

California's State & Local Officials Set To Undercut Federal Crackdown On Immigration

By Richard Hernandez
State and local officials from around California are gearing up to offer what they say will prove to be effective resistance to the incoming Trump Administration's crackdown on illegal immigration.

For years, California has been at the forefront of the laissez-faire attitude toward illegal immigration into the country, even going beyond

simply doing nothing to prevent the massive influx of undocumented foreigners across the international border into the country by declaring the entire state to be a sanctuary for foreigners who refuse to abide by U.S. immigration law.

In his ultimately successful campaign to return to residence at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington D.C., Donald Trump vowed to be

even more effective in shuttering the borders than he was in his first term as president from 2017 to 2021. He is now engaged in preparations to make good on that promise.

This time around, President Trump says he intends to be even more diligent in conducting immigration law enforcement efforts by locating and deporting those residing in the

United States illegally. He named Tom Homan, former police officer, political commentator and the acting director of the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement from January 2017 until June 2018, to serve as his "border czar." Together, Trump and Homan have vowed to carry out what Trump said would be "the largest deportation operation" in the country's history. Not only

does he intend to seal the border in a way that will ensure that no illegal aliens will make their way across the border, he intends to send 11 million of those now in the country illegally or are otherwise undocumented back to where they came from. Trump and Homan have made statements that this effort will emphasize reinstating a ban on those **See P 3**

In Secretive Deal, Board Of Supervisors Sell Previt 1,253 Acres Of "Surplus" Land For \$93 Million

In a secretive session from which the public was excluded conducted on the day before Thanksgiving, the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors apparently worked out the final details and then ratified the sale of 1,253 acres of "surplus" flood control property to an entity owned by a developer who has emerged in the last several years as one of the the most generous donors to the board members' political war chests.

The outcome of the negotiating session and the vote it entailed was announced in a room located on the Fifth Floor of the county administration building behind double barrier consisting of a gate and a locked door beyond which members of the public are denied access. Immediately after the meeting concluded, county officers were closed, rendering information relating to whether the sale has been effectuated unavailable until December 2.

It is believed, but has not been confirmed that the agreed-upon price for the acreage is \$93 million, or \$74,221.87 per acre.

The tentative deal, which was partially prevailed in documents that were contained as within the agenda packet for the regularly scheduled November 19 meeting of the board of supervisors on involves 1,252.21 which lie within what is now referred to **See P 3**

Despite Community Skepticism, Big Bear Lake Keeps City Manager & Ups His Pay

By Mark Gutglueck
Big Bear Lake City Manager Erik Sund has weathered what previously appeared to be potentially crippling challenges to his authority, as the entirety of the city council, as it is currently composed, has voted to extend his tenure with the city at an enhanced salary.

At least a half-dozen and more likely approaching a dozen mem-

bers of the Big Bear community, including some of its more vocal, active and civically involved residents/officials have pressed in recent weeks and months questions about Sund's demeanor and attitude, including his ability to maintain his equanimity while under challenge or in the face of demands and questioning of his policy and approach.

In August 2022, Sund

was brought to Big Bear to serve as city manager in the aftermath of Frank Rush's departure as the mountain community's top administrator some five months previously. It is not altogether clear, and no one is willing to say definitively, what the circumstances of Sund's hiring were. In one version of events, he had already been terminated from or had departed the employment of San

Clemente, where he had been city manager since 2021. In another account, he was yet working for San Clemente when he was offered the Big Bear Lake job, and he elected to leave San Clemente in the lurch.

Whatever the reality, Sund was willing to make the transition to the 5,231-population San Bernardino County city despite the consideration that doing so

might be considered a professional step backward from managing the 64,293-population Orange County city of San Clemente, where he was then employed. Big Bear Lake is the second-smallest municipal entity population-wise in the county, not too far ahead of Needles with its 4,959 occupants.

While things may or may not be as explosive and difficult in **See P 2**

The Potential Cure Of Half Of SB's Homeless Dilemma Takes The Form Of Inexpensive Train And Bus Tickets

Based on the success that San Francisco has had with the tactic, San Bernardino officials are vigorously contemplating providing homeless individuals currently living on the city's streets with one-way bus or train tickets to distant locations in an effort to thin their local ranks.

The brainchild of now outgoing Mayor London Breed, the City of San Francisco's strategy of dealing with unwanted masses of unhoused people in recent months has consisted of discontinuing its automatic policy of providing a shelter to the dispossessed who city officials encountered, instead

asking them if there was an alternate location they could go to where they had a relative or friends with whom they might stay or some other place where they would rather be than San Francisco. If the individual is open to leaving the Bay Area, the city has provided him/her with a bus or train ticket, to **See P 3**

Armed Robbery Of Secret Service Agent Nets Fontanan 29-To-Life

It is going to be a long time before a 32-year-old Fontana man will walk among free society following his October 28 conviction and his November 22 sentencing to 29 years to life in prison for attempting to rob a U.S. Secret Service Agent at gunpoint last June.

Jamonte Fitzgerald

Johnson had at least four separate involvements in burglaries and criminal threats in San Bernardino County over a period of more than a decade, netting him four convictions that under California's three-strikes law should have resulted in his confinement that would have rendered him unable to **See P 3**

Highway 330, Closed For Two Months As A Consequence Of The Line Fire, Now Reopen

Highway 330 reopened on November 22, a full two weeks prior to the previously rescheduled opening of the roadway connecting Highland in the Central Valley of San Bernardino County to the eastern San Bernardino Mountain communities.

The closure was necessitated by the ravages of the Line Fire, which charred 43,978 acres was

ignited on September 5, in the midst of a long-running heat wave, at roughly 6 p.m. in northeast Highland near the intersection of Baseline Road and Alpin Street by an arsonist in what is now believed to have been his third attempt at starting the fire.

Originally dubbed the Baseline Fire, it resisted efforts by the California Division of Forestry,

known by the acronym CalFire, which serves as the contract fire department for the City of Highland, and the San Bernardino County Fire Division, to knock it down.

A CalFire incident management team was activated on September 6, as the steep terrain of the area into which the fire was spreading created challenges.

With the escalation of the surrounding heat, the fire began to expand rapidly on September 7 into the San Bernardino Mountains, and Governor Gavin Newsom declared a state of emergency existed in San Bernardino County as a consequence of the fire, and he authorized the use of a Fire Management Assistance Grant from the Federal Emergency

Management Agency to cover elements of the firefighting effort.

Evacuation orders for the communities of Running Springs and Arrowbear Lake were issued, thereafter followed by evacuation orders to those in the communities of Angelus Oaks, Seven Oaks and all campgrounds and cabins in the area; Green Valley Lake north **See P 5**

After Former City Council Member Came Forward With Objections To City Manager's Comportment, A Legion Of Other Women Poured Forth With Accounts Of Their Own *from front page*

the backrooms and corridors of Big Bear Lake City Hall as they are at other municipalities throughout San Bernardino County, for a multitude of factors, most notably that it is not a large community and is somewhat isolated, San Bernardino County's city by the lake does not draw a lot of attention to itself and what controversy engulfs it is not widely celebrated.

According to some knowledgeable individuals who are in a position to know, however, that is not to say it does not exist or that Sund for the slightly more than two years that he has headed municipal operations there is not centrally involved.

According to former Big Bear Lake City Councilwoman Bynette Mote, who was elected to the council in 2020 and was a member of the council that hired Sund, he has displayed "a lack of professionalism" she believes should disqualify him from remaining as city manager.

According to Mote, one of the first manifestation of Sund's inadequacy in the city managerial role of which she is aware took place four months after his hiring, when he, Mote said, showed "aggressiveness and disrespectful treatment towards one of his colleagues at a public meeting in December 2022." Mote did not identify the colleague. Mote said the city council did not take seriously her effort to address the issue during a February 8, 2023 closed door evaluation of Sund's performance.

According to Mote, Councilman Rick Herrick had also been informed about Sund's comportment, but it was difficult to get a majority of the council to make an examination of the matter.

On September 6, 2023, Mote said she had witnessed, in the com-

pany of Planning Commissioner Jeff Holoubek, what she characterized as "Mr. Sund's uncalled-for temper tantrum" in which "he essentially kicked me and Planning Commissioner Holoubek out of City Hall." She said "Mr. Sund's behavior... was aggressive, argumentative, irate, and condescending," and that he assumed what she called a "defensive stance [with] clenched hands" during which he addressed her and Holoubek in a "loud voice," she found "bullying." "No normal person acts like that, especially in a professional role and in the office and to one of his bosses."

Though the entire city council at the October 11, 2023 meeting at which Sund's annual evaluation in closed session was carried did not take any action to discipline or terminate him, Mote called upon her former colleagues to examine in detail a memo she had prepared for that discussion. Mote said that her resignation as a council member that was tendered on February 14, 2024 was precipitated by her experience with Sund. Stating that she had worked "so hard to earn" her position on the city council and that she considered herself to be "one of the city's biggest cheerleaders," Mote said she was driven to leave "because I never want to see Mr. Sund again. As a result of all this, I am also uprooting my family and moving away from this amazing community that we [she and her husband] both gave 110 percent to for the past 7 years. There are so many more examples of the adversarial treatment I received from Mr. Sund and I did my best to try to communicate it to all of you, mindful of the rules and unreceptive atmosphere. It is not acceptable for a Councilmember to have to say several times that she is so uncomfortable with

her city manager that she stopped one-on-one meetings with him. This is not acceptable under any circumstances."

Mote said she at first thought her inability to work cooperatively with Sund was her shortcoming.

"For a long time, I felt like the problem was me. I can't tell you the relief I felt, but also the sadness, to hear that more people are stepping up to share their terrible experiences with Mr. Sund, despite their fear of retaliation. If he abuses a boss, his colleagues and community members in this way, how is he treating your city staff? What kind of environment are they working in? How many more people are you going to lose? Or worse?"

And this is all just about his behavior, not any of his other unethical and lacking skillsets."

Brittany Lamson, the secretary to the Big Bear Water District Board of Directors who was elevated earlier this year to serve as the interim general manager of the water district and is now the district's assistant general manager, has had a rough time in coexisting with Sund. According to Lamson, she was unable to have a meeting of the minds with Sund or to get on the same page with regard to a critical issue playing out in the Big Bear community known as the Replenish Big Bear Project.

The Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency is the lead agency with regard to the Replenish Big Bear Project, an undertaking in which the City of Big Bear Lake, the County of San Bernardino and the Big Bear Municipal Water District all have a substantial interest and/or stake.

That project will involve subjecting the community's wastewater [i.e., the effluent from its sewer system] to an initial sand filtration process followed by the use of ultrafiltration membranes involving bundles of hollow membrane fibers with pore sizes so small that bacteria and virus can-

not pass through them, backed by high pressure pumping of the water through semi-permeable membranes, after which the water is to be subject to ultraviolet disinfection and an oxidation process. That water will then be returned to Big Bear Lake in a quantity sufficient to prevent the level of water in the lake from dropping as it has in recent drought years. Because of the more primitive nature of treatment modalities currently used by the Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency sewage treatment plant, the wastewater processed there is not now put into the lake. The Replenish Big Bear Project would change that. The Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency jurisdiction extends beyond the 6.42-square mile, 5,059-population City of Big Bear Lake, indeed surrounds the lake entirely, and includes most of Big Bear Valley, which entails Big Bear Lake, Big Bear City, Fawnskin, Holcomb Valley, Sugarloaf, Erwin Lake, Baldwin Lake, Bluff Lake and Lake Williams. Though its name implies that it is a municipality, Big Bear City in actuality is an unincorporated county area, completely separate from and, at 32.03 square miles, larger area-wise than the City of Big Bear Lake and more populous as well, with 12,738 residents.

In April, while she was functioning in the role of the Big Bear Municipal Water District's general manager, Lamson arranged for an informational briefing relating to the project to be provided to Big Bear Lake's primary newspaper, the Big Bear Grizzly, which appeared in its April 11 edition. Lamson said she received a call from Sund on April 12, the day after the article appeared. "He used the conversation to tell me that I had no clue about partnership behavior and that I should have given them a heads up about the article," Lamson said. "I reminded him that we had a full board meeting about the deci-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

sion and did not need his approval to publish facts. He continued to become increasingly aggravated, telling me this is not how managers act and if the Municipal Water District wants a seat at the replenish table, this is not how to do it and continued to say the full article was a bunch of lies and false facts and it was ridiculous. After listening to him yell for about 10 minutes, I told him I did not appreciate the way he was speaking to me, and I would tell the board all his concerns."

This set Sund off, Lamson said. "He threatened that I don't dare tell my board or anyone about this conversation," according to Lamson. "If he had concerns about anything he said he would go directly to my board and did not need me speaking on behalf of him."

Three days later, on April 15, a Monday, a meeting involving all of the managers of the agencies involved in the replenishment project was held.

Lamson said, "At the meeting on Monday, I was ready to move forward but before the meeting even started, he [Sund] walked into the room and with a sarcastic remark asked if the Municipal Water District was in or out, pointing at me and saying I have no idea how to be a manager and it's so obvious because I would understand how my choices are wrong and degraded me personally and professionally. This degradation continued throughout the meeting for 45

minutes until he got up and accused the Big Bear Lake Department of Water & sewer general manager of wasting his time."

Lamson reported that "Before the chief operating officer's report started, President Steve Ludecke asked [Big Bear Municipal Water District Chief Operations Officer] Mike Stephenson to expand on the meeting a little." As Stephenson "explained a little more about the replenish managers' meeting," Lamson said, "The inappropriate behavior from the city manager was unbelievable. He continued that he had never seen disrespect on such a level. He literally singled Brittany out as being a horrible and uneducated manager." Pointing his finger at Lamson, Sund "continued to say things like, 'You have no fucking idea what you're doing' and 'If you were a real manager you would understand how wrong the article was' and 'This is so far over your head, you do not even get it.'"

According to Lamson, Sund insisted that the information in the article was inaccurate, despite it having used the same precise figures published in the PowerPoint presentation that was prepared to document what the project consisted of and what its costs were.

Lamson said, "He kept pointing and saying things like 'You don't know a fucking thing. Fuck this, the Municipal Water District is not at the table.' It was the worst behavior I have ever seen from someone in that

Continued on Page 4

Fontana Man Had An Extensive Criminal History *from front page*

engage in the act which has now consigned him to prison for a period that will nearly equal or exceed his lifetime so far.

Records show that Johnson was arrested in Fontana for while engaged in burglary on August 18, 2010, and was thereafter charged with felony burglary PC-459, to which he pleaded no contest on January 4,

2011, and was sentenced to 487 days in jail.

On April 19, 2012, he was again arrested by the Fontana Police Department while engaged in burglary in Fontana, and was charged with PC 664/459-F, felony attempted burglary. He entered a guilty pleas to those charges on May 1, 2012, and was sentenced to 365 days in county jail.

He was released early and on April 8, 2013, he was arrested on a burglary charge in the unincorporated area of an

Bernardino County. He entered a guilty plea to a felony charge of PC 459 on October 1, 2013. He was sentenced to a middle duration term to consist of an unspecified number of days.

On March 14, 2021, he was arrested in the City of San Bernardino and charged with Felony - PC422(A)-F: making criminal threats to engage in acts which would be likely to result in great bodily injury. He was incarcerated and convicted on March 4, 2024, and given a sen-

tence of 1,460 days. He was apparently released early.

In addition, Johnson has federal convictions for possession of unauthorized firearms.

On June 15, 2024, he was in Tustin in the company of E'shon Dwayne Dodson, then 21 of Compton, and Bertran Claude Bell, 38, of Los Angeles in a gray SUV, which Dodson was driving. That evening, President Joseph Biden, who was yet the presumptive Democratic nominee for president, and former

President Barack Obama were in downtown Los Angeles at the Peacock Theater for a fundraiser fro Biden's reelection campaign. In attendance at the event as part of the security detail was a Secret Service agent who lived in the Tustin Field residential community in Tustin.

Johnson, Bell and Dodson that evening had staked out a spot in the Tustin Legacy, a former military base turned residential development, which is a subset of the Tustin Field I residential

district, where they intended to stage an armed robbery. The Secret Service agent, driving the federal agency-owned vehicle that was issued to him, pulled up to his home in the vicinity of Stratus Lane and West Wind Drive at 9:31 p.m. As the agent was walking to his front door, Johnson who had exited from the front passenger seat of the gray SUV, approached the agent and pointed a firearm at the victim's face while demanding his belong-

Continued on Page 5

SB's Inconsistent - Sometimes Compassionate, Other Times Ruthless - Approach To Its Homeless Problem Has Perpetuated A Hopeless Situation *from front page*

locations both within and outside of California instead of putting that person up for the night.

San Bernardino, like San Francisco, has an immense problem with large numbers of destitute having taken up residence in paupers' quarters on the streets, in

alleyways, in the Santa Ana or Lytle Creek riverbeds or around them, flood control channels, abandoned buildings, under railroad trestles or freeway overpasses, or hidden in chaparral or landscaping along the freeways, its parks and on the city's sidewalks

and public areas.

Historically, in San Bernardino County as in its county seat, government officials have been divided on the issue of homelessness, with ones who want to ruthlessly do away with the problem by whatever means at their disposal including arrest and removal and others who want to house them and rehabilitate them at public expense. Those often described as "do-gooders"

or "bleeding hearts," were compassionate toward those who had fallen into such a state, and were against, generally, utilizing the power of the law to prohibit them from inhabiting public space. Other officials believed that such compassion was misplaced, and that the proliferation of those unable to fend for themselves by maintaining a domicile was a substantial factor in the deterioration in the qual-

ity of life of those who were not homeless, i.e., those citizens residing in homes in residential neighborhoods or districts that were both residential and commercial in nature.

Some official pushed for utilizing tactics that would make it very uncomfortable or difficult for the homeless to remain in one spot for long and which would encourage them to head out to points east west, south or

elsewhere. This included passing and enforcing loitering ordinances, which were distinct from vagrancy laws. Another approach, one that was used by the City of San Bernardino, at least for a time, was to arrest those who remained in the city's parks after sunset—generally meaning 8 p.m. in the summer and 5 p.m. in the winter and times in between in the spring and fall—and to transport

Continued on Page 9

Land Sale Negotiations Between Board Members & One Of Their Most Generous Political Donors Took Place Outside The View Or Earshot Of The Public *from front page*

as the Etiwanda Heights Neighborhood and Conservation Plan Area, contained within the current Rancho Cucamonga City Limits. The property, set amidst what under normal conditions is a dry alluvial creek, during fall, winter and spring rainstorms transforms into a raging river, was

used historically by the San Bernardino County Flood Control District to manage stormwater runoff from the San Gabriel Mountains flowing south into both the Day Creek and Deer Creek streams. Over the past four decades, according to Noel Castillo, San Bernardino County's chief flood

control engineer and Terry Thompson, the director of San Bernardino County's real estate services department, improvements made by the San Bernardino County Flood Control District, including the Day Creek and Deer Creek Debris Dam, spreading grounds, and channels, have rendered the property unnecessary for flood management and surplus to San Bernardino County Flood Control District needs.

The deal that was re-

portedly finalized late Wednesday morning is, the Sentinel is informed, the culmination of negotiations which began in September 2023 between developer James Previti and his representatives on behalf of his company, Frontier Enterprises, and the San Bernardino County Real Estate Services Department, including its director, Terry Thomas. Those exclusive discussions, given clearance by San Bernardino County Chief Executive Officer

Luther Snoke, ripened into an understanding that Previti's company was qualified to take on the development of the property, knowledgeable individuals within the county have said. Previti reportedly expressed interest in the full 1,253 acres, while indicating that he was not prepared to undertake a comprehensive project at once. In response, on October 22, 2024 the board of supervisors officially reiterated its declaration that the 1,253 acres of by the

San Bernardino County Flood Control District-owned property is not needed for flood control use, pursuant to County Policy 12-17, Water Code Appendix Section 43-6, and Government Code section 54221(f)(1)(N). Thereafter, the San Bernardino County Surveyor's Office prepared updated legal descriptions of three distinct areas of the property, referenced as the South Area containing approximately 730.94 acres, the Central

Continued on Page 5

California Officials Looking At Texas' Cooperation With The Trump Administration As The Anti-Model Of Its Approach Toward Illegal Immigration *from front page*

emigrating from what they have characterized as certain hostile majority-Muslim countries and redoubling efforts to seal the border with Mexico in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona and California as part of the second Trump administration's immigration policy. Trump said mass deportations will begin on "Day 1" of his new administration. That policy

is to feature "zero tolerance," which will, if necessary, entail enforcement at border crossings with adjacent internment camps to separate parents from their children if they cross over onto U.S. territory at the border.

