standard Class C license. It was during this time that he first began driving large commercial vehicles. He was doing so without having a commercial Class B license, which applies to 3-axle vehicles weighing over 6,000 pounds or two-axle vehicles with a gross vehicular weight of up to 10,000 pounds and without having a Class A license, applying to any single vehicle with a gross vehicle weight rating of more than 10,000 pounds and semi-trucks.

He began driving semi-trucks without having the requisite Class A license and before obtaining a Class B license. Ultimately, by 2024, the State of California issued him a Class A license, with which he was authorized to drive semi-tractor's pulling trailers. It is not clear how he was able to obtain that license after having, on numerous occasions, driven vehicles he was not licensed to operate.

San Bernardino County Superior Court records show that on ten occasions in the last two-and-a-half years he received citations while he was operating a big rig. Two of those matters were dismissed, he was convicted or entered guilty pleas on five and three have yet to be fully

adjudicated.

At the Fontana Courthouse, he was acquitted of a charge of violating VC22348(C)-I: operating a vehicle out of its designated lane that was filed against him on April 26, 2023.

On July 11, 2023, September 10, 2024 and October 8, 2024, Singh was charged with violating VC22406(A)-I, exceeding the maximum speed allowable for trucks. In each case he appeared at the Needles Courthouse to answer those charges and was ultimately convicted.

On October 7, 2024, he was charged with violating VC21460(A)-I, riding left of a double yellow line. He answered that charge at the Joshua Tree Courthouse and was acquitted.

On February 27, 2025 he was once more charged with speeding. He appeared in Needles Traffic Court to answer that charge, entering a not guilty plea, and the matter is yet to adjudicated.

On April 18, 2025, he was again charged with exceeding the maximum speed allowable for trucks. He was convicted of those charges in Needles Traffic Court. s

On May 1, 2025 and again on May 26, 2025, he was charged with speeding while operating a commercial vehicle. Both of those matters are being heard in Needles Traffic Court. He is due for trial on the May 1 charge on December 1, 2025 and is to face trial on the second charge on February 17, 2026.

On July 10, he was issued a citation for not having an operator's license, driving a vehicle for which the registration fees were delinquent and not having evidence of insurance coverage. He failed to appear on that matter at the Fontana Courthouse on October 10 of this year. No bench warrant was issued, but a courtesy notice was sent to his home in Yuba City.

In California, each speeding conviction counts as a single point against a driver's record. Under California's regulations, a commercial truck driver who

accumulates 6 points in 12 months, 8 points in 24 months or 10 points in 36 months is subject to a mandatory license suspension. Singh's citations and convictions found by the Sentinel are those heard or adjudicated at courthouses in San Bernardino County only and do not include citations he may have received in any of California's 57 other counties.

In June 2025, a mere four months ago, at the age of 20, Singh obtained a "restricted, non-domiciled commercial drivers license" with a "K" restriction from the California Department of Motor Vehicles, despite his lack of English language skill and without have demonstrated his understanding of common road signs. The K restriction limited his licensure to driving in-state.

In July, as part of a policy change initiated by the Donald Trump Administration, the federal government began pressing states which had issued commercial driving licenses to individuals in who were undocumented aliens or otherwise in the county illegally to rescind those licenses.

On September 26, the federal government tightened truck driving licensing requirements for all non-U.S. citizens. That policy change included suspending as of that date the issuance of non-domiciled commercial driving licenses. Singh's commercial drivers license was supposed to be rescinded at that time. The State of California, however, failed to comply with order from the federal government, doing so in some measure because of both Governor Gavin Newsom's and the Democrat-dominated California State Legislature's declared intention to resist the Trump Administration's immigration policy. Had the State of California and the California Department of Motor Vehicles complied with the federal order, Singh's commercial drivers license would have been rescinded, effective September 26.

On October 15, Singh turned 21. At that point, California, through its Department of Motor Vehicles, automatically eliminated the "K" restriction from his license, such that he was no longer limited to driving in-state.

When the Sentinel queried the Department of Motor Vehicles about whom or what company Jashanpreet Singh was driving for when he was involved in the October 21 fatal collision, what cargo he was hauling at the time of the accident, what substance he was under the influence of at the time of the accident and whether he was licensed to be a commercial driver, the Sentinel was referred to the California Highway Patrol. California Highway Patrol Spokesman Rodrigo Jimenez said he was not authorized to release owner/company information and that he did not have information about what Singh was hauling. Jimenez said that Singh "was arrested for driving under the influence of drugs. We cannot specify [what substance he was under the influence of] due to the ongoing investigation."

In California, each speeding conviction counts as a single point against a driver's record. Under California's regulations, a commercial truck driver who accumulates 6 points in 12 months, 8 points in 24 months or 10 points in 36 months is subject to a mandatory license suspension. Singh's citations and convictions found by the Sentinel are those heard or adjudicated at courthouses in San Bernardino County only and do not include citations he may have received in any of California's 57 other counties.

On Sunday, October 26, U.S. Transportation Secretary Sean Duffy, indicating he had been pushed to extremity by what had occurred on the I-10 Freeway in Ontario on October 21, said he was preparing to revoke millions in federal funds for California because the state is illegally issuing commercial driver's

licenses to noncitizens.

According to Duffy, California Governor Gavin Newsom has proven intransigent in the face of Department of Transportation rules requiring all 50 states to stop issuing such licenses and review those already issued.

"I am about to pull \$160 million from California," Duffy said. "We also have the option of pulling California's ability to issue commercial driver's licenses."

Newsom's office said it would have no comment with regard to what a spokesman called Duffy's "unjustifiable threat."

The California Department of Motor Vehicles did not respond to requests as to why it had not delicensed Singh in compliance with the September 26 federal order.

The October 21 collision involving Jashanpreet Singh was not the first time California's lax licensing of foreign truck drivers has been raised as a national safety issue.

In August, three people were killed when the driver of a semi-truck, Harjinder Singh, attempted to perform an illegal U-turn on the Florida Turnpike in St. Lucie County. Harjinder Singh, who is not believed to be of blood relation to Jashanpreet Singh, like Jashanpreet Singh entered the U.S. illegally and obtained a commercial driver's license from California and one from the State of Washington, according to the U.S. Marshals office.

A nationwide commercial driver's license audit by the federal government initiated the following week determined that licenses were issued improperly in California, Colorado, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas and Washington.

Subsequently, the State of Florida sued the states of California and Washington over their issuance of commercial drivers licenses to Harjinder Singh. Florida's attorney general, Florida Attorney General James Uthmeier, has broadened that legal action

to include a petition to the U.S. Supreme Court to prevent California from issuing commercial driver's licenses to those in the country illegally, asserting it is a matter of public safety.

The lawsuit accuses California and Washington of refusing to comply with federal safety and immigration-status requirements relating to the issuance of commercial driver's licenses. The suit says both Pacific Coast states "chose to ignore these standards and authorize illegal immigrants without proper training or the ability to read road signs to drive commercial motor vehicles."

