

## Defense Strategy On Councilman Bowman's Alcohol-Related Hit & Run Case Emerging

By Mark Gutglueck

The ultimate impact the alcohol-related hit-and-run incident involving Ontario City Councilman Jim Bowman on Monday, July 8 will have on his political career, now in its 28th year, is unclear, and will likely be dependent upon the thoroughness of detail to be included in the police report of the matter, which has yet to be completed.

That report is to be



Jim Bowman

compiled, the Sentinel has learned, by Traffic Officer Jeff Holcombe before undergoing extensive review by three

command levels of the department, including Chief Mike Lorenz, before being provided to the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office, which is to make a conclusion as to whether to charge Bowman in the incident. That report in the form Holcombe provides is likely to be edited before it goes to the prosecutor's office. Nor will the matter be considered in a vacuum prior to a recom-

mendation being made by Supervising Deputy District Attorney Joe Gaetano as to whether Bowman's action with regard to separate issues rose to the status of a criminal offense. It is anticipated that an attorney representing Bowman will be given the opportunity to provide the prosecutor's office with both medical and factual detail along with video footage and other digital data Bowman

and his supporters maintain indicate that what occurred late Monday afternoon was a medically-related episode that resulted in Bowman temporarily conking out behind the wheel of his pick-up truck rather than the councilman driving under the influence of alcohol.

The digital data, including that to be gleaned from his cell phone and his vehicle's event data recorder, i.e., See P 2

## Latest State Grant Has Regional Transit Officials Regretting Light Rail Project Delay

A subset of San Bernardino County transit officials this week expressed a combination of enthusiasm and dismay at a development that has forced their renewed recognition that the governmental collective devoted to regional transportation issues has as a body consistently squandered an eminently promising prospect of alleviating Southern

California's most vexing commuting challenge.

On Monday, July 8, the California State Transportation Agency earmarked just under \$500 million to be used to extend the Gold Line, what is already the world's longest light-rail passenger extending from its Pacific Ocean terminus in Long Beach nearly to the eastern end of Los Angeles County,

into San Bernardino County. There was also indication given that another installment of \$298 million from the state will be provided to the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority to fund the final phase of the final 3.2-mile extension of the rail line from Pomona to Claremont and across the county line into Montclair being un-



Raymond Wolfe

dertaken by that entity's sub agency, the Foothill Gold Line Construction

Authority.

While virtually all of Los Angeles County's and a good share of San Bernardino County's transportation officials hailed the provision of the funds, the most ardent supporters of the Gold Line in San Bernardino County bemoaned the lack of resolve among the larger collection of transportation officials in See P 2

## Vista Fire Forcing Closures In Mt. Baldy And In Lytle Creek

There is a new area closure order in effect on the San Bernardino National Forest and the Angeles National Forest in response to the Vista Fire.

The Vista Fire began on July 7 in the rustic area near Lytle Creek and remained zero percent contained for the first four days, burning burned through 2,700

acres. This greatly concerned Lytle Creek residents as it became clear firefighters were struggling to limit its spread, though they did succeed in keeping it from progressing too far eastward toward more populated areas, the populated area of Lytle Creek and westward toward Mt. Baldy, with its ski resort.

Several See P 2

## Federal Agents Monitor Potential Chinese Espionage & Chemical Theft In College Science Laboratories

The FBI and the Department of Homeland Security have stepped up their scrutiny of colleges and universities in San Bernardino County and elsewhere to ward off efforts by Communist Chinese agents not only to purloin sensitive chemical engineering data and other sensitive information but to obtain restricted chemicals as

well.

University and college science departments have, generally, unfettered access to chemicals that are considered restricted materials.

In other contexts at universities and colleges elsewhere in the United States, most famously at the University of Florida, Chinese nationals who have matriculated

as students or obtained positions as researchers have purchase more than 10,000 samples of organic chemicals, inorganic chemicals, biochemicals, drugs, toxins and reagents by ordering them on behalf of various campus laboratories and then diverting them to secondary locations, from which they were illicitly shipped See P 3

## Filing Period For Municipal Offices In 22 Cities & Towns Countywide Opens Next Week

The nomination period for 22 of San Bernardino County's 24 municipal races being held on November 5 2024 will open on Monday, July 15. The nomination period is to run until August 9. The time for the August 9 deadline varies from city to city, with some cities accepting papers up until 5 p.m. or 5:30 p.m.; others receiv-

ing them until 4 p.m. or 4:30 p.m. and some imposing a noon deadline. Candidates or would-be candidates should consult the City Hall in their cities to verify the deadline.

The stated deadline above - August 9 - is applicable in those jurisdictions where the incumbent in the office up for election files for

reelection. If nomination papers for an incumbent officer of the city are not filed by August 9, 2024, the voters shall have until the 83rd day before the election, August 14, 2024, to nominate candidates other than the person(s) who are the incumbents on the 88th day before the election, for that incumbent's elective office. This ex-

tension is not applicable where there is no incumbent eligible to be elected.

In the City of Adelanto, two members of the city council are up for election this year.

In the Town of Apple Valley, Larry Cusack's term as councilman in District 1 is ending and he must stand for reelection to remain in office.

Similarly, Art Bishop is up for reelection in District 2.

In Barstow, Mayor Paul Courtney must seek the approval of voters to remain in office. In District 3, incumbent Barbara Rose and in District 4 Marilyn Dyer Kruse are scheduled to run once more.

The city clerk and treasurer posts See P 6

## Patronizing One Another, SBC Board Of Supervisors & State Insurance Commissioner Talk Past The Actual Issues In State's Insurance Policy Crisis

San Bernardino County residents this week were given a close perspective of the political dysfunction that has resulted as a consequence of the sharp partisan divide between their Republican-dominated county governmental structure and the vice-lock Democrats have over California's state government.

At issue, and consequently given short shrift, is the difficulty many California homeowners and businesses, as typified by ones in the desert and mountain areas of San Bernardino County, in obtaining and/or retaining insurance coverage on their homes or business operations, most particularly fire insurance.

Over the last decade wildfires in California have increased dramatically. The number of fires scorching 10,000 acres or more began to rise substantially beginning in 2005. Of the 20 largest wildfires in the Golden State between 1950 and 2023, 18 occurred since 2000. Ten of those eighteen took place in the two-year period of 2020-21.

Consequently, the cost of homeowners or business insurance including fire coverage began a steady increase years ago and then escalated more rapidly still beginning in 2020. See P 3



## Light Rail As The Solution To The Region's Transportation Dilemma Is Obscured By The Historically Poor Ridership Of So-Cal's Slower & More Expensive Commuter Trains *from front page*

their jurisdiction which has manifested over the last five years, preventing what they said was an infusion of state and federal dollars that would have ensured the Gold Line would be extended all the way to Ontario Airport by mid-2028. Because of that lack of resolve, they say, the light rail extension will not make it to the aerodrome until the early-to-mid 2030s, if at all.

At the core of Southern California's, and most particularly, San Bernardino County's, transportation conundrum is the reality that the 545.6-mile public commuter rail system, known as Metrolink, that individuals among the collective of governmental officials overseeing transit issues created in the early 1990s under the aegis of the Southern

California Regional Rail Authority, has, more than three decades after its inception, proven itself to be inadequate in a way that most officials, potential users of the system and the general public consider to be an utter failure.

Though the intent from the outset was to generate a user base for the system that would fill the trains to capacity, such that the ratio of fuel consumption to passenger would be less than that achieved with transporting the same number of people to their various destinations while substantially reducing the number of motorists using the freeway and road system in the region, Metrolink never came close to achieving that goal.

Significantly, the spectacular way in which

Metrolink missed its goal of shifting a significant number of Southern California's commuters off of the freeway system and onto the rail system served to poison entirely, in the minds of not only the commuters themselves but in the vast majority of their political leadership, the concept of commuter rail transportation.

Yet, unrecognized by the vast majority of the population are two fundamental flaws in the design/concept of the Metrolink network. Metrolink was designed to run on a single existing rail line previously built to accommodate freight trains, which runs between Metrolink's various stations. Thus, the diesel-powered Metrolink must share its track with cargo trains that run at four various times per day. Moreover, the Metrolink trains themselves run in both directions on the single track, such that travel in one direction cannot take place during the

same time period that travel is taking place in the opposite direction. Practically speaking with regard to that portion of the Metrolink system running between Los Angeles County to the west and San Bernardino County to the east, this has meant that Metrolink in the morning rush hour offers no eastbound service and in the late afternoon/early evening rush hour offers no westbound service. The shortest time between departures from San Bernardino into Los Angeles is no less than 20 minutes in the morning rush hour and the shortest time between departures from Los Angeles to San Bernardino in the late afternoon/early evening rush hour is no less than 20 minutes. Metro link charges \$10 for a one-way ticket from San Bernardino to Union Station in Los Angeles and \$20 for a round-trip ticket. Consequently, Metrolink is not heavily used, and it does little or nothing to allevi-

### The San Bernardino County

# Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

**Call (951) 567-1936**

**to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips**

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

ate heavy traffic on the freeways into and out of Los Angeles on a daily basis.

Indeed, San Bernardino County residents have long been inured to observing the Metrolink at multiple crossings throughout the county carrying only a handful – fewer than a dozen and as few as one or two – of passengers, despite each car having a 140-rider capacity and the engines typically pulling at least three and more com-

monly four five or six cars.

Conversely, the Gold Line utilizes not a single but dual tracks, one track dedicated to movement in one direction – primarily west – and a second track dedicated to movement in the opposite direction – primarily west. The Gold Line runs from Union Station to Chinatown to Lincoln Heights/Citrus Park to Heritage Park/  
*Continued on Page 4*

## Bowman Apparently Blacked Out Prior To Slamming Into Jawad's Ikea *from front page*

the pickup's so-called "black box," will establish that Bowman did not purposefully leave the scene of the accident, as was indicated in the citation he received after the incident and which formed the basis of media reports to the effect that he had engaged in a hit-and-run, his advocates are preparing to propound.

Already, Bowman's supporters point out that a decision to cite release him rather than formally arrest him and book him into the custody of the sheriff after he was examined at a hospital in the immediate aftermath of the incident is an indication that there was a medical cause to what happened rather than a matter of Bowman having consumed an excessive amount of alcohol.

Nevertheless, certain known details about the mishap, taken together

with Bowman's history of alcohol-related driving offenses and the Ontario Police Department's willingness to ignore Bowman's tendency to frequent drinking establishments within the city and just outside city limits and then drive himself home, has created quite a stir that is likely to make any effort to minimize the seriousness of what occurred extremely problematic for both the police department and the district attorney's office.

According to information conveyed to investigating officers in the aftermath of the incident, Bowman, who resides on Armsley Square at the north end of the city, on Monday afternoon picked his girlfriend, who lives in the section of Ontario south of Mission Boulevard, up and drove her to the Colonias Crossroads commercial center in Upland located off of Campus Avenue where they had a late lunch and shopped at the Target department store. With groceries,

including perishables, in the truck's rear seat compartment, Bowman drove the black pickup back to Ontario, headed south on Euclid Avenue. As they approached Mission Boulevard, Bowman, driving in the middle southbound lane, apparently lost consciousness and his truck hit an Ikea driven by Danie Jawad, who was likewise headed south in the middle lane of the three southbound lanes. The impact whiplashed Jawad and broke her wrist, as she was gripping the Ikea's steering wheel. A four-second duration of footage from a security video shot from within a nearby business at the corner of California Street and Euclid Avenue just north of the intersection of Euclid Avenue and Mission Boulevard obtained by the Sentinel shows Bowman's truck flush against the back of Jawad's blue Ikea, as the Ikea's brakes are locked up and audibly squealing, while Bowman's truck, with its wheel's rolling, is push-

ing the Ikea at what appears to be at or slightly exceeding 30 miles per hour. Bowman is not easily visible as the driver of the truck, nor can his passenger be readily discerned. Throughout the relevant four seconds of the video, both vehicles remain, remarkably, on a straight course in the middle of the middle lane and do not appear to be drifting right or left, fortuitously, as vehicles can be seen stopping and lining up in the left turn lane at Euclid and Mission just to the left of Bowman's pickup. The Sentinel shared the video with seven others, all of whom were left with the impression that the driver of the pickup was oblivious to what was occurring, which logically implies that the driver, i.e., Bowman, had lost consciousness at that point.

