

Rising Costs & Diminishing Vegas Popularity Threatening Brightline West's Viability

Brightline West's financial backers are teetering on the brink of pulling the plug on the proposal to build what is planned as the United States' third and fastest high speed rail system spanning the 228 miles from Rancho Cucamonga to Las Vegas, despite having been planned for over the course of 18 years, its April 2024 groundbreaking ceremony, having been subsidized with a \$3 billion

federal grant and having been allocated \$3.5 billion in federal tax-exempt Private Activity Bonds.

A combination of seven factors, five of which were unanticipated at the time of the groundbreaking, have pushed the current projected cost to 269 percent of its original price tag and 135 percent of a more estimate that the project's developers intimated would be its actual cost last year.

Preliminary and more advance planning and preparatory work that has taken place in the time since the groundbreaking has been negligible in comparison to the expenditures that are to come if the project is to proceed. If further assistance from the federal government is not forthcoming, corporate officials, who are being backed by New York-based hedge fund, are now resolved to back

out of the proposition entirely, abandoning the foundation for the undertaking to prevent the throwing of good money after bad.

Meanwhile, key support in Congress and elsewhere is potentially being eroded as the Justice Department and some federal regulators under the Trump Administration weigh proceeding with probes into public officials of both parties suspected

of engaging in investment activity that could ultimately be impacted by the successful establishment of the Southern California-to-Las Vegas fast rail system.

Originally projected in 2006 to cost \$8 billion, Brightline West's Rancho Cucamonga to Las Vegas project's price tag increased to \$12 billion when enough interest among investors and involved entities crucial to its success

See P 2

Yucaipans Qualify Referendum On Council's Permitting Of Live Oak Canyon Warehouses

At least 5,232 Yucaipa residents, all of them registered voters, have given indication they are opposed to action taken by the city council in August and September that upzoned 1,242 acres surrounding the I-10 Freeway in a way that would have cleared the way from intensive warehouse development on the properties along and around Live Oak

Canyon Road.

Consequently, the rezoning has been put on hold and a ballot initiative to rescind the city council's action will go before the city's voters perhaps as early as next June.

In November 2008, the Yucaipa City Council adopted its currently applicable development standards and blueprint for land use and its in-

tensity in the 1,242 acres along the freeway and surrounding areas in Yucaipa under what is known as the Freeway Corridor Specific Plan. The planning document allowed for the construction of up to 2,447 residential units on 424.7 acres and up to 4,585,779 square feet of nonresidential uses on 242.7 acres within the designated area.

In recent years, a handful of projects that were proposed and approved, taken together with development proposals within the 1,241-acre expanse prompted calls for the specific plan's adjustment. Thirteen months ago, the Palmer, Robinson, and Issa families sought permission to construct warehouses along Live Oak Canyon.

Then-Mayor Justin Beaver, Councilman Chris Venable and then-Councilman Matt Garner balked at the proposal, while then-Councilman Bobby Duncan and then-Councilman Jon Thorp were willing to let the projects proceed. There were at that time two mindsets with regard to the warehouse issue.

Those in fa- See P 3

Barstow Father Caught In Vicious Flash Flood Charged With Manslaughter In Son's Drowning

In a blow that compounded his string of misfortune yet further, 26-year-old Brandon Padilla-Aguilera was arrested last week by the Barstow Police Department on suspicion of murder in the September 18 drowning death of his 2-year-old autistic son.

Four days later, the San Bernardino County

District Attorney's Office balked at characterizing Padilla-Aguilera's transgression as murder, substituting a charge of reckless endangerment that nevertheless will result in a sentence of 25 years-to-life if he is prosecuted and convicted.

September 18 was a horrible day for Padilla-Aguilera, of Barstow,

and his family.

The remnants of Tropical Storm Mario brought widespread rainfall to Southern California that moved across the American Southwest on September 17, worsening on September 18.

Padilla-Aguilera's grandmother had died earlier that month. Her funeral had

See P 3

County Delivers Homeless The Message: Get Lost, Or Else

In a coordinated effort to address public health and safety concerns while extending outreach to individuals experiencing homelessness who have the right attitude, San Bernardino County Code Enforcement, Public Works, Homeless Services and the Sheriff's Department conducted a one-

day enforcement and outreach operation on Wednesday, October 22, in Bloomington and unincorporated areas adjacent to Fontana.

"We are addressing homelessness and creating safe, healthy spaces for everyone" said Board of Supervisors Chairman and Third District Supervisor

See P 3

CVUSD School Prayer Strategy: Trial & Appellate Losses Before Supreme Court Win

All five of the Chino Valley Unified School Board members are four-square behind the strategy of staying the course with the district's revival of the school prayer initiative that failed when it was pursued a decade ago.

That game plan anticipates a repeat of the losses the district sustained with regard to the issue in 2016 and 2018. Board

members and their advisors are taking in stride the repetition of the 2016 defeat that took place on October 13 in Federal Court in Riverside. They are prepared to have their noses bloodied when the 9th Circuit Court of Appeal in San Francisco takes up the appeal they are making of the October 13 ruling. The final and true victory will come, they

believe, in Washington, D.C. late next year or in 2027, when the U.S. Supreme Court considers the matter.

In 2012, Andrew Cruz, a member of the Calvary Chapel Chino Hills Congregation, was elected to the school board. Cruz joined James Na and Sylvia Orozco, who were also Calvary Chapel parishioners, on the panel. Orozco, Na

and Cruz adhered to Calvary Chapel Chino Hills Pastor Jack Hibbs' denominationalist philosophy, which holds that Christians have a duty to stand up for their beliefs by either running for election to public office themselves or supporting other Christians who do run, and then, upon taking office, Christianize public policy.

Under the leadership

of Orozco, Na and Cruz, the district made Bible study part of the district curriculum and included benedictions at the beginning of the school board meetings. Na, upon becoming board president, engaged in evangelism from the district board dais, telling those present at meetings that they should seek out Jesus Christ as their personal savior. See P 5

Illegal Alien Unable To Read English Given California Commercial Hauler's License Kills Three In Fiery 60 MPH Rear-Ending In Ontario

A semi-truck driver who plowed into at least three other vehicles leading to a pileup on the I-10 Freeway in Ontario in which three people were killed was in the country illegally, does not appear to have been properly trained or licensed as a commercial carrier and was likely driving under the influence of a yet-undisclosed substance, according to local, state and federal authorities.

According to the California Highway Patrol, Jashanpreet Singh, a 21-year-old Indian national who entered the country by crossing the U.S.-Mexico border in 2022, was at the wheel of a Freightliner tractor pulling a trailer while headed westbound on the 10 Freeway just east of the I-15 Freeway. The dashcam video from Singh's cab, obtained by the *Sentinel*, shows the red Freightliner tractor in the number four lane, counting the high occupancy/toll lane as the number one lane, traveling at a high rate of speed estimated at 60 miles per hour or more, failing to brake entirely as it runs into the back of and obliterates a white SUV that had been at a full stop for several seconds before the impact and continues on into the back of a white pickup truck, which is hit hard and careens to the left as the Freightliner continues unabated into the back

See P 3

Brightline West Rail Project Success Is Tied To Las Vegas Continuing As A Phenomenal Tourist Mecca *from front page*

coalesced in 2021 into a commitment to proceed. The original cost had doubled to \$16 billion at the time the company broke ground in June 2024. the belief was that the project could yet succeed and the debt be serviced through 2037 – its first decade of operation, upon the project being completed in 2027. The most sober assessment at this point has the cost increasing to \$21.5 million, with the train not starting service until 2029.

All of the predictions had been predicated on assumptions of the steadily increasing popularity of gambling mecca. Since the project’s groundbreaking, however, tourism in Las Vegas and corresponding spending there has dipped, significantly. It is unknown whether this is a temporary blip or a trend with last implication.

In 2024, 41,676,300 million tourists spent \$55.1 billion in Las Ve-

gas, according to the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority. That represented a 2.07 percent increase over 2023.

This year, however, the number dropped off, drastically. From January to June, there 1,536,500 fewer visitors to Las Vegas than during the first six months of 2024, a drop of roughly 7.37 percent. In July, the drop-off was an even more radical 12 percent decline over the July 2024 number, as the city had an influx of roughly 3,080,000 people in July of this year as opposed to 3.5 million in 2024, on the order of 420,000 fewer paying customers in a single month.

Of note is that a large factor in the downturn consisted of a reduction in the number foreign visitors to Sin City, which is a partial function of stricter immigration policies by the U.S. government triggering travel advisories from the governments of foreign countries from which large numbers of tourists to the United States originate. This has resulted in fewer foreigners traveling to the United States altogether. Across the board, since March, two months after Donald Trump’s inauguration, until the end of August, there have been

33 percent fewer foreign tourists in Las Vegas than over the comparable six months in 2024.

Foreign visitation, however, is not the only factor at play.

High costs in the city are driving tourists away. Traditionally, the gambling venues in Las Vegas offered very reasonably priced meals and entertainment as a draw to get the adventuresome to try their luck at a roulette wheel, blackjack tables, dice boards, slot machines or other gaming opportunities, where the odds, overall, favor the house by at least 8.943 percent. In recent years, however, the cost of food and entertainment has risen dramatically, to the point that tourists find themselves flocking hundreds of miles to find themselves needing to fork over in excess of \$100 for a ticket to see a show in Vegas or pay \$26 for a toasted bagel with cream cheese or \$22 for a bottle of water. In addition, the price for accommodations and services have escalated, in some cases to astronomical levels, even as the vacancy rates in hotels and hotel casinos climb. This is exacerbated by a bevy of hidden charges that aren’t sprung on the guest until he or she arrives in the

form of exorbitant parking fees, resort “excise” fees, and “courtesy” charges for checking-in or basic amenities such as towels. A recurrent complaint from those who have spent time in Las Vegas in recent years is that they experience a feeling of “being had,” and this perception that Las Vegas is overpriced and is no longer a value destination has resulted in many foregoing a return there. The decline in spending has prompted an increase in pricing, which in turn has led to a drop in the tourists’ spending on the city’s most profitable offerings, such as gambling.

That vicious cycle has led to the promotion of the greatest threat to Las Vegas yet, which is the proliferation of Indian gaming venues all over California, which every year, it seems, divert to their own coffer a larger and larger portion of Southern California residents’ disposable incomes which formerly went to the casinos in Las Vegas.

While the compiling of statistics for 2025 are, this far through the year as of the middle of October, incomplete, it appears that visitor numbers and accompanying spending in Las Vegas is precipitously plung-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.
The Sentinel’s main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with Countywide News Service
Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936
to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
SBCSentinel@yahoo.com
Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936
Message Line 951-567 1936

ing downward. Whether the Fortress Investment Group – the hedge fund supplying the investment capital behind the Brightline West Project – is willing to gamble that this is just a temporary hiccup is now the operative question. Despite expressing all of the confidence in the world, Pete Briger, a managing partner and the executive chairman at Fortress Investment Group, has had second, third and now fourth thoughts about proceeding.