In August, the U.S. government stopped issuing worker visas for commercial truck drivers, with Secretary of State Marco Rubio announcing the change was effective immediately.

"The increasing number of foreign drivers operating large tractor-trailer trucks on U.S. roads is endangering American lives and undercutting the livelihoods of American truckers," Rubio stated.

The State Department simultaneously said that the hold was being put in place "in order to conduct a comprehensive and thorough review of screening and vetting protocols used to determine the qualifications for a U.S. visa. As we have seen with recent deadly accidents, foreign truckers can pose risks to American lives, U.S. national security, and foreign policy interests. Ensuring that every driver on our roads meets the highest standards is important to protecting the livelihoods of American truckers and maintaining a secure, resilient supply chain."

Rubio's initiative came less than three months after the Trump administration, through the Transportation Department, took steps to enforce the requirement that truckers speak and read English proficiently. According to the Transportation Department, achieving minimal liter-

Continued on Page 6

Nuñez’s Killer Made A Daring Effort To Elude His Pursuers On A Motorcycle, Reaching Speeds Near 150 MPH, Until He Encountered An Off-Duty Sheriff’s Department Detective *from page 3*

ones fired by deputies positioned outside the bystanders’ line of sight. At some point, Saldivar either exited the condominium from an alternate exit at the back of the building or found his way into the enclosure of another unit and from there made his way to his motorcycle, and quickly rumbled away.

He was spotted by the deputies, who gave chase up Day Creek Boulevard. He then made his way onto the 210 Freeway headed west. There ensued a chase, with the motorcycle far ahead of the pack, accelerating to a speed well in excess of 120 miles per hour and, at one point for a short distance, reaching nearly 150 miles per hour, as Saldivar, wearing a backpack and dark helmet and visor, blasted past cars traveling at 55, 60, 65, and 70 miles per hour in a blur as if they were standing still. De-

spite his desperate and bold daring, his speed, the distance he was able to rapidly put between himself and his immediate pursuers and the risk he was willing to take, when he did not make a quick exit from the freeway and succeed in finding visual anonymity somewhere in urban landscape elsewhere in Rancho Cucamonga or Upland, Saldivar found himself being trailed and seen, by at first a handful of patrol units from the sheriff’s department and the Upland Police Department and then dozens, which became scores of various law enforcement agencies, including the Highway Patrol, the Claremont Police Department, the La Verne Police Department, the Los Angeles Sheriff’s Department and the Azusa Police Department, all of which were on the ground. In short order, law enforce-

ment agency and then television/radio news helicopters were overhead, charting and documenting, on video, his movements and location in real time.

Heading toward the heart of the Los Angeles megalopolis, he exited from the freeway, turned south and then abruptly got back onto the freeway, heading east. He sped along, perhaps contemplating reaching the more familiar turf of San Bernardino where he could ditch those who were after him.

At one point, after safely outdistancing his closest pursuers, he had slowed down to a respectable 68-to 70 miles per hour in the Diamond/High-Occupancy-Vehicle inner lane, seemingly trying to blend in with the traffic around him, as if he could somehow lose himself among the other vehicles. As if out of nowhere, a California Highway motorcycle moved up close behind him and then went to his left so that the Highway Patrol cycle’s front wheel was nearly even with

Saldivar’s back wheel. That jolted Saldivar, who glanced back to his left as if to confirm what he had seen in his mirror or out of the corner of his eye, at which point he accelerated his machine up to somewhere in the vicinity of 85 miles per hour once again.

The fleeting proximity of the Highway Patrol motorbike served as something of a wake-up call to Saldivar, it seemed and once he was a safe distance ahead of the Highway Patrolman, he dropped down took both hands off the handlebars as he began fidgeting around and pulled a gun out of his backpack and his pockets, and appeared to be putting bullets in its chamber.

Near the Campus Avenue exit of the eastbound 210 shortly after 1:30 p.m. Saldivar and his motorcycle were about to pass on the left, as had been the case several hundred times over the previous fifteen minutes or so, another slower-moving vehicle in the Diamond Lane, this time a gray Toyota. At

the wheel of the Toyota was an off-duty San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Department narcotics division detective.

As the motorcycle closed the distance behind it, the Toyota made an at first subtle drift to its left followed by a somewhat sharper movement across the yellow line separating the Diamond Lane from the inner freeway shoulder. Saldiver reacted to adjust, but at the speed he was traveling at that point – about 68 miles per hour – not in time to avoid having the motorcycle’s front tire plunge into the Toyota’s driver’s side of the car. The impact launched Saldivar in an arc headed over the hood of the car as the driver deftly steered right, avoiding hitting Saldivar who flipped in mid-air and landed on his back on the shoulder of the road.

It appeared that two firearms that were in the backpack fell out onto the pavement, when Saldivar’s arms came out of the rucksack’s straps as he was tumbling be-

fore coming into contact with the ground.

The motorcycle, meanwhile, careened more sharply leftward than the rider it had now lost, hitting into the retaining while between the eastbound and westbound lanes of the freeway, then bouncing and flipping to come down, temporarily, on Salvidar, as both the bike’s and the man’s momentum carried them eastward.

Within three minutes, at least 21 law enforcement vehicles were parked across four of the lanes west and behind where Saldivar came to rest. Several officers swarmed him, but he was incapacitated by the crash and the injuries he had sustained. An overhead video showed that eventually Saldivar was sitting up as paramedics administered to him and he was loaded onto a stretcher and transported from the crash site on the 210 to a hospital via helicopter.

Saldivar is to be taken into custody once he is medically cleared for release.

California Democrats Challenging Republicans Over Who Can Outgerrymander Who *from page 3*

the skids for themselves while yanking the rug out from under their Democratic counterparts in an effort to give Republicans better odds at maintaining control over the House after the 2026 election cycle. Newsom, who has presidential ambition that would be furthered by his assuming an aggressive leadership

role in his own party, figured that if the Republicans were doing that in Texas, the Democrats could do it in California. Two could play at the game devised by Trump and Abbott, Newsom asserted.

There was a slight though not insurmountable catch, however. Texas has no equivalent to Proposition 20, so the Republican legislature and the Republican governor in the Lone Star State have a free hand there to do as they want. In California, a simple vote of the legislature

would not change the federal Congressional District map. That would need to be done by a vote of the people. Holding such a special election in 2025, an odd-numbered and therefore a political off-year would entail a cost statewide approaching \$300 million. Such is the cost of democracy, Newsome figured.

\$300 million was merely the cost of the special election that the state government – and its taxpayers would have to bear. There was also the cost of convincing the voters of doing what

Newsom felt it was in their best interest to do.