At 5:05 p.m., Ontario's emergency dispatch center received the last of multiple 9-1-1 calls, reporting a traffic accident in the area of Euclid Avenue and Mis-

sion Boulevard. Those witnesses consistently stated that two vehicles were involved and that one had left the scene of the accident.

At some point after clearing the Euclid/Mission intersection, Bowman's truck uncoupled from the Ikea. The Ikea at that point pulled to the side of the road while Bowman's pickup continued south on Euclid slightly more than seven-tenths of a mile, at which point he turned west on Locust Street. After moving down the road roughly 500 feet, he pulled over near Laurel Avenue. It was there, at 5:06 p.m., according to the department that Bowman, whom the department described as "the involved party who reportedly left the scene," made a 9-1-1 call received by the Ontario emergency dispatch center. "The caller requested police aid," according to the department, correctly identifying his location as "in the area of Locust Street and Laurel Avenue."

In short order, first one and then two Ontario patrol cars had responded to the location of the Ikea near Euclid and Mission. In less than 15 minutes first two and then a third Ontario Police patrol car, including a traffic investigator, contact Bowman at the Locust and Laurel location. It was at that point that Bowman was identified.

The officers examined Bowman, who was disoriented and unsteady, and his vehicle.

Based on one of the officers' exchange with Bowman's girlfriend, they determined that Bowman's truck had rear-ended the Ikea, which remained at the side of the road near the Euclid and Mission intersection, where other units of the department were engaged.

Shortly after the third officer arrived at around 5:21 p.m., an attorney who had been called by Bowman, arrived at the Locust and Laurel location.

*Continued on Page 5*

**Closures & Evacuations Taking Place In Mt. Baldy & Lytle Creek In Reaction To The Vista Fire** *from front page*

roads, campgrounds, picnic areas, trailheads, and dispersed recreation areas have been closed to the public to provide for firefighter, community and public safety. Recreation activities are prohibited within the closure area. More information about the closure order is available on the national forest websites at <https://www.fs.usda.gov/alerts/sbnf/alerts-notices> and <https://www.fs.usda.gov/alerts/angeles/alerts-notices>.

Due to the favorable weather today, fire crews were able to put in more handline and continued to mop up the edge of the fire. The cooler temperatures and higher humidity helped the firefighters going direct on the fire perimeter and kept the fire south of the 3N06

and 3N06D spur roads.

Tomorrow thunderstorms are expected into the evening with wind gusts up to 20-30 MPH. This weather will present challenges on the fire and test containment lines that are already in place. Given the expected weather conditions the firefighters will stay vigilant through the weekend, monitoring and patrolling the fire line for any spots or new starts.

**Air Quality:**

Visit [AirNow.gov](http://AirNow.gov) for information and actions you can take to protect yourself and loved ones.

**Road Closures:**

Lytle Creek Road is open to residents only north of Glen Helen Parkway.

**Evacuations:**

Mt. Baldy Resort.

Note: In an effort to quickly communicate information on impending dangers, the San Bernardino County Sheriff Department and San Bernardino County Fire

Department send high-speed mass notifications via telephone and text messages. This system is known as the Telephone Emergency Notification System (TENS). To learn more about this service, visit the website for more information: <https://sbc-fire.org/alertwarning/>.

**Closures:**

Closure order in effect on the San Bernardino National Forest and the Angeles National Forest. Several roads, campgrounds, picnic areas, trailheads, and dispersed recreation areas are closed to the public to provide for firefighter, community and public safety. Recreation activi-

ties are prohibited within the closure area. More information about the closure order is available on the national forest websites at <https://www.fs.usda.gov/alerts/sbnf/>



**Helicopters are being brought to bear on the Vista Fire** -Photo by CBS News

[alerts-notices](https://www.fs.usda.gov/alerts/angeles/alerts-notices) and <https://www.fs.usda.gov/alerts/angeles/alerts-notices>.

**Fire Restrictions:**

The San Bernardino

National Forest has implemented increased fire restrictions. Campfires are restricted to those developed areas listed in the Forest Order.

In the initial stages of the Vista Fire, it was highly resistant to control due to extreme terrain, dry fuels, high temperatures, and increased vegetation growth after a wet winter.

Incoming scattered thunderstorms this weekend may bring some moisture and relief for firefighters. However, the potential for gusty outflow winds will test containment lines, and dry lightning strikes

could lead to new fire starts. The incident management team has staged initial attack crews who are ready to augment forest resources and respond to any new starts on the forest.

The increase in acreage into the Cucamonga Wilderness is driven primarily by terrain features, where wind and steep slopes align leading to an increase in fire spread. In the Wilderness firefighters will look for opportunities to use trails and natural barriers to fight the fire and minimize impacts to natural, cultural and heritage resources.

**By Casually Posing As Students & Researchers, Communist Chinese Spies Are Purloining American Chemicals And Derivation Processes** *from front page*

to China.

These activities occurred frequently over a recently-ended seven

year period.

Action against some of these perpetrators

was initiated by the United States Department of Justice, although in some cases, those involved slipped away and successfully returned to China, the FBI has reported.

The effort to prevent further occurrences includes both regular

and random auditing of laboratory purchases as well as electronic surveillance of laboratories, along with human agents monitoring likely circumstances in which highly purified chemicals and drugs are requisitioned.

-Mark Gutglueck

**State Created An Insurance Collective To Cover Homeowners Whose Risk Was Too Great For Traditional Insurance Underwriters** *from front page*

Underwriters evaluate the risks involved in insuring people and assets. Traditionally, they used actuarial data and algorithms, and in the digital age specialized software, to determine the likelihood and magnitude of each risk they would take on, adjusting the costs of the policies accordingly. With the sheer number of fires and the amount of devastation as a result of those fires increasing all over California in recent years, insurance companies found themselves in the 2020-22 timeframe paying out more money, on an annual basis, than they were taking in.

Years ago, state policymakers and legislators, recognizing the sometimes cyclical nature of disasters and anticipating the potential that a string of catastrophic events could result in a crisis in which the entire insurance industry failed, such that insurance compa-

nies might not be able to make payments to claimants or a large number of claimants, took steps to head off such a crisis.

In 1968, following the riots and brush fires that had occurred earlier in that decade, the California Fair Access to Insurance Requirements – FAIR – Plan was established by the California legislature. FAIR became the state's insurer of last resort, providing access to fire coverage for California homeowners unable to obtain it from traditional insurance carriers.

The FAIR Plan offered what was essentially minimal coverage at rates that were typically higher than what was charged by underwriters in the insurance industry. Nevertheless, it was a godsend to those homeowners who or businesses which were considered to be too risky to cover by conventional insurers.

Twenty years later, in

1988, California voters enacted Proposition 103, which established consumer protections designed to keep insurance rates fair and affordable and to ensure a competitive marketplace.

The seemingly endless round of catastrophic wildfires in the late 2010s and early 2020s prompted insurers to request that the state suspend or mitigate many of the limitations and restrictions on insurance companies under Proposition 103. When the state – meaning the governor, the legislature and the California insurance commissioner – did not act on those requests, insurance companies initiated major shifts in their business models, including raising rates to the full extent that they could under Proposition 103 and becoming more and more selective about which homes and businesses they would ensure, refusing in some cases to underwrite policies in high-risk fire areas.

In 2022, American International Group notified thousands of homeowners in California that

its policies would not be renewed following their 2023 expiration. On May 26, 2023 State Farm General Insurance Company and on June 2, 2023, Allstate, California's first and fourth largest insurance carriers, respectively, representing over 27 percent of the admitted insurance market in California, announced they would stop or had stopped issuing new homeowners and commercial property insurance policies in California. Simultaneously and since, several other insurance companies, representing an additional 36 percent of the market, announced plans to limit new policy origination.

The Fair Access to Insurance Requirements Plan, which was already under considerable strain, with the increase in fire destruction in recent years found itself really being put to the test beginning in 2019. At that point 160,497 homeowners throughout the state had coverage through FAIR. Applicants asking to be allowed onto the FAIR rolls came in at an alarm-

ing rate. Whereas previously, inquiries ran between 10 and 20 per day, Monday through Friday, that number zoomed to around 140 per day, five days per week. Enrollment in the Fair Access to Insurance Requirements Plan jumped to 272,846 homes in 2022. It has continued to rise. The prospect that FAIR will collapse of its own weight if the pattern of intensified and increased wildfires continues is real.

In San Bernardino County, the insurance crisis was most acute in its desert and mountain communities. While fire and its destruction was, and remains, the major complicating factor in this regard, the San Bernardino County Mountain communities – both those in the San Bernardino Mountains/San Bernardino National Forest and in the San Gabriel Mountains/Angeles National Forest were hit with an intense blizzard that lasted from February 21 until March 10, 2023, resulting in and estimated \$143 million in damage within the San Bernardino Moun-

tain communities alone and not extending to the damage in the easternmost portion of the San Gabriel Mountains within the area of the Angeles National Forest that lies within San Bernardino County. Many homeowners and property owners in the county's mountain areas filed insurance claims, further exacerbating the crisis within California's insurance industry.

Last month, San Bernardino County officials prepared for the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors' consideration a resolution that cited Proposition 103, the 2022 and 2023 move by 63 percent of California's insurance carriers to stop issuing new policies altogether, discontinue existing policies or severely selectively limit the writing of new policies; the negative impact the inability to get insurance coverage is having on homeowners, business owners, and farmers and the ability to secure mortgages, the impact the situation is having on new housing development; and

*Continued on Page 4*



## San Bernardino County Supervisors Want State Officials To Enact Requirements That Insurance Companies Cover Homeowners & Entrepreneurs Even When Their Homes And Businesses Are Located In High Risk Fire Zones *from page 3*

how the evaporation of the traditional insurance industry is destabilizing the California Fair Access to Insurance Requirements Plan and may eventually cause it to collapse, and called upon the California insurance commissioner, the state legislature, and the governor take emergency action to strengthen and stabilize California's marketplace for homeowners and commercial property insurance.

On Tuesday June 25, 2024, the board considered the resolution and on a motion by Supervisor Curt Hagman, seconded by Supervisor Joe Baca, Jr., and carried the passage of the resolu-

tion unanimously.

The resolution stated that the California Insurance Code, in particular under its sections 1861.01, 1861.05, 1861.055 and 12921.7, gives the state insurance commissioner the reach "to promote the public welfare" and "adopt emergency regulations governing the prior approval process for insurance rate change applications," an authority further contained in and augmented by section 11346.1 of the California Government Code.

Given that set of circumstances, the resolution called upon the insurance commissioner, state legislature, and the

governor "to declare a state of emergency and take immediate emergency regulatory and legislative action to strengthen and stabilize California's marketplace for homeowners insurance and commercial property insurance. In particular, the resolution asked that the state take action to expand coverage choices for all consumers, particularly in underserved areas; improve the efficiency, speed, and transparency of the California Department of Insurance's rate approval process; tailor the rate approval process in a way that would promote a competitive insurance marketplace, including revamping how risk and insurer costs are accounted for; ensuring long-term availability of homeowner and commercial property insurance coverage; and maintain the solvency

of the FAIR Plan by reducing its share of the overall market in underserved areas by moving the customers it serves into policies offered by traditional insurance underwriters.

The resolution stated the county supervisors' concerns that draft regulations adopted by the California Insurance Commissioner will not go into effect at least until 2026, the resolution called upon the California Insurance Commission to act at once to redress the worsening insurance crisis.

The resolution had been drafted by what can be referred to as the Rowe Administration. At present, Third District Supervisor Dawn Rowe is the chairwoman of the board of supervisors. Under San Bernardino County's charter, the chairperson of the board of supervisors has espe-

cial powers and authorities, serving with the county chief executive officer in the capacity of the county's co-regent. In this way, the resolution embodied Rowe's leadership and philosophy, a direct manifestation of her guidance of the county.

Aside from the rather grandiose expectation that state officials in Sacramento can somehow override the free market and consign the various companies within the state's insurance industry to operate at nearly non-existent or non-existent profit margin and more likely at a loss and a consistent loss that would put them individually and collectively out of business, the directions contained in the resolution were unrealistic in other ways. The board of supervisors had seemingly forgotten or plain failed to take into

consideration the outright enmity it has generated with officialdom – that being the controlling political majority – in the state's capital.