Homan has indicated that in major U.S. population centers, i.e., metropolitan areas, most particularly ones that have

declared themselves as sanctuary cities, he will have immigration agents, backed by U.S. Army, Navy Seals and National Guard soldiers, carry out a ruthless effort to track down illegal immigrants who have established themselves in this country and that he intends to arrest local and state officials who interfere with the efforts.

A clear strategy is emerging on the part of those state and local officials who have vowed to coordinate with the illegal and undocumented

aliens themselves to prevent the effectuation of the Trump/Homan plan. In this way, the California state government's resistance to the Second Trump Administration stands in 180 degree polar opposition to the welcoming being prepared for it by the state government in Texas.

Texas Governor Greg Abbott, who was a member of the Texas Supreme Court from 1996 until 2002, the Texas Attorney General from 2202 until 2015 and has been Texas Governor since 2015,

two years before Trump became president, absolutely embraced Trump's border policy throughout the president-elect's first term and has been the Joseph Biden Administration's primary nemesis with regard to its immigration policy, has signaled its intent to have his state fully cooperate and integrate with the immigration and border policies to be adopted by the federal government as of noon on January 20, 2025. Indeed, despite federal regulations and laws which hold that

the states and Texas in particular do not have constitutional authority to enact or enforce immigration law, under Abbott Texas has fashioned for itself legislative and administrative tools to carry out border restrictions and immigration control which mimic that of the federal government, which, it is several high-ranking Texas officials' contention, the Biden Administration has not exercised. This included Operation Lone Star, an \$11 billion

Continued on Page 11

Current Council Members, Regaled With Multiple Reports Of Sund's Hostile Approach, Insisted They Had No Such Contretemps With Him *from page 2*

high of a position. It was completely unacceptable and it was honestly just childish as hell."

"I have no desire to work with Erik Sund again," Lamson reported in April. "The group was much more productive without his dishonest and unprofessional way of conducting business."

No fewer than 14 other residents in Big Bear Lake have questioned whether Sund's management of the city is in keeping with the best interests of the city and its residents.

Discontent with Sund and his performance had been brewing within the community for some time.

At the June 12, 2024 city council meeting, Dan Gulbranson, a Big Bear Lake resident, said, "Mr. Sund, your reputation proceeds you: You're a bully, condescending, foul-mouthed."

Gulbranson suggested that Sund's shortcomings did not confine themselves to being rough around the edges and being awkward and profane in his dealing with people, but that the city council was tolerating him because it did not itself have the sophistication to know what skills are needed in a city manager to run a municipal operation and was thus willing to settle on someone of low caliber. Gulbranson estimated that about one fifth of city staff were inadequate to the tasks they were being called upon to perform and that in their city government assignments before coming to Big Bear Lake they had been given an ultimatum of in which they were given a choice to "resign or get fired. About 20 percent of our people shouldn't be her. In conducting interviews before making hiring decisions, Gulbranson said the city council's controlling "troika," as he called it – consisting of Mayor Perri Melnick, Councilman Rick Herrick and Councilman Randy Putz – had failed to determine whether key city staff

were qualified before hiring them. The troika, he suggested, was responsible for keeping Sund in place.

"Did you ask them intense questions?" Gulbranson asked, rhetorically, before answering, "No!"

Gulbranson accused Sund of ill-advisedly and illegally transferring funds from one city department to cover expenses in other city departments, doing so on an impromptu basis without revealing ahead of time on the city council's meeting agenda about taking such action, which is a violation of the Brown Act, California's open public meeting law.

At the June 12 meeting, Peggy Baldwin, a 16-year Big Bear resident, said she was concerned about the city's lakefront property and wanted to get the low-down on its future and therefore wanted to speak directly with the city manager. She had not done so, she intimated, because she had been warned that "Mr. Sund is not the easiest person to discuss things with and have also been unfortunately warned that by all means 'Do not meet with him alone.' I don't know how to begin to express how very disappointed and saddened I am that in this day and age I don't feel safe as a woman meeting with one of my representatives."

Baldwin said that by his "bullying and disrespect," Sund was "tearing down the foundation of our community."

Joyce Barker, who with her husband has owned property in Big Bear Lake since 2006, said, "While I am sure Mr. Sund interviews well... Mr. Sund demonstrates neither people nor personnel skills nor personal knowledge of the needs and wants of our city. It is incumbent upon decision-makers to review performance and collectively decide whether action should be taken regarding a recent hire. I implore the

city council members to examine the current situation." She decried "the diminished attendance at meetings due to dissatisfaction with project research that has been delegated to outside consultants who know even less than Mr. Sund about our community and are paid exorbitant fees with our tax money."

She said, "Witnessing the verbal abuse meted out by Mr. Sund is not in keeping with the culture of Big Bear Lake."

Lauri Jenkins said that in her interaction with Sund she found him "condescending and patronizing. I was in shock, because I could not remember ever being spoken to in that way, especially not from someone in an esteemed position of city government. Since then, I've spoken to many other individuals who had a similar experience with Mr. Sund. This type of behavior is absolutely unacceptable for anyone, but particularly from someone in the position of city manager. Why has there been no reprimand from the city? We, as the community, deserve more and we demand more."

She urged the city council to "take action" against Sund and offer the community an assurance that "this type of bullying and sexist behavior will absolutely not be tolerated in the City of Big Bear Lake."

Mayor Melnick, while thanking those women who had come forward with expressions of concern about Sund and those who had related their own troubling interactions with him, was generally dismissive of the concerns, indicating that she had not witnessed Sund acting inappropriately or offensively.

"I don't have concerns about his behavior with me and I'm a woman," Melnick said.

Melnick's approach, however, was challenged by Suzy Gillian, who noted that the mayor had expressed her willingness to defend Sund and his behavior in private conversations but not in public.

Christina Nehls told the council, "You have

a city manager who is rude, disrespectful and believes in this culture of bullying and bad behavior."

Nehls said that she had detected genderist attitudes from Councilman Herrick. She hinted that Putz was tolerating Sund and his excesses because Sund was knowledgeable and supportive of city policy that was financially favorable to Putz personally.

Tom Sitton, a business owner in Big Bear, like Gulbranson, expressed his belief that the city council was willing to overlook Sund's personality flaws and certain unattractive social traits because he was useful to them in other ways that involved bending the city's operations and policies in accordance with their own personal wishes and advantage. In this way, Sitton, suggested, Sund's behavior, however offensive it might have been to some people, did not loom as a major issue with Melnick, Herrick, Putz and to a somewhat lesser extent, Councilwoman Kendi Segovia.

According to Sitton, Sund was either incompetent with regard to certain aspects of the city's operations or, in the alternative, he was willing to simply look the other way when it came to someone with political juice or connections to members of the city council benefiting. Sitton referenced "boondoggle projects," and then lighted upon one example. "You were going to pay \$895,000 for a corner lot that just recently sold for \$400,000. Who purchased this property for \$400,000? Maybe it was one of your friends. I don't know. It really sounds like a major fraudulent boondoggle to me. How many boondoggles can you get away with?"

Sitton seemed to be implying that someone on the council or those connected to someone or more than one on the council had an interest that was advanced by the city's action, which Sund had facilitated, contrary to the interest to the community as a whole.

Sitton said that the

city/city council had been "buffaloed into hiring Sund." He implied that Sund was a less than accomplished municipal administrator, given that in overseeing a city as small as Big Bear Lake, he had an inordinately large staff to assist him. Sitton said it was not unusual or unreasonable for Sund to have a personal assistant but that two assistant city managers and a deputy city manager in a city of less than 6,000 population was uncalled for.

"This is very, very expensive," Sitton said. "The failure of decision making... is far from over."

For a time, it seemed that the city council was on a trajectory to redress the issues relating to Sund's management approach. At the July 10 council meeting, both Melnick and Segovia made references to their discussions with what Segovia quantified as "nine" women in the community who had difficulty or confrontations with or were concerned about Sund.

Of note, however, is that the minutes of the June 12 and July 10 council meetings were never compiled, contained within the agendas of later council meetings nor posted on the city's website.

The issue of Sund's comportment is complicated by the blanket of "confidentiality" which is thrown over personnel issues pertaining to governmental employees in California generally as well as the somewhat abnormal secrecy that attains with regard to municipal employees in Big Bear Lake, particularly as a product of the blackouts on information imposed by City Attorney Steve Deitsch. On both October 9 and November 13, the city council carried out a performance evaluation of Sund during its closed sessions at those meetings. While the October 9 council meeting agenda was posted on the city's website, the agenda for the November 13 meeting was not. Deitsch made no specific mention of the evaluation before the

council adjourned into those meetings on October 9 and November 13. When the council came out of those closed sessions, Deitsch made no reference on the record with regard to the evaluations taking place and he reported that no action had been taken with regard to the evaluations. Later in the November 13 meeting, however, when the council took up the public session item relating to Sund's increase in pay, Deitsch stated that the council had given Sund a positive work evaluation. That discrepancy has not been explained or resolved.

In 2023, Sund had been provided with \$258,292.80 in salary, \$2,587.03 in perquisites and pay add-ons and \$133,647.80 in benefits, for a total annual compensation of \$394,527.63.

As of this year, he was being provided with \$265,000 in salary. The action scheduled by the council was to secure his services with the city going forward by agreeing to increase his salary by 5 percent to \$278,250 and change the health care plan to which he and the eligible members of his family are provided from a health maintenance organization to one in which he, his wife and dependants can choose a doctor at their own discretion as part of a preferred provider medical service arrangement.

Having been disposed of entirely were the objections that members of the community had lodged against him previously. Such statements were the invalid bellyaching of a relative minority of inveterately dissatisfied malcontents, according to the city council.

Three of those, Edgar Allaniz, Gilbranson and Sitton were on hand to make their feelings known on November 13.