Governor Newsom was convinced that sentiment against Donald Trump and his administration was strong enough in California that with a campaign in favor of the initiative to approve the new map would prove successful if it was simply presented as a strategy to undercut the current president. Moreover, Newsom calculated, such a campaign could be bankrolled by the pollical war chest consisting of leftover money from his 2022

gubernatorial reelection campaign that was at his disposal, along with the generosity of the Service Employees International Union, Democrat businessman Andrew Hauptman and the Democratic House Majority Political Action Committee. Confident California’s voters could be convinced to support the reapportionment to prevent the president from having the legislative support he needs to accomplish his agenda.

On August 21 the California Legislature voted to schedule a spe-

cial election for November 4 at which California voters would be asked whether or not they approve the redrafting of the state’s electoral lines.

The manipulation of an electoral constituency’s boundaries so as to rebalance the comparative numbers of voters residing and voting therein in favor of one party or class is called gerrymandering. Gerrymandering can consist of so-called elimination, which involves having the surrounding districts claim a part of the tar-

Continued on Page 7

Confronting Immigration Agents Earned Ontario Man A Bullet In The Shoulder *from page 3*

Honda and the officers as if he was leaving, he abruptly stopped and turned the car’s wheels to “rapidly” accelerate backwards toward the officers and the stationary Honda, according to the

document filed with the court by federal prosecutors. The officer who had been verbally engaged with the occupants of the Honda’s stated he believed Jimenez’s Lexus would hit him and the Honda. While Jimenez in the Lexus RX350 was yet accelerating backward in the direction of a Border Patrol agent identified in the complaint as “Officer N.J.” and the Honda Accord,

one of the officers fired his gun at Jimenez, hitting him in the shoulder, according to the court document.

There is a lack of clarity as to how many shots were fired. According to one document, the incident involved the firing of a single bullet. In the immediate aftermath of the shooting on Thursday, Tricia McLaughlin, a spokesperson for the Department of Home-

land Security, used the plural term “shots” in describing what had occurred.

The documents drafted by prosecutors and the affidavits provided by agents form the basis of a charge filed against Jimenez today, Friday, November 1 in Riverside Federal Court, specifying assault on a federal officer.

Jimenez fled the scene after the confron-

tation and his shooting, according to one of the documents filed in conjunction with the charge. “Jimenez drove away from the scene and later checked himself into a hospital,” one of the affidavits states. “After Jimenez was medically cleared and released from the hospital, Jimenez was taken into HSI [Department of Homeland Security Investigations] custody.”

It was during his hearing on October 31 that Jimenez articulated his version of events.

The court granted Jimenez, in whose shoulder a bullet is yet lodged, with a conditional release, which includes wearing an ankle monitor, upon his posting of a bond. He is represented by attorneys Cynthia Santiago and Robert Simon.

Residents Questioning Big Bear Lake-Solons Unchecked Land Use Authority
from page 2

sessments. Both a negative declaration and a mitigated negative declaration utilize a cataloging of the environmental impacts referred to as an initial study. On the other end of the scale, an environmental impact report is the most involved and exhaustive type of environmental analysis and certification there is, followed by an environmental impact study, then an environmental impact assessment, then a mitigated negative declaration and a negative declaration. An environmental impact report consists of an in-depth study of the project site, the project proposal, the potential and actual impacts the project will have on the site and surrounding area in terms of all conceivable issues, including land use, water use, air quality, potential contamination, noise, traffic, and biological and cultural resources. An environmental impact

report specifies in detail what measures can, will and must be carried out to offset those impacts. An environmental impact study is somewhat less exacting and an environmental impact assessment less stringent still. A mitigated negative declaration is a statement by the ultimate land use authority – either the city council or the planning commission – that any identified impacts from the project will be mitigated or offset by the conditions of approval for the project. A negative declaration, the least exacting type of certification there is, merely states that the initial study done by city staff or a paid consultant sufficiently identified any environmental issues and that there are no environmental problems of consequence involved in the proposed project.

According to Ciulla, “The city's choice to use a discretionary temporary use permit—which requires subjective findings on ‘compatibility’ and ‘noise impacts’—definitively establishes this project as a ‘discre-

tionary project’ under the California Environmental Quality Act. The city's immunity from its own zoning (under Government Code § 53090) does not provide immunity from state law. The city has a non-delegable duty to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act. The city's failure to perform, at a minimum, an initial study (IS) before approving the temporary use permit and before beginning any work on the site is a clear and fatal violation of state law.”

Declaring that “An environmental review is not a formality,” Ciulla told Sund and Tinker, “The project has obvious potential for significant environmental impacts that the city is now attempting to conceal, which include, but are not limited to incompatible land use, public safety & traffic [and] environmental sensitivity.”

The city is the project proponent and what it is undertaking to do is to relocate the current recycling transfer station, which is known as the Clean Bear Site #2 from

Highway 18 at 39690 Big Bear Boulevard into the setting of the residential neighborhood at the corner of Lark Road and Cienega Road. As a consequence of the project, dump trucks and tourist traffic would be routed off the two-lane highway and onto the narrow, underdeveloped one-lane road, which entails dangerous blind corners and congestion when tourists come into the area.

With regard to the issue of incompatible land use, Ciulla asserted, “The introduction of an industrial operation (heavy trucks, waste, noise, odor) into an area zoned R-1 (Single-Family Residential) and directly adjacent to areas zoned R-L (Residential-Low)... is fundamentally incompatible with the entire residential fabric of the neighborhood and is immediately adjacent to sensitive receptors including the Sacred Heart Retreat Center.”

Ciulla said the project would endanger public safety and create traffic hazards. “Funneling heavy trucks and tourist traffic onto Cienega

Road, a narrow, standard residential street creates an indefensible public safety hazard and a critical bottleneck at Big Bear Boulevard.

Ciulla encapsulated the environmental sensitivity of the project by noting, “The grading and development of a heavily wooded lot located within a California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection-designated Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (VHFHSZ) demands, not avoids, environmental scrutiny.”

In his missive to Sund and Tinker, Ciulla made a “demand for immediate action. We are not interested in a meeting to ‘discuss... temporary operations.’ The temporary operations are unlawful. We formally demand that the City of Big Bear Lake immediately cease and desist all operations, grading, and use of the Cienega Road parcels that are not permitted by the parcels' R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning; immediately rescind the illegal temporary use permit; and provide written assurance within

five business days that all work has stopped and that no further action will be taken on this site without full compliance with the Development Code (via a general plan amendment/zone change) and the California Environmental Quality Act.”

Ciulla said he and other residents in the neighborhood “are fully prepared to take all necessary actions to protect our community and enforce the law. This includes, but is not limited to, seeking an immediate injunction to halt all activity and filing a writ of mandamus for the city's clear and willful violation of the California Environmental Quality Act.”