California for two decades has been a both solidly and increasingly Blue state. The lone exception to that was the governorship of Arnold Schwarzenegger, who came into office as a consequence of the 2003 recall of the once-popular but rapidly disgraced Democrat Grey Davis, who was undone by his mishandling of the state's energy policy. Despite Schwarzenegger's technical registration status as a Republican, he actually ran successfully twice as a celebrity.

At present, Democrats hold every one of the state's major independently elected political posts outside the legislature, from governor

*Continued on Page 14*

## Wolfe Proved Less Committed To The Gold Line Solution To The County's Transportation Woes Than Had Barmack *from page 2*

Arroyo to the Southwest Museum to Highland Park to South Pasadena to Fillmore to Del Mar to Memorial Park to Lake Street in Pasadena to Allen Street in Pasadena to Sierra Madre Villa to the Gold Line Bridge near Arcadia to Monrovia to Duarte to Irwindale to Downtown Azusa to the Azusa Pacific Campus/Citrus College entrance to Glendora to San Dimas to La Verne to Pomona and ultimately will move to Claremont and then Montclair. A Gold Line ticket costs \$2.20. The Gold Line uses lighter cars and more fuel-efficient engines, with staggered departures and arrivals of as little as every eight minutes. The Gold Line is thus heavily used, and its cars near capacity on virtually every run. A deviation from this usage pattern occurred during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic but has now

ceased.

Shortly after the Gold Line achieved its initial round of success following the completion of its first 13 stations in 2003, a commitment was made to extend the line further eastward, a consensus emerged among officials with the California State Transportation Agency, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, the Gold Line Extension Authority and San Bernardino County Transportation Agency, then known as the /San Bernardino Associated Governments, to ultimately push the Gold Line to Ontario Airport in San Bernardino County and, conceptually, beyond that.

Deborah Barmack, who had been the director of management services with San Bernardino Associated Governments, acceded to the position of executive director in 2007. Barmack, who had been with San Bernardino Associated Governments (SanBAG) since 1990, was thoroughly familiar with transportation issues and recognized the shortcomings with the MetroLink system and the advantages that the light rail approach

to incorporating trains into Southern California's regional transportation system represented. Cognizant of the prospect that the general public and their political leaderships would interpret MetroLink's inability to generate ridership levels significant enough to alleviate the burden on the Southland's freeway system and therefore might give up on a commuter rails system altogether, she labored to keep the SanBAG board apprised of the incremental progress being made by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority in bringing the Gold Line eastward among the Foothill Communities and the ridership levels it was achieving, which dwarfed that of MetroLink. This approach cultivated in a controlling majority of the San Bernardino Associated Governments board members not only an interest in the light rail concept but a vision that the light rail extension into San Bernardino County offered the potential to transfer, by the early 2020s, a substantial enough number of commuters from their cars traveling the freeways onto trains that the wors-

ening gridlock would be abated and those freeways – ones in both San Bernardino County and Los Angeles County – would discontinue being virtual parking lots for two to two-and-a-half hours every weekday during the highest intensity traveling time.

In 2011, Barmack retired, and was temporarily replaced with Ty Schuling. Ultimately, in March 2012, the SanBAG board settled upon hiring Raymond Wolfe, the California Department of Transportation Region 8 director, who oversaw all of Caltrans' activities in San Bernardino and Riverside counties. With a doctorate in civil engineering from the University of Southern California, a Master of Science degree in civil engineering from the California State Polytechnic University in Pomona, and a Bachelor of Science degree in aerospace engineering from the University of Southern California, Wolfe is both a registered civil engineer and registered mechanical engineer. In his professional career he had started out as an aerospace engineer – literally a rocket scientist – with General Dynam-

ics Corporation in Pomona and then Rancho Cucamonga designing and testing missiles. In short order, however, he became involved in more down-to-earth issues relating to transportation, such as building roads and bridges.

Upon moving into the San Bernardino Associated Governments' executive director role overseeing what was then a \$715 million budget, Wolfe was by no means hostile to the light rail concept, which at that point was something that was far enough into the future as to not be something on the SanBAG stove's front burner. Nevertheless, the idea was one of dozens or scores of approaches toward streamlining San Bernardino County citizens' ability to get from here to there that Wolfe was constantly holding in mind at once. It was not Wolfe who was taking the lead on exploring light rail, which was more the province of San Bernardino County's representatives on the Foothill Gold Line Construction Authority Board of Directors. While SanBAG was both a passive and active participant in efforts to

drum up funding for the eventual expansion of the Gold Line eastward, it was following the lead of the board and staff with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority and the Gold Line Extension Authority, which did the heavy lifting in carrying out the applications for state and federal grants or infusions of money to continue with the project. There were multiple small successes achieved in this regard as well as a few major ones.

Under Wolfe, the San Bernardino Associated Governments board remained for a short time enthusiastic enough about the Gold Line extension that it had dedicated \$39 million in available transportation money collected through the county's imposition of a half-cent sales tax override on all retail establishments throughout the county toward the Gold Line project, and it did a joint application with the Los Angeles Metro Transit Agency for a State of California Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program grant. That application was successful and it brought in \$250 million on the

*Continued on Page 7*



## Bowman Was Not Drunk, His Defenders Say, But Fell Victim To A Combination Of Prescription & Non-Prescription Medication That Resulted In His Passing Out Behind The Wheel *from front page*

The officers attending Bowman at that point administered at least one and perhaps two field sobriety checks, using one or perhaps two breathalyzers. The Sentinel is informed that one of the surveys gave an indication that Bowman had an 0.054 blood alcohol content and the other showed he had an 0.05 blood alcohol content, both below the California intoxication threshold of 0.80.

According to the department, the traffic investigator “began a thorough investigation of the incident. Councilman Bowman was determined to be at fault, and was placed under arrest.”

Nevertheless, the breathalyzers’ charting of Bowman’s blood alcohol level as at an intensity well below the level legally designated as, and normally considered to be the point of human intoxication, was taken as an indication that Bowman’s disorientation was most likely not alcohol-induced. This prompted a decision to transport him to Kaiser Hospital, on Vineyard Avenue north of the 60 Freeway, where he was examined in the emergency room for stroke, seizure or a cardiac episode. Coincidentally, Jawad, too had been transported to Ontario Kaiser Hospital, where she was examined and treated for what was variously described as a broken arm or fractured wrist.

While Bowman was cited for driving under the influence and leaving the scene of an accident, there was a sufficient degree of ambiguity with regard to what had triggered the accident and his immediately subsequent behavior, with the suggestion that Bowman’s loss of consciousness/gross inattention behind the wheel was as likely to have been medically-related than triggered by excessive alcohol consumption.

Consequently, pursuant to Penal Code § 849(b)1, he was cite-released from the hospital rather than booked into West Valley Detention Center.

Penal Code § 849(b)1 allows a police officer to cite release an arrested person from custody if the officer has grounds to believe that there might be insufficient facts to support a criminal complaint against the arrestee.

According to information obtained by the Sentinel, Bowman, who was initially at the scene of the arrest incoherent and disoriented, grew gradually more lucid after being transported to the hospital, where he was examined within that facility’s emergency ward.

There, he told the police officers and medical personnel that he had been diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease more than two years ago, for which he had been prescribed medication. Recently, within the last two to three weeks, Bowman told the investigators and hospital personnel, his physician had increased the dosage of his Parkinson’s medication. Further, Bowman described having utilized the over-the-counter medication NyQuil earlier that day because he had caught a cold.

NyQuil’s active ingredients consist of 10 percent alcohol; 15 milligrams per 15 milliliters of the cough suppressant Dextromethorphan; 12.5 milligrams per 30 milliliters of the antihistamine/hypnotic Doxylamine succinate; and 10 milligrams per 30 milliliters of the nasal decongestant Phenylephrine.

Bowman also acknowledged having drunk a single 12-ounce beer during his lunch with his girlfriend that afternoon.

Bowman’s girlfriend had called Uber to make her way home from the Locust and Laurel loca-

tion. Bowman’s attorney had driven to Kaiser Hospital and was present during his examination by the emergency room personnel and physicians and his questioning by the police officers and investigators.

The entirety of the circumstance, including his existing medical condition, his use that day of both his prescription and non-prescription medication, his loss of consciousness and the consideration that his blood alcohol level did not exceed the legal limit constituted a reason to release Bowman at that point into the custody of his attorney.

Still, according to the police department, “Councilman Bowman remains under investigation for being in violation of VCV 23153[G] – driving under the influence and VC 20001[A] hit and run with injuries. The case will be submitted to the San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office for independent review.

According to his defenders, the incident can best be described as a physiological reaction to the increase in his prescription medication coupled with use of a non-prescription medication in conjunction with the beer. The fact that his blood alcohol level was below the legal threshold for intoxication as defined by Vehicle Code § 23152(a), which makes it “unlawful for a person who is under the influence of any alcoholic beverage to drive a vehicle.” Under Vehicle Code § 23152(b), “It is unlawful for a person who has 0.08 percent or more, by weight, of alcohol in his or her blood to drive a vehicle.”

In this way, according to Bowman’s defenders, he was not driving while intoxicated or under the influence. Furthermore, they say, his rear-ending of Jawad’s Ikea came about as a direct consequence of his medical episode, which included his having blacked out. Bowman’s having continued driving away from the scene of the accident occurred, they

said, because he did not realize what had taken place. That he had himself phoned 9-1-1 from the Locust and Laurel location after he regained consciousness and was told by his girlfriend about the collision demonstrated he had no intent to flee the scene of the accident. This was consistent, they said, with his statements to the police officers that he had no recollection of the accident.

For some, that narrative is too convenient and not in keeping with elements of the accident. That Bowman lost consciousness prior to and throughout the accident, which included the front of his pickup truck being in contact with the back of Jawad’s vehicle for a duration of more than 20 seconds during which his truck was propelling the Ikea forward for more than a sixteenth of a mile without either the truck or the car coming into contact with another vehicle, a curb or a number of structures surrounding the roadway seems extraordinary if not altogether unlikely. Similarly, the assertion that Bowman remained unconscious throughout the ordeal does not satisfactorily explain how he was able to uncouple the front of his truck from the back end of Jawad’s car and then maneuver around it to continue southward on Euclid, all the while managing to avoid coming into contact with any other vehicles, the curb or surrounding objects.

It has been known generally and specifically around Ontario for decades that Bowman has had recurrent, indeed consistent, issues with alcohol. He was displaced from his position as fire marshal with the Foothill Fire District in the 1980s when he was arrested for driving under the influence in a Foothill Fire District vehicle in Huntington Beach, 56 miles outside the boundary of the Foothill Fire District. As a consequence of that incident, Bowman left the employ of the Foothill Fire District and was hired by the Ontario Fire

Department, which necessitated that he leave the Ontario City Council, to which he had first been elected in 1986. Subsequently, Bowman rose through the ranks with the fire department, and in 1990, again ran for the Ontario City Council. Under California law, an elected official with a city or public agency cannot be hired into a staff position with the entity he/she oversees in his/her elected capacity. But because, presumably, voters know or at least have the opportunity to know how a candidate for office is employed, a city or public agency employee can run for elective office overseeing the city or agency that employs him/her. Bowman was successful in his 1990 run for the Ontario City Council and was re-elected in 1994. In 1998, he opted out of running again for the council when he accepted a promotion to fire chief. In 2003, while he was yet serving in the capacity of Ontario fire chief, he was arrested in Upland and charged with driving under the influence.

In 2004, he retired from the Ontario Fire Department after 35-and-a-half years as firefighter. He subsequently accepted a year-long assignment to serve as Upland’s interim fire chief.

In 2006, he again returned to politics, and was elected to his third stint as an Ontario councilman. He was reelected in 2010, 2014, 2018 and 2022.