While Brightline in September began construction on its Las Vegas station and last night, October 23, began the removal of the center median along Las Vegas Boulevard in preparation for upcoming traffic

lane shifts to accommodate the track to be built in the approach to and exit from the Las Vegas station, the actual construction of the track is not slated to begin, according to information contained in the nine separate construction projects for the project, until February 2026. At that point, according to construction management documentation, Brightline, or more accurately Fortress, will spend \$17,188,165 per day, six days per week, 313 days per year until late May 2029 to complete the train line.

On September 26, Brightline West, backed by Fortress Investment Group, applied for a \$6 million no-interest loan
Continued on Page 4

Yucaipa City Council Okayed Aggressive Warehouse Development, Triggering A Citizen Counterreaction *from front page*

vor of aggressive development wanted a more generous allotment of land to be eligible for light and medium industrial use, including property within the mouth of Live Oak Canyon that falls inside Yucaipa’s borders.

There were others who believed that warehouses represent far too intensive of a land use in rustic Live Oak Canyon. Both camps agreed in one respect: They wanted the then-16-year-old Freeway Corridor Specific Plan scrapped. Those intent to see the

natural aspect of Live Oak Canyon preserved wanted the specific plan altered to prohibit light and medium industrial uses in the canyon and for the city to encourage that kind of land use to take place elsewhere within acreage along the periphery of the 10 Freeway. In September 2024 and the months thereafter, the council receded from dealing with the calls from both sides to revamp the Freeway Corridor Specific Plan. With the November 2024 election, Matt Garner, who had been elected to the city council in November 2022, was recalled from office, after which he was replaced through action of the council by Bob Miller. Bobby Duncan, who had been on the city council

since 2012, did not seek reelection, and he was replaced by Judy Woolsey. The Palmer, Robinson, and Issa families did not abandon their designs on constructing warehouses along Live Oak Canyon. The Yucaipa planning division consistently sought to accommodate their overtures. The clash between the Palmer, Robinson, and Issa families on one side and those intent on protecting the sanctity of Live Oak Canyon loomed for months and at last manifested on August 25, when the council considered the update of the city’s blueprint for development in the nearby environs of the 10 Freeway, along with proposals for two warehouses with nearing or exceeding floor plans of a mil-

lion square feet each. The Yucaipa City Council’s August 25 4-to-1 vote, with Councilman Chris Venable dissenting, to approve the long-gestating update of the city’s Freeway Corridor Specific Plan and a parallel proposal to construct two large warehouses within that designated area triggered an effort by residents of the local area to seek a referendum rescinding that action. Some two weeks later, on September 8, the city council gave a so-called second reading, i.e., confirming passage of the action it had taken on August 25, rezoning the 1,242 acres along the 10 Freeway from Live Oak Canyon Road to County Line Road for “planned development,” essentially opening the property

up for “light industrial” or warehouse development.

David Matuszak, the president of Friends of Live Oak Canyon, a core group of Yucaipans mounted an effort to counter what the council had done. Friends of Live Oak Canyon called upon all of those members of both the Yucaipa, Redlands, San Bernardino County and Riverside County communities who value the atmosphere and tranquility Live Oak Canyon to come together in action and prevent the loss of “a lifestyle we commonly value.” On September 1, after the first council vote to make the zone upgrade but before the second vote to do so, a coterie of Yucaipa residents pro-

vided City Clerk Ana Sauseda notification that they were intent on placing a referendum on the ballot. The city, under California law, had ten business days to respond. Upon the city responding on September 13, the residents had, under California law 30 days to gather the valid signatures of 3,617 registered voters in Yucaipa – 10 percent of the voters of the city according to the last official report of registration by the County Elections Official to the Secretary of State – to qualify the referendum vote for the ballot.

After 16 days, On September 29, the petitioners submitted to Sauseda the petitions for a referendum petition to halt the Freeway Corridor
Continued on Page 5

School Prayer *from front page*

When the district began to move toward including daily prayer as part of basic instruction at the district's schools, the Freedom From Religion Foundation of Madison, Wisconsin in 2014 stepped in and filed suit in the United States District Court for the Central District of California in Riverside against the district on behalf of two named plaintiffs, Larry Maldonado and Mike Anderson, and 21 unnamed plaintiffs who asserted

they were alienated or intimidated at school board meetings because of the insistence of some district officials to engage in so-called Christian witnessing, including "prayers, Bible readings and proselytizing." Federal Judge Jesus Bernal in 2016 ordered that the district cease engaging in overt religiosity and proselytizing, and awarded the Freedom From Religion Foundation \$202,971.70 in attorney's fees and court costs. Nevertheless, the Na, Cruz and Orozco voted to appeal Judge Bernal's

ruling to U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit ultimately upheld Bernal, which resulted in the district being responsible for another \$75,680 of the Freedom From Religion's legal fees.. In the years since, Orozco left the school board but two other Hibbs acolytes, Sonja Shaw and Jon Monroe were elected to the board in 2022. With the school board under the grip of Na, Cruz, Monroe and Shaw, who was established shortly after her election as the school board president, the district contemplated

taking up once more an effort to acculturate public education in Chino Valley in accordance with Christian principles

This year, believing the time was propitious to do so, the board moved to have the district retain Advocates for Faith & Freedom, a Murrieta-based non-profit law firm specializing in issues relating to religious liberty and led by attorney Robert Tyler, who considers himself to be God's lawyer. On July 31, 2025, Tyler filed on behalf of the district an appeal with the Federal

Continued on Page 9

County Authorities "Helping" The Homeless *from front page*

Dawn Rowe. "By combining enforcement with outreach, we ensure that every resident, housed or unhoused, is treated with dignity and respect."

At least some of the displaced homeless disagree, but since they are not actually legal residents, they are not eligible to vote in San Ber-

nardino County. Most of Rowe's constituents agree. They want the homeless to leave.

The operation was organized in response to numerous community reports about unsafe conditions and environmental health concerns linked to encampments in the areas.

"Homelessness is not an issue any one city or agency can solve alone — it requires a regional, united effort," said Board of Supervisors Vice

Chair and Fifth District Supervisor Joe Baca, Jr., who represents Bloomington. "Together, we're taking meaningful steps to provide compassionate outreach and restore safety in our communities."

"In the Second District, we've taken a holistic approach to homelessness by addressing both immediate needs and root causes," said Second District Supervisor Jess Armendarez, who represents Fontana.

the road and apparently made an effort to drive around it. The vehicle in which Padilla-Aguilera and his son were traveling was propelled off the roadway by floodwaters and was thrust into a wash north of West Main Street.

At 7:12 pm, a phone call to emergency dispatch came in, reporting the swamped vehicle. At 7:14 pm the Barstow Police and Fire Departments were dispatched to the area of West Main Street west of Lenwood Road

gine in the collision.

Singh survived the crash relatively intact, with only a minor contusion. Based not only on an objective assessment of his condition after the crash but on the analysis of the dashcam footage, Singh was arrested and charged with driving under the influence. He also faces, at present, three counts of manslaughter. In California, driving while intoxicated in a

"Through investments in projects like Citylink's wraparound service facility and food warehouse, The PATH's conversion of a motel into a Homeless Prevention Resource and Care Center to provide housing for unsheltered families, and support for drug and alcohol treatment programs at Cedar House, we've created hundreds of new beds and resources that give people a path off the streets. Tackling

Continued on Page 12

According to an informational briefing provided by the City of Barstow the following day, "As police and fire were responding, it was reported that the occupants had been separated from the vehicle and were continuing to travel north with the floodwaters. The occupants of the vehicle were identified as Brandon Padilla Aguilera, age 26, and his son, Xavier Padilla Aguilera, age 2."

In the city's official version of events, the ve-

Continued on Page 10

situation in which a collision results in death can be prosecuted as murder, gross vehicular manslaughter or gross vehicular manslaughter. It is anticipated the charge against him are to be intensified when toxicology tests determine what type of drug he had ingested prior to the crash.

The California Highway Patrol's investiga-

Continued on Page 5

Philosophically Speaking



UFOs, UAPs And The Four Ls

By Phill Courtney

For many decades (and some say much longer than that) those strange objects in the skies once known as UFOs (unidentified flying objects), but now referred to by the U.S. government as UAPs (unidentified aerial phenomenon), perhaps to shake the many associations set off by the previous acronym, have also been known most famously as "flying saucers" since that term was first coined in 1947. They have also gone by other names like flying discs and flying cigars, as well as other various descriptions too numerous to name.

However, no matter what they're called, and despite the traditional news agencies having apparently lost interest in them many years ago, the sightings themselves have never gone away, and we were reminded of that fact when there was an uptick in media coverage when the government held some official hearings in July of 2023.

Testimony by credible witnesses was heard from various members of the U.S. military, while a number of officeholders, such as Marco Rubio, stopped laughing and began speaking out publicly on the subject, if only to express their concern that these objects could pose a threat to our national security.

As for myself, I began looking into the subject when I was a teenager back in the mid-1960s after I'd read a book by the newspaperman, Frank Edwards, called Flying Saucers Serious Business, which sparked a long period of interest and my subsequent pouring through scads of "saucer" books in a search for answers, which, to this day, have still proved elusive.

Nevertheless, despite this elusiveness, I have never joined the scores of skeptics, including one of my best friends, as well as an astrophysics professor I interviewed at UC Irvine, who both feel that interstellar visitations just can't be possible because of the tremendous distances involved, while I have, instead, kept an "open mind."

As for my friend, after a number of conversations we've had through the years, including one under a full array of stars at a wilderness campsite in Arizona, when, as often happens in such settings, the subject of UFO's once again came up, and he once again shared some scoffing, I reminded him of Shakespeare's line in Hamlet about one of the characters to which another, chiding him for his lack of imagination, pointed out that there was more in heaven and earth than was dreamt of in his philosophy.

It didn't work that night, and it still hasn't, but our conversations have resulted in one beneficial effect: my attempt here to categorize some of the ways used to dismiss UFO sightings and then condense them into a scale similar to the one devised by perhaps the greatest of UFO investigators: astronomy professor J. Allen Hynek, who began as a skeptic himself, before numerous on-the-ground investigations changed his mind.

This scale is most famous for being used as the inspiration for one of Steven Spielberg's most successful movies and its title: 1977's Close Encounters of the Third Kind, for which Hynek was a consultant and in which he appeared as one of the witnesses during the huge UFO's climactic appearance over the Devil's Tower in Wyoming.

So, at this point, for those in need of a quick refresher course, here's Hynek's ascending scale of UFO's encounters:

Continued on Page 10

On The Way Back From Their Grandmother's/Great-Grandmother's September 18 Funeral, Padilla-Aguilera And His Son Were Caught In A Flash Flood *from front page*

been scheduled for September 18. Despite the inclemency, which entailed torrential rains and flash floods that overwhelmed streets, roads and washes in Apple Valley, Barstow, Hesperia, Lucerne Valley and other areas throughout the Mojave Desert, the funeral was held.