Allaniz referred to Sund as "incompetent."

Gilbranson said, "The sooner he goes, the better."

Sitton, saying Sund was a "reflection of our existing government," offered his opinion that, "It's time to drain the swamp."

Deitsch, however, as

Continued on Page 12

County Sells Previt Flood Control Land from page 3

Area containing approximately 320.32 acres, and the North Area containing approximately 200.95 acres. The property has been redefined as consisting of 1,252.21 acres.

At some time prior to November 19, 2024, the county reached a tentative agreement with Previt, which specify that he is to pay \$93 million plus interest for the property and complete the transaction within a five-

year original term, and that if he is "unable to complete the purchase in either a single acquisition for the entire property, or in multiple acquisitions that total the entire developable property, [he will] have the option to extend the original term for five more years following the expiration of the original term."

To actuate the agreement, Previt is required to pay the San Bernardino County Flood Control District \$2.5 million within fifteen days of the county's approval of the agreement. If he is

to extend the agreement another five years toward the end of the first five-year term, Previt "shall submit an additional extension deposit of \$2,500,000.00 to the San Bernardino County Flood Control District at least 10 days prior to the expiration of the original term."

Previt is required, within one year after the approval/signing of the agreement, to submit a minimum of 60 acres of the property that he intends to acquire in the first phase for the county's review, provided that

such portions shall consist of entire acre parcels within the South Area."

The tentative agreement, a copy of which was contained in the back-up material for the November 19 board of supervisors meeting agenda, requires that Previt, as his company's development of the property proceeds, acquire property to be set aside to meet mitigation requirements imposed by what the agreement refers to as "resource agencies," such as the California Department of Fish & Wildlife, "and as may

be necessary to meet the required amount of mitigation land needed to develop the target parcels," within the Central Area and North Area.

The tentative agreement states that, "Approximately 159 acres of the property is subject to an open space easement that was approved by the board of supervisors on September 8, 1986 and granted to the county as mitigation for the Day Creek Water Project. The open space easement that is held by the county will remain on the Property if the San Bernardino

County Flood Control District sells the property."

In 2017, when the City of Rancho Cucamonga was holding public discussions with regard to the 4,085-acre to 4,115-acre North Eastern Sphere Annexation Project, which encompassed what was then referred to as the 1,200 acres of flood control property, municipal officials indicated that just 579 of the 1,200 flood control district acres would be developed. In the tentative agreement for Previt's

Continued on Page 9

Highway 330 Reopened from front page

from Highway 18 along Green Valley Lake Road; the community of Forest Falls; and the community of Mountain Home Village. Those orders pertained to 11,400 structures under what was deemed to be immediate threat.

At one point, there were 56,100 structures threatened, i.e., in the logical path of the directions in which the fire was prone to spread. In addition to the 11,400 structures most immediately under threat being put under evacuation orders, the remaining 44,700, primarily within the communities of Cedar Glen, Lake Arrowhead, Twin Peaks, Crestline, and Valley of Enchantment, along with the area from Garnett Street east to Bryant Street and Carter Street north to Mill Creek and the area of Big Bear Valley from the dam to Cactus Road, Erwin Lake,

Sugar Loaf, Big Bear City, Big Bear Lake, and Fawnskin, were provided evacuation warnings.

Evacuation orders were based upon the incident commander's determination that there was an immediate threat to life in the area covered by the order. The issuance of the orders carried the full weight of California law, authorizing law enforcement officers to arrest those who did not comply. The areas were lawfully closed to public access.

The California National Guard was deployed, including four UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, two C-130 aircraft, hand crews, and one military police company to assist with evacuations.

A state military police company, consisting of a California National Guard unit, was dispatched to support the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department with evacuations and traffic control points in evacuated areas. In addition, the United States

Forest Service issued Forest Order NO. 05-12-00-24-09, creating a forest closure area around the Line Fire. All National Forest lands, trails, and roads were closed to the public within that zone.

On Tuesday, September 10, 36 miles from where the fire had started in Highland, detectives with the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department sojourned across the San Bernardino County/Riverside County line to a home at 1394 Detroit Street in Norco, where they arrested 34-year-old Justin Wayne Halstenberg, whom they maintain was the persistent arsonist who had touched off the Line Fire near the intersection of Baseline Road and Alpin Street on September 5.

Investigators, led by Battalion Chief Matt Kirkhart with CalFire and Jake Hernandez of the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department's specialized arson unit, determined that the fire had been deliberately

set with the use of materials later identified as coins wrapped in paper soaked in a flammable liquid. That team examined available video footage including traffic cameras around Baseline Road, identifying a white truck as the vehicle used by the arsonist. Further evidence implicating Halstenberg was obtained from at least one license plate reader in the area. Investigators made a direct link between Halstenberg and the ignition of the fire on the basis of his vehicle license plate, which was documented by a license plate recorder as being in the area at the time of what can be shown as the third and successful effort to start the fire, as well as at two previous unsuccessful attempts.

A search warrant was served at Halstenberg's home at the time of his arrest. According to investigators, material indicating he was responsible for setting the wildfire was found during that search.

The San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office charged Halstenberg, who remains in jail, with eleven felony counts, including a single count of aggravated arson, three counts of arson on structure or forest land, three counts of possession of material or a device for arson, one count of arson resulting in bodily harm, and a count of arson of an inhabited structure.

He was arraigned on the charges on September 17 in Rancho Cucamonga Superior Court.

According to prosecutors, on September 5, Halstenberg succeeded in creating a grass fire in a field near Bacon and Lytle lanes in Highland. That fire was reported and quickly extinguished by local firefighters. Halstenberg, who was lurking in the area, made a second attempt at igniting a fire just east of Bacon Lane, near Baseline and Alpin streets, authorities maintain. A passer-by acted quickly to stomp the fire out.

"Undeterred, he ignited a third fire, which is what we now know as the Line Fire," according to the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office.

By September 12, the fire had to that point covered 37,207 acres and was at 18 percent containment. The fire raged on for more than three weeks.

Highway 330 was closed on September 24. Statements made by responsible officials was that by the end of October it would be reopened.

Crucial elements of the road infrastructure, including the guardrails, were damaged by the fire. With some of the guardrails gone entirely and other compromised to the point that they might not be able to prevent a vehicle that slammed into them or even scrapped them from continuing off the road and over the ledge to the steep canyon below, officials closed the road entirely to anything other than emergency

Continued on Page 12

Trio Made An Ill-Timed & Botched Armed Robbery Attempt Against Also Armed Secret Service Agent Returning Home From Presidential Protection Assignment from page 3

ings, most particularly, a bag which contained the agent's laptop, iPhone and service radio. Johnson seized the bag from the agent, at which point Bell emerged from the backseat of the gray SUV, aggressively approaching the agent. The agent drew his service handgun and report-

edly shot seven rounds, at least two of which hit Bell. Johnson, yet clutching the bag containing the laptop, iPhone and radio, fled to the vehicle, dropping the gun he was carrying as he did so. The wounded Bell also withdrew into the SUV. Dodson, who had not emerged and was still at

the wheel of the vehicle, sped away.

Johnson rifled through the agent's bag, which in addition to the laptop, iPhone and radio, contained the agent's agent's gun magazines, flashlight, radio holster and lapel pins. Inadvertently, he left his DNA on several of the items. Aware, perhaps, that a feature on the iPhone could be used to track their whereabouts if they maintained possession of it, from the moving vehicle

he discarded the iPhone near the intersection of Jamboree and Walnut Avenue and some of the other items in the bag not too far away. The trio headed to Los Angeles, where Bell was taken to a hospital for treatment of his wounds.

The Secret Service agent summoned the Tustin police, who were able to coordinate their operations with their department's various divisions. In relatively short order, they were able to

use the the tracking device contained in the iPhone to locate it and some of the other contents that had been in the agent's bag. A forensic examination of the gun Johnson dropped was eventually traced to him based upon his touch DNA that it contained. A comprehensive examination of emergency medical care provided that evening led to the identification of Bell as a potential suspect.

Nearly a month

elapsed, and on July 11, Johnson was arrested in Riverside by Tustin Police with the assistance of the California Department of Parole. Based upon statements Johnson made while in custody, Tustin police were able to identify Dodson as the driver and arrested him in Los Angeles on July 17, assisted the L.A. County Sheriff's Department Major Crimes Bureau Burglary-Robbery Task Force. Bell was

Continued on Page 11

Public Notices

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) CIVSB2330636

NOTICE TO MONIAK CONSTRUCTION CO, an unknown entity; RICHARD MICHAEL MONIAK, individual and doing business as MONIAK CONSTRUCTION CO; and DOES 1 through 20 inclusive

(AVISO DEMANDADO): YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

BRYANT IDZIK, an individual; and MATHILDE BERGER, an individual.

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entreeque una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas adver-

Public Notices

tencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino 247 W Third Street, San Bernardino California 92415 San Bernardino District- Civil Division

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

September J Katje
130 S Chaparral Court Suite 140
Anaheim CA 92808
Telephone: 714-400-2962

DATE (Fecha): 10/14/2024
Clerk (Secretario), by BRIANNA RIOS

Published in the SBSCS Rancho Cucamonga on: 11/08/2024, 11/15/2024, 11/22/2024, 11/29/2024

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2431851,

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Dana Briones filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Madnis Mae Dalessio to Madnis Mae Briones.

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted.

Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 12/17/2024, Time: 09:00 AM, Department: S29The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Civil Division, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the SBSCS Upland in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 11/05/2024
Judge of the Superior Court: Gilbert G. Ochoa

Public Notices

Published in the SBSCS Upland on 11/08/2024, 11/15/2024, 11/22/2024, 11/29/2024

FBN 20240010063

The following entity is doing business primarily in Riverside County as

ALLIED RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE GROUP 8175 LIMONITE AVE STE A JURUPA VALLEY, CA 92509: UNIKO ENTERPRISES, INC. 8175 LIMONITE AVE STE A JURUPA VALLEY, CA 92509

Business Mailing Address: 8175 LIMONITE AVE STE A JURUPA VALLEY, CA 92509

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 3367445

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: October 31, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MARIA E AYALA-HALSTEAD, Secretary

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/31/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J7527

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 8, 15, 22 & 29, 2024.