Simultaneous to the reception of Ciulla's letter to Sund and Tinker, what Ellis referred to as a coalition had formed to stop the project, manifesting in an informational campaign involving flyers and social media postings, describing and decrying the activity that was taking place, questioning its

Continued on Page 12

Federal Officials Sought To Rescind The Commercial Driver Licenses Of Foreign Truckers Like Singh
from page 4

acy on the part of truck drivers was a necessary element in a program to improve road safety following incidents in which drivers' ability to read signs or speak English may have contributed to traffic deaths.

In September, when the Transportation Department issued the order to individual states to rescind the commercial driver's licenses they had issued to non-citizens, it referenced three fatal crashes that federal officials said were caused by immigrant truck drivers. Only three specific classes of visa holders will be eligible for commercial driver's licenses under that mandate. Individual states are also required to verify an applicant's immigration status in a federal database before

issuing a license. The commercial license remains valid only until the expiration date of the visa.

Duffy said the Transportation Department had carried out a “spot review” of 145 commercial driving licenses issued to foreigners. Of those, he said, 36 should not have been issued. He said four California commercial driver's licenses issued to foreigners who at the time were in the country legally should no longer be deemed valid, since those four drivers' work permits are now expired, in at least three cases, more than two years after those expirations, while the truck drivers yet remain in the country illegally. That those individuals are driving trucks and are routinely violating federal law should be a cause for concern, including over whether those drivers are flouting traffic laws in multiple states with the same frequency with which they are disobeying federal

immigration law, Duffy said. He gave California 30 days to come up with a plan to comply or lose funding.

Duffy contends that California has unlawfully issued tens of thousands of driver's licenses to noncitizens. It was not clear whether Duffy's reference was to commercial licenses alone or if it extended to Class C licenses, the standard license issued to most drivers in the state.

“You have 60,000 people on the roads who shouldn't have licenses,” Duffy said. “They're driving fuel tankers, they're driving school buses, and we have seen some of the crashes on American roadways that come from these people who shouldn't have these licenses.”

Duffy said that his rationale for withholding \$40 million of the \$160 million in transportation fund from California is based on it being the only state in the Union that is refusing to enforce English language

requirements for truckers. California made a written defense of the practice, saying it would be impractical to enforce such a ban, given that approaching 8 percent of the state's population does not speak English. Federal Department of Transportation officials have rejected that rationale.

According to Duffy, an investigation undertaken after the August crash in Florida determined that California officials simply “blew off” their duty to enforce the rules that the Trump Administration sought to instituted in July relating to commercial hauling licenses, which were contained in a presidential executive order. Duffy said that just as was the case with Jashanpreet Singh, California had issued Harjinder Singh a commercial license despite his inability to understand English.

Late today, the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office announced, “Toxicol-

ogy reports confirmed none of the substances tested were present in the defendant's blood at the time the test was rendered. However, the case remains a grossly negligent homicide, and we've filed an amended complaint to reflect the new findings.”

The amended the complaint against Singh, alleges four criminal acts. The compaint now entails Count 1, “the crime of vehicular manslaughter with gross negligence, in violation of Penal Code Section 192(c)(1), a felony,” in which Singh “did unlawfully, and without malice, kill Clarence Nelson while driving a vehicle in the commission of an unlawful act, not amounting to a felony, and with gross negligence;” Count 2, “the crime of vehicular manslaughter with gross negligence, in violation of Penal Code Section 192(c)(1), a felony,” in which Singh, “did unlawfully, and without malice, kill Lisa Nelson

while driving a vehicle in the commission of an unlawful act, not amounting to a felony, and with gross negligence;” Count 3, “the crime of vehicular manslaughter with gross negligence, in violation of Penal Code Section 192(c)(1), a felony,” in which Singh “did unlawfully, and without malice, kill Clarence Nelson while driving a vehicle in the commission of an unlawful act, not amounting to a felony, and with gross negligence;” and Count 4, “the crime of reckless driving on a highway causing a specified injury, in violation of Vehicle Code Section 23103(a)/23105, a felony,” in which Singh, “drove a vehicle on a highway in willful and/or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property proximately causing a bone fracture to Juan Chavez Alvarez and Tamara Lynn Gay.” and further “personally inflicted great bodily injury upon Alvarez [and] Gay.”

Democrats Are “Proud” Of What Republicans Call A “Mockery” *from page 5*

geted district so that it is entirely absorbed by its neighbors; “cracking,” which entails diluting the voting power of the opposing party’s registrants across multiple districts; or what is referred to as “packing,” which involves concentrating the opposing party’s voting power in one district to reduce its voting power in other districts. The overall goal is to increase the hold the controlling party already enjoys within a given jurisdiction. For gerrymandering to take place, the party doing the electoral district map manipulation must, generally, already enjoy at least a slight electoral advantage over the rival party, as the authority for setting or altering political boundaries and electoral maps resides with a state governor or legislature or combination thereof. In the case of California, that authority resided with the nonpartisan commission that had been cre-

Major Stride In Breaking Up Railroad Cargo Theft Ring *from page 3*

dence in the 14100 block of Anacapa Road in Victorville and one at a storage unit in the 14300 block of Palmdale Road in Victorville. The sheriff’s department reported that its investigators recovered stolen merchandise valued at \$54,442.79 and seized approximately \$19,200 in cash at the Maple Avenue residence, and took 11 people into custody, all of whom were arrested on felony charges, including possession of stolen property and conspiracy to engage in criminal acts. At the Anacapa Road location, another six suspects were arrested and \$133,867 in stolen property was recovered, along with \$42,000 in cash and eight illegal/unregistered firearms—four rifles and four pis-

ated by Proposition 20 in 2010. The way Governor Newsom and his cohorts bypassed that was to draw up the map on their terms and then have the state legislature vote to give the state’s electorate a chance to say “aye” or “no” to the map. If the voters approve the map, Newsom and the other leaders of the Democrats will get what they want. If more voters cast “no” votes than “yes” votes, the map stays the way it is. What Newsom and his team are doing is presenting the voters with a map of Congressional districts calculated to likely result in five of the nine California Congressional seats now held by Republicans falling into the hands of Democrats during the 2026 midterm election. The map redrafting would bar the door to the U.S. Capitol Building for Congressman Ken Calvert, of Corona, who has now been in Congress since 1993 following his first election to that office in 1992 and is thus the longest-serving member of California’s Republican delegation, through elimination. In

tols. At the Palmdale Road storage unit on Palmdale Road, investigators recovered stolen items valued at \$188,309. The 17 suspects – Angelberto Dela Rocha, 31, of Hesperia; Erasmo Vega Ramos, 34, of Santa Rosa; Mario Lozada, 23, of Huntington Park; Sergio Vega Ramos, 33, of Hesperia; Tomas Vega Ramos, 40, of Hesperia; Mariah Gutierrez, 22, of Hesperia; Erika Solorzano, 43, of Hesperia; Henry Torres, 22, of Hesperia; Remedios Sanchez Menera, 38, of La Habra; Hector Acosta Espinoza, 29, of Hesperia; Noe Reyes Lozada, 29, of Hesperia; Carlos Mares Feliz, 21, of Victorville; Francisco Bojorquez, 48, of Victorville; Marcos Bojorquez, 26, of Victorville; Miguel Boroquez, 22, of Victorville; Felix Lugo Ricardo Rogeleo, 20, of Victorville; Feliciano Bojorquez, 42, of Victorville – were arrested and transported to the High Desert Deten-