Throughout his current tenure as councilman, Bowman has been seen in public in Ontario at various venues consuming alcohol in substantial quantities. One of his favorite haunts until it closed a few years ago was Wingnuts, a combination restaurant/bar formerly located at 1520 North Mountain Avenue. Bowman was frequently seen there, on occasion so intoxicated that he was staggering when on his feet. Wingnuts provided him with the advantage of being located immedi-

ately proximate to Sixth Street, onto which he was able to turn directly from that establishment’s parking lot and then travel eastward for just over three-quarters of a mile, followed by two quick turns to arrive at his home. There have been reports that on multiple occasions within the City of Ontario over the last two decades Bowman has been stopped by Ontario police officers when he was behind the wheel in a state of inebriation and that the officers opted to take him home without effectuating his arrest.

In the immediate aftermath of what had occurred Monday, the Ontario Police Department appeared to be attempting to keep word of and information about Bowman’s arrest under wraps. The department on Tuesday and Wednesday stonewalled the Sentinel’s efforts to confirm a report it had received that Bowman was involved in an alcohol-related hit and run. While it confirmed that a traffic accident had taken place near Euclid and Mission, it would provide no detail as to the parties involved. Informed by a reliable source that an arrest had indeed been made, the Sentinel redoubled its efforts to obtain documentation to that effect but was rebuffed.

One individual with the city stated that he had strong suspicions that the documentation relating to the incident is being purposefully withheld. The Sentinel was told that other news organizations initially experienced similar responses, but that after the sheer number of inquiries mounted, a command decision was made within the department to disclose what had occurred.

It was bruited about the Ontario community that within the police department, with regard to the Bowman incident, there had developed a sharp divide among the officers who were cognizant of Monday’s event. According

*Continued on Page 6*

**Do You Have Information Of Public Interest? Call the *Sentinel* at (951) 567 1936 The *Sentinel* is always looking for information to inform our readership and keep our readers abreast of newsworthy developments.**

**The *Sentinel* devotes itself to what is happening in and around San Bernardino County. Social events, political news, issues pertaining to education, medicine, industry, commerce, development, real estate, history, culture and entertainment are of interest to us.**



# San Bernardino County **Sentinel**

News of Note  
from Around the  
Largest County  
in the Lower  
48 States

**Let us help you make our readers aware of what is happening in your corner of the world.**

**Do you have a news tip? Don't hesitate to pick up the phone or drop us a line at [sbcsentinel@yahoo.com](mailto:sbcsentinel@yahoo.com) to alert us to that fascinating tidbit.**

### **Those Who Would Run For City Offices Need To File Nomination Papers Over The Next Three Weeks** *from front page*

in Barstow are also up for election this year.

In Big Bear Lake, the District 1 council position now held by Kendi Segovia and the District 5 council post occupied by Bynette Mote are up for election.

In Chino, the District 1 and District 4 council positions, held respectively by Chris Flores and Karen Comstock, and the mayor's gavel, now held by Eunice Ulloa, are to be contested this year.

In Chino Hills, two seats on the council are up for election this year, the one in District 3 held by Art Bennett the one in District 5 occupied by Cynthia Moran.

In Fontana the District 2 council post, held by Jesse Sandoval, and

the District 3 council position, held by Peter Garcia, will be contested this year.

In Grand Terrace, the council positions now held by Jeff Allen, Kenneth Henderson and Michelle Sabino are up for election this year.

In Hesperia, elections will be held in Districts 1, 4 and 5, where the council posts are now held by Rebekah Swanson, Brigit Bennington and Larry Bird.

In Montclair the council positions held by Tenice Johnson and Benjamin Lopez are up for election this year.

In Needles, the council positions held by Tona Belt, Kirsten Merritt and Ellen Campbell are up for consideration.

In Ontario, the council positions held by Ruben Valencia and Debra Dorst-Porada are to be contested.

The city clerk and city treasurer posts are up for election in Ontario this year.

In Rancho Cucamonga, Districts 1 and 4, po-

sitions in which Ashley Stickler and Lynn Kennedy serve, are to be on the ballot this year.

Likewise, in Redlands Districts 1 and 4 are to be contested, such that Eddie Tejada, who is currently the city's appointed mayor, and Jenna Guzman-Lowery will need to run for reelection to remain in office.

The Redlands city clerk and treasure posts are at stake in this year's election as well.

In Rialto, Mayor Deborah Robertson is due to run for reelection if she wishes to remain in that post. That is also the case with council members Rafael Trujillo and Andy Carrizales.

Rialto's treasurer and city clerk positions are up for election this year, too.

In Twentynine Palms, the city's voters are due to make selections this year as to who will serve over the next four years in the District 1 and District 2 posts, now held by Steven Bilderain and Joel Klink.

In Upland the mayor's position and the First District council post are set for an electoral go-round in November, which will determine if Bill Velto will remain and Shannan Maust, respectively, will remain in office.

The Upland treasurer is also up for election in 2024.

In Victorville, voters will determine who will serve in the capacities of the city's 1st, 3rd and 5th district council members, such that incumbents Elizabeth Becerra, who is currently the city's appointed mayor, along with Councilwoman Blanca Gomez and Councilwoman Leslie Irving will have the opportunity to see if they can retain their council positions.

In Yucaipa, voters in District 3, where Bobby Duncan is the incumbent councilman, those living in District 4, who are currently represented by appointed Mayor Justin Beaver, and District 5, who are Jon Thorp's

constituents, are due to select their council members for the next four years in November.

Yucca Valley voters in Districts 2 and 4 are to determine who will represent them over the coming four-year term, with incumbents Jeff Drozd and Robert Lombardo potentially seeking reelection.

Loma Linda holds its municipal election in conjunction with the primary election. This year, former Councilman Ovidiu Popescu edged incumbent Councilman Bhavin Jindal in District 1, while newcomer Rhonda Spencer-Hwang convincingly outdistanced incumbent John Lennart in District 4 and incumbent Rhodes Rigsby turned back Juan Belliard's electoral challenge in District 5.

In San Bernardino, the primary election for this year's electoral cycle was held on the March 5, the day of this year's primary. Incumbent Juan Figueroa emerged victorious in the Third

Ward and Mario Flores won in the Sixth Ward race. Henry Nickel and Kim Knaus qualified for a run-off race in the Fifth Ward by collecting the most votes but less than a majority, as was the case in the Seventh Ward, with Treasure Ortiz now scheduled to run against Jim Penman in November.

In San Bernardino County municipal races, would-be candidates must submit nomination papers accompanied by the valid signatures of a specified number of registered voters within the jurisdiction they are running in. The nomination papers must be in order upon their presentation to the city clerk, who examines them and determines whether they are sufficient. For that reason, it is recommended that office hopefuls submit that paperwork well in advance of the deadline, so that any inadequacies in the filings can be cured and resubmitted prior to the deadline.

### **Some Cops Favored Cutting Bowman A Break & Others Didn't** *from page 5*

to that account, the city's higher-ups had sought to minimize the matter and the department's officers were pressured to bury the matter as deep as it could be buried. It was related that some or even a majority of the department's officers were on

board with doing just that, as they recognize that by acceding to the preference of the controlling majority of the city council and the senior echelon of city administration, the city's police officers would remain in the vaunted position of being employed by the best paid law enforcement agency in San Bernardino County, in which positions they were to get along by going along. Nevertheless, the Senti-

nel was informed, a few "Pollyanna" types within the department felt Councilman Bowman should be held accountable for what he had done, despite his powerful position and political primacy in Ontario. They, it was said, wanted the department to follow through on having him answer for having engaged in a hit-and-run in which Jawad was injured. As a consequence, it was said, some degree

of unrest/distrust had developed within the police department.

Neither Ontario City Manager Scott Ochoa nor Bowman responded to email and telephone inquiries relating to the matter. Mayor Paul Leon did respond to say that he had been provided with no information about the incident, but said he had been kept in the dark because he was undergoing medical treatment at the time and for that reason

was not in contact with city officials and Ochoa in particular.

It was conveyed to the Sentinel that city officials want to prevent Jawad's attorney, Armand D. Thurston, from obtaining information about the active or passive efforts of the Ontario Police Department in recent years to allow Bowman to drive while intoxicated on the city's streets, as establishing this pattern of conduct

could intensify the city's liability in relation to any legal action she is to take regarding her injury.

Councilwoman Debra Dorst-Porada, who has been on the council since 2008 and has proven out to be Bowman's most loyal ally on the council as well as one of his closest friends, rallied to his defense in the aftermath of his arrest. Her effort on his behalf, however, was highly *Continued on Page 16*



## San Bernardino County Transportation Agency Board Members Utilized Limited Measure I Funding For Local Road & Street Improvements Rather Than A Light Rail Line-Based Comprehensive Regional Freeway Gridlock Remedy *from page 4*

Los Angeles County side, which made a significant but not complete inroad on the \$850 funding deficit that jurisdiction had for extending the track all the way to the San Bernardino County border, and provided another \$41 million of the then-projected \$80 million cost for the San Bernardino County portion of the projected expense on the eastern side of the Los Angeles County/San Bernardino County border to get the line to Montclair.

In 2017, the concept of extending the Gold Line well into San Bernardino County received a substantial boost when the International Olympic Committee committed to holding the 2028 Olympics in Los Angeles for what would be the third time, after the 1932 and 1984 Olympiads. This gave a tremendous incentive to construct the Gold Line all the way to Ontario Airport by the opening of the games, which would allow national and international attendees to travel into and out of both Los Angeles International Airport and Ontario Airport without experiencing traffic jams and other complications. It was anticipated that in the promotion of the Olympics and the effort to put on an international spectacle to match or top what is to be available in Paris this year, Tokyo in 2020, Rio De Janeiro in 2016, London in 2012, Beijing in 2008, Athens in 2004, Sydney in 2000, Atlanta in 1996, Barcelona in 1992, Seoul in 1988, Los Angeles in 1984, Montreal in 1986, Mexico City in 1968, Tokyo in 1964, Rome in 1960, Melbourne in 1956, Helsinki in 1952, London in 1948, Berlin in 1936, Los Angeles in 1932, Amsterdam in 1928, Paris in 1924, Antwerp in 1920, Stockholm in 1912, London in 1908 and Athens in 1896. As

such, it was hoped, indeed even positively indicated, state and federal funding that otherwise might not be available would be rapidly forthcoming to effectuate the acquisition of the needed right-of-way and speed the construction of the line.

The Gold Line's move into San Bernardino County, however, was threatened by its sheer expense. Other competing transportation projects required funding, representing a drain on available revenues. As the Gold Line on the Los Angeles County side was continuing apace in its movement eastward, the time had come for preparations for the extension of the rails from the county line eastward into the first stop, the Montclair Transit Center. It was estimated the cost of that span would come to \$73 million. When the project went out to bid, however, it turned out the actual cost of building the line from Claremont to Montclair would not contain itself to the \$73 million projection or a later \$80 million estimate, but had escalated to \$96 million.

The resolve to continue with the Gold Line extension was being tested. Utilizing financial resources for the train meant that other projects – many of which represented only a fraction of the overall cost of the Gold Line – would not be funded any time soon. Virtually all of the other projects were important to the communities where they were to be located. And each of those communities was represented by two of the members of the SanBAG board or what by that point had been the SanBAG board. San Bernardino Associated Governments had by that point just undergone a rebranding. In recognition that SanBAG's major function was serv-

ing as San Bernardino County's transportation agency, it was given the straightforward name of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency, thereby substituting the acronym SBCTA for SanBAG. The 29-member SBCTA governing board consisted of either a mayor or city/town council member from each of the county's 24 municipalities along with all five members of the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors.

In this way, Wolfe from the outset had been and remained answerable to 29 separate political masters, each of whom had their own personal and separate jurisdictional priorities along with their collective responsibility toward maintaining the regional transportation system.

Wolfe is an impressive and formidable personage, on multiple levels. Physically, he has features that most other men can only envy. Matinee idol handsome with a manly presence and natural air of authority, he inspires confidence even before speaking and exudes seriousness that wards off frivolousness and opposition. Internally, he has cultivated a level of skill and ability that matches the responsibility he has assumed.

But as is often the case in life, what starts out as an advantage or in one sense is an overwhelming advantage, when applied across the board turns into a disadvantage.