After that somber service and the get-

together that followed, Padilla-Aguilera and son, Xavier, were making their way home in Padilla-Aguilera's white sedan. It is not entirely clear what then occurred. Somewhere in the vicinity of Lenwood Road and West Main Street, Padilla-Aguilera reportedly encountered a UPS truck that was positioned sideways in

across two lanes of traffic where it ran into the front of a disable tractor connected to a long flatbed trailer and the back of the service truck in front of the disabled tractor that were in place on the shoulder of the freeway. The disabled tractors front hood was draped open forward and appeared to have been clipped and destroyed along with major elements of the truck's en-

Singh's Freightliner Blasted Full-Speed Into The 3 Vehicles Stopped In Front Of Him On The I-15 *from front page*

of another semi-tractor-pulled trailer, the rear of which momentarily lifts into the air upon impact. The momentum of the Freightliner at that point was diminished, though it careened to the right

Ticket Price On High Speed Train Likely To Impact Its Ridership Numbers *from page 2*

from the federal government's Build America Bureau Credit Assistance Pipeline. The *Sentinel* is informed that the fate of the Brightline West project hinges at this point on the Trump Administration's prompt response to that request. If the administration is forthcoming with the loan by the end of the year, Briger will signal to Brightline Chief Executive Officer P. Mike Reininger, Brightline West President Sarah Watterson, Brightline Chief Financial Officer Jeff Swiatek and Brightline Executive Vice President for Engineering and Planning Adrian Share to proceed.

The *Sentinel* is informed that while the Brightline's draft letter of interest in the Build America Bureau Credit Assistance loan has been received and logged, it remains in the queue behind no fewer than 27 other such requests. There is no set timeline for evaluating the applications, but a positive recommendation of the evaluating committee would not cinch the deal.

While Brightline West and Fortress representatives came through their initial consultation with designees of the Build America Bureau in discussing their project and convincing them of Brightline's bona fides in establishing the feasibility of the Rancho Cucamonga-to-Las Vegas rail system, and the letter of interest was spot on in terms of meeting all pro-forma requirements, the train wheels have yet to meet the track in that the Build America Bureau has not yet had an opportunity to evaluate the creditworthiness of the project, as the bureau's auditors and lending officers are yet engaged in evaluating a host of credit applications of other projects around the country. According to the bureau, Brightline West has submitted what

appears to be the necessary documents and financial information, but no one with the bureau has progressed to evaluating the application, let alone making a determination as to whether Brightline West meets all of the current criteria for credit assistance. It is only after that evaluation and a positive finding that Brightline West and its Fortress Investment Group backers will be in a position to execute a credit agreement, which will outline the terms and conditions of the credit assistance the Build America Bureau will provide. The funds will not be disbursed to Brightline West to defray the project costs until that agreement is executed.

Somewhat ironically, the outcome of the evaluation is likely to bog down over the same details and considerations that pertain to the steep rise in the cost of the project itself, and the diminishing prospect that upon completion, the train system will be able to deliver the revenue needed to service the debt Brightline West will be taking on, let alone generate a profit for Fortress and its investors.

The Brightline West tracks would run on the median between northbound and southbound lanes of Interstate 15, reaching a top speed of at least 186 miles per hour and approaching or exceeding 200 mph, with a potential top speed of 220 miles per hour in certain stretches. This would exceed the 125 miles per hour top speed of the Brightline train that is currently in place in Florida and the 160 miles per hour to speed of Amtrak's *Accelea*, which operates between Washington, D.C. and Boston.

The claims as to what the Brightline West system's ridership will be are somewhat contradictory. In literature put out by both Brightline West and the City of Rancho Cucamonga, it is stated that it is anticipated 11 million passengers will use Brightline West per year. Separately, Bright-

line has stated it anticipated 9 million "one-way passengers" per year.

Brightline West in 2024 stated that it intends to charge a one-way fare of \$119 and offer premium cabin service for \$133.

A round-trip, presumably will run double that of a one-way trip.

Assuming that the lion's share of riders will be traveling back and forth from Rancho Cucamonga, hence \$238 per passenger, at 11 million passengers per year, Brightline West, on ticket sales only and not counting any revenue it might realize through the sale of added amenities to travelers during their trip, would generate \$2.618 billion per year. It would thus take roughly a decade for Brightline to generate income through the operation of the high-speed train system equal to the cost of the project. This does not include financing costs, the need to pay dividends to the investors in Fortress nor the cost of running the trains, purchasing the electricity to run them, maintain them, servicing them, replacing them or paying Brightline employees – engineers, conductors, on-board service crews, pointsmen, porters, trainmaster, chief mechanical engineer, station masters and assistant station masters, station agents, guards, ticket sellers, ticket inspectors, train dispatchers, train safety officers, signalmen and line maintenance crew.

A critical consideration in ascertaining the viability of the Brightline West project reduces itself to the simple question of what travel alternatives those who would ride the train to Las Vegas will have, along with the relative advantages using the train presents.

Given the approximate three-hour-and-41-minute drive to cover the 231 mile driving distance from Rancho Cucamonga to Las Vegas, Brightline's one-hour-and-45-minute jaunt, including stops in Hesperia and Apple Valley, represents a substantial incentive to travel by

rail. Further, the physical toll 460-miles plus of road travel through the inhospitable desert takes on driver and vehicle alike provides a strong reason to board the train.

Added to that is the consideration that for some, though not all, Las Vegas tourists, a car, having been of such utility and convenience and therefore an asset in getting them to their destination, in Las Vegas becomes something of a liability, inconvenience and distraction after their arrival and for the duration of the time they are present in Sin City. Parking can prove not only expensive but difficult, as there is a premium on parking space. Indeed, at some venues, one must find a space blocks away, pay for it and then hike to his or her destination. Negotiating traffic, particularly at certain times, become a nightmare.

Doubtless, for well over ten percent of the population and approaching 20 percent of those in Southern California who consider Las Vegas to be for them an appropriate weekend playground, money is no object and therefore not a consideration.

For a large percentage of those traveling to Las Vegas from the various communities in Southern California, however, the cost of travel is an issue. Whether the numbers will pencil for them within their budgets becomes, for Brightline West and Fortress Investment Group, an issue.

According to the best available statistics, vehicles running between Southern California and Las Vegas on the I-15 Freeway average 1.4 passengers. This, however, includes commercial vehicles, consisting primarily of tractor-trailer rigs, typically driven by a single driver. While an individual driving alone from Southern California to Las Vegas is not unheard of and is a common, everyday occurrence, Friday evening/weekend travelers to Las Vegas and then back to Southern California typically travel in pairs or

in even greater numbers per vehicle, such that the cars carrying tourists between Southern California and Las Vegas average closer to 2 passengers. Looking at gas mileage and fuel costs for that portion of the Las Vegas tourist demographic, whether travelers to Las Vegas will opt to use the high-speed train in lieu of traveling by car in sufficient numbers to meet Brightline West's projected ridership goals is, at best, touch-and-go.

A car, with average fuel efficiency of 20 miles to the gallon would burn 11.55 gallons of gasoline to go the 231 miles from Rancho Cucamonga to Las Vegas, entailing a cost, assuming \$5 per gallon of regular unleaded, of \$57.75 or as much as \$68 for a car utilizing premium gasoline.

A two-way trip would thus run \$115.50 to \$136. The question becomes: Would a typical couple traveling to Las Vegas purchase two round trip tickets at a minimum price of \$476, reducing their overall travel time to 3 hours and 30 minutes or instead spend less than one third of that – no more than \$140 – to drive the I-15 and spend roughly 7 hours and 20 minutes on the road? In the vast majority of cases, it would seem, typical visitors from Southern California to Las Vegas would likely forego the added \$280 expense and drive.

Another consideration is that despite the expense of parking in Las Vegas, for many visitors, particularly ones who are intent on not having their trip confined to a single venue or staying or gambling exclusively in one casino, having a car so they can travel the 4.2 miles of the entire strip or beyond that, is a necessity. For them, riding the train would entail the added expense of renting a car or the inconvenience of relying upon taxis or ride-hailing services.

Even assuming that Brightline West meets or even exceeds all of the performance criteria

in terms of ridership it has projected for itself, at least initially, that carries with it the danger that it could fall a victim of its own success. This extends, potentially, to the validity of its own business model.

Assuming the company's projection of 11 million passengers per year is correct, on average 211,538 passengers would travel on Brightline West per week. A safe assumption would be that at least 80 percent of those weekly travelers – 169,231 – would be headed to Las Vegas on Fridays and Saturdays. Based on yet another assumption that follows from Brightline West's announcement that it is purchasing ten Siemens American Pioneer 220 electrical locomotives to pull its trains, it would appear that Brightline West would be capable of ten, or perhaps more, runs between Rancho Cucamonga and Las Vegas in a given 24-hour period. While many travelers would, perhaps, take traveling on a train with approaching 1,700 passengers in stride, many, particularly the claustrophobic and the enochlophobic, might find the experience unpleasant and thereafter be unwilling to utilize Brightline West during peak usage hours. Moreover, having that many riders on its Rancho Cucamonga-to-Las Vegas or the return Las Vegas-to-Rancho Cucamonga runs could render the luxury accommodations the company is touting as a feature of the trip less than luxurious.

Complicating the matter are recurrent reports that local and federal officials or members of their families have sought to profit from the federal money that is facilitating the Brightline West project. Just after the 2024 groundbreaking for the project there were reports that family members of San Bernardino County municipal officials who serve on the county transportation agency – the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority –

Continued on Page 9

Wary That The Yucaipa City Clerk Would Utilize Technicalities To Short-change Them On Their First Try, Citizens Gathered Signatures To Qualify A Second Referendum On The Warehouse Proliferation Issue *from page 2*

ridor Specific Plan until Yucaipa voters have their say at the ballot box endorsed by 5,707 signatures. The petitioners photocopied the original petitions together with the signature pages to prevent Sauseda from pulling a fast one and claiming the petitions were somehow insufficient. Sauseda submitted the petitions and their signature pages to the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters. The County Registrar of Voters ultimately determined that at least 3,618 of the 5,707 signatures purported to be Yucaipa voters were valid, stating that the petitioners had met the burden of qualifying the referendum for the ballot.

In the meantime, as a precaution, Friends of Live Oak Canyon and their associates, now calling themselves Yucaipa Now, informed Sauseda they were once more going to circulate

a petition to force a referendum with regard to the Freeway Corridor Specific Plan. The second petition called for a referendum which would restrict the land use authority of the city council in such a way that it would no longer have sole discretion over large-scale development proposals, such that any projects that called for a deviation from the city's existing zoning and development standards would need to be approved through a majority vote of the city's residents.

Yucaipa Now had concerns that Sauseda, as a sop to her political masters on the council, believing they were committed to the version of the Freeway Corridor Specific Plan they had approved on August 25 and September 8, would arbitrarily rule that the petitions for the referendum had come in too late to place the refer-

endum on the ballot for the special statewide election being held on November 4, 2025, allowing her to cancel the referendum outright. By making a second submission of petitions and signatures, Yucaipa Now hoped to straitjacket Sauseda, who has a history of using the Los Angeles-based Sutton Law Firm to thwart Yucaipa residents seeking to hold specially-called elections with regard to local policy and elected officials, into agreeing to conduct the referendum.

This week, on Monday, October 20, Yucaipa Now, working in conjunction with another group, Yucaipa Neighbors Opposing Warehouses, submitted the second petition for a referendum on the council's August 25/September 8 vote to alter the Freeway Corridor Specific Plan to the city clerk's office to which 5,232 signatures of those purported to be Yucaipa voters were affixed.