essence, the remapping would simply extinguish Calvert’s 41st Congressional District as it now exists, which is entirely contained within Riverside County. Instead, a new district would be created in Los Angeles County, where the predominate registration of voters there is with the Democratic Party. The new state map would dilute the number of GOP voters in California Congressional District 1, where Congressman Doug La Malfa serves in Northern California; California Congressional District 3 in the area around Sacramento, where Kevin Kiley is the incumbent; California Congressional District 22 in the San Joaquin Valley, where David Valadao serves; and California Congressional District 48, where Darrell Issa is the incumbent. According to Governor Newsom, he and his fellow Democrats are simply “fighting fire with fire.” Gerrymandering is such an abomination, the governor insists, that California has no other choice than to engage in its own gerrymandering.

tion Center. Building on information they derived from interrogating some of those 17 suspects, investigators learned about the activities of others involved in the theft of cargo from rail cars. They focused attention on a particular house in the 14600 block of Willow Street in Hesperia, bringing to bear a number of investigative tools, including ones that allowed them to monitor cell phone communications originating at or being received at that location. Not quite five full days after the arrest of the 17 suspects on October 1, in the wee hours of October 6 a combination of members of the department’s Rural Crimes Task Force, detectives from the Sheriff’s Department’s Hesperia Station Detective Bureau and Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad Police staked out the residence on Willow Street. At 6:30 a.m., the investiga-

Speaker of the Assembly Robert Rivas maintains the Democrats are bravely fighting against the forces of darkness in holding off the malevolent Donald Trump. The placement of Proposition 50 on the ballot has given, Rivas said, “California voters... the final decision to fight back against Trump’s attacks on their state economy and democracy. I’m proud to stand with my Democratic colleagues... as we pass this historic measure.” The Democrats are merely standing up against their loathsome Republican oppressors, Rivas said, “with courage. President Trump wants us to be intimidated. His playbook is simple: bully, threaten, silence — then rig the rules to hang onto power. California will not be a bystander to that power grab. We are acting — openly, lawfully with purpose and resolve, to defend our state and our democracy. Donald Trump does not believe in democracy. He is terrified of losing — and he will do whatever it takes to cling to power. If Trump can’t win fairly,

tors moved in raiding the home. As they did so, seven of those present attempted to flee. All were apprehended in short order with the assistance of the Sheriff’s Aviation Unit and Hesperia deputies. Another seven individuals were found inside the home. Investigators recovered stolen property belonging to the Burlington Northern Santa Fe railroad valued at \$42,500 and seized \$55,000 in U.S. currency found on the premises during the search. The 14 suspects – Jose Rodriguez Cecena, 28, of Hesperia; Tomas Valdez Olivares, 25, of Hesperia; Jesus Jimenez Gonzalez, 24, of Hesperia; Johan Camargo Salazar, 25, of Hesperia; Juan Oliveras Valdez, 27, of Hesperia; Jesus Fierro Escalante, 22, of Hesperia; Leobardo Corral Corrales, 46, of Westminster; Juan Jimenez Garcia, 29, of Hesperia; Ezequiel Jimenez Gaxi-

he’ll try to make it impossible for Republicans to lose.” According to the Republicans, however, it is the Democrats seeking to rig California’s – and by extension the entire nation’s – political system. According to California Assembly Minority Leader James Gallagher, in drawing up the electoral map they are presenting to the state’s voters, the Democrats embraced a strategy of “No public hearings, no transparency and complete secrecy. Gavin Newsom’s Democrat allies are behind closed doors, rigging California’s congressional districts so politicians can choose their own voters.” Proposition 50 is an attempt, he said, “to reverse a constitutional amendment passed by voters in 2010. That amendment stripped the legislature of its power to draw congressional districts and gave that authority to the Citizens Redistricting Commission, an independent body created to stop politicians from rigging maps to protect themselves. These are rigged maps drawn in secret to give Democrat

ola, 36, of Santa Rosa; Jose Navarette Carrillo, 24, of Victorville; Isidro Mendivil Mendez, 23, of Hesperia; Armando Gonzalez, 20, of Hesperia; Elويد Paz Lizarraga, 21, of Hesperia; and Guadalupe Alejandro Raya, 26, of Hesperia – were arrested and booked into the High Desert Detention Center on suspicion of violating PC496(A) being in possession of stolen property and PC182(A) (1) conspiracy to violate the law. The two operations in early October were even more significant than they appeared to be on the surface, with at least eleven of the 31 taken into custody providing detectives with further leads, including information they would have been unlikely to obtain in any other way. Moreover, investigators were able to use information extrapolated from some of the items seized, including communication

politicians more power. These maps shred the fair, transparent process voters demanded. The independent commission spent months gathering public input, holding 196 public meetings, hearing 3,870 verbal comments and collecting 32,410 written submissions before finalizing the current maps. Democrat politicians are throwing that work in the trash for a rigged scheme cooked up behind closed doors. This is a mockery of democracy,” Gallagher said. “If they can neuter the commission here, they can neuter it anywhere. Californians should choose their representatives, not the other way around.” The Proposition 50 map redraft would not apply just to the 2026 election cycle, but remain in place in 2028 and 2030, rendering LaMalfa, Kiley, Valadao, Issa and Calvert, most likely, into permanent political has-beens. After the 2030 U.S. Census, California would return to having its lines drawn by the independent redistricting panel, consistent with 2010’s Proposition 20. devices, computers and accounts tied to credit cards in the possession of several of those arrested, to reach further conclusions or come by evidence that proved out as very useful in charting what members of the rail cargo theft ring were doing and how they operate. On Thursday, October 30, 2025, the sheriff’s department’s Rural Crimes Team, with the assistance of the San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Department’s Victor Valley Detective Bureau, its Marijuana Enforcement Team Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad Police, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Southgate Police, and Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department, served a total of seven search warrants relating to the ongoing investigation into train burglaries in the Victor Valley area, in Los Angeles County.