FBN 20240009944

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

YOLO MASSAGE SPA 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786: MERIT FOOT AND BODY SPA INC 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786

Business Mailing Address: 655 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 4026167.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: August 1, 2012.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ HONGMAI ZHANG, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/28/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy D9865

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 8, 15, 22 & 29, 2024.

FBN 20240008700

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ANGIES GLOBAL FINANCIAL SERVICES 6939 SCHAEFER AVE STE D #308 CHINO, CA 91710: ANGIES GLOBAL FINANCIAL SERVICES 6939 SCHAEFER AVE STE D #308

Public Notices

CHINO, CA 91710

Business Mailing Address: 6939 SCHAEFER AVE STE D #308 CHINO, CA 91710

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: September 27, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ANGIE ALCALA, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 9/27/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J9965

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 8, 15, 22 & 29, 2024.

FBN 20240010088

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

O A R HANDY SERVICES 929 E FOOTHILL BLVD, SPACE 40 UPLAND, CA 91786: OSVALDO A REYNA

Business Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 1574 UPLAND, CA 91785

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: October 20, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ OSVALDO A. REYNA, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/1/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K4872

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 8, 15, 22 & 29, 2024.

FBN 20240009826

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

TINY HEARTS 3343 BROADMOOR BLVD SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404: GOLDEN BIRD DISTRIBUTOR CORP 3343 BROADMOOR BLVD SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

Business Mailing Address: 3343 BROADMOOR BLVD SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MARA SANCHEZ, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on:

Public Notices

10/23/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy K3379

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 8, 15, 22 & 29, 2024.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

KAREN M. BROSOWSKI aka KAREN M. PLUMHOFF Case NO. PROVA2400834

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of KAREN M. BROSOWSKI aka KAREN M. PLUMHOFF A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Rick Plumhoff in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that The petition requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court. The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority., Rick Plumhoff be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F2 at 09:00 AM on 12/23/2024 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino, 17780 Arrow Blvd, Fontana, CA 92335, Fontana District-Probate Division

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as

Public Notices

defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Sandy S. Isaac, Esq. / Buchalter, APC:

655 West Broadway, Suite 1600 San Diego CA 92101

Telephone No: 619-219-8073

Published in the SBSCS Upland on:

11/15/2024, 11/22/2024, 11/29/2024

FBN 20240010102

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

UBOX AIR EXPRESS USA, INC [and] SA LOGISTICS USA, INC. 14618 CENTRAL AVE CHINO, CA 91710: SA GROUP OF COMPANIES 14618 CENTRAL AVE CHINO, CA 91710

Business Mailing Address: 14618 CENTRAL AVE CHINO, CA 91710

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: January 1, 2024

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MARIA LOURDES A. TIMBOL, Secretary

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/01/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J9965

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 15, 22, 29 & December 6, 2024.

FBN 20240010102

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

UBOX AIR EXPRESS USA, INC [and] SA LOGISTICS USA, INC. 14618 CENTRAL AVE CHINO, CA 91710: SA GROUP OF COMPANIES 14618 CENTRAL AVE CHINO, CA 91710

Business Mailing Address: 14618 CENTRAL AVE CHINO, CA 91710

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: January 1, 2024

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MARIA LOURDES A. TIMBOL, Secretary

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/01/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original

Public Notices

statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J9965

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 15, 22, 29 & December 6, 2024.

FBN 2024009689

The following person is doing business as: THE NORTH FREEZE DRY CO., 2664 PACIFIC ST HIGHLAND, CA 92346[MAILING ADDRESS 2644 PACIFIC ST HIGHLAND, CA 92346]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ANA M GONZALEZ MONTALVO

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ANA M GONZALEZ MONTALVO, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 21, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024, 11/08/2024, 11/15/2024 CNBB43202401MT

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: BRIAN CASEY KIEFFABER

CASE NUMBER. PROVA2400972

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of BRIAN CASEY KIEFFABER: a petition for probate has been filed by DAVID RAY KIEFFABER in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that DAVID RAY KIEFFABER be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held January 21, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 – Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard

Public Notices

Fontana, CA 92335
 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for David Ray Kieffaber:

R. SAM PRICE
 SBN 208603
 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
 454 Cajon Street
 REDLANDS, CA 92373
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 9500
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 22, 29 & December 6, 2024.

FBN20240010641
 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as
 APEX ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS 11201 5TH ST APT B101 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730
 MICHAEL SERGAH
 Business Mailing Address: 11201 5TH ST APT B101 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MICHAEL SERGAH
 Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/12/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 22, 29 and December 6 & 13, 2024.

FBN20240010209

Public Notices

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

BARBARA'S DIGITAL TAX SERVICE 2306 N. RIVERSIDE AVE RIALTO, CA 92377: BARBARA N. LONDON

Business Mailing Address: 2306 N. RIVERSIDE AVE RIALTO, CA 92377

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ BARBARA N. LONDON, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/05/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K1583

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 22, 29 and December 6 & 13, 2024.

FBN20240010416
 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

THE ESTEEMED LUXURY CRUMB

[and]
 CHLOE'S CRUMBS

955 N DUESENBERG DR. STE 2318 ONTARIO, CA 91764: RX 4 U PHARMACY SERVICES, INC. 955 N DUESENBERG DR APT 2318 ONTARIO, CA 91764

Business Mailing Address: 955 N DUESENBERG DR. STE 2318 ONTARIO, CA 91764

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ SAMIRA ATALLA, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/08/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K4626

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 22, 29 and December 6 & 13, 2024.

FBN20240010739
 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

SUMMIT HEIGHTS JUICE IT UP!

15068 SUMMIT AVE FONTANA, CA 92336: D3 MANAGEMENT LLC. 14854 SHETLAND LANE FONTANA, CA 92336

Business Mailing Address: 14854 SHETLAND LANE FONTANA, CA 92336

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who

Public Notices

declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ TONY L HOUSTON, CEO
 Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/22/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K4624

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 22, 29 and December 6 & 13, 2024.

FBN 20240007899
 The following entity is doing business primarily in Riverside County as

PIONEER FENCING CO 1637 WEST WILLIAM STREET BANNING, CA 92220: GUSTAVO MEZA

Business Mailing Address: 1637 WEST WILLIAM STREET BANNING, CA 92220

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: August 9, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ GUSTAVO MEZA, Owner
 Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/29/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J5842

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 6, 13, 20 & 27, 2024.

Corrected on October 11, 18, 25 & November 1, 2024.

Corrected on November 22, 29 and December 6 & 13, 2024.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: STEVEN WAYNE MANN
 CASE NO. PRO-VA2400981

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of STEVEN WAYNE MANN: a petition for probate has been filed by CATALINA MANN in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that CATALINA MANN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before

Public Notices

taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held January 7, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 – Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Date: 11/18/2024

By: Arica Tobias, Deputy Court Clerk

Attorney for Catalina Mann:

R. SAM PRICE
 SBN 208603
 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
 454 Cajon Street
 REDLANDS, CA 92373
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 9500
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 29 and December 6 & 13, 2024.

FBN20240010185
 The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

MS. SEXY BOSSLADY INDIGO 154 W. FOOTHILL BLVD SUIT A # 345 UPLAND, CA 91786: DEBRA A HUNT

Business Mailing Address: 154 W. FOOTHILL BLVD SUIT A # 345 UPLAND, CA 91786

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: AUGUST 15, 2024

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DEBRA A HUNT
 Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 11/05/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J7527

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be

Public Notices

filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 29 and December 6, 13 & 20, 2024.

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) 24PSCV02195

NOTICE TO ASHLEY BUSTAMONTE, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND DOES 1-100, inclusive (AVISO DEMANDA-DO):

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

THOMAS ANDREWS, an individual.

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una repuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefonica no le protegen. Su repuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su repuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de

Public Notices

presentación, pida si secretario de la corta que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratis de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendoso en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corta pueda desear el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es):

POMONA COURTHOUSE SOUTH 400 CIVIC CENTER PLAZA POMONA, CA 91766

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante es):

JASMINE MOTAZEDI, JM LAW FIRM, APC; 23586 CALABASAS RD., SUITE 204, CALABASAS, CA 91302, (818) 574-8186

DATE (Fecha): 17/08/2024
 Clerk (Secretario), by A. GREER

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 11/29/2024, 12/06/2024, 12/13/2024 & 12/20/2024.

FBN 20240010236
 The following person is doing business as: LUPITAS CREATIONS. 15429 MALLORY DR FONTANA, CA 92335;[MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO GUADALUPE CHAGOLLA

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. /s/ GUADALUPE CHAGOLLA, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: NOVEMBER 05, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 11/08/2024, 11/15/2024, 11/22/2024, 11/29/2024 CNBB45202401CV

FBN 20240010034
 The following person is doing business as: GALAXY FLOORING, INC. 9140 SENNA CT FONTANA, CA 92335;[MAILING ADDRESS 9140 SENNA CT FONTANA, CA 92335]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO GALAXY FLOORING, INC. 9140 SENNA CT FONTANA, CA 92335 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 4532063

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

Public Notices

ed by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. /s/ EFRAIN VILARREAL, PRESIDENT

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 31, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 11/08/2024, 11/15/2024, 11/22/2024, 11/29/2024 CNBB45202402MT

FBN 20240010161
 The following person is doing business as: NEW HORIZON INVESTORS, LLC. 15130 ARROW ROUTE UNIT B FONTANA, CA 92335;[MAILING ADDRESS 15130 ARROW ROUTE UNIT B FONTANA, CA 92335]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO REYES AUTO ZONE, LLC 16843 VALLEY BLVD STE #7 FONTANA, CA 92335 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION 202406014739

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. /s/ RAMIRO REYES PEREZ, MANAGING MEMBER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: NOVEMBER 04, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 11/08/2024, 11/15/2024, 11/22/2024, 11/29/2024 CNBB45202403MT

FBN 2024009846
 The following person is doing business as: ROMERO'S SALES PARTS SERVICES. 15033 VALLEY BLVD FONTANA, CA 92335;[MAILING ADDRESS 15033 VALLEY BLVD FONTANA, CA 92335]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CECILIA ROMERO

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. /s/ CECILIA ROMERO, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 24, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 11/08/2024, 11/15/2024, 11/22/2024, 11/29/2024 CNBB45202404MT