"This second referendum proves the people of Yucaipa are paying attention and taking a stand," said Ro Randolph, one of the refer-

endum's official proponents. "Residents want a voice in what kind of city Yucaipa becomes—and they don't want it turned into another warehouse corridor."

Upland-based campaign consultant Chris Robles, whose company, Vantage Campaigns, assisted Yucaipa Now in coordinating the signature-gathering effort, called the momentum behind the group's success in forcing the referendum "unprecedented."

Said Robles, "In my 25 years of experience, it's rare to see this level of sustained civic engagement. To qualify two referendums back-to-back in just weeks is a clear message: Yucaipa residents want to protect their valley, not pave it over."

The second referendum challenges a land-use ordinance that opponents say gives the city council unchecked power to approve large-scale projects without meaningful public review.

"Developers shouldn't be writing our future," added Randolph. "This is about keeping Yucaipa rural, livable, and accountable to its people."

Both referendums aim to restore public oversight and ensure residents—not special interests—determine the direction of growth along the I-10 corridor, Robles said.

Unspoken is the widespread perception among Yucaipa residents that graft played a part in the council's August 25 and September 8 votes. While the proponents of some warehouse project proposals were in favor of liberalizing or loosening the city's standards with regard to warehouse development, the overwhelming sentiment expressed by the community's residents when the subject of the Freeway Corridor Specific Plan came up in late 2024 and into 2025 was that the city is already saturated with enough warehouses and that the specific plan update should involve greater restrictions on their placement and monopolization of available land not less. Some Yucaipans have openly stated that monetary inducements to sitting members of the council, whether in the form of campaign contributions, laundered payments disguised in some benign form or

cash in paper bags, influenced the August 25 and September 8 votes.

Matuszak characterized the four-mile stretch of Live Oak Canyon from Interstate 10 at the north end and San Timoteo Canyon to the southwest as "an oasis of open space, cattle ranches, horse ranches, large, five-acre estate homes and protected open space. Roughly 25 to 30 percent of the property along the road is under the control of the Redlands Conservancy, which includes nature trails and three preserves. The action taken by the Yucaipa City Council on August 25 will begin the gradual destruction of the Canyon as it now exists. We don't want that natural beauty spoiled."

At its October 27 meeting, the city council, despite four of its members – Beaver, Woolsey, Thorp and Miller – being in favor of allowing warehouses to proliferate throughout the canyon, will discuss the city's need to comply with the petition's call for the referendum to be placed on the ballot.

-Mark Gutglueck

County Authorities "Helping" The Homeless *from front page*

tion, which lasted for more than eight hours, reached the conclusion that Singh was 100 percent at fault in the triple-fatality crash.

In August, the U.S. government stopped issuing worker visas for commercial truck drivers, with Secretary of State Marco Rubio announcing the change was effective immediately.

"The increasing number of foreign drivers operating large tractor-trailer trucks on U.S. roads is endangering American lives and undercutting the livelihoods of American truckers," Rubio stated.

The State Department simultaneously said that the hold was being put in place "in order to conduct a comprehensive and thorough review of

screening and vetting protocols used to determine the qualifications for a U.S. visa. As we have seen with recent deadly accidents, foreign truckers can pose risks to American lives, U.S. national security, and foreign policy interests. Ensuring that every driver on our roads meets the highest standards is important to protecting the livelihoods of American truckers and maintaining a secure, resilient supply chain."

Rubio's initiative came less than three months after the Trump administration, through the Transportation Department, took steps to enforce the requirement that truckers speak and read English proficiently. According to the Transportation Department, achieving minimal literacy on the part of truck drivers was a necessary element in a program to improve road safety following incidents in

which drivers' ability to read signs or speak English may have contributed to traffic deaths.

In August, three people were killed when the driver of a semi-truck, Harjinder Singh, attempted to perform an illegal U-turn on the Florida Turnpike in St. Lucie County. Harjinder Singh, who is not believed to be of blood relation to Jashanpreet Singh, like Jashanpreet Singh entered the U.S. illegally and obtained a commercial driver's license from California, according to the U.S. Marshals office. Subsequently, the State of Florida sued the states of California and Washington over their issuance of commercial drivers licenses to Harjinder Singh.

The lawsuit accuses California and Washington of refusing to comply with federal safety and immigration-status requirements relating to the issuance of com-

mercial driver's licenses. The suit says both Pacific Coast states "chose to ignore these standards and authorize illegal immigrants without proper training or the ability to read road signs to drive commercial motor vehicles."

The manner in which Jashanpreet Singh was able to obtain his commercial driver's license is highly troubling. His skill, ability and qualifications to drive a semi-truck was at best questionable, and it appears that he or the entity he was driving for manipulated the gaps and presumed overlapping of both state and federal processes and regulations in his obtaining of that license. A somewhat laxer federal standard than what currently exists was in place less than a month ago that deferred the vetting of drivers to the states. That standard was significantly tightened on Septem-

ber 26.

Singh, who came to the United States in 2022 illegally at the age of 18 and later applied for asylum status to allow him to remain in the United States despite having violated the law by coming across the Mexican border into California undocumented, was able to obtain four months ago – in June 2025 – a "restricted, non-domiciled commercial drivers license" with a "K" restriction from the California Department of Motor Vehicles, despite not having sufficient skill with the English language to familiarize himself with the California Driver's Handbook and read and understand common road signs. The K restriction limited his licensure to driving in-state.

On September 26, the federal government, as part of a policy change initiated by the Donald Trump Administration,

tightened truck driving licensing requirements for all non-U.S. citizens. That policy change included suspending as of that date the issuance of non-domiciled commercial driving licenses. Singh's commercial drivers license was supposed to be rescinded at that time. The State of California, however, failed to comply with order from the federal government, doing so in some measure because of both Governor Gavin Newsom's and the Democrat-dominated California State Legislature's declared intention to resist the Trump Administration's immigration policy. Had the State of California and the California Department of Motor Vehicles complied with the federal order, Singh's commercial drivers license would have been rescinded.

The State of California and the California *Continued on Page 9*

Public Notices

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) CIVSB2515377

NOTICE TO: EZ CABINETRY LLC., a California Limited Liability Company; WEI SHI, an individual and DOES 1-20, inclusive

(AVISO DEMANDA-DO):

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

LBA RV-COMPANY I, LP, a Delaware limited partnership

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una repuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Public Notices

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corta pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino 247 W Third Street, San Bernardino California 92415 San Bernardino District- Civil Division

Order for service of Defendant Wei Shi by publication made by Stephanie Tañada, Judge of the Superior Court

DATE (Fecha): September 11, 2025

Clerk (Secretario), by Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy (Adjunto)

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

David Lawrence SBN 210408

FitzGerald Kreditor Bold-uc Risbrough LLP 2 Park Plaza, Suite 850, Irvine, CA 92614 Ph. 949- 788-8900 Fax: 949-788-8980 dlawrence@fkbrlegal.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2025.

FBN20250009183 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

SPEEDYG CUSTOM 5868 OSBUN ROAD SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404: SANDRA I GONZALEZ

Business Mailing Address: 5868 OSBUN ROAD SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ SANDRA I GONZALEZ Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/30/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2025.

Public Notices

FBN20250008780

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

LABLIFE 15959 MOONFLOWER AVE CHINO, CA 91708: NICOLE VAUGHN

Business Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 350 CHINO, CA 91708

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ NICOLE VAUGHN Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/17/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 3, 10, 17 & 24, 2025.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: GREGORY PAUL JOHNSON CASE NO. PRO-VA2500796

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of GREGORY PAUL JOHNSON: a petition for probate has been filed by MATTHEW JOHNSON in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MATTHEW JOHNSON be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held November 19, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F3 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the

Public Notices

California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Matthew Johnson:

R. SAM PRICE SB 208603//ROSA M. MARQUEZ SB 313405

PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 454 Cajon Street REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 9500 attorneys@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17 & 24, 2025.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC LIEN SALE

Notice is hereby given that personal property in the following units will be sold at public auction pursuant to Sections 21701-21716 of the California Self-Service Storage Facility Act. A public lien sale will be conducted by www.storage treasures.com on the 31st day of October 2025, at or after 10:00 am. The property is stored by AAA All American Storage Fontana located at 14918 Foothill Blvd, Fontana, CA 92335. Purchases must be made in CASH ONLY. Items are sold AS IS WHERE IS and must be removed at the time of sale. AAA All American Storage Fontana reserves the right to refuse any bid or cancel auction. The items to be sold are generally described as follows: miscellaneous personal and household goods stored by the following persons:

Unit	Name
A039	Cassey Collins
E088	Christian D Holloway

C065	Cynthia T Moreland
D014	Robert M Jauregui
E039	Cynthia T Moreland

F028	Shaun Michael Munoz
A013	Juan Gutierrez
D085	Lavonda Rouse
F051	Tierra Janae Reed

Dated: 10/15/25 Signed: Jonathan Gossett storage treasures.com Sales subject to prior cancellation in the event of settlement between Owner and obligated party.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17 and October 24, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2528342

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ to JESSE EFREN MARTINEZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of

Public Notices

name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:

Date: November 25, 2025, Time: 8:35 AM, Department: S 27

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/07/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: PATRICIA ANN CUNNINGTON CASE NO. PROVA2500770

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of PATRICIA ANN CUNNINGTON : a petition for probate has been filed by BELINDA LARSEN and KAREN VAN SANTEN in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION for Probate requests that BELINDA LARSEN and KAREN VAN SANTEN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held November 5, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. at:

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F1 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you

Public Notices

of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Belinda Larsen and Karen Van Santen:

Mathew Alden (California Bar Number 288429) 255 North D Street Suite 200 San Bernardino, CA 92401 (909) 414-0797 mralden123@gmail.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2527555,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner Joshua David Munoz filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Joshua David Munoz to Joshua David Cambron Munoz,

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:

Date: 12/01/2025, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S30The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS Montclair in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 09/30/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Published in the SBCS on 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2528389

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner PEDRO BERMUDEZ VEGA filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

PEDRO BERMUDEZ VEGA to PETE VEGA

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court

Public Notices

days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:

Date: November 19, 2025, Time: 9:00 AM, Department: S 36

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/08/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Alyssia Skinner, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

SPECIAL NOTICE OF LAWSUIT

TO: Prime Staff Inc, Defendant, Illegally Uninsured Employer

Christian Banerjee, Applicant

WCAB NO.: ADJ10357952

1) A Special Notice of Lawsuit and Application For Adjudication of Claim, has been filed with the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board against you as the named defendant by the above named applicant(s). You may seek the advice of an attorney in any matter connected with this lawsuit and such attorney should be consulted promptly so that your response may be filed and entered in a timely fashion. If you do not know an attorney, you may call an attorney reference service or a legal aid office. You may also request assistance/information from an Information and Assistance Officer of the Division of Workers' Compensation. (see telephone directory.)

2) An Answer to the Application must be filed and served within six days of the service of the Application pursuant to Appeals Board rules; therefore, your written response must be filed with the Appeals Board promptly; a letter or phone call will not protect your interests.

3) You will be served with a Notice(s) of Hearing and must appear at all hearings or conferences. After such hearing, even absent your appearance, a decision may be made and an award of compensation benefits may issue against you. The award could result in the garnishment of your wages, taking of your money or property, or other relief. If the Appeals Board makes an award against you, your house or other dwelling or other property may be taken to satisfy that award in a non-judicial sale, with no exemptions from execution. A lien may also be imposed upon your property without further hearing and before the issuance of an award.