Wolfe's superior engineering skill and competence in solving real world practical problems, which far outruns that of his political masters on the SBCTA board, is not matched by his ability to moderate the separate, insular, conflicting and competing selfish interests of those political directors who have geographically different constituencies to answer to. As is often the case elsewhere with transportation problems throughout California, the United States and the world, the regional

transportation dilemma in Southern California boils down to a commuting bottleneck in one specific area, or more appropriately described in this case, through an extended set of areas, those being the stretches of the 210, 10 and 60 freeways running east and west which convey the residential population to the east – the Inland Empire or San Bernardino and Riverside counties – to the job rich and employment intensive areas of Los Angeles and Orange counties – the central Los Angeles metropolitan area – in the morning and then allow that mobile population to leave work and return home in the late afternoon and early evening.

Wolfe's talent consists of conceiving of, then engineering and ultimately constructing the transportation infrastructure and facilities to facilitate that travel. To apply and actuate that talent requires funding – money, hard cold cash – which is not Wolfe's forte. He is dependent on his political masters to provide him and the agency he heads with the wherewithal to carry out those programs he and his staff have designed to meet both the overarching regional and individual and isolated transportation needs of San Bernardino County's residents. While perhaps he should be the one entrusted with prioritizing which of those needs are to be funded or funded first, that is not how the system works. That prioritization is the function of his political masters, each of whom must answer to the voters who put them in office, who must live in their own neck of the woods and drive on their own city's or town's streets and roads. Those residents are the ones paying the freight – who are subject to the half-cent Measure I sales tax they improved originally in 1989 and then renewed for four decades in 2004 to cover the cost of road and street improvements throughout the county.

Each local street project, to be sure, is impor-

tant in its own context and will prove to be of undoubted use to those residents as they drive about their own communities. What is lost, however, by putting Wolfe in the position of having to please 29 masters with their picayune and peculiar wants and needs is that he has become so engaged in saving or enhancing the litany of smaller projects he is being asked to accommodate in so many divergent areas that the larger and more comprehensive regional traffic solutions have been put into peril. Diverting a portion of available money to this span of road here, an underpass there, a bridge somewhere else, a widening in that spot, a bypass, a grade separation, a realignment where it is needed, a freeway on-ramp and freeway off-ramp, a new freeway interchange and the like has eaten up the available funding that, if pooled and then matched with state grants and federal augmentations, would allow the county to meet, it is now clear, the goal of extending the Gold Line all the way from Claremont at the San Bernardino County border to Ontario Airport by 2028.

When faced with the choice between pleasing more than 20 of those to whom he had to answer to remain in his \$528,799.32 annual total compensation position by making sure that each's pet project came to fruition or utilizing his considerable authority and force of personality to entreat them to sacrifice what appealed to them in their individual local venues to achieve a larger and more pervasive good in a regional context, Wolfe shrunk from the task. Under his watch, dozens of minor and useful tasks and projects of varying and sometimes impressive significance have been accomplished, while what should have been his priority, the primary goal of eliminating the gridlock on the Southern California Freeway System that consumes billions of hours of South-

ern California residents' lives every year, has not been achieved.

In 2019, with SBCTA faced with financial constraints, Wolfe considered how the Gold Line was not the first, second or even third most important transportation project for a majority of the agency's board members. Calculating that the majority would not object to walking from the project and that the minority of board members who would protest would be insufficient to countermand his recommendation to do just that, Wolfe boldly announced that the county was forsaking the light rail initiative.

Toward the close of the September 4, 2019 meeting of the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority's board, Wolfe said, "I'm going to come back to you through committee next month and hopefully to the board in November with a recommendation that we throw in the towel" on the Gold Line undertaking. Wolfe made good on that at the authority's October 2019 transit committee meeting. The transit committee is composed of representatives from the cities of Big Bear Lake, Chino Hills, Colton, Fontana, Highland, Montclair, Ontario, Rancho Cucamonga, Rialto, Yucaipa and the Third Supervisorial District. Wolfe's proposal called for dispensing with constructing the new Gold Line Track into San Bernardino County altogether and to instead have Gold Line passengers heading eastward from Los Angeles or the San Gabriel Valley load onto another train at the Claremont Station which will run on the existing MetroLink track. That system was to use so-called diesel multiple unit trains in a what he said was a "hybrid" plan which he dubbed the "Gold Link." At the October 10, 2019 transit committee meeting, Wolfe laid out his game plan to put the kibosh on the Gold Line

*Continued on Page 15*



**Public Notices**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CIVBA 2400346  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

Petitioner SUSAN ELLEN BRIGHT filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: SUSAN ELLEN BRIGHT to JEAN HELEN MURRIETTA

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:  
Date: August 6, 2024  
Time: 1:30 p.m.  
Department: B1

Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino Barstow District

The address of the court is 235 East Mountain View Street Barstow, CA 92311

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

James R. Baxter  
Judge of the Superior Court.

Filed: June 11, 2024 by Sarah Mishoe, Deputy Court Clerk

Susan Ellen Bright  
15564 Tenth St.  
Victorville, CA 92395  
(760) 590-3277

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 21 & 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

**Public Notices**

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 21 & 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

FBN 20240005402  
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

MEN'S MINISTRY MISSIONARY SOLDIERS 265 E MERRILL AVENUE RIALTO, CA 92376; JEAN L DANGERVIL [and] DONOVAN DAWKINS

Business Mailing Address: 265 E MERRILL AVENUE RIALTO, CA 92376

The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: June 10, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DONOVAN DAWKINS, General Partner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/11/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J6748

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 21 & 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

FBN 20240005658  
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ZIADE MARKETING AND CONSULTING 8270 ASPEN AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; ISRA ZIADE

Business Mailing Address: 16379 EAST PRESERVE LOOP UNIT 1891 CHINO, CA 91708

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: May 1, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ISRA ZIADE

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/21/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J2522

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 21 & 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:  
JAMES CARL HEIMERICKS Case NO. PROVA2400539

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JAMES CARL HEIMERICKS A PETITION FOR PROBATE has

**Public Notices**

been filed by BENJAMIN CARL HEIMERICKS in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority., BENJAMIN CARL HEIMERICKS be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F1 at 09:00 AM on 08/14/2024 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Probate Division

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Antionette Jauregui:  
1894 Commercenter W Ste 108 San Bernardino CA 92408-3310  
Telephone No: 909-890-2350

Published in the SBCS Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

**Public Notices**

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: GORDON TOD LARSON aka TOD LINN LARSON CASE NO. PROVA2400543

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of GORDON TOD LARSON aka TOD LINN LARSON: a petition for probate has been filed by ANGELA M LANCASTER in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANGELA M LANCASTER be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 30, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335  
Filed: JUNE 20, 2024

Arica Tobias, Deputy Court Clerk.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Angela M Lancaster:

R. SAM PRICE  
SBN 208603  
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 454 Cajon Street REDLANDS, CA 92373  
Phone (909) 328 7000  
Fax (909) 475 9500  
sam@pricelawfirm.com  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

**Public Notices**

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: EZEQUIEL MARTINEZ JR CASE NO. PROVA2400562

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of EZEQUIEL MARTINEZ JR : a petition for probate has been filed by LINO MARTINEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that LINO MARTINEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held August 12, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335  
Filed: JUNE 24, 2024

Arica Tobias, Deputy Court Clerk.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Lino Martinez:

R. SAM PRICE  
SBN 208603  
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 454 Cajon Street REDLANDS, CA 92373  
Phone (909) 328 7000  
Fax (909) 475 9500  
sam@pricelawfirm.com  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

**Public Notices**

LIEN SALE

Notice is hereby given that personal property in the following units will be sold at public auction pursuant to Sections 21701-21716 of the California Self-Service Storage Facility Act. A public lien sale will be conducted by www.storage-treasures.com on the 19th day of July 2024, at or after 10:00 am. The property is stored by AAA All American Storage Fontana located at 14918 Foothill Blvd, Fontana, CA 92335. Purchases must be made in CASH ONLY. Items are sold AS IS WHERE IS and must be removed at the time of sale. AAA All American Storage Fontana reserves the right to refuse any bid or cancel auction. The items to be sold are generally described as follows: miscellaneous personal and household goods stored by the following persons:

- | Unit | Name                      |
|------|---------------------------|
| D059 | Mercer II, Kevin Dwayne   |
| B049 | Bravo, Sylvia             |
| F051 | Diaz Hernandez, Edgar     |
| D112 | Ornelas, Suchinda         |
| C01  | Cox, Norman               |
| E03  | Baird, Christopher        |
| C058 | Craig, Charles            |
| F098 | Jones, Roy Lorenzo        |
| E053 | Villalobos, Victor        |
| F133 | Causey, Samuel            |
| B050 | Elizalde-Aguilar, Cynthia |
| B01  | Icamen, Brian             |
| C038 | Mendivil, Brenda          |
| C014 | Paniagua, David           |

Dated: 07/02/2024

Signed: Jonathan Gossett storage-treasures.com

Sales subject to prior cancellation in the event of settlement between Owner and obligated party. Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 5 and July 12, 2024.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CIV SB 2420032  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

Petitioner ELBA PELAYO and GUSTAVO ROMAN filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: GENESIS ROMAN to GENESIS PELAYO-ROMAN [and]

EMMANUEL ROMAN to EMMANUEL PELAYO-ROMAN [and]

BELLA ANAHI ROMAN to BELLA ANAHI PELAYO-ROMAN

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:  
Date: August 5, 2024  
Time: 8:30 a.m.  
Department: S24

Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Gilbert G. Ochoa  
Judge of the Superior Court.

Filed: June 24, 2024 by Ariel Barajas, Deputy Court Clerk

Elba Pelayo and Gustavo Roman  
503 North Elderberry Ave. Ontario, CA 91762  
(951) 783-8593  
elbapelayousa@gmail.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

**Public Notices**

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

FBN 20240005757  
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

JOULE CONSTRUCTION 7252 ARCHIBALD AVENUE #1022 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701; JOULE ELECTRICAL CONSTRUCTION LLC 7252 ARCHIBALD AVENUE #1022 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

Business Mailing Address: 7252 ARCHIBALD AVENUE #1022 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 202462212175.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ KYLE W URRUTIA, Managing Member

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/25/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J6748

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

FBN 20240005692  
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

DYNAMIC SPA 1955 E FOURTH ST ONTARIO, CA 91764; YUEQING INC 1955 E FOURTH ST ONTARIO, CA 91764

Business Mailing Address: 1955 E FOURTH ST ONTARIO, CA 91764

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: July 12, 2021.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ LI ZHU, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/24/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J2522

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be



## Public Notices

filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

FBN 20240005555

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ACV ELECTRIC  
9563 LANGSTON ST RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; ANTHONYPYRE INC 9563 LANGSTON ST RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Business Mailing Address: 9563 LANGSTON ST RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ANTHONY VELASQUEZ, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/20/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy D9505

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

FBN 20240005669

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC SUPPLY 4563 STATE ST MONCLAIR, CA 91763; CLARE E. TEDDER ELECTRIC SUPPLY CO. 4563 STATE ST MONCLAIR, CA 91763

Business Mailing Address: PO BOX 2218 MONCLAIR, CA 91763

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: October 27, 1976.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ CHRISTINE TEDDER, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/21/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

FBN 20240004813

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

INTERNATIONAL AUTOS 148 E BASELINE STREET SUITE C-10 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; CAPSULA CORPORATION 2100 S LARK ELLEN AVE 90 WEST COVINA, CA 91792

Business Mailing Address: 148 E BASELINE STREET SUITE

## Public Notices

C-10 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 5426306.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JOHN KENNEDY MUNOZ ROSARIO, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 5/20/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2523

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

### NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARGARET MARY DOYLE

CASE NO. PROV2400274

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARGARET MARY DOYLE: a petition for probate has been filed by LINDY ANN CARRILLO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that LINDY ANN CARRILLO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 30, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Victorville District

Department V-12 - Victorville  
14455 Civic Drive  
Victorville, CA 92392  
Filed: JUNE 25, 2024

Branden Arenas, Deputy Court Clerk.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal represen-

## Public Notices

tative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Lindy Ann Carrillo  
22710 Eton Drive.  
Grand Terrace, CA 92313  
Phone (909) 273-3553

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 5, 12, 19, 2024.