FBN 20240010049
 The following person is doing business as: LIZ'S BARBER

Public Notices

menced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEPTEMBER 30, 2024 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ MOHAMMAD A ZAMAN, OWNERStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 01, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202410MT FBN 20240008868 The following person is doing business as: HEMANT PATEL DDS 615 NORTH BENSON AVE SUITE F UPLAND, CA 91786;[MAILING ADDRESS 615 NORTH BENSON AVE SUITE F UPLAND, CA 91786;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOHEMANT V PATELThe business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/ABY signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ HEMANT V PATEL, OWNERStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 01, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ DeputyNotice-This fictitious

Public Notices

name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202409MT FBN 20240008428 The following person is doing business as: HIP HOP & FASHION JEWELRY 500 INLAND CENTER DR GLENDALE, CA 92408;[MAILING ADDRESS 1023 TYLER ST GLENDALE, CA 91205;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOHAMMAD A ZAMANThe business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEPTEMBER 19, 2024 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ MOHAMMAD A ZAMAN, OWNERStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 19, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202408MT FBN 20240008799 The following person is doing business as: YETI'S DINER. 33249 GREEN VALLEY LAKE RD

Public Notices

GREEN VALLET LAKE, CA 92341;[MAILING ADDRESS 27595 AVENDA INTERNO SUN CITY, CA 92585;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOCORINNA M NUNEZ The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 25, 2024By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ CORINNA M NUNEZ Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 01, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202407MT FBN 20240008376 The following person is doing business as: DUNAMIS HOME HEALTH CARE. 8350 ARCHIBALD AVENUE SUITE 227 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730;[MAILING ADDRESS 8350 ARCHIBALD AVENUE SUITE 227 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINODUNAMIS CARE, INC 8350 ARCHIBALD AVENUE SUITE 227 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 STATE OF INCORPORATION CAThe business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/ABY signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she

Public Notices

knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ CYNTHIA AVELLANO, CEOStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 18, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202406MT FBN 20240008904 The following person is doing business as: 4 WEST TIRE PROS. 650 E VALLEY BLVD COLTON, CA 92324;[MAILING ADDRESS 650 E VALLEY BLVD COLTON, CA 92324;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOWEST MOTORSPORTS, INC. 650 E VALLEY BLVD COLTON, CA 92324 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 6301286The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 02, 2024By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ JUSTIN W BURKE, PRESIDENTStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 02, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of

Public Notices

this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202405MT FBN 20240008914 The following person is doing business as: RIALTO PET WASH. 513 W. FOOTHILL BLVD RIALTO, CA 92376;[MAILING ADDRESS 513 W. FOOTHILL BLVD RIALTO, CA 92376;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOLUDIM FERUSCA The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/ABY signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ LUDIM FERRUSCA, OWNERStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 02, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202404MT FBN 20240008906 The following person is doing business as: P & C HOME CARE & MAINTENANCE. 10855 CHURCH STREET #2102 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730;[MAILING ADDRESS 10855 CHURCH STREET #2102 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOCANDICE FREIS The business is con-

Public Notices

ducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 01, 2023By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CANDICE FREIS, OWNERStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 02, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB41202403MT FBN 20240008866 The following person is doing business as: BORRACHOS GOLF CLUB. 15125 CRAZY HORSE AVE FONTANA, CA 92336;[MAILING ADDRESS 15125 CRAZY HORSE AVE FONTANA, CA 92336;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOGARY P. AYALA The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/ABY signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ GARY P. AYALA, OWNERStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 01, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/

Public Notices

DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024, 11/01/2024 CNBB412024021RFBN 20240008100 The following person is doing business as: GALAVIZ AUTO SALES 181 E D ST COLTON, CA 92324;[MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701;]COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINOGALAVIZ AUCTIONS LLC 181 E D ST COLTON, CA 92324 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION 202253116038The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/ABY signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.s/ DANIEL E SAUCEDO BENITEZ, MANAGING MEMBERStatement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 09, 2024I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/DeputyNotice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/04/2024, 10/11/2024, 10/18/2024, 10/25/2024 CNBB41202401CV

SB Tried Harsh Measures In Seeking To Convince The Growing Ranks Of Homeless To Leave from page 3

them to the sheriff's department jail in Rancho Cucamonga, known as the West Valley Detention Center, to be booked. In some cases, sheriff's personnel might book the arrestees, but would immediately release them. Others brought in, particularly ones with no substantial previous criminal records, might be released without being booked. Generally, the only ones that would be incarcerated would be those who had outstanding warrants. Those arrested and transported to the West Valley Detention Center, booked

or not, would then face the challenge of walking the 20 miles back to San Bernardino. By the time they would return, many would discover that their possessions – their tents and sleeping bags, camping stoves, clothes and whatnot, were gone, having been thrown out by the police or visitors to the park, appropriated by some other homeless individuals or removed by a person or persons or an entity unknown. After being subjected to this ordeal three or four or five times, many opted not to return to San Bernardino.

Nevertheless, San Bernardino, like all cities in the western United States, was, until very recently, constrained by the basic provisions embodied in the 1962 case of Robinson v. California and the 2018 case Martin v. Boise. In Rob-

inson v. California, the Supreme Court held that the Eighth Amendment prohibits criminalization of a status, as opposed to criminalizing criminal acts, in striking down a California law that criminalized being addicted to narcotics. By

extension, this applied to being homeless, such that it made applying traditional vagrancy laws difficult, problematic or even impossible. In this way, from that point on, at least until earlier this year, an individual could not be prosecuted for be-

ing homeless. In Martin v. Boise, the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruled that city officials in Boise, Idaho, could not enforce an anti-camping ordinance whenever its homeless population exceeds the *Continued on Page 10*

Deal Gives Previti Permission To Resell The Acreage To Other Developers from page 5

purchase of the 1,252.21 acres, there is no limitation on development of the property beyond the 159 acres to be preserved as open space.

The tentative agreement does not require

Previti to act as the owner/developer of the subdivisions to be built on the acreage, and it allows him to sell the property, at his own discretion to any purchasers, as long as he provides back to the county 50 percent of the profit – that is, the difference between what he pays to buy the land from the county flood control district and the amount he obtains by selling it. In preparation for fi-

nalizing the agreement, Previti, who is the president and CEO for Frontier Enterprises, located at 2151 E. Convention Center Way, Suite 222 in Ontario, had Richard Munkvold, who is the chief financial officer for Frontier Enterprises, form a limited liability company, Rancho Etiwanda LLC. Richard Munkvold signed the articles of organization for Rancho Etiwanda,

LLC, located at 2151 E. Convention Center Way, Suite 222 in Ontario, on November 12, 2024.

When the board of supervisors considered the purchase and sale agreement with Previti and the accompanying escrow instructions, it delayed final action due to what was described as "missing paperwork."

The matter was continued until December 3.

DACA Immigrants Can Get, At Least Temporarily, Taxpayer Subsidized Medical Care

By Richard Hernandez

More than 200,000 so-called limbo immigrants – ones who are technically in the United States illegally as the foreign-born children of parents who entered the United States illegally but have in some fashion registered as being present in the United States and California specifically – are now eligible to buy California taxpayer-subsidized health insurance available through the Affordable Care Act.

This generosity to non-citizens while American citizens, most particularly males between the ages of 18 and 62, are left to fend for themselves is a matter of some controversy. There is a legitimate philosophical difference between people with regard to whether certain American citizens/taxpayers should be excluded from the benefits provided to those who are neither American citizens nor taxpayers, particularly when those benefits are being paid for by American taxpayers.

Furthermore, there are divided opinions among legal authorities, including American courts of law, as to whether providing benefits to non-citizens that are not available to American citizens is both legal and constitutional.

At the center of this difficult circumstance are the “Dreamers;” the Dream Act, which existed in a legislative bill form but which was never officially passed into law; and the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals policy, the legality of which has not been fully established.

Dreamers are the young foreign-born children of immigrants who came into the United States illegally, i.e., in defiance of U.S. Immigration Law and were or are essentially officially undocumented, but who, for the most part, are being educated in public schools. They are said to have the “dream” of obtaining legalized status and being allowed to remain in the United

States, which in virtually all cases is the country that they in their life experience are most familiar with. The vast majority of Dreamers are from Mexico.

The Dream Act bill laid out and would have provided if passed, a pathway to permanent residency for undocumented immigrants brought to the United States by their parents if those young people met certain qualifications, such as not having been convicted of a felony or what was deemed “a serious misdemeanor.” The bill passed in the U.S. House of Representatives but did not gain passage in the U.S. Senate when it was kept from consideration by a bipartisan filibuster.

In 2012, then-president Barack Obama sought to effectively reproduce what was contained in the Dream Act by means of an edict or executive branch memorandum which established the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) policy. President Obama, while stating “This is not amnesty [and] This is not immunity [and] This is not a path to citizenship,” said that DACA would place a “low priority” on the enforcement of immigration law with regards to the roughly 65,000 young immigrants on average per year brought into the United States unlawfully by their parents, as long as they do not break the law. The policy provides them with the hope or potential of achieving legal residency status. It also makes them eligible for certain social service programs traditionally available to some American citizens.

The Affordable Care Act, informally known as Obamacare, was passed by Congress and signed into law by President Obama in March 2010. Through various means this cut in half the number of Americans who did not have health coverage/medical insurance. Those means included the expansion of

the federal government’s Medicaid and Medicare program eligibility criteria, mandates on health insurance providers which prevented them from rejecting individuals with pre-existing medical conditions, by imposing requirements that companies with more than a specified number of employees provide them with some form of medical coverage and by requiring individuals who did not, or whose families did not, have medical insurance to purchase the same or be assessed a financial penalty. The Affordable Care Act prompted certain reforms or changes in the public medical service assistance provided by many states, such as MediCal in California, which has existed since 1965. In reaction to the Affordable Care Act, California created Covered California, a system intended to provide affordable health care to the state’s residents who were not already receiving Medi-Cal assistance, had purchased for themselves and their families medical insurance or already had health insurance purchased for them and their families through their employers.