4) You must notify the Appeals Board of the proper address for the service of official notices and papers and notify the Appeals Board of any changes in that address.

TAKE ACTION NOW TO PROTECT YOUR INTERESTS! Issued by: WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

Name and Address of Appeals Board: Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, 320 W 4th St 9th Floor, Los Angeles CA 90013

Public Notices

Name and Address of Applicant’s Attorney: Ysabel Law, 12439 Magnolia Blvd Ste 214, Valley Village CA 91607, Telephone No.: (213) 988-6033

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served: Prime Staff Inc.,

1.[X] As a corporation. Legal documents served: Special Notice of Lawsuit and Application for Adjudication for claim number

Published in the SBCS Sentinel on 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025

FBN20250008987 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

FANTASY NAILS AND SPA SALON 255 W FRANCIS ST ONTARIO, CA 91762: VIVIAN LE

Business Mailing Address: 255 W FRANCIS ST ONTARIO, CA 91762

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: September 24, 2025

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ VIVIAN LE, Owner Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/24/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9535

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

FBN20250008380 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

SCHEMES & DREAMS 25786 ALTO DR SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404: ALEX LOPEZ

Business Mailing Address: 25786 ALTO DR SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: September 8, 2025

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ALEX LOPEZ, Owner Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/08/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J1808

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB

Public Notices

2528342 TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

EFREN MARTINEZ RODRIGUEZ to JESSE EFREN MARTINEZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: November 25, 2025, Time: 8:35 AM, Department: S 27

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/07/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17, 24 & 31, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2528342

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner EFREN RODRIGUEZ MARTINEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

EFREN RODRIGUEZ MARTINEZ to JESSE EFREN MARTINEZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: November 25, 2025, Time: 8:35 AM, Department: S 27

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/07/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Ber-

Public Notices

nardino County Sentinel on October 10, 17 & 25 and November 1, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV SB 2529637

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner JOSE ANTHONIO RUIZ/MELITON CRISTOBAL BETANCOURT filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

JOSE ANTHONIO RUIZ to JOSE ANTHONIO CRISTOBAL RUIZ

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: December 1, 2025, Time: 08:30 AM, Department: S 26

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/17/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Abrianna Rodriguez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

FBN20250009652 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

LANDCARE PRO 525 EAST RALSTON AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404: HECTOR RAMIREZ

Business Mailing Address: 525 EAST RALSTON AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ HECTOR RAMIREZ Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/09/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9965

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Public Notices

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

FBN20250009400 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

PALITEL INC [and] METRO BY T-MOBILE 8922 BEECH AVE UNIT H FONTANA, CA 92335: PALITEL INC 8922 BEECH AVE STE H FONTANA, CA 92335

Business Mailing Address: PALITEL INC 8922 BEECH AVE UNIT H FONTANA, CA 92335

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JANUARY 13, 2013.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ BAYAN MUBAREK, CFO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/03/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K4616

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

FBN20250009760 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

CLAUDIA’S EVENTS 360 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786: CLAUDIA I BALVANEDA

Business Mailing Address: 7268 MISSOURI ST FONTANA, CA 92336

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 13, 2025

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CLAUDIA I BALVANEDA, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/14/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

FBN20250009532 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

WINK ACTIVITIES 4812 SAN JOSE ST MONTCLAIR, CA 91763: DATING LI

Business Mailing Address: 4812 SAN JOSE ST MONTCLAIR, CA 91763

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to

Public Notices

transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DATING LI, Sole Proprietor Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/08/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J9965

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 17, 24 & 31 and November 7, 2025.

AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIVSB 2520534

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner HOURIG KALAJIAN filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

ISABELLA ALINA ESCOBAR to MAGNOLIA ISABELLA ESCOBAR

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: December 5, 2025, Time: 8:30 AM, Department: S 26

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/06/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Veronica Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER SIV SB 2527849,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner Ariel Ocampo filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Ariel Ocampo to Alenah Nicole Canales-Rivera,

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this

Public Notices

court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 11/13/2025, Time: 09:00 AM, Department: S29The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBCS Ontario in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/02/2025

Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert Ochoa

Published in the SBCS Ontario on 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025

FBN20250009770 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

JOSEPH W. BRADY, INC. [and] THE BRADCO COMPANIES

[and] BRADCO DEVELOPMENT [and] BRADCO DIVERSIFIED

[and] BRADCO HIGH DESERT REPORT [and] MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY REAL ESTATE GROUP

12402 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. SUITE B-6 VICTORVILLE, CA 92395: JOSEPH W. BRADY, INC. 12402 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. SUITE B-6 VICTORVILLE, CA 92395

Business Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 2710 VICTORVILLE, CA 92393

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 1564782

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: December 4, 1989.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JOSEPH W. BRADY, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/15/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K4866

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.

FBN20250010000 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

PEW RE-UP CREW 10701 CEDAR AVE #91 BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316: MA G ACIERNO CASTRO [and] MARCOS RODRIGUEZ GARDUNO

Business Mailing Address: 10701 CEDAR AVE #91 BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316

The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MA G ACIERNO CASTRO, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/20/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original state-

Public Notices

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: October 16, 2025.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ EVANGELINA SOLARES MARTINEZ

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/16/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.

FBN20250009783 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

YOLO MESSAGE #1 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786: YOLO MESSAGE #1 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786

Business Mailing Address: 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ HONGMEI ZHANG, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/15/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K7325

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.

Personals

\$10,000 REWARD for information regarding girl born August 4, 2001 whose parents (actors) were lost in a theater collapse in Colorado, April 27, 2003. Thomas W. Campbell, Attorney-at-Law 15 Williams St. New York, NY

Civil Service Employee wants to rent suburban detached apartment, 4 or 5 bedrooms 2 baths, will pay cash for the right setting Alfred Willenstein Post Office Box 843 Sempleton, Wis.

PERSONAL Lance Corporal who saw shy governess in rickshaw on the streets of Hong Kong on March 14 would like to meet up with her again. Steve Duvery of St. Louis Missouri.

Public Notices

ment on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy F3010

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 and November 7 & 14, 2025.

FBN20250009820
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

EVEREST GRILL AND WRAPS 1060 E HARRIMAN PL STE C2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408: DS TECHNOLOGY LLC 137 S PALM AVE HEMET, CA 925431

Business Mailing Address: 1060 E HARRIMAN PL STE C2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 202116110636

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DILIP C GHIMIRE, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/15/2025

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K7326

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 24 & 31 & November 7 & 14, 2025.

FBN 20250009177
The following person is doing business as: TAQUERIA MEXICO. 711 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762;[MAILING ADDRESS 714 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TAQUERIA MEXICO LLC 711 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20250275922
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware

Public Notices

that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ ANGELA GARCIA, MANAGING MEMBER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 29, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025 CNBB40202501MT

FBN 20250009122
The following person is doing business as: L&M TRUCKING. 575 E JACKSON ST RIALTO, CA 92376;[MAILING ADDRESS 575 E JACKSON ST RIALTO, CA 92376]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE L RAMOS HERNANDEZ
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ JOSE L RAMOS HERNANDEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 29, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025 CNBB40202502MT

FBN 20250009189
The following person is doing business as: THE IMMIGRATION AND LAW CORPORATION. 2130 N ARROWHEAD AVENUE SUITE 201A SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405;[MAILING ADDRESS 2130 N ARROWHEAD AVENUE SUITE 201A SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO U.S. IMMIGRATION & LEGALIZATION CORPORATION 2130 N ARROWHEAD AVENUE SUITE 201A SAN BERNARDINO CA 92405 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION C3582481
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 06, 2013
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ OLIVIA MUSTELIER, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 30, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025 CNBB40202503MT

FBN 20250009166
The following person is doing business as: SCNDVSN; SECOND DIVISION 7051 ROCKSPRING LANE HIGHLAND, CA 92346;[MAILING ADDRESS 7051 ROCKSPRING LANE HIGHLAND, CA 92346]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CAREY E. COPELAND
The business is conduct-

Public Notices

ed by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ CAREY E. COPELAND, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 29, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025 CNBB40202504MT

FBN 20250009200
The following person is doing business as: LUCY'S BEAUTY SALON & BARBER; LUCY'S BEAUTY SALON. 715 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762;[MAILING ADDRESS 714 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUCY'S BEAUTY SALON & BARBER LLC 715 S EUCLID AVE ONTARIO CA 91762 STATE OF ORGANIZATION B20250275959
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ ANGELA GARCIA, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 30, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202501MT

FBN 20250009379
The following person is doing business as: LILLIAN EMELY SNACK BAR. 3378 N LAUREL AVE RIALTO, CA 92377;[MAILING ADDRESS 3378 N LAUREL AVE RIALTO, CA 92377]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARIA I ENCINAS
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ MARIA I ENCINAS, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 03, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202514003

FBN 20250009544
The following person is doing business as: TACOS SINALOA Y CANNERICERIA. 17294 VAL-

Public Notices

LEY BLVD SUITE A FONTANA, CA 92335;[MAILING ADDRESS 17294 VALLEY BLVD SUITE A FONTANA, CA 92335]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MATTHEW E MEZA
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ MATTHEW E MEZA, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 08, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202504MT

FBN 20250009379
The following person is doing business as: LHD MOBILE TRAILER REPAIR. 980 W. EVANS ST. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411;[MAILING ADDRESS 980 W. EVANS ST. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUIS A CERON 980 W. EVANS ST. SAN BERNARDINO CA 92411
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR 05, 2010
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ LUIS A CERON, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 03, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025 CNBB41202505MT

FBN 20250009611
The following person is doing business as: AV HAIR COLLECTIVE. 780 E FOOTHILL BLVD SUITE D2 UPLAND, CA 91786;[MAILING ADDRESS 4880 GRAND AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO VU B HO

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 01, 2025
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ VU B. HO, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 09, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202501MT

FBN 20250009549

Public Notices

The following person is doing business as: BEAUTY BY NOEL. 5148 WASHINGTON AVE CHINO, CA 91710;[MAILING ADDRESS 5148 WASHINGTON AVE CHINO, CA 91710]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO NOEL Y WILSON
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ NOEL Y WILSON, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 08, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202502MT

FBN 2025009550
The following person is doing business as: DNA GENERAL STORE. 804 W HIGHLAND AVE SUITE A HIGHLAND, CA 92405;[MAILING ADDRESS 804 W HIGHLAND AVE SUITE A HIGHLAND, CA 92405]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO FGMD LLC 4235 UNIVERSITY PKWY STE #101 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92047 STATE ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OFORGANIZATION 202462611320
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ FAHED G MOHANNA, MANAGING MEMBER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 08, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202503MT

FBN 20250009735
The following person is doing business as: YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD ASIAN MARKET #2. 18793 VALLEY BLVD UNIT D&E BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316;[MAILING ADDRESS 18793 VALLEY BLVD UNIT D&E BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET, LLC. 738 S WATERMAN AVE STE A11 SAN BERNARDINO CA 92408-2360 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION 202356519537
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ LLESENIA E. GONZALEZ, MANAGING MEMBER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 14, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name