Continued on Page 12

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2528342

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ to JESSE EFREN MARTINEZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: November 25, 2025, Time: 8:35 AM, Department: S 27

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/07/2025 Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: PATRICIA ANN CUNNINGTON CASE NO. PROVA2500770

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of PATRICIA ANN CUNNINGTON : a petition for probate has been filed by BELINDA LARSEN and KAREN VAN SANTEN in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION for Probate requests that BELINDA LARSEN and KAREN VAN SANTEN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held November 5, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. at:

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F1 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335 IF YOU OBJECT to the

Public Notices

granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Belinda Larsen and Karen Van Santen:

Mathew Alden (California Bar Number 288429) 255 North D Street Suite 200 San Bernardino, CA 92401 (909) 414-0797 mralden123@gmail.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2527555,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner ,Joshua David Munoz filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Joshua David Munoz to Joshua David Cambron Munoz,

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 12/01/2025, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S30The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBSC Montclair in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 09/30/2025 Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa Published in the SBSC on 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

Public Notices

NUMBER CIV SB 2528389

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner PEDRO BERMUDEZ VEGA filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

PEDRO BERMUDEZ VEGA to PETE VEGA

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: November 19, 2025, Time: 9:00 AM, Department: S 36

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/08/2025 Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa Alyssia Skinner, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

SPECIAL NOTICE OF LAWSUIT

TO: Prime Staff Inc, Defendant, Illegally Uninsured Employer

Christian Banerjee, Applicant

WCAB NO.: ADJ10357952

1) A Special Notice of Lawsuit and Application For Adjudication of Claim, has been filed with the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board against you as the named defendant by the above named applicant(s). You may seek the advice of an attorney in any matter connected with this lawsuit and such attorney should be consulted promptly so that your response may be filed and entered in a timely fashion. If you do not know an attorney, you may call an attorney reference service or a legal aid office. You may also request assistance/information from an Information and Assistance Officer of the Division of Workers' Compensation. (see telephone directory.)

2) An Answer to the Application must be filed and served within six days of the service of the Application pursuant to Appeals Board rules; therefore, your written response must be filed with the Appeals Board promptly; a letter or phone call will not protect your interests.

3) You will be served with a Notice(s) of Hearing and must appear at all hearings or conferences. After such hearing, even absent your appearance, a decision may be made and an award of compensation benefits may issue against you. The award could result in the garnishment of your wages, taking of your money or property, or other relief. If the Appeals Board makes an award against you, your house or other dwelling or other property may be taken to satisfy that award in a non-judicial sale, with no

Public Notices

exemptions from execution. A lien may also be imposed upon your property without further hearing and before the issuance of an award.

4) You must notify the Appeals Board of the proper address for the service of official notices and papers and notify the Appeals Board of any changes in that address.

TAKE ACTION NOW TO PROTECT YOUR INTERESTS! Issued by: WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

Name and Address of Appeals Board: Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, 320 W 4th St 9th Floor, Los Angeles CA 90013

Name and Address of Applicant's Attorney: Ysabel Law, 12439 Magnolia Blvd Ste 214, Valley Village CA 91607, Telephone No.: (213) 988-6033

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served: Prime Staff Inc.,

1.[X] As a corporation. Legal documents served: Special Notice of Lawsuit and Application for Adjudication for claim number

Published in the SBSC Sentinel on 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025

FBN20250008987 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

FANTASY NAILS AND SPA SALON 255 W FRANCIS ST ONTARIO, CA 91762: VIVIAN LE

Business Mailing Address: 255 W FRANCIS ST ONTARIO, CA 91762

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: September 24, 2025

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ VIVIAN LE, Owner Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/24/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9535

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

FBN20250008380 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

SCHEMES & DREAMS 25786 ALTO DR SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404: ALEX LOPEZ

Business Mailing Address: 25786 ALTO DR SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: September 8, 2025

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ALEX LOPEZ, Owner Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/08/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J1808

Public Notices

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2528342

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ to JESSE EFREN MARTINEZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: November 25, 2025, Time: 8:35 AM, Department: S 27 The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/07/2025 Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2528342

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner EFREN RODRIGUEZ MARTINEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

EFREN RODRIGUEZ MARTINEZ to JESSE EFREN MARTINEZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: November 25, 2025, Time: 8:35 AM, Department: S 27

Public Notices

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/07/2025 Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17 & 25 and November 1, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2529637

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner JOSE ANTHONIO RUIZ/ MELITON CRISTOBAL BETANCOURT filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

JOSE ANTHONIO RUIZ to JOSE ANTHONIO CRISTOBAL RUIZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: December 1, 2025, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S 26 The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/17/2025 Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa Abrianna Rodriguez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

FBN20250009652 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

LANDCARE PRO 525 EAST RALSTON AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404: HECTOR RAMIREZ

Business Mailing Address: 525 EAST RALSTON AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ HECTOR RAMIREZ Statement filed with the Coun-

Public Notices

ty Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/09/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9965

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

FBN20250009400 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

PALITEL INC [and] METRO BY T-MOBILE 8922 BEECH AVE UNIT H FONTANA, CA 92335: PALITEL INC 8922 BEECH AVE STE H FONTANA, CA 92335

Business Mailing Address: PALITEL INC 8922 BEECH AVE UNIT H FONTANA, CA 92335

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JANUARY 13, 2013.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ BAYAN MUBAREK, CFO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/03/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K4616

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

FBN20250009760 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CLAUDIA'S EVENTS 360 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786: CLAUDIA I BALVANEDA

Business Mailing Address: 7268 MISSOURI ST FONTANA, CA 92336

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 13, 2025

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CLAUDIA I BALVANEDA, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/14/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010 Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17,

Public Notices

24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER SIV SB 2527849,
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner Ariel Ocampo filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Ariel Ocampo to Alenah Nicole Canales-Rivera,
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing:
Date: 11/13/2025, **Time:** 09:00 **AM,** **Department:** S29The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this order be published in the SBSCS Ontario in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 10/02/2025
Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert Ochoa
Published in the SBSCS Ontario on 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025
FBN20250009770
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
JOSEPH W. BRADY, INC.
[and] **THE BRADCO COMPANIES**
[and] **BRADCO DEVELOPMENT**
[and] **BRADCO DIVERSIFIED**
[and] **BRADCO HIGH DESERT REPORT**
[and] **MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY REAL ESTATE GROUP**
12402 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. SUITE B-6 VICTORVILLE, CA 92395
JOSEPH W. BRADY, INC. 12402 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. SUITE B-6 VICTORVILLE, CA 92395
Business Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 2710 VICTORVILLE, CA 92393
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 1564782
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: December 4, 1989.
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
/s/ **JOSEPH W. BRADY,** President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/15/2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K4866
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER CIVSB 2520534
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner HOURIG KALAJIAN filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:
ISABELLA ALINA ESCOBAR to **MAGNOLIA ISABELLA ESCOBAR**
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing:
Date: December 5, 2025, **Time:** 8:30 **AM,** **Department:** S 26
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 10/06/2025
Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa
Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER SIV SB 2527849,
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner Ariel Ocampo filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Ariel Ocampo to Alenah Nicole Canales-Rivera,
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing:
Date: 11/13/2025, **Time:** 09:00 **AM,** **Department:** S29The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this order be published in the SBSCS Ontario in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 10/02/2025
Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert Ochoa
Published in the SBSCS Ontario on 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025
FBN20250009770
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
JOSEPH W. BRADY, INC.
[and] **THE BRADCO COMPANIES**
[and] **BRADCO DEVELOPMENT**
[and] **BRADCO DIVERSIFIED**
[and] **BRADCO HIGH DESERT REPORT**
[and] **MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY REAL ESTATE GROUP**
12402 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. SUITE B-6 VICTORVILLE, CA 92395
JOSEPH W. BRADY, INC. 12402 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. SUITE B-6 VICTORVILLE, CA 92395
Business Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 2710 VICTORVILLE, CA 92393
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 1564782
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: December 4, 1989.
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
/s/ **JOSEPH W. BRADY,** President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/15/2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K4866
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.