This year, as a result of a combination of action by the State of California and the Joseph Biden Administration, DACA residents were redefined from being individuals who are present in the country illegally but not subject to immigration enforcement to foreigners who are “lawfully present” in the United States, thus making them eligible to purchase subsidized health plans through Covered California. That subsidization is provided by California’s taxpayers.

While in some cases, those with DACA status have medical insurance if their parents are able to afford it or if one or both parents are employed by a company that offers it employees health coverage, up until now many California DACA residents did not have it.

It is anticipated the

change will provide health care for many Dreamers who up until now did not have any regular or steady access to doctors or medical professionals.

While many see this as a positive development, there are others who find it troubling that the government, in the case locally of the California government, extending a helping hand to non-citizens when American citizens in California are not eligible for medical assistance through the state or federal government.

For over a decade, non-U.S. citizens residing in California meeting low-income criteria become eligible for Medi-Cal upon eclipsing their 65th birthday.

While California for decades in practice provided all pregnant women with medical assistance at virtually no charge, in 2014 officially made such care legally available.

Prior to 2016, undocumented immigrants in California below the age of 65 were not qualified to receive comprehensive state-sponsored or taxpayer-defrayed health insurance beyond what was provided in hospital emergency rooms, which by law could not turn away the grievously injured. That year, the California legislature voted to extend Medi-Cal benefits to illegal aliens, those being children without legal residency status, as the consequence of a bill authored by then-Assemblyman Ricardo Lara and signed into law by then-Governor Jerry Brown. In 2019, Senate Bill 104, by which full-scope Medi-Cal access was extended to cover low-income illegal aliens aged 19 to 25, passed into law and was signed by Governor Gavin Newsom, going into effect on January 1, 2020.

Legislation introduced by California Senator Maria Elena Durazo (D-Los Angeles) and Assemblyman Joaquin Arambula (D-Fresno) in 2020 placed \$1.3 billion

into California’s 2021-2022 budget to provide Medi-Cal coverage to illegal aliens over the age of 50. Thus, illegal aliens become eligible for California’s health care benefit at an age 15 years below that of U.S. citizens.

Governor Newsom signed the 2022-23 \$307.9 billion operating budget on June 30, 2022, calling the expansion “a transformative step towards strengthening the healthcare system for all Californians.” That generosity made available coverage for an additional 764,000 illegal and unregistered immigrants in California willing to come forward and claim it, costing California and by extension U.S. taxpayers roughly \$2.7 billion per year.

On January 1, 2024, the State of California on Monday expanded health insurance to about 700,000 illegal aliens between the ages 26 and 49.

At the same time, of California’s 39,129,000 residents, it is estimated that some 11 percent, or 4,304,190 are without medical coverage of any kind. The lion’s share of those without health insurance in the Golden State are U.S. Citizens. There is a groundswell of people who believe unfair, unjust, unpatriotic, unconstitutional and illegal for foreigners who are not American citizens and do not

participate in American society to the fullest by bearing the full range of the average citizen’s tax burden to be receiving benefits from the government that many citizens, including veterans, do not receive.

Among those feeling that way is the president-elect, Donald Trump. In order for those defined as DACA residents to continue to be eligible for California taxpayer-subsidized health insurance available through the Affordable Care Act by means of Covered California in the coming year, President Trump will need to renew the Biden Administration policy that is allowing DACA residents – limbo immigrants – to take advantage of the Affordable Care Act provisions which is now allowing them to purchase taxpayer-subsidized discounted medical service.

President Trump during his first term sought, but failed, to cancel the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals policy. He is likely to make that effort again, this time under circumstances where he has more leverage than he did seven years ago. And there are lawsuits under way which challenge the constitutionality of DACA. In one of those cases, a U.S. District Court held DACA to be illegal.

city in the county, and by a substantial margin.

As was the case with the elected leadership in other cities, San Bernardino’s mayors and city council members over a long span of time were torn over whether the city should accept money available from the State of California for the support of various homeless assistance programs. There was a degree of debate and difference between the elected officials and the city’s residents with regard to accepting the funds. Some felt the city should accept whatever funding was available. Others were skeptical, believing that unless the programs for the homeless being un-

City Vacillated Between Compassion & Contempt In Dealing With The Homeless

from page 9

number of available beds in its homeless shelters. Since the Supreme Court declined to hear an appeal to this case in 2019, it became binding precedent within the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit includes the nine western states and all of the Pacific Islands.

San Bernardino more than two decades ago, when the homeless problem was far less acute than it is currently, had dubiously distinguished itself as the haven for more people living on the streets than any other

With His Latest Conviction, Johnson Is A Six-Time Loser & Headed To Prison For Decades *from page 5*

taken into custody in Los Angeles on July 24.

The latter folded rapidly and before the end of July was ready to plead guilty to a single felony count of second-degree robbery. Bell, who had a prior conviction for attempted robbery in December 2004, came

before Orange County Superior Court Judge William Scott Zidbeck in August and pleaded guilty to the second-degree robbery charge, also accepting a sentencing enhancement for being armed with a gun in the commission of a felony. He was sentenced to six years in prison.

Dodson went to trial in October with Johnson. Dodson, identified as a resident of Compton, was found guilty of one felony count of second-degree robbery and

one felony enhancement of possessing a firearm during a felony commission. He is scheduled to be sentenced on January 10, 2025, and faces a maximum of six years in state prison.

Johnson was found guilty of one felony count of second-degree robbery, one felony count of possession of a firearm by a felon and two felony enhancements of personally using a firearm. Johnson's sentencing was increased because of his violation of his

federal parole for weapons possession. He was credited with 155 days already served in jail.

"This is yet another shameful illustration of how the failed soft-on-crime policies implemented by the state Legislature and the Governor continue to entice violent career criminals to come to Orange County from other counties for the sole purpose of victimizing Orange County residents," said Orange County District Attorney Todd Spitzer. "They

clearly haven't gotten the message that crime doesn't pay in Orange County and violence will not be tolerated. We will hold these armed robbers accountable to the fullest extent of the law. No one, regardless of whether you are a Secret Service agent or an everyday resident, should have to worry about being robbed at gunpoint in the middle of a neighborhood. Thankfully, the Tustin Police Department with the help of DNA and our partners at

the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and the California Division of Adult Parole Operations were able to quickly identify these three career criminals and get them off the streets and behind bars where they belong."

Senior Deputy District Attorney Ann Fawaz and Deputy District Attorney Billy Ha of the Orange County District Attorney's Office Special Prosecutions Unit prosecuted the case against Johnson, Dodson and Bell.

His Tenure As Governor Winding Down, Newsom Looking To Curry Hispanic Support For A '28 Presidential Run *from page 3*

effort enforce a strict immigration policy including interdicting border crossers. Operation Lone Star has included busing migrants to distant states and targeting for prosecution or disincorporation organizations advocating on their behalf.

It is anticipated that upon Trump reassuming the presidency, Texas policy will dovetail with what is to become federal policy, rendering immigration restrictions in that area of the country very effective. Texas will loan to the federal government, at virtually no charge, many of its social and law enforcement facilities and institutions to be put to use in the field of immigration enforcement.

California, on the other hand, is now engaged in ensuring that its facilities and institutions will not be commandeered by the federal government for use as tools in curbing illegal immigration.

Even before all of the return were in on election night, the writing was on the wall and many California politicians, sensing a second Donald Trump presidency was in the offing, were formulating a response to the anticipated intensification of the effort to curtail immigration into California from Mexico. To some, what was being said sounded like the

State of California was heading toward a policy of encouraging or being even more intensely supportive of illegal immigration into California than before. California Assembly Speaker Robert Rivas on election night said, unabashedly, "What you can expect is California will do everything we can to protect America from Donald Trump."

While some see what many of California's elected officials plan on doing as being semi-treasonous and either potentially or actually illegal, those engaged in planning for what is to take place do not see it that way, rather perceiving what they are doing as finessing the system, testing the envelope of the legal maneuvering. California officials in the legislature and members of municipal legislative/administrative bodies are laying down the groundwork for court challenges to the federal government's regulatory authority from every conceivable angle when it comes to immigration. Even in those cases where the anticipated outcome is that the Trump Administration will in the end prevail, the game plan is to keep the issues tied up in court for as long as possible and without any rulings that can be interpreted as case law being handed down for as long as possible, while court stays on the enforcement action that the administration wants to engage in remain in place.

California Attorney General Rob Bonta has gone on record as saying,

with regard to the Trump Administration's efforts to initiate Project 2025, that there will be no grounds to resist "If Mr. Trump comes into office and follows the law. But if he violates the law, as he has said he would, we will be prepared and will be all over that. We have rehearsed exactly what we will do and have determined ahead of time and down to the last detail of what we will do and what court and where we will make our filings."

President Trump has made clear that if California defies him, he will withhold federal funding, use federal law enforcement agents to supersede local authority and deport any foreigners who act in league with the state and local officials who don't cooperate. In the event that the foreign countries where he intends to deport the illegal aliens the agents with the Department of Immigration and Naturalization have taken into custody refuse to accept their expatriots, he will play hardball with them.

If countries like Mexico or Guatemala or Colombia refuse to accept Mexican or Guatemalan or Colombian deportees, Donald Trump has said he will impose tariffs on those countries or withhold various or all types of visas for those countries' citizens.

Meanwhile, Governor Gavin Newsom, perhaps having convinced himself that in another four years the pendulum will have pivoted back toward liberalism such that a majority of the

country will have come to no longer believe that reducing unbridled immigration is no longer a desirable goal, will once again embrace him as a forward-looking progressive, indeed one they will send, as a result of the November 2028 presidential election into his residency at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue on the evening of November 20, 2029.

Newsom's dream – what many Republicans believe is his delusion – is to establish California as the anti-Trump state alternative within the United States, one that will take on momentum and grow to create a national majority of Democrats.

In doing so, Newsom is desperately seeking to build a rapport with CHIRLA — the Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights in Los Angeles.

In addition to programs which aim at educating those in the country illegally – most particularly California, and even more particularly still. Southern California that they have "rights" under the U.S. Constitution which will allow them to block, or at least slow, the effort to deport them.

At the same time, those on the other side of the divide – Donald Trump supporters and their like – who hold the upper hand politically at the national level if not in California, maintain that those undocumented citizens from countries outside the United States have no such constitutional rights, as they are not U.S. citizens.