Public Notices

statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202504MT

FBN 20250009685
The following person is doing business as: H.E.L.P HOUSING. 604 W 40TH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407;[MAILING ADDRESS 604 W 40TH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARKUS PARHAM
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 10, 2025
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ MARKUS PARHAM, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 10, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202505MT

FBN 20250009713
The following person is doing business as: HIGHQUALITYCONSTRUCTION. 1017 W D ST ONTARIO, CA 91762;[MAILING ADDRESS 1017 W D ST ONTARIO, CA 91762]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ROBERT SANTANA
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ ROBERT SANTANA, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 14, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202506MT

FBN 20250009468
The following person is doing business as: D&N FASHION. 700 E WASHINGTON BLVD ST 61 COLTON, CA 92324;[MAILING ADDRESS 700 E WASHINGTON BLVD ST 61 COLTON, CA 92324]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LOURDES M DIAZ
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ LOURDES M DIAZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 07, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county

Public Notices

clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/17/2025, 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025 CNBB42202507MT

FBN 20250009864
The following person is doing business as: DENIM & DRESS COLLECTIVE 8790 19TH ST #463 ALTA LOMA, CA 91701;[MAILING ADDRESS 8790 19TH ST #463 ALTA LOMA, CA 91701]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EVA HERNANDEZ
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ EVA HERNANDEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 16, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 CNBB43202501MT

FBN 20250009865
The following person is doing business as: JR LAUNDRY 1584 WEST BASELINE ST #104 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411;[MAILING ADDRESS 1584 WEST BASELINE ST #104 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE E REVELO
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ JOSE E REVELO, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 16, 2025
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 CNBB43202502MT

FBN 20250009706
The following person is doing business as: MOJAVE EXPRESS CAR WASH. 14402 MOJAVE DR VICTORVILLE, CA 92395;[MAILING ADDRESS 58314 JOSHUA LANE YUCCA VALLEY, CA 92884]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LW MOJAVE GAS INC 58314 JOSHUA LANE YUCCA VALLEY CA 92284 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 4703696
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

Public Notices

that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SHINDER SINGH, CFO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 14, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 CNBB43202503MT

FBN 20250009761 The following person is doing business as: PURELAND CONCEPTS COMPANY. 15065 WESTATE ST WESTMINSTER, CA 92683;[MAILING ADDRESS 15065 WESTATE ST WESTMINSTER, CA 92683]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUCKY STAR MARKETING INC 1194 E BRIER DR SAN BERNARDINO CA 92408 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 4701172 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all infor-

mation in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ YOLANDA RODRIGUEZ VASQUEZ, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 15, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 CNBB43202504MT

FBN 20250009707 The following person is doing business as: ARCO AMPM 66464. 14402 MOJAVE DR VICTORVILLE, CA 92395;[MAILING ADDRESS 58314 JOSHUA LANE YUCCA VALLEY CA 92284 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 4703696 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SHINDER SINGH, CFO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 14, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 CNBB43202505MT

FBN 20250009787 The following person is doing business as: PERFECTLY IMPERFECT PARTY. 11410 BOLDERO DRIVE FONTANA, CA 92337;[MAILING ADDRESS 11410 BOLDERO DRIVE FONTANA, CA 92337]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ERICA M MARQUEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ERICA M MARQUEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 15, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025 CNBB43202506MT

FBN 20250008948 The following person is doing business as: RAUL'S ORNAMENTAL IRON WORKS. 1353 CHESTNUT ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410;[MAILING ADDRESS 1353 CHESTNUT ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO RAUL AREVALO MARTINEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

ed by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ RAUL AREVALO MARTINEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 22, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/26/2025, 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025 CNBB39202513MT CORRECTION DATES 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025

FBN 20250008953 The following person is doing business as: CHOCHOS. 654 W 4TH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415;[MAILING ADDRESS 14557 ADOBE PL VICTORVILLE, CA 92394]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

BRENDA MALDONADO The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 22, 2025 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ BRENDA MALDONADO, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 22, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/26/2025, 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025 CNBB39202514MT CORRECTION DATES 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025

FBN 20250008661 The following person is doing business as: BODY LA BELE SCULPT. 119 W B ST ONTARIO, CA 91762;[MAILING ADDRESS

119 W B ST ONTARIO, CA 91762]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO BODY LA BELE SCULPT. LLC. 119 W B ST ONTARIO CA 91762 ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION B20250244155 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ KAREN RABAGO, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 15, 2025 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/26/2025, 10/03/2025, 10/10/2025, 10/17/2025 CNBB39202507MT CORRECTION DATES 10/24/2025, 10/31/2025, 11/07/2025, 11/14/2025

School Prayer from page 3

District Court in Riverside for relief from Judge Bernal’s 2016 injunction enjoining the board from permitting or endorsing prayers during meetings. In making that filing, Tyler hung the district’s hat on the 2022 case of

Kennedy v. Bremerton, in which a football coach challenged his having been disciplined for praying with members of his team on the field after games. The 2022 U.S. Supreme Court, laden with six justices appointed by what are deemed to be “conser-

vative,” i.e., Republican presidents and three by “liberal,” i.e., Democrat presidents, that in prohibiting the coach from praying with his players, the coach’s free speech rights might have been violated and that before prohibiting prayer straight out,

a governmental entity should consider “historical practices and understandings” with regard to prayer in the particular community involved. As fate would have it, the district’s appeal was routed to the courtroom of Judge Bernal. Despite the district’s filing incor-

porating wording from the Supreme Court’s decision in the Kennedy v. Bremerton case, on October 13, Judge Bernal, utilizing the same citations and references as he had in 2016, denied the district’s appeal and its accompanying petition to allow the board

to be able to engage in religious invocations at its meetings. He ruled again that the school district cannot open board meetings with prayer. “Defendants argue that the court should grant relief from the order... because the legal basis un-

In Defiance Of Federal Rules Tightening Rules On Foreigners Driving Commercial Rigs, California Perpetuated Singh’s License, Despite Numerous Speeding Citations from page 5

Department of Motor Vehicles grew cagey upon being questioned about Singh’s status as a licensed commercial driver. When the Department of Motor Vehicles was queried about whom or what company

Jashanpreet Singh was driving for when he was involved in Tuesday's fatal mishap, what cargo Singh was hauling at the time of the accident, what substance he was under the influence of at the time of the ac-

cident and whether he was licensed to be a commercial driver, the Sentinel was referred to the California Highway Patrol. California Highway Patrol Spokesman Rodrigo Jimenez said he was not authorized to release owner/company information and that he did not have information about what Singh was hauling. Jimenez said that Singh “was arrested for driving under the

influence of drugs. We cannot specify due to the ongoing investigation.” He was restricted in what he could say about Singh’s licensing status. “The driver of the Freightliner tractor-trailer combination has been identified as Jashanpreet Singh, a 21-year-old man of Yuba City,” Jimenez said. “That is the only information I can release about the driver at this time.” Singh’s driving re-

cord prior to the Tuesday collision was somewhat spotty. In San Bernardino County alone, he had been issued no fewer than ten citations which were heard, variously, at the Barstow, Needles, Joshua Tree and Fontana courthouses, with him entering guilty pleas with regard to six out of seven VC22406(A)-I commercial truck speeding infractions and wangling dismissals on a citation of operating a vehicle out of a designated lane and riding left of a double yellow line.

At Singh’s arraignment this morning in Rancho Cucamonga Superior Court before Judge Shannon L Faherty, he needed the services of interpreter Rahul Mahant, who is certified in Punjabi and English, to be able to communicate with the court. With Deputy District Jamie Cimino representing the People of California and Deputy Public Defender Zoe Korpri present, Singh was arraigned on and the court

Continued on Page 11 entered for him not guilty pleas and denials to one count of PC191.5(A)-F: gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated; a count of VC23558-E: causing bodily injury or death; another count of PC191.5(A)-F: gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated; another count of VC23558-E: causing bodily injury or death; another count of PC191.5(A)-F: gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated; another count of VC23558-E: causing bodily injury or death; a count of VC23153(F)-F: driving under the combined influence of alcohol/drugs causing injury; another count of VC23558-E: causing bodily injury or death; a count of PC12022.7(A)-E: great bodily injury on a person; and another count of PC12022.7(A)-E: great bodily injury on a person. His preliminary hearing has been set for November 4 in Rancho Cucamonga Superior Court.

If Reports Of Politicians Who Supported The Brightline Proposal Profiteering From It Prove Out Before The Federal Loan Goes Through, The Project Could Come Undone from page 4

ity – as well as members of Congress representing California, were investing in land related to the I-15 Freeway right of way near where the median’s width is insufficient to accommodate railway lines. There were parallel accounts of inducements being provided to members of

Congress who had voted to expedite the rail project. In particular, it was rumored, the FBI had interested itself in Fontana having hired the son of Congresswoman Norma Torres at the recommendation of Fontana Mayor Acquanetta Warren. Both Congresswoman Torres, who had obtained federal money

for Fontana, and Warren, as a member of the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, participated in the decision-making processes that have promoted and expedited the rail project thus far. Included in the FBI’s spection, it was said, were some of the politicians who had traveled across state lines to attend the April 2024 groundbreaking who then spent time at the dice tables in Las Vegas. Their participation in those games of chance, it was thought, provided

an ideal opportunity to launder money provided as an inducement to support the high-speed rail project. Further publicity about the questionable relationship between public officials whose votes could further the high speed rail project and the proponents of the project or its financial backers would not be welcomed by the projects proponents, particularly before the Build America Bureau makes a decision on whether to provide Brightline West

Just Because There Have Been Hoaxes, Doesn't Mean UFOs Don't Exist *from page 3*

Close Encounter of the First Kind: visual sighting of a vehicle closer than 500 feet.

Second Kind: an event with physical effects such as heat experienced by the witness or trace elements such as impressions on the ground and affected vegetation.

Third Kind: encounters with both the craft and various occupants.

Since Hynek's passing in 1986, his close colleague and fellow ufologist, French scientist, Jacques Vallee, has added two more categories:

Fourth Kind: an event resulting in a change in consciousness by the witness.

Fifth Kind: the witness experiences some sort of lasting physical effects from the event.

And, if your really want to get "far out," as John Denver used to say, and into a territory that's really "creepy," or, perhaps, "kinky," Wikipedia cites a sixth category, but doesn't attribute it to anyone:

Sixth Kind: a sexual encounter with an alien, with perhaps the first widely-publicized account happening to a Brazilian farmer in 1957, who claimed he may have fathered a child with an attractive and nude female alien who appeared human except for the red pubic hair, but only grunted, rubbed her stomach, and then pointed up after their "act" was over. For the rest of his life, he never changed his story nor recanted it.

So, by way of employing Hynek's scale as my inspiration, here's "Phill Courtney's List of The Four Ls" which could be used to dismiss or eliminate those experiences in order to narrow down the list to those that can't:

Number One: A LUNATIC. In other words, the witness was someone so mentally "out of it," that their sighting of a UFO or even

their claimed abduction, was "all in their head." Again, in other words: a hallucination, which applies primarily to experiences by individuals, although they could be attributed to the much rarer phenomenon of mass hallucination.