Public Notices

& 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.
FBN20250009850
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
QUEEN BOOM CLEANING SERVICES 135 N PEPPER AVE SPC 34 RIALTO, CA 92376: EVANGELINA SOLARES MARTINEZ
Business Mailing Address: 135 N PEPPER AVE SPC 34 RIALTO, CA 92376
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: October 16, 2025.
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
/s/ **EVANGELINA SOLARES MARTINEZ**
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/16/2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.
FBN20250009783
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
YOLO MESSAGE #1 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786: **YOLO MESSAGE #1** 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786
Business Mailing Address: 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
/s/ **HONGMEI ZHANG,** President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/15/2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K7325
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.
FBN20250010000
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
PEW RE-UP CREW 10701 CEDAR AVE #91 BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316: **MA G ACIERNO CASTRO** [and] **MARCOS RODRIGUEZ GARDUNO**
Business Mailing Address: 10701 CEDAR AVE #91 BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316
The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed

Public Notices

above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
/s/ **MA G ACIERNO CASTRO,** Owner
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/20/2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.
FBN20250009820
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
EVEREST GRILL AND WRAPS 1060 E HARRIMAN PL STE C2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408: **DS TECHNOLOGY LLC** 137 S PALM AVE HEMET, CA 925431
Business Mailing Address: 1060 E HARRIMAN PL STE C2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 202116110636
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
/s/ **DILIP C GHIMIRE,** President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/15/2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K7326
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 & November 7 & 14, 2025.
NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:
ESTHER TORREZ Case NO. PROVA2500798
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of **ESTHER TORREZ** A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by **Matthew Torrez** in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.
THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court. The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, how-

Public Notices

ever, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority., **Matthew Torrez** be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.
THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.
A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F1 at 09:00 AM on 11/19/2025 at Superior Court of California, County of Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino Fontana Division, , San Bernardino, 17780 Arrow Boulevard, Fontana, California 92335, Fontana Division
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.
IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.
Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.
YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.
TAYLOR D FENNEL ESQ:
545 N. MOUNTAIN AVE STE 111 UPLAND CA 91786
Telephone No: 213-346-0033
Published in the SBSCS on: 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025, 11/21/2025
FBN 20250009166
The following person is doing business as: SCNDVSN; SECOND DIVISION 7051 ROCKSPRING LANE HIGHLAND, CA 92346; MAILING ADDRESS 7051 ROCKSPRING LANE HIGHLAND, CA 92346; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CAREY E. COPELAND
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime

Public Notices

(B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CAREY E. COPELAND, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 29, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025 CNBB40202504MT
FBN 20250009200
The following person is doing business as: LUCY'S BEAUTY SALON & BARBER; LUCY'S BEAUTY SALON. 715 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762; MAILING ADDRESS 714 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUCYS BEAUTY SALON & BARBER LLC 715 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO CA 91762 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20250275959 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ANGELA GARCIA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 30, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202501MT
FBN 20250009379
The following person is doing business as: LILLIAN EMELY SNACK BAR. 3378 N LAUREL AVE RIALTO, CA 92377; MAILING ADDRESS 3378 N LAUREL AVE RIALTO, CA 92377; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARIA I ENCINAS
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MARIA I ENCINAS, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 03, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202501MT
FBN 20250009544
The following person is doing business as: TACOS SINALOA Y CARNICERIA. 17294 VALLEY BLVD SUITE A FONTANA, CA 92335; MAILING ADDRESS 17294 VALLEY BLVD SUITE A FONTANA, CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MATTHEW E MEZA
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact

Public Notices

business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MATTHEW E MEZA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 08, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202504MT
FBN 20250009379
The following person is doing business as: LHD MOBILE TRAILER REPAIR. 980 W. EVANS ST. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411; MAILING ADDRESS 980 W. EVANS ST. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUIS A CERON 980 W. EVANS ST. SAN BERNARDINO CA 92411
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR 05, 2010
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LUIS A CERON, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 03, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202505MT
FBN 20250009611
The following person is doing business as: AV HAIR COLLECTIVE. 780 E FOOTHILL BLVD SUITE D2 UPLAND, CA 91786; MAILING ADDRESS 4880 GRAND AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO VU B HO
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 01, 2025
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ VU B. HO, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 09, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202501MT
FBN 20250009549
The following person is doing business as: BEAUTY BY NOEL. 5148 WASHINGTON AVE CHINO, CA 91710; MAILING ADDRESS 5148 WASHINGTON AVE CHINO, CA 91710; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO NOEL Y WILSON
The business is conduct-

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025 CNBB4022503MT CORRECTION DATES 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 & 11/21/2025

FBN 20250008902

The following person is doing business as: HAUS OF ESCROW A NON INDEPENDENT BROKER ESCROW 10803 FOOTHILL BLVD SUITE 112 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730;[MAILING ADDRESS 10803 FOOTHILL BLVD SUITE 112 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730]; COUNTY SAN BERNARDINO HAUS OF REAL ESTATE INC 10803FOOTHILL BLVD SUITE 112 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SHPEND QERIMI, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the Coun-

ty Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 18, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/19/2025, 09/26/2025, 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025 CNBB38202508MT CORRECTION DATES 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 & 11/21/2025

FBN 20250008331

The following person is doing business as: BLAQ LABEL ACQUISITION;BLAQ LABEL;BLAQ INKK; BLAQ INC. 26210 WINDSOR DR. LOMA LINDA CA 92354;[MAILING ADDRESS 26210 WINDSOR DR. LOMA LINDA CA 92354]; COUNTY SAN BERNARDINO BLAQ LABEL ACQUISITION LLC 26210 WINDSOR DR. LOMA LINDA CA 92354 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime

(B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ RAYMOND MCCALL, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 05, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/19/2025, 09/26/2025, 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025 CNBB38202505MT CORRECTION DATES 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 & 11/21/2025

FBN 20250008630

The following person is doing business as: BIG BEAR JANITORIAL SUPPLY I602 TUOLUMNE RD BIG BEAR CITY CA 92314;[MAILING ADDRESS P.O BOX 2234 BIG BEAR CITY CA 92314]; COUNTY SAN BERNARDINO LARS T STALFORS; CHRISTOPHER P MATHEWS The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and

correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LARS T STALFORS, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 12, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/19/2025, 09/26/2025, 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025 CNBB38202511MT CORRECTION DATES 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 & 11/21/2025