Both sides are looking toward a test of which side is correct playing out in court somewhere in California, and soon.

In this way, Newsom, who ethnically is decidedly not Hispanic, intends to ally himself even more fervently with the state's Latinos and cement his legacy as a "non-Latino Latino" in a way that will last beyond his leaving office as governor at the end of 2026. Simultaneously, Newsom is seeking to place his pro-immigration stance and defending against mass deportations into the context of other so-called liberal initiatives, including standing for the perpetuation of lesbian-gay-bisexual-transsexual-queer rights, reversing global warming and climate change and

supporting the reduction in the use of fossil fuels and promoting electric vehicles.

Whether that will give him enough momentum to move into a strong position as a presidential contender in 2028 is a dicey proposition, but one Newsom nevertheless seems determined to pursue.

Meanwhile, perhaps having convinced himself that in another four years the pendulum will have pivoted back toward liberalism such that a majority of the country will have come to believe that reducing unbridled immigration is no longer a desirable goal, Newsom will once again embrace him as a forward-looking progressive, indeed one who will succeed Donald Trump as America's 47th president.

SB Failed To Apply The Intense Focus & Determined Concentration Of Effort To Redress The Homelessness Challenge Once And For All *from front page*

dertaken proceeded all the way to creating housing that was sustainable and would reduce significantly or eliminate the homeless population, the city should not involve itself in such half, quarter, eighth or sixteenth measures. Others said many homeless were in that state by choice and did not want to be housed. Others argued that providing shelters for the drug addicted or alcoholics only enabled them in pursuing their proclivities. Others still asserted that successful homeless assistance programs have no such constitutional rights, as they are not U.S. citizens.

the city.

In many cases, the solutions that were applied involved little more than throwing money at the problem by hiring counselors and specialists who were paid hefty salaries but monopolized money that otherwise might have been utilized for building physical structures in which people could be housed. When the money went away, the counselors and specialists dis as well, leaving no physical quarters for those who yet had no roofs over their heads.

The City of San Bernardino, in particular, was left with a persistent *Continued on Page 12*

Council Ups Sund's Salary By \$13,550 Annually *from page 4*

the council consigliere sent the message that the badmouthing of Sund was to come to an end when he announced that during the evening's closed session, "I can say that the city manager received a favorable evaluation."

Segovia sought to put to rest criticisms leveled at Sund based upon his continuing residence in San Clemente, where he was previously city manager, by saying that he lives, at least during the work week, in Big Bear Lake, where he owns a second house he purchased well before he was hired as city manager. She said he was committed to the community

of Big Bear.

Herrick rejected the concept of their being a "triad" that favored Sund and is keeping him in place.

Herrick praised Sund's "thick skin," saying the job he was doing was "overall excellent," and that "I appreciate the work he does, regardless of the abuse that comes his way every meeting."

Putz said that "There

is a shortage of good people like Erik," and that the average citizen fails to understand that city administrators have to be paid on a scale above that of average workers.

"The [municipal employment] marketplace we are competing against is very tight and if we want someone good, we have to pay," Putz said.

Putz said that what Sund puts up with "is not

easy. I appreciate Erik's willingness to hang in there." The councilman touted the city manager's ability to "sit here quietly and listen to relentless personal attacks and slanderous comments and go through it with grace. I consider his raise combat pay."

Mayor Melnick did not mention any of the negative characterizations that had been made

about Sund.

"One of things I value about Erik is the team he has built and the support he has shown to our employees," Melnick said, indicating she put no stock whatsoever in reports that Sund was overbearing in the manner in which he treats others. "Employees say they value and appreciate his leadership."

Partial Cure To SB Homeless Dilemma? Train & Bus Tickets *from front page*

population of homeless, who found themselves drawn to the city's parks, including 44-acre Secombe Park, 68-acre Perris Hill Park and 14-acre Meadowbrook Park. Four years ago, then three years ago and two years ago, city officials made a concerted effort to push the homeless out of Secombe Park, which included making plans to develop a portion of the park's acreage into an affordable housing project. That did not erase the city's homeless dilemma, as most of those who left Secombe Lake Park migrated to Perris Hill Park and Meadowbrook Park, intensifying the concentration of the destitute there.

City officials sought to apply creative ways of getting the homeless to leave its municipal parks, with varying degrees of success. In February 2023, the city council declared homelessness a local emergency, using that declaration as a dictum calling for relocating the homeless off of public property. In January of this year, Federal Judge Terry Hatter Jr. issued a preliminary injunction preventing the City of San Bernardino from removing unhoused people living in its parks and on the city's sidewalks and public area. Judge Hatter's ruling was made in reaction to a lawsuit filed by the American Civil Liberties Union on behalf of three specific men living in Meadowbrook and Perris Hill Parks who had been forced to

leave in May 2023, at which time, the ACLU claimed, the city violated their constitutional rights by destroying or jettisoning their personal property, including medicine, vital documents medical equipment and tents. In his ruling, Judge Hatter made a finding that the city had done as alleged and he barred the city from removing or displacing unhoused residents and their belongings pending further judicial review of the matter. He said he would consider vacating the order if the city can formulate a binding policy by which it replaces homeless encampments with housing options for those to be displaced. In the summer of 2024, governmental entities were given far greater latitude with how they can treat the homeless. On June 28, 2024, The U.S. Supreme Court entered a ruling in the case of City of Grants Pass v. Johnson, which pretty much erased the protections under the law that the homeless enjoyed which stemmed from both the *Martin v Boise* or the *Robinson v. California*. After the Oregon Law Center filed suit against Grants Pass for enacting a series of anti-camping, anti-sleeping, and parking exclusion ordinances which imposed civil fines ranging from \$295 to \$537.60 and imposed criminal penalties of trespassing on repeat violators who continued to reside on public land and the U.S. District Court in Oregon and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, relying on *Martin v. Boise*, rejected the city government's defense of its ordinances and prevented the city from en-

forcing its anti-camping ordinance against homeless people, the U.S. Supreme Court in its June ruling upheld the city in the leveling of its punishments, fines and temporary bans of camping on public property, stating one-month jail sentences the city imposed were neither cruel nor unusual; that the Grants Pass's anti-camping ordinances were neutrally applied against both the homeless and those who are not homeless and it was not established that the homeless had no place other than parks or parking lots in which to sleep. The upshot was that the Supreme Court held that local governments can ban the homeless from public areas. In early July, California Governor Gavin Newsom, during whose tenure as governor the state, between 2019 and 2021 spent \$9.6 billion trying to alleviate

homelessness and from 2020 onward spent another \$3.7 billion on Project Homekey, a plan to fund local governments in their efforts to combat homelessness, grew acutely frustrated and impatient with the lack of progress in solving the homelessness crisis. He was going to cut off any further state homeless program funding to cities which had not shut down encampments and moved those in them to indoor shelters. Within a fortnight, San Bernardino city officials who for years had been themselves frustrated in being unable to clear the homeless out of both high-profile and low-profile public places, felt as if the constraints, or most of them, had been removed.

In September, Judge Hatter dismissed the ACLU's suit against the city when San Bernardino

officials offered assurances, which the ACLU accepted that in carrying out its homeless camp cleanups, it would not destroy or throw the possessions of those being removed.

The departure of the homeless from the city's parks, however, has not displaced the lion's share of the homeless from San Bernardino altogether, as many have now moved into flood control washes, river banks and dry river beds, beneath freeway overpasses, empty lots and empty buildings, alleyways and the like.

A solution the city is pursuing is paying people to take Greyhound buses from San Bernardino's Greyhound bus terminal or Amtrack from San Bernardino's Amtrack Station to any destination of those people's choosing.

This approach readily works for those who

ended up in San Bernardino after they came here in hopes of an actual or prospective job opportunity which did not pan out or for those who have familial connections in another city or state.

There is concern, however, that city officials might seek to coerce those in San Bernardino to leave to someplace else, even though they have no reasonable alternate living or working arrangements there.

Some San Bernardino officials are enthusiastic about the approach because for a relative pittance - \$35,000 to \$55,000 - there is the real prospect that they can get 700 to 1,000 of the more than 1,400 homeless that are subsisting there to leave for places elsewhere, far less than the more than \$20 million it will take to house fewer than one-fifth that number.

Highway 330 Reopened *from page 5*

personnel.

The closure pertained to cars going both up the mountain from Highland Avenue in Highland to Live Oak Drive just outside of Running Springs and then down the mountain between those two places.

Three days before the closure, contractors hired by the state, began, on September 21, to repair and reconstruct roughly 4.8 miles of burned and damaged pavement, damaged guardrails and signs. It also initiated efforts to clear timber, sand, gravel, rocks and boulders from the drainage system along the road and to repair damage to culverts, drainage ditches and channels. While

there had been hope the road might be reopened by October 20, that did not happen. On October 28 it was announced that the road was to remain closed indefinitely, as the \$9.8 million repair effort, using money was disbursed from the state's emergency project funding, was to be carried out the California Department of Transportation. The closure was far more radical than what most members of the public anticipated.

Caltrans set a target of December 9 for the reopening of the roadway.

It turns out now, however, that the road has been reopened, in time for today's opening of Snow Valley near Running Springs and Saturday's initiation of the ski season at Snow Summit

in Big Bear.

Those heading to the mountain resorts this holiday weekend and into the Christmas season will be able to do so using Highway 330. Nevertheless, the scene as they take the drive up the mountain may put a damper on their festive spirits, as the devastation wrought by Halstenberg is overwhelmingly visually apparent. Much of the green forest is now depressingly burnt black. Still present are the vehicles and machinery employed by Caltrans contractors looking to restore the road. Work includes the removal of dead and dying trees ravaged by the fire, road asphalt, fractured bridges, debris and sediment that came down the mountain slopes with light

rains earlier this season because of the fire's destruction of the underbrush and accompanying erosion, and the spans of still unrepaired guardrails.

According to Caltrans, the project's first phase of repairs are nearing completion. Material to fashion new guardrails has arrived and the first set of repairs are now under way. Artificial temporary dams are in place to protect workers from flash-flooding if a rainstorm develops while the repair work is yet ongoing.

The second phase is to begin next Wednesday, if things go according to schedule. The damaged culverts along the road have now been cleared of debris to allow those repairs to start.