Number Two: A LIAR. There's no denying that the field of ufology and the study of UFOs has been bedeviled by a long list of fraudsters too numerous to name, which range from one man in the 1950's who claimed many visits with his "space brothers" to the planet Venus (never explaining why the 400-

party earlier in the evening, my then-girlfriend (who'd first seen the hovering UFO from our car's driver's seat when it was red) hadn't, because she had a strict rule about not drinking and driving. So, yes, some witnesses can be dismissed because they've "had a few," or had "altered their consciousness" earlier through the use of various other "substances."

Number Four: A LACK of sufficient evidence to explain what the sighting was or wasn't. Many UFO events lack both hard evidence in the form of a craft that can

Lonnie Zamora heard a loud boom on the outskirts of town, and, thinking that a nearby dynamite storage shack might have exploded, drove down a dirt road to investigate, and, when he pulled over the crest of a hill, saw what he at first thought was an overturned car.

But, as he came closer, he could see that it wasn't a car but a large, egg-shaped object with four landing gears and two figures beside it—figures so small he thought that they might be tall children.

Exiting his squad car, he approached on

sand that had been melted basically into glass by the flame; and some bushes still smothering from the blast.

J. Allan Hynek soon arrived to investigate this incident in his capacity as one of the Air Force's "UFO men" with their Project Blue Book investigation, and he pronounced himself both totally impressed by the veracity of the witness, and totally impressed by what had happened, leading him farther down the path to a conviction, as Frank Edwards put it shortly afterwards for his book: that flying saucers were "serious business," and not a subject for snickering.

Police officer Lonnie Zamora was neither a liar; a lunatic; liquored up; nor did the event lack physical evidence. But—and it's a large "but"—he was alone (although there were others farther away who saw the craft in flight, and some ear-witnesses to the blast), so the incident did lack the added substantiation of multiple witnesses all reporting the same event. This was not the case, however, almost ten years later when another sighting passed all the four "L"s, but also involved multiple witnesses.

In the fall of 1973, and mostly concentrated during the month of October, the United States experienced an event referred to by a term not heard much these days: a "UFO flap," in other words a short period of multiple UFO sightings happening seemingly everywhere. This "flap" was highlighted by perhaps the most memorable event that October when two men fishing off a dock in Pascagoula, Mississippi, claimed to have been paralyzed; taken into a craft by three floating, robot-like aliens; examined inside, then released unharmed.

Less heard of today is another and even more convincing event which also happened that month when four Army men in a helicopter flying over Ohio while returning from

medical exams in which they were found sound of both mind and body, encountered a some sixty-foot-long cylindrical craft, which, like Zamora's, lacked both wings and propellers, that flew towards them and then, with a beam of green light, elevated their helicopter several thousand feet before disappearing in the distance.

So, here was a case which clearly passes both the four "L"s test, but, in addition, unlike Zamora's, involved multiple unimpeachable military witnesses with absolutely no reason to lie about it, and, in fact, good reasons to remain silent, but, realizing it would only be correct to tell the truth, all reported the same exact event.

And, in addition, not only that, it was later discovered that a woman driving a station wagon with several neighborhood children in the back, all noticed the encounter a couple of thousand feet above them and also reported the same sequence of events.

So, there you are. Two events subjected to "Phill Courtney's Four Ls Test," and found to have passed. But, when I brought up the 1973 Ohio sighting with UC Irvine's skeptical astrophysics professor (who hadn't heard about it), he acknowledged that it was interesting, but that the event could be attributed to some sort of secret military craft.

Except! that this was what's also so interesting about the 2004 sighting by military pilots off the California coast near San Diego (who testified at the 2023 hearing) and all agreed that what they saw that day could not, in any way, be our crafts based on the mind-boggling high-speed maneuvers they pulled off, but, if they were military crafts developed by other counties hostile to us, we would basically be "toast" in any encounters with them, which, of course, has not happened because they obviously haven't been developed—on Earth, anyway.

Continued on Page 12



The Westall UFO sighting that occurred on April 6, 1966 in Melbourne in the Australian state of Victoria was witnessed by multiple individuals, during which the above image was captured in a photograph taken by one of those present. Multiple generations of analysts have examined the photograph, determining that it was not a fabrication or doctored. The Westall incident, which skeptics sought to explain away as one involving an errant weather balloon, stands as one of a relatively few claims of an extraterrestrial encounter that has not been convincingly debunked as a hoax or misinterpretation of natural phenomena as anomalous.

plus Fahrenheit-degree atmosphere didn't burn him to a crisp), to two more men since then who had extensive photo collections of the crafts they claimed to have seen, only to have some models of those crafts discovered later, hidden on their properties.

Number Three: A LIQUORED-up individual. There's a reason why so many UFO stories begin with this sentence: "I hadn't been drinking," which is exactly what I would have said after my one-and-only UFO sighting in 1990, if I hadn't been. But, after my experience, in which I saw a red light that turned white, then left the area at a tremendous speed, I've also explained that while I'd had a few drinks at a

be studied, or even parts of it, or evidence left in the surrounding environment—those "trace elements" Hynek cited, which also often lack clear visual evidence because of the briefness of the event; the distances involved; or atmospheric conditions that compromise the witness's vision or even, at times, their hearing.

Now, taking my scale into account, I'll end with two events that pass the "L" test with flying colors, if not flying saucers. My accounts are necessarily condensed. For further details I encourage you to check out the many internet entries on these encounters.

The first happened on April 24, 1964, when, on patrol, Socorro, New Mexico police officer,

foot and the two figures, seemingly startled by his presence, then hastily climbed into the craft, closed the hatch (which he said sounded like a car door slamming shut), and then took off at a tremendous speed in a craft with no propellers, no wings, nor any other apparent means of lift; only a blue and orange flame below accompanied by a thunderous blast.

Fearing for his safety, Zamora fled down the dirt road so frantically that his eyeglasses fell off. After he'd calmed down, Zamora radioed his sergeant who arrived shortly after the sighting and they both soon noticed some of those "trace elements" in the form of indentations on the ground from the four landing pads; an area of

Barstow PD Wanted Padilla-Aguilera Charged With Murder; The DA Is Willing To Roll The Dice On Nothing More Than Manslaughter & Abuse Of A Child That Turns Deadly from front page

hicle was carried north of West Main Street by the overwhelming force of rushing water. It was at that time that Padilla-Aguilera exited the vehicle with his son.

“Brandon and Xavier were separated as they were being swept away by the floodwaters,” according to the city’s September 19 statement. “Brandon Aguilera was located on an island that had been created by the floodwaters. Brandon Aguilera was rescued by Barstow Fire Department personnel, taken to Barstow Community Hospital and later released.” As the heavy storm in Barstow continued into late September 18, and into the early morning of September 19, an overnight search for the 2-year-old continued into the daylight hours, for more than 19 hours. Barstow Police, Barstow Fire Protection District, San Bernardino County Sheriffs’ Department, Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Police, California Highway Patrol, City of Barstow Public Works, the Barstow Marine Corps Logistics Base Fire Department, Desert Ambulance, and San Bernardino County Fire’s Swift Water Rescue Team, other search and rescue teams and emergency response team members and other volunteers participated in the effort. “Xavier Aguilera was located, deceased, today at approximately 2:44 pm in the San Bernardino County Flood Control channel south of the Mojave River,” according to the city’s September 19 statement. “It is with profound sadness that the city confirms the discovery of a young child... lost... in the recent flooding incident. [E]mergency responders

located the child’s body this afternoon.”

In the immediacy of the incident, the city and its police department evinced compassion toward Padilla-Aguilera without any hint of suspicion.

“Our hearts go out to the family and loved ones during this unimaginably difficult time,” the city’s September 19 release stated. “We extend our deepest condolences to those affected. We ask that the privacy of the grieving family be respected as they navigate this devastating loss. Our thoughts remain with the family and all those affected.”

Over the next several weeks, however, officials with the City of Barstow grew ill-at-ease over reports that Padilla-Aguilera had consulted with an attorney with regard to liability the city had over the flood hazards that have long existed on West Main Street in proximity to the flood channel there, where there is no physical barrier to prevent people or even large objects such as vehicles from being caught in the pull of flood waters moving north.

On Friday, October 17, at roughly half past noon, however, the Barstow Police Department without forewarning rapidly swooped into the middle-class neighborhood proximate and just south of the convergence of Main Street, Interstate 15, Interstate 40, some seven blocks east of the Barstow Junior High School campus, where they served an arrest warrant for Padilla-Aguilera at his residence in the 300 block of Chandler Avenue. Barstow officials acknowledge that Padilla-Aguilera proved a good sport about being taken into custody, offering no resistance. He was booked into the San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Department High Desert Detention Center on a charge of PC 187(a) Murder.

Following Padilla-Aguilera’s arrest, the Barstow Police Department provided a prepared public statement that “Due to the severity of

the incident, the Barstow Police Department Detective Division assumed responsibility for the investigation. Over the course of the month-long investigation, detectives spoke with witnesses and gathered evidence. Based on evidence obtained, detectives secured an arrest warrant.”

According to a well-placed individual, the police department’s move against Padilla-Aguilera was intended to render any legal claim or lawsuit he or other members of his family might be contemplating against the City of Barstow unviable. The San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office, led by District Attorney Jason Anderson, was given a heads-up as to what the Barstow Police Department intended to do. Nevertheless, the *Sentinel* was told, prosecutors were taken aback when the arrest of Padilla-Aguilera was made for murder.

Virtually from the second Padilla-Aguilera was in custody, the district attorney’s office began backpedaling. Whereas the Barstow Police Department was pushing a case of second degree murder, asserting that Padilla-Aguilera willingly and purposefully exposed his son to death when the vehicle they were riding in was caught in the flood waters, upon engaging with the known facts of the case, prosecutors recognized that case would go nowhere and take the credibility of the district attorney’s office with it.

The *Sentinel* spoke with an individual who served at the senior level of the San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office and as a lead prosecutor with the district attorney’s office of an adjoining county over a period of 27 years. “The DA’s office is not supposed to take the civil ramifications of a circumstance into account, but I’m not going to lie to you and say that doesn’t happen,” said that prosecutor. “Ethically, we should say to the agency or the governmental jurisdiction: ‘Don’t make your problem our prob-

lem.’ In this case, the filing deputy [i.e., deputy district attorney responsible for signing off on criminal complaints] agreed to back them [the Barstow Police Department] up. I can’t tell you why – maybe as a favor or because of a past relationship or a sense of loyalty, feeling a need, which is understandable, to be a team player. But those jackasses [the Barstow Police Department] just way overcharged. I understand the theory. The theory is crystal clear: The driver knowingly put himself and his son into an inherently dangerous situation with possible fatal consequences. The theory assumes the hazard was clearly marked, with posted ‘Don’t Drive Past This Point’ signs in a situation with clear visibility. That theory doesn’t sound too peculiar until you look at the facts. There was absolutely no intent here. It wasn’t murder one. It wasn’t murder two. You have a long way to go to prove manslaughter. The district attorney’s office looked at the murder charge and said, ‘We’re not going that far.’”

Indeed, what the district attorney’s office substituted in charging Padilla-Aguilera is one count of PC192c(1) – vehicular manslaughter with gross negligence and one count of PC273a – child abuse/endangerment under circumstances or conditions likely to cause great bodily injury or death.