SUMMONS - (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) CIV SB 2316403 NOTICE TO NICOLE HANDRINOS aka NICOLE HOMME; WILLIAM HANDRINOS; and DOES, I through 50, inclusive YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West 3rd St, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0212, Branch Name: San Bernardino Justice Center The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

NAZILA Y. LEVY, Esquire  
LEVY & NOURAFCHAN  
9454 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 500  
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212  
Phone: (310) 274-9993  
Fax: (310) 888-3255  
DATE (Fecha): JULY 19, 2023  
Clerk (Secretario), by Abriana Rodriguez  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 5, 12, 19 & 26, 2024.

FBN 20240004813  
The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as  
INTERNATIONAL AUTOS 148 E BASELINE STREET SUITE C-10 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; CAPSULA CORPORATION 2100 S LARK ELLEN AVE 90 WEST COVINA, CA 91792  
Business Mailing Address: 148 E BASELINE STREET SUITE C-10 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410  
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 5426306.  
The registrant commenced

## Public Notices

to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JOHN KENNEDY MUNOZ ROSARIO, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 5/20/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2523

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5, 12 & 19, 2024.

## Public Notices

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

LOPEZ MOBILE NOTARY 4853 SAN BERNARDINO STREET MONTCLAIR, CA 91763; LORO ENTERPRISES, LLC 4853 SAN BERNARDINO STREET MONTCLAIR, CA 91763

Business Mailing Address: PO BOX 141 MONTCLAIR, CA 91763

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 201812810606.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: June 10, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ BENJAMIN LOPEZ, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: June 12, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J6748

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 14, 21 & 28 and July 5, 2024.

FBN 20240006039

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

WAX THETICS BY MAY 5024 COTTONTAIL WAY FONTANA, CA 92336; MAYLIN G. REQUE-NA

Business Mailing Address: 5024 COTTONTAIL WAY FONTANA, CA 92336

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MAYLIN G. REQUE-NA, Owner

## Public Notices

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 7/05/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy K1583

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 12, 19, 26 & August 2, 2024.

FBN 20240006200

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

TIAMSON, INC. MOBILE DRS. 10570 FOOTHILL BLVD. SUITE #220 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; TIAMSON, INC - A PROFESSIONAL NURSING CORPORATION 10570 FOOTHILL BLVD. SUITE #220 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Business Mailing Address: 10570 FOOTHILL BLVD. SUITE #220 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 3836645.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ SHYLEE TIAMSON, CEO

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 7/08/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J7527

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 12, 19, 26 & August 2, 2024.

FBN 20240004180

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

BLACK THUMB INK [and] THE PLEIN COLLECTIVE [and] THE PLEIN FOUNDATION [and] THE SHORT DOCTOR 8358 JENNET STREET ALTA LOMA, CA 91701; PETER K CAMPBELL

Business Mailing Address: 8358 JENNET STREET ALTA LOMA, CA 91701

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

## Public Notices

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ PETER K. CAMPBELL

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 5/02/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2523

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 3, 10, 17 & 25, 2024. Corrected on July 12, 19, 26 and August 2, 2024.

FBN 20240006133

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

TOYOTA ARENA 4000 E. ONTARIO CENTER PARKWAY ONTARIO, CA 91764; TOYOTA ARENA, LLC 2000 E. CONVENTION CENTER WAY ONTARIO, CA 91764

Business Mailing Address: TOYOTA ARENA 4000 E. ONTARIO CENTER PARKWAY ONTARIO, CA 91764

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ FRANK J. LIZARRA-GA, Vice President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 7/08/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J7527

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 12, 19, 26 & August 2, 2024.

FBN 20240004594

The following entity is doing business primarily in San



The San Bernardino County Sentinel Offers The Lowest Prices For The Publishing Of Legal Notices Among All Of The Newspapers In San Bernardino County

Are you looking to publish a Fictitious Business Name Notice? An Order To Show Cause? Notice Of A Trustee Sale? Notice Of A Petition To Administer An Estate?

Publish your notice at a reasonable rate.

Call (909) 957 9998 for a quote on all of your legal noticing needs.

The Sentinel is a legally adjudicated newspaper of general circulation for both the City of Rancho Cucamonga and San Bernardino County.

Main Business Address & Editorial Office: 10788 Civic Center Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730



San Bernardino County Sentinel

News of Note from Around the Largest County in the Lower 48 States

Public Notices

Bernardino County as CALIFORNIA SPORTS HALL OF FAME, A NON-PROFIT 2000 E. CONVENTION CENTER WAY ONTARIO, CA 91764; GREATER ONTARIO SPORTS & ENTERTAINMENT AUTHORITY, LLC 2000 E. CONVENTION CENTER WAY ONTARIO, CA 91764

Business Mailing Address: 2000 E. CONVENTION CENTER WAY ONTARIO, CA 91764

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 201814910536.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ FRANK J. LIZARRAGA, Vice President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 5/15/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy D9865

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28, July 5, July 12 & July 19, 2024.

Public Notices

FBN 20240005563 The following person is doing business as: MAN3 AUTO LLC. 1274 S WATERMAN AVE STE 121 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; MAILING ADDRESS 1274 S WATERMAN AVE STE 121 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MAN3 AUTO LLC 411 SURREY CIR CORONA, CA 92879 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION 202359410314

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MOHAMED ABULGHANI, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 20, 2024 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024 CNBB25202405MT

FBN 20240005401 The following person is doing business as: DRILLING CONSTRUCTION SOLUTION. 7225 TIPPECANOE AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404; MAILING ADDRESS 7225 TIPPECANOE AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO GUADENCA HUESCA CID 7225 TIPPECANOE AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the

Public Notices

fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ GUADENCIO HUESCA CID, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 11, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024 CNBB26202404MT

FBN 20240005371 The following person is doing business as: APUU MASONRY & TILE. 2873 N PERSHING AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405; MAILING ADDRESS 2873 N PERSHING AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE SANCHEZ NEGRETE 2873 N PERSHING AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JOSE SANCHEZ NEGRETE, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 01, 2024 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of

the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024 CNBB26202403MT

Public Notices

FBN 20240005410 The following person is doing business as: STOP 5 MARKET. 1505 W 9TH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411; MAILING ADDRESS 1505 W 9TH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DFFM, INC. 1505 1/2 W 9TH STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411 STATE OF INCORPORATION CA ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION 3748377

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ DANI MHANA, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 11, 2024 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024 CNBB25202401CV

FBN 20240003600 The following person is doing business as: MBOHO MKPARSWA IBIBIO(MMI). 6048 MIRA VISTA LN FONTANA, CA 92336; MAILING ADDRESS 6048 MIRA VISTA LN FONTANA, CA 92336; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TOM E MBEKEEKANEM

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ TOM E MBEKEEKANEM, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 12, 2024 I hereby certify that this copy is a

Public Notices

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARIA ALICIA GUTIERREZ TORRES 16379 E PRESERVE LOOP UNIT 1903 CHINO, CA 91708. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MARIA ALICIA GUTIERREZ TORRES, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 10, 2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024 CNBB25202401CV

FBN 20240003600 The following person is doing business as: MBOHO MKPARSWA IBIBIO(MMI). 6048 MIRA VISTA LN FONTANA, CA 92336; MAILING ADDRESS 6048 MIRA VISTA LN FONTANA, CA 92336; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TOM E MBEKEEKANEM

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ TOM E MBEKEEKANEM, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 12, 2024 I hereby certify that this copy is a

Public Notices

correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/26/2024, 05/03/2024, 05/10/2024, 05/17/2024 CNBB17202402MT CORRECTION DATES 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024 & 07/12/2024

FBN 20240003799 The following person is doing business as: LOVING LATHER MOBILE PET GROOMING. 12971 RIMROCK AVE CHINO HILLS, CA 91709; MAILING ADDRESS 12971 RIMROCK AVE CHINO HILLS, CA 91709; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LISA A ZINTEL

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LISA A ZINTEL, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 19, 2024 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 04/26/2024, 05/03/2024, 05/10/2024, 05/17/2024 CNBB17202403MT CORRECTION DATES 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024 & 07/12/2024

FBN 20240003688 The following person is doing business as: COMMUNITY MULTI SERVICES. 1998 W VALLEY BLVD UNIT B COLTON, CA 92324; MAILING ADDRESS 1998 W VALLEY BLVD UNIT B COLTON, CA 92324; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CMSR LLC 1998 W VALLEY BLVD COLTON, CA 92324 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA

Public Notices

FBN 20240000641 The following person is doing business as: MOBILE LIVE SCAN SOLUTIONS & NOTARY. 18224 DAMIANA LN SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407; MAILING ADDRESS 1101 S MILLIKEN AVE STE E #10005 ONTARIO, CA 92407; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO WIGGINS EXPRESS LIVE SCAN LLC 18224 DAMIANA LN SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION 202358816175

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ KINISHIA L CLARK, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JANUARY 23, 2024 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 02/02/2024, 02/09/2024, 02/16/2024, 02/23/2024 CNBB5202408MT CORRECTION DATES 04/19/2024, 04/26/2024, 05/03/2024, 05/10/2024 CORRECTION DATES 06/21/2024, 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024 & 07/12/2024

FBN 20240003688 The following person is doing business as: COMMUNITY MULTI SERVICES. 1998 W VALLEY BLVD UNIT B COLTON, CA 92324; MAILING ADDRESS 1998 W VALLEY BLVD UNIT B COLTON, CA 92324; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CMSR LLC 1998 W VALLEY BLVD COLTON, CA 92324 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA

The following person is doing business as: COMMUNITY MULTI SERVICES. 1998 W VALLEY BLVD UNIT B COLTON, CA 92324; MAILING ADDRESS 1998 W VALLEY BLVD UNIT B COLTON, CA 92324; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CMSR LLC 1998 W VALLEY BLVD COLTON, CA 92324 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA







**Public Notices**

General Partner  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/11/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J6748

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 21 & 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

FBN 20240005658

The following entity is doing business primarily in San Bernardino County as

ZIADÉ MARKETING AND CONSULTING 8270 ASPEN AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: ISRA ZIADÉ

Business Mailing Address: 16379 EAST PRESERVE LOOP UNIT 1891 CHINO, CA 91708

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: May 1, 2024.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ ISRA ZIADÉ  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 6/21/2024

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2522

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 21 & 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

**NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:**

**JAMES CARL HEIMERICKS** Case NO. PROVA2400539

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JAMES CARL HEIMERICKS A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by BENJAMIN CARL HEIMERICKS in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that The petitioner requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority., BENJAMIN CARL HEIMERICKS be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

Antoinette Jauregui: 1894 Commercenter W Ste 108 San Bernardino CA 92408-3310  
Telephone No: 909-890-2350  
Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

**Public Notices**

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. F1 at 09:00 AM on 08/14/2024 at Superior Court of California, County of Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Probate Division

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Angela M Lancaster:  
R. SAM PRICE  
SBN 208603  
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC  
454 Cajon Street  
REDLANDS, CA 92373  
Phone (909) 328 7000  
Fax (909) 475 9500  
sam@pricelawfirm.com  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

**Public Notices**

Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held July 30, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. at

San Bernardino County Superior Court Fontana District

Department F2 - Fontana 17780 Arrow Boulevard Fontana, CA 92335  
Filed: JUNE 20, 2024  
Arica Tobias, Deputy Court Clerk.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Angela M Lancaster:  
R. SAM PRICE  
SBN 208603  
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC  
454 Cajon Street  
REDLANDS, CA 92373  
Phone (909) 328 7000  
Fax (909) 475 9500  
sam@pricelawfirm.com  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

**Public Notices**

Unit	Name
D059	Mercer II, Kevin
Dwayne	
B049	Bravo, Sylvia
F051	Diaz Hernandez, Edgar
D112	Ornelas, Suchinda
C01	Cox, Norman
E03	Baird, Christopher
C058	Craig, Charles
F098	Jones, Roy Lorenzo

E053 Villalobos, Victor  
F133 Causey, Samuel  
B050 Elizalde-Aguilar, Cynthia  
B01 Icamen, Brian  
C038 Mendivil, Brenda  
C014 Paniagua, David  
Dated: 07/02/2024  
Signed: Jonathan Gossett  
storagetreasures.com

Sales subject to prior cancellation in the event of settlement between Owner and obligated party. Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 5 and July 12, 2024.