FBN 20250008895

The following person is doing business as: PRECISE MOVEMENT 10361 VISTA GROVE STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91737;[MAILING ADDRESS 10361 VISTA GROVE STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91737]; COUNTY SAN BERNARDINO HAUS OF REAL ESTATE INC 10803 FOOTHILL BLVD, SUITE 112 RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MARTIN YANKOV, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 18, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/19/2025, 09/26/2025, 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025 CNBB38202511MT CORRECTION DATES 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 & 11/21/2025

FBN 20250008138

The following person is doing business as: SUIT EMPORIUM. 4449 MILLS CIRCLE ONTARIO, CA 91764;[MAILING ADDRESS 15101 FAIRFIELD RANCH RD UNIT 8202 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

SUIT MATRIX INC 15101 FAIRFIELD RANCH RD APT 8202 CHINO HILLS CA 91709 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION B20250005081 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 07, 2025 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MILBES MUSTAFA, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 27, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/29/2025, 09/05/2025, 09/12/2025, 09/19/2025 CNBB35202507MT CORRECTION DATES 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 & 11/21/2025

FBN 20250007993

The following person is doing business as: MISSHAIRCARE101. 16685 SIERRA LAKES PKWY #18 FONTANA, CA 92336;[MAILING ADDRESS 6125 COOPER AVE FONTANA, CA 92336]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TRACY I. MOSBY The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ TRACY I. MOSBY Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 22, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/29/2025, 09/05/2025, 09/12/2025, 09/19/2025 CNBB35202511MT CORRECTION DATES 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 & 11/21/2025

Big Bear Lake Officials Stunned Into Silence At Resident Reaction To Placing Trash Site Near Houses from page 6

legality while explaining that the city was the project proponent that had utilized its authority to defy the law and calling for citizen protest and counteraction in response.

Direct manifestations of these were a letter writing campaign aimed at elected city officials and City Hall staff to impress on them the dim view residents have taken with regard to the project, as well as a telephone call blitz to convince city officials they should rethink what they are doing. There is now an effort underway to prompt citizen participation at the Wednesday, November 5 Big Bear Lake Planning Commission meeting to be held at the unusual time of 1:15 p.m. and the regular city council meeting scheduled for Thursday, November 6 at 4 p.m.

City officials were as taken aback by the resident reaction and Ciulla's letter as the residents were thunderstruck by the city's clearing of the property, removal of trees, grading, the pouring of base and initiation

of construction activity on the lot at the confluence of Lark and Cienega Roads.

Indeed, Sund came across as having been put into a state of paralysis by the developing resistance to the project.

The Sentinel this week made multiple attempts to reach him at his office at City Hall to no avail. Thereafter, it sent an email to both his personal and city email address. In the email the Sentinel sought to determine if the residents' widespread perception that the parcel owned by the city in the environs of the 39000 block of Lark Road was being altered for the purpose of holding trash, i.e., essentially replacing the function of the current facility at 39690 Big Bear Boulevard, whether there were some inaccuracies in the presumptions of the residents of that neighborhood and whether he could provide the Sentinel's readership a concise, cogent and accurate size-up of what is actually occurring.

The *Sentinel* inquired of Sund as to whether he could refute the residents' contention that the activity taking place on the lot at Lark and Cienega roads and what was to be established there is incompatible with the nature and tenor

of their neighborhood and the predominantly residential uses in that neck of the woods. The Sentinel noted the residents' assertion that the use of the property in question is confined, by law, to residential use only, and that the city cannot legally rezone the property and it cannot develop the property for anything other than residential use. Moreover, it was pointed out that the residents specifically objected, in the most adamant of terms, that the site in question could be transformed into a trash dump, trash holding station or trash transfer station. The *Sentinel* asked if the residents were right about what is going onto the property and if not what the property is going to become. The Sentinel inquired whether the city's intended use of the property matches the zoning and, if the use does not match the zoning, what process of adaptation was made or is to be made to allow a nonresidential use on that property.

The *Sentinel* referenced the widespread perception on the part of the residents living proximate to the project that the city blindsided them by initiating the project without any warning. The *Sentinel* asked if the project

or the alteration of the Clean Bear Program was previewed to the public and then discussed in a public hearing and voted upon, either by the city council or the planning commission. The Sentinel requested that Sund cite those meeting dates, agendas and minutes that document the proper notification of the project and its approval took place. The Sentinel asked Sund to explicate how it is that those most directly impacted by this project or the Clean Bear Program alteration

Concerted Investigative Effort Nets Arrests Of 34 Involved In Railroad Cargo Thefts In October Alone from page 7

The first warrant was served at 6:00 a.m., in the 4900 block of Mason Street, Southgate. Investigators located a large locked prefabricated room within a body shop, the room contained a large amount of stolen Burlington Northern Santa Fe property.

Evidence from the search warrant led to the issuance and serving two additional search warrants at a residence and business proximate to and virtually across the street from the Mason Street address, within

learned of it only after they detected physical activity/preparations on the property in question. The Sentinel asked Sund what his response is to the complaints and contentions of those living in the Cienega Road/Lark Road neighborhood that they were not afforded the opportunity to offer their input with regard to the project/program alteration before the city committed to proceeding with it and if it is now his belief that the City of Big Bear Lake got ahead of itself

the 4900 Block of Mason Street in Southgate. Three suspects were contacted and arrested.

Evidence from the three search warrants led investigators to another residence in the 7800 Block of Rose Street in Paramount where a search warrant was authorized and served. Additional stolen Burlington Northern Santa Fe property was located.

Evidence from the search warrant led investigators to a nearby storage facility in the 7000 Block of San Luis Street in Paramount. Two additional search warrants were authored for two storage units where additional Burlington Northern Santa Fe stolen property was located.

Based off information from the previous search

in moving forward with a project/program that was not given adequate citizen review. The Sentinel offered Sund the opportunity to explain how the activity the city is undertaking on the property at the juncture of Cienega and Lark roads falls entirely within the rights of the city as a municipal agency and will be of betterment to the entire community.

Sund made no response to the *Sentinel's* email.

warrants, investigators authored an additional search warrant for another residence in the 14900 Block of Downey Avenue in Paramount. Additional Burlington Northern Santa Fe stolen property was located.

Burlington Northern Santa Fe estimated the recovered property totaled \$2,118,459.20.

Penultimately, all the recovered property was released to Burlington Northern Santa Fe. Eventually, it will be returned to the rightful owners.

Those taken into custody during the operation were Alfredo Santos Reyes, a 34-year-old resident of Southgate; Cesar Martinez, a 41-year-old resident of Southgate; and Jorge Castillo, a 46-year-old resident of Southgate.