The PC273a charge was a “gift card” to Barstow and the Barstow Police Department, the prosecutor said. It is meant, the prosecutor said, to intimidate and overwhelm Padilla-Aguilera, who by all accounts lacks sophistication and the ready wherewithal to withstand being processed through the justice system.

When it comes to cashing in the gift card, however, Barstow and its police department will very likely come up short, the prosecutor said. PC273a includes a special allegation of willful harm or injury

resulting in death, meaning getting a conviction will entail making a show of intent on Padilla-Aguilera’s part.

The idea here, the prosecutor said, is to get Padilla-Aguilera to fold before the matter goes to trial by copping a plea to the PC192c(1) – vehicular manslaughter with gross negligence charge, which carries with it a sentence of two, four or six years in state prison, or a lesser charge, in exchange for the dismissal of the California Penal Code §273a count, which applies to “Any person, having the care or custody of a child who is under eight years of age, who assaults the child by means of force that to a reasonable person would be likely to produce great bodily injury, resulting in the child’s death” and involves a mandatory 25 years-to-life sentence.

The problem is, the prosecutor said, that if Padilla-Aguilera has the assistance of even minimally competent counsel, the prosecution will not be able to establish that he utilized the completely unforeseen circumstance of the flash flood in Barstow on September 18 to assault his own son when the circumstance, including photos and videos the City of Barstow and its safety division, including its police department, have released show Padilla-Aguilera’s vehicle being swept away. That evidence alone, the prosecutor said, establishes Padilla-Aguilera, who appears to have used questionable judgment and made a poor decision by driving around the UPS truck into the path of the overwhelming flow of water on West Main Street, was caught in a situation in which he panicked, and then made a fateful and fatal decision to try to get out of the vehicle with his son.

Existing evidence, including that provided by the city and its police department in the form photos and videos, as well as other known facts, indicates Padilla-Aguilera on September 18 encountered a situa-

tion not of his own making, in which he, his son and others were exposed to hazards that were, arguably, a consequence of negligence on the part of the city, representing liability on the part of the public agency which arrested him on a charge the district attorney’s office refused to sustain. Upon reaching West Main Street, Padilla-Aguilera did not encounter a barrier erected by the city but rather a UPS truck. Padilla-Aguilera was himself nearly killed by the raging waters. When he was hospitalized after being found that night, he was treated for a concussion, hypothermia, water in his lungs, multiple lacerations, bruising, and an infection caused by a waterborne bacteria. There have been previous flash floods in the City of Barstow which transformed West Main Street into an unnavigable torrent of irresistible water. The city did not take adequate steps to redress the circumstance or reduce the hazard.

Padilla-Aguilera is set for another court appearance on October 27.

School Prayer from page 9

derpinning this court’s order and the 9th Circuit’s opinion was overruled by the Supreme Court’s decision in *Kennedy v. Bremerton School District*,” Judge Bernal wrote. “The court finds that defendants have not articulated a sufficient basis for relief. Defendants shouldn’t be allowed to get another bite at the apple years after losing just because they don’t like that the court ruled against them.”

The *Sentinel* has learned that the school board, which now consists of Na, Cruz, Shaw and John Cervantes, as well as Shaun Smith, who was appointed by the board after Monroe resigned in order to take a lucrative employment position in Utah, fully anticipated to lose the appeal filed on July 31 with the United States District Court for the Central District of California. *Continued on Page 12*

By “Copping An Attitude,” The Homeless Are Accorded Treatment Commensurate With Their Station In The Social Hierarchy
from front page

homelessness isn't just about shelter, it's about stopping the bleeding by providing housing, treatment, and hope for a better future.”

While Wednesday's effort included enforcement of county ordinances and state laws, the operation's goal extended beyond enforcement by connecting individuals with services and restoring public areas to safe and sanitary conditions for all residents.

“Our focus is public safety and compassionate outreach,” said Code Enforcement Chief Ignacio Nunez. “We are committed to maintaining healthy, safe neighborhoods while ensuring that individuals experiencing homelessness are given opportunities to connect with supportive services and housing resources.”

Code Enforcement led the initiative in partnership with the Sheriff's Department, San Bernardino County Public Works, and the San Bernardino County Office of Homeless Services.

“We understand the challenges people in these encampments face,” said Homeless Services Chief Marcus Dillard. “Our mission is to provide them with real options and support. Every engagement is an opportunity to help someone take a step towards rebuilding their lives.”

Representatives from the Sheriff's Department also emphasized the public safety component of the operation as some encampment sites were associated with illegal dumping, vehicle code violations, and criminal activity.

When the denizens of the homeless encampments evince the right attitude and agree to move along, the sheriff's department is helpful, often giving those

willing to leave a ride to the county limits or well over the line into Riverside, Orange or Los Angeles counties. If the dispossessed cop an attitude, that entails a whole different application of authority and law enforcement technique. The San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department makes a practice of utilizing young and aggressive deputies as part of its homeless enforcement task force, which goes by various names, including Project H.O.P.E., which stands for Homeless Outreach Proactive Enforcement; Operation Shelter Me; Operation Inroads; and the department's SOP effort, with SOP being an acronym standing for solution-oriented policing.

The benign labels of the programs mask their actual intent of the homeless task force. Many or most of those deputies assigned to it are ones who engage in body building, utilizing steroids or testosterone precursors, the side-effects of which are “roid rage,” a state of irritability that accompanies the prolonged use of anabolic substances and will manifest in an outburst of anger, aggression, or violence on the part of the user if he encounters a challenge, frustration or any difficult situation.

Project H.O.P.E., Shelter Me, Operation Inroads or SOP team members will show up at the homeless encampments, where they insist that layers of cardboard used as insulation from the ground as well as blankets, bedding, sleeping bags and tents which the impoverished use to make it through the night are, in the deputies' words, “debris.” The deputies then insist that the debris be thrown away along with whatever trash happens to be lying around. When the target population proves uncooperative and is unwilling to part with bedding, tents or cooking/eating utensils, cookware and the like, the deputies will engage in a heavy-handed showing of force in which they will set hands upon the

homeless, rough them up or beat them, ultimately seizing their property, which is then thrown away.

The ground is an excellent conductor of heat. As such, those who must sleep on it without a layer or two or three of cardboard, blankets or sleeping bags can be very cold and very uncomfortable at night. Being subjected to such sleeping arrangements can go a good way toward convincing the homeless to move on to some other location.

For public consumption and while news outlets like NBC or the Los Angeles Times or even the San Bernardino Sun are around, the department touts itself as being chock full of bleeding hearts who are falling all over themselves trying to “help” the homeless by getting them off the streets. When no one else is looking on and the deputies find themselves face to face with the homeless, any pretense of concern for the indigent ends and the message the law enforcement officers deliver to those they are confronting is that it would be best for them to go someplace else.

The department has a handful of “specialists,” its so-called “go-to guys” for handling the homeless detail. One such deputy is famous, or infamous, among much of San Bernardino Coun-

ty's homeless population for comporting himself in a virtually patented threatening and profane manner, embodying the most effective weapon the county has in its war on the homeless. He likes to, in his own words, “beat the fuck out of” those he encounters and he does so with the confidence of knowing that his fellow deputies aren't going to stop him and that the members of the various city or town councils where he is employed want him to continue doing what he does and that if any of the homeless he assaults have the audacity to resist him, the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office, led by District Attorney Jason Anderson, will back him up by prosecuting his victims for obstructing a peace officer. Sheriff's officers recognize that, technically, beating the homeless is a violation of the California Penal Code, qualifying as “an assualut under the color of authority.” Given that there is virtually no prospect of a deputy being prosecuted for such an offense in San Bernardino County and that obtaining a resisting arrest or obstruction of a police officer conviction against a member of the homeless community makes it easier for authorities to deal with that individual in the future, administering a sound

thrashing to the homeless who are not immediately compliant when they are confronted as a provocation to see whether they will fight back is a commonly accepted, indeed expected, practice. .

“Our deputies are committed to ensuring that these areas remain safe for residents while also supporting efforts to connect unhoused individuals with the services they need,” said San Bernardino County Sheriff Shannon Dicus.

In the days leading up to the operation, outreach teams contacted individuals at known encampments to inform occupants of available services and the upcoming activity. On the day of the operation, the Office of Homeless Services operated a mobile outreach site within the area to provide immediate access to shelter placements, behavioral health support, and other vital resources.

The San Bernardino County Public Works Department provided heavy equipment and crews to remove debris and waste and restore impacted areas, while the sheriff's department addressed criminal activity, towed unregistered or inoperable vehicles, and ensured the safety of all individuals throughout the cleanup effort.

Results from the

operation included 37 encampment sites addressed, 58 individuals contacted, 16 service referrals made, one vehicle towed, one arrest made, and approximately 8.25 tons of debris and waste materials safely removed.

The county did not provide an accounting of how many homeless individuals were beaten. Nor did it count the number of individuals driven to a location outside the county.

“This joint operation underscores San Bernardino County's commitment to maintaining public health and safety while providing unhoused residents with pathways to assistance,” according to San Bernardino County Spokesman David Wert.

Were provided a heads-up to those homeless individuals around the county who might want to avoid getting roughed up by sheriff's deputies in the coming weeks or months and who might not want to lose their bedding as the winter approaches.

“Similar future coordinated enforcement efforts will continue as part of a broader effort to restore public areas impacted by encampments while addressing homelessness in the region,” Wert stated.

-Mark Gutglueck

Remaining Agnostic In The Midst Of Imagined & Real UFOs
from page 10

So, that's where I'll leave you: with the “Four L's” and my argument to my skeptical friends and others that civilizations elsewhere in the universe could have had amazing amounts of

time before us to solve the physics of interstellar transportation. However, the fact that we still don't have the “hard evidence” to prove alien visitations means that I'll remain an agnostic when it comes to UFOs, as I have on other “unsolved mysteries,” pending further proof.

Until then, I'll quote the concluding words

spoken at the end of a 1950's movie that is widely regarded as one of the best alien films from that era awash in so many: 1951's The Thing from Another World, when a newspaper reporter, radioing in the story to his bosses from the North Pole, says: “Keep watching the skies!”

Phill Courtney has

been a high school English teacher and twice a candidate for Congress with the Green Party. His journalism and opinion pieces have appeared in many local newspapers. He welcomes emails at: pjcourtney1311@gmail.com--especially if you have any personal UFO stories you'd like to share. He promises not to laugh.

School Prayer
from page 11

fornia, irrespective of whether it was heard by Judge Bernal or any of the other judges in Riverside. Moreover, they further anticipate that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals will uphold

Judge Bernal this time, just as it did in 2018. Tyler believes, and he has convinced the school board members, that he will be successfully in getting the Supreme Court to reconsider the matter after the Ninth Circuit makes its ruling,

and that the district will prevail at that level.

God is with the district, Tyler has told the board, and in His Providence, He will ensure that the district overcomes the daunting odds it faces. U.S. Courts of Appeals routinely handle

more than 50,000 cases each year. Generally, 7,000 to 8,000 of those decisions are appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. On a yearly basis, the Supreme Court considers only about 100 of those cases.

-Mark Gutglueck