FBN 20240005940

The following person is doing business as: ELLA'S MOTORS. 617 EAST 11TH STREET UPLAND, CA 91786; MAILING ADDRESS 617 EAST 11TH STREET UPLAND, CA 91786; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO FADI NAKHLEH  
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: AUG 01, 2023  
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ FADI NAKHLEH, OWNER  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 01, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202401MT

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 28 and July 5 & 12, 2024.

FBN 20240005952

The following person is doing business as: THE ALLEY. 11175 SIERRA AVE. SUITE E2 FONTANA, CA 92337; MAILING ADDRESS 11175 SIERRA AVE. SUITE E2 FONTANA, CA 92337; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DA BOBA LLC 1017 EAST PENNSYLVANIA AVE REDLANDS, CA 92374 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA  
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A  
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ TAI PHAN, CEO  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 01, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202405MT

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

**Public Notices**

under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202402MT

FBN 20240006028

The following person is doing business as: MM REMODELING. 510 FUR ST COLTON, CA 92324; MAILING ADDRESS 510 FUR ST COLTON, CA 92324; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MIGUEL A MARTINEZ  
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A  
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MIGUEL A MARTINEZ, OWNER  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 05, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202403MT

FBN 20240006169

The following person is doing business as: AUTO PRO SALES AND SERVICES. 310 WEST MILL ST. SUITE B-123 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; MAILING ADDRESS 28060 STONEHOUSE RD LAKE ELSINORE, CA 92532; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO AUTO PRO SALES & SERVICES LLC 28060 STONEHOUSE RD LAKE ELSINORE, CA 92532 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA  
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A  
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ JEREMIAS G MEDINA, MANAGER  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 08, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202407MT

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

**Public Notices**

who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 10, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202406MT

FBN 20240006136

The following person is doing business as: LAGUNA RESIDENTIAL CARE. 18246 LAGUNA PLACE FONTANA, CA 92336; MAILING ADDRESS 18246 LAGUNA PLACE FONTANA, CA 92336; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ROOTSON LLC 18246 LAGUNA PLACE FONTANA, CA 92336 STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION 202014010237  
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A  
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ DANIEL TOCHUKWU U M A H - M G B O R O G W U , MANAGING MEMBER  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 08, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202407MT

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07/05/2024, 07/12/2024

**Public Notices**

County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202408MT

FBN 20240006018

The following person is doing business as: RUBI EXTENSION. 243 N MERIDIAN AVE SPC #169 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; MAILING ADDRESS 243 N MERIDIAN AVE SPC #169 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARIA DEL RUBI GARCIA NAVARRO  
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A  
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ MARIA DEL RUBI GARCIA NAVARRO, OWNER  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 03, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202409MT

FBN 20240005933

The following person is doing business as: TURNKEY RESTORATION & NEW CONSTRUCTION, LLC. 30257 FRONTERA DEL SUR HIGHLAND, CA 92346; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TURNKEY RESTORATION & NEW CONSTRUCTION, LLC. 30257 FRONTERA DEL SUR HIGHLAND, CA 92346; STATE OF ORGANIZATION CA ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION 202461914285  
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A  
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

/s/ GUILLERMO AGUILAR AGUILAR, MANAGING MEMBER  
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 01, 2024  
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/12/2024, 07/19/2024, 07/26/2024, 08/02/2024 CNBB28202410MT

Published in the SBSC Rancho Cucamonga on: 06/28/2024, 07







## San Bernardino County's Republican Leadership, Having Aced Out Local Democrats For Control Of Local Government, Are Now Going, Hat In Hand, To The Democrats Dominating Sacramento, Requesting Favors *from page 4*

to lieutenant governor to attorney general, to treasurer, to controller to superintendent of schools to insurance commissioner. In the Assembly 62 of its 79 members are Democrats against 17 Republicans, while in the 40-member State Senate, 32 are Democrats compared with eight Republicans. Thus, the Democrats have a supermajority in both houses of the legislature.

Throughout the state, there are only six remaining Republican enclaves where the GOP yet holds a majority of that particular area's elective offices. One of those is San Bernardino County.

Yet, San Bernardino County remains Red against the odds, doing so at least in part because of the aggressive efforts of the party's county leadership. The number of Democrats in San Bernardino County eclipsed the number of Republicans within its confines in 2009, since which time, the Democratic numerical advantage countywide has continuously grown. Despite that, the Republican Party is far more energetic, organized and coordinated than its Democratic counterpart. Through concentrated fundraising, constant polling and monitoring, and promotional efforts through newspaper advertising, radio spots, television commercials, internet and other social media postings and efforts to get Republicans out to vote both at the polls and by mail, Republicans have remained dominant in San Bernardino County. Four of the five members of the Board of Supervisors are Republicans. Eighteen of the county's 24 cities and incorporated town councils have more Republican members than Democrats.

San Bernardino County, which against

all odds and despite having substantially more Democrat voters than Republican voters, is one of the last bastions of Republicanism in California. Even though there are more Democrats in San Bernardino County than Republicans, San Bernardino County's Republicans turn out to vote in far greater numbers than do their Democrat counterparts. Four of the county's five supervisors are Republicans.



**Dawn Rowe**

In 17 of the county's 24 municipalities, there are more Republicans on the city and town councils than there are Democrats. Put simply, Republican-dominated San Bernardino County is on the outs with Democrat-dominated Sacramento.

San Bernardino County is one of the few counties in the state in which Lara lost in the 2022 election for insurance commissioner. Of the 446,660 total votes cast in that contest in San Bernardino County, Lara captured 217,865 or 48.78 percent. In the 2022 contest, he was outdistanced by the Republican, Robert Howell, who polled 228,795 votes or 51.22 percent. In 2018, he fared even less well against Steve Poizner, who was the last Republican to hold the California Insurance Commissioner post from 2007 until 2011. Poizner was an unsuccessful candidate for governor in 2010, when he failed to capture the Republican nomination, losing to Meg Whitman. Before that, he was unsuccessful in his bid to be elected to the California As-

sembly as Republican, losing to Democrat Ira Ruskin. In 2018, despite Lara proving victorious in the race for insurance commissioner, he lost in San Bernardino County to Poizner, who had changed his registration to no party affiliation. Of the 513,350 votes cast for insurance commissioner in San Bernardino County that year, Lara received 247,678 or 47.08 percent to Poizner's 271,572 or 52.92 percent.

Another political consideration militating against the board of supervisors' effort is the consideration that the majority of residents in San Bernardino County's desert and mountain communities are solidly Republican. Among all of San Bernardino County's 1,117,683 voters, 472,831 or 40.1 percent are registered as Democrats, while 354,588 or 30.1 percent are registered Republicans. The remaining 29.8 percent are either unaffiliated with any political party or are members of the American Independent, Green, Libertarian, Peace & Freedom or other more obscure parties. In San Bernardino County's First District, however, which is comprised almost entirely of wide desert expanses, 79,812 or 35.1 percent of the district's 227,405 total voters are Republicans while 78,036 are Democrats. In San Bernardino County's Third District, which accounts for more than 90 percent of the county's mountain communities as well as over a quarter of the county's desert area, 89,912 or 37.3 percent of the district's 240,857 total voters are Republicans, not quite fully offset by 80,695 Democrats or 33.5 percent. The largest number of Democrats in the Third District are in the district's urban valley areas, whereas in the mountain and desert communities, Republicans outnumber Democrats by a ratio of about 11 to 6.5.

One factor – indeed a major one – in the Republicans maintaining an upper hand politically in San Bernardino

County despite the superior Democrat voter registration numbers is the manner in which the Republicans have shown a willingness to utilize their control over the machinery of government to perpetuate their hold on it. Put into the simplest of terms, this means old fashioned political patronage, the hiring of political operatives into sinecures, i.e., government jobs that pay decent money while requiring little work or production on the part of the individuals who hold those positions. This allows those workers to engage in political activity to promote the officeholders who hired them



**Matt Knox**

or their political allies in the next round of elections.

Rowe made a particularly egregious display of this early in her tenure as supervisor. In 2018, the San Bernardino county Board of Supervisors was composed of three Republicans – Supervisor Hagman, then-Supervisor Robert Lovingood and then-Supervisor Janice Rutherford; and two Democrats, then-Supervisor Josie Gonzales and then-Supervisor James Ramos. Ramos, who had most recently been reelected to the board in 2016, vied, successfully, for the California Assembly in the 2018 election cycle. The board, rather than opting to hold a special election to fill the void that was created by Ramos resigning his position as supervisor to depart to join the legislature in Sacramento, opted to appoint his replacement for the two years that were yet remaining on his term.

Despite Ramos's wish that the board designate his assistant chief of staff, Chris Carrillo, a Democrat, to take his

place, the three Republicans – Hagman, Lovingood and Rutherford – outmuscled the only remaining Democrat on the board – Gonzales – to choose Rowe, a Republican.

Shortly after being sworn in, Rowe hired two GOP dirty tricksters, Matt Knox and Dillon Lesovsky, respectively, as her chief of staff and policy advisor. Knox and Lesovsky had a demonstrated history of working with Republican officeholders as member of their governmental staffs while simultaneously working on those officeholders campaigns, specializing in drafting attack ads against their employers' political opponents. These attacks would take the form, variously, of mailers, handbills, radio spots, television commercials or newspaper ads.

Rowe's purpose in hiring Knox and Lesovsky onto her Third District supervisorial staff as government employees paid by the taxpayers was so that they could engage in preparing campaign materials on behalf of selected Republican candidates and causes that Rowe was in favor of while simultaneously preparing political "hit pieces" attacking candidates she opposed.

While utilizing a governmental position or the authority and resources of an elective office to engage in partisan political activity is a crime, which can be prosecuted as a felony, in California, Rowe had no concern that she ran any risk in hiring Knox or Lesovsky, since the individual in San Bernardino County who was in place to enforce that law was District Attorney Jason Anderson, a Republican who was part of the GOP machine benefiting by the arrangements.

Anderson made no effort to prevent Rowe's office from being used to promote Republicans or Republican causes. It was not until complaints were made to the California Attorney General's Office, which at that time was held by Democrat Xavier Becerra, that

Knox and Lesovsky left Rowe's office. For this and similar reasons, Insurance Commissioner Lara and Governor Newsom, who are themselves highly partisan in favor of Democrats, have absolutely no use for Rowe, whom they perceive as a Republican party hack. As such, neither Lara nor Newsom nor the overwhelming majority of Democrats in the California legislature are in any hurry to assist Rowe or her predominantly Republican constituency, particularly as that particular population has consistently voted in opposition to Lara serving as insurance commissioner and showed itself



**Dillon Lesovsky**

as one of the most supportive groundswells of sentiment in favor of removing Governor Newsom from office. While Newsom managed a victory in San Bernardino County in the 2018 gubernatorial election against John Cox by capturing 276,874 or 51.54 percent of the 537,253 votes for governor cast in the county and in the 2021 recall election prevailed, barely with 288,877 votes or 50.22 percent of the 575,241 votes cast in county against the recall with 286,364 or 49.78 in support of ending his reign as governor, Newsom's showing in that regard in San Bernardino County was one of the worst in the entire state. In his 2022 run for reelection, he lost in San Bernardino County with 215,391 or 47.39 percent of the 454,500 votes cast to Brian Dahle's 239,109 votes or 52.51 percent.

To add insult to injury, that same year the four Republican members of the board of supervisors agreed to put a measure on the November ballot asking San Bernardino

*Continued on Page 16*



## San Bernardino County Squandered The Opportunity To Construct A Light Rail Train Line To Ontario Airport & Divert Tens Of Thousands Of Vehicles Off The Region's Freeways, Ontario Mayor Says

*from page 7*

coming into Montclair. Ultimately, eight of the transit committee's 12 members – Third District Supervisor Dawn Rowe and Rancho Cucamonga Mayor Lloyd Dennis Michael, then-Big Bear Councilman Bill Jahn, then-Yucaipa Councilman David Avila, Highland Councilman Larry McCallon, Fontana Mayor Acquafredda Warren, Colton Mayor Frank Navarro, and Rialto Mayor Deborah Robertson – voted to back Wolfe. Only Montclair Mayor John Dutrey, Ontario City Councilman Alan Wapner and Chino Hills Mayor Ray Marquez opposed his plan to scrub the county's support of the Gold Line.

The October 10, 2019 transit committee vote included a motion to have SBCTA return the \$41 million State of California Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program grant. Moreover the vote sent a signal to the governor and the state legislature with regard to earmarking any future funding for the Gold Line extension into San Bernardino County.

Whereas feelers to see if more state or federal money for the Gold Line extension were in play and applications for further grants were in various stages of preparation or submission, the rug had been pulled out from underneath the Gold Line Construction Authority.

Some advocates for the Gold Line extension were not willing to give up the ghost so easily. Two of those were Assemblymember Chris Holden (D-41st District) and State Senator Anthony Portantino (D-25th District). They considered the SBCTA board's transit committee vote to be downright perverse. The 2019 action, for all practical purposes, they recognized, meant the

extension of the Gold Line to Ontario Airport by 2028, which had been a very real prospect, would not come about.

As early as February 2020, the two lawmakers made a concerted effort to have SBCTA reconsider its action and get the Gold Line extension to Ontario Airport back on track. Holden Portantino discussed and subsequently introduced legislation to create the West San Bernardino County Rail Construction Authority, an entity to be dedicated to designing and building the six-mile span of track linking Montclair to Ontario Airport. In response, SBCTA's transit committee recommended that the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority commit a token \$3 million to undertake a formal and comprehensive study of transit alternatives to and from Ontario International Airport. Nevertheless, no real concerted commitment toward the Gold Line light rail project, as had been the case for nearly a decade, remanifested in San Bernardino County.

For four years, advocates of the plan to extend the Gold Line to Ontario Airport have stewed, generally silently because openly criticizing their public agency colleagues for their lack of resolve would have had little salutary effect. Occasionally, they would lament what Wolfe and the transit committee as shortsighted and wrong-headed. Some believed or hoped that the extension of a light rail system to Ontario Airport was not permanently dead and that by mid-century the project will be revived. All had no choice but to accept that the dream of having travelers to the 2028 Olympics flying into Ontario, staying in local hotels and traveling to the Colosse-

um by train during their stay to be dead. That dream had further represented the hope that the gridlock on the freeways to Los Angeles would be permanent erased. That hope had passed as well.

When Governor Gavin Newsom on July 8 announced that contained within the \$1.9 billion 2023-24 transit recovery package approved by the California State Transportation Agency, known by its acronym CalSTA, was nearly \$500 million to cover the \$798 million cost of the 3.2-mile Gold Line extension from Pomona to Claremont and Montclair, the first reaction of several Gold Line aficionados was to cheer the development. Almost as quickly, however, they were lamenting that SBCTA had lost faith in the project more than four years ago. Had the project remained on track, and if work on the extension to Montclair had been initiated nearly two years ago, they said, the project would have remained on a trajectory for the line to have reached Ontario Airport by 2027 or early 2028.

The freed-up funds now mean that the construction work on the line to Montclair will begin by spring 2025. The project should take four years to complete. Habib Balian, the chief executive officer of Foothill Gold Line Construction Authority on Monday, July 8 said the project will take five years to complete.

There followed an indication that the project could begin and reach fruition prior to that. Yesterday, on Thursday July 11, the Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Construction Authority Board voted 4-0 to work with Kiewit Infrastructure West Co., which it tentatively selected to build the 3.2-mile extension from Pomona through Claremont to Montclair, as that company appears to be the best suited outfit locally to do the work.

Among those was Ontario Mayor Paul Leon, considered by many to be the most passionate

advocate for the Gold Line east or west of the Los Angeles County/San Bernardino County boundary. Indeed, Leon proved to be such an intensive promoter of the Gold Line that the City of South Pasadena for a time designated him as its representative on the Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Construction Authority Board.

Leon said that in order to get anything done when dealing with bureaucracies, long-term vision, commitment and above all else patience and persistence is required. It was crucial that San Bernardino County's transportation officials stay on task in order to bring the Gold Line far enough into San Bernardino County to make an inroad on Southern California's gridlock and that what ended up happening is the vision and commitment for the project were compromised by the delay between conception and realization.

"You have to engage in planning before you have your funding lined up," he said. "I know that sounds fiscally unsound and maybe even irresponsible, but that is how it goes when you have bureaucracies that are not merely a product of one level of government but which consist across multiple levels, to include the local agencies such as whatever city you are talking about, regional government such as the county and its various departments, sub-agencies and authorities and then the state and federal government. "You absolutely have to plan without regard for how, exactly, you are going to fund something. The funding will eventually come. You might not be able to predict exactly when, but money is being handed down from the federal and state government on a constant basis. But that money only goes to those who are ready to use it. You need to have something that is sitting on the shelf, ready to go. If you don't have the plan, no money is coming to it. We had that plan in the

form of the Gold Line all the way to Ontario Airport. But then, SBCTA gave back the funding we had already received from the state. That occurred so SBCTA didn't have to be bound by that agreement, which called for it putting in local matching money. That was a mistake, and everyone now sees it was a mistake. More money for the project came available, and we weren't in a position to get it and put it to work."

SanBAG's leadership was once sold on the light rail project, he said.

"The attitude changed with the transition from Deborah Barmack being the executive director to Ray Wolfe," Mayor Leon said. "Ray is a very capable individual, with tremendous technical expertise. He is not a dynamic bureaucratic operative. His talents lie elsewhere. He is of the executive director mold that will only do what he is told to do by the board. He is not someone who has his own vision of what needs to be done and then patiently reeducates his bosses, the political leadership of the agency he is managing, into accepting that vision and implementing it. He is just the hound dog who gets told by his masters what to do and then does it, very well. When we were planning for the Gold Line and succeeding in getting grants, he could easily have made the decision to allow the money to pile up and then used it when there was sufficient funding and the line was reaching us from the Los Angeles County side to acquire the right-of-way and start laying down track. He didn't do that because he does not have the stomach to fight his bosses on what policy should be. He carries out the policy they dictate to him."

The value of light rail is greater than its geographical reach or extension, because it ultimately encourages the development of other types of transportation options, public and private, that come into being in conjunction with

it.

"Look at the spiderweb of transportation modes you have in Los Angeles County," Leon said. "What they have done is to create a synergy where the sum of everything together is more effective than any single component. We lack that vision and we lack that resolve in San Bernardino County."

A major problem, Leon said, is that the public at large in San Bernardino County does not recognize the difference between the large type of lumbering trains used by MetroLink and the more efficient light rail cars that are part of the Gold Line. Those in the Foothill Communities in the San Gabriel region of Los Angeles County see that distinction, he said, which is why the Gold Line is packed to capacity there every morning and late afternoon/early evening rush hour.

"Diesel trains are not the same size as light rail," he said. "If we had the Gold Line here, you could park your car at Ontario Airport and get to Downtown LA in an hour and a half. It would cost you less than six bucks for a round trip."

Leon said some have observed that he is in favor of the extension of the Gold Line because it would be a boon to Ontario Airport and Ontario. That is only partially true, he insisted, saying that it is an accident of geography that Ontario sits toward the extreme west end of San Bernardino County. "Of course, as Ontario's political leaders, the city council and I would love to take advantage of that," he said. "But that advantage would benefit everyone else out her in San Bernadino County. That's just a fact."

Despite the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority having given up on the Gold Line extension to Ontario International Airport, Leon said, he and other Ontario officials continue to participate and cooperate with it and its membership, consisting

*Continued on Page 16*



## Bowman Wasn't Drunk Or Irresponsible, His Defenders Maintain, Just Dazed And Disoriented *from page 6*

problematic, as it lacked credibility and plausibility. She maintained that the accident was caused by the brakes on Bowman's pickup locking up. In actuality, the video of the accident establishes, it was Jawad's Ikea's brakes that appeared to be locked up. Rather, if anything mechanism on Bowman's pickup was malfunctioning, it would appear to have been his accelerator, as the pickup was positioned behind the Ikea.

Thursday morning July 11 at 9:35 a.m. Ontario Police Chief Mike Lorenz responded to a previous Sentinel email. "To address your specific questions would be difficult at this time as this is an ongoing investigation," Lorenz wrote. The

public position someone may hold has no bearing on this or any of our investigations."

Lorenz added, "Ontario PD is not split about this incident because our officers did their job. They did their job professionally, with care and compassion for all parties involved and will submit a thorough and complete investigation to the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office for review."

Later that day, the police department put out a press release about the incident.

As to the prospect that the police department's accident report will contain data and the basis for the district attorney's office to opt out of charging Bowman, a key factor with regard to the impaired driving issue is the precise driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence statute to be utilized. Under Vehicle Code § 23152(b), blood alcohol content of 0.08 is the legal thresh-

old, which Bowman reportedly did not meet, as his blood alcohol content was a mere 0.05 or 0.054.

Under Vehicle Code § 25153(a): "It is unlawful for a person, while under the influence of any alcoholic beverage, to drive a vehicle and concurrently do any act forbidden by law, or neglect any duty imposed by law in driving the vehicle, which act or neglect proximately causes bodily injury to any person other than the driver."

A strict application of Vehicle Code § 25153(a) would thus appear to hem Bowman in. At issue is whether the district attorney's office would, in its discretion, not apply it.

The elements of a Vehicle Code § 20002 hit and run offense, extend to an offender being 1) involved in a vehicle accident while driving; 2) causing the death or serious injury to another; 3) the offender knowing he or she had been involved in an accident that in-

jured another; and 4) the defendant willfully failing stop immediately at the scene and failing to show identifying information to another person at the scene or police officer as well as failing to provide reasonable assistance to any person injured in the accident.

Bowman's legal team has virtually no prospect of establishing the first two elements of the crime do not apply to his action/conduct on July 8. It is anticipated that his legal team will seek to demonstrate that as a consequence of his having blacked out behind the wheel, Bowman was not cognizant of having slammed into Jawad's Ikea or that he had injured her. Such a contention might prove problematic for the defense, given that Bowman in very short order was able to take control of the vehicle, disengage from the back of Jawad's car and then drive away from the scene. With regard to the fourth element, it is with-

out dispute that Bowman failed to stop at the scene and that he did not render assistance to Jawad. His attorney will doubtless maintain that after he was contacted by the police in the vicinity of Locust and Laurel, he did provide his identifying information. His legal team will also likely assert that his driving away from the scene of the collision, given his dazed state, was not a deliberate effort to flee the scene of the accident.

The manner in which Bowman's status as a councilman and high ranking fire department official has resulted in tolerance of his alcohol use by local government was noted by multiple community activists. This extended primarily to his positions in Ontario, but did go beyond that city. Bowman's arrest in Upland for impaired driving in 2003 did not prevent the Upland City Council, then headed by Upland Mayor John Pomierski, to hire him as

the City of Gracious Living's acting fire chief.

The League of Latin American Citizens Ontario chapter enunciated its members' concerns about "preferential treatment" being extended to Bowman on the basis of his elected status. "Jim Bowman should be held to account as would be any other resident of Ontario, the organization stated. "The should be applied equally to all."

Ontario residents have approached the organization Mothers Against Drunk Driving to ask that it lend its prestige to an effort to force Bowman's resignation from office.

Ontario spokesman Dan Bell refused to be drawn into a discussion of what action the city would take with regard to Bowman's continuing tenure on the city council.

## Officials Need To Manifest The Will To Construct A Light Rail Line To Ontario Airport, Leon Says *from page 15*

of the political leadership of the remainder of the county's cities and towns and the board of supervisors.

"We are working with SBCTA right now in putting in dedicated bus

routes – the BRT, bus rapid transit," he said. "That will put bus lines right down the middle of our widest streets, so cars can continue along the route on both sides. The money was avail-

able, and we are participating in the program."

Somewhat slyly, Leon hinted that if the dedicated bus lines don't work out, it is possible that in the future that route could be converted to accommodate the Gold Line – right down the middle of Holt Avenue – all the way to the airport.

"There is room

enough for two tracks," he said.

Leon said that it is sadly a reality that San Bernardino County Transportation officials have given up on extending the Gold Line to Ontario Airport.

"As it stands now, the Gold Line will make it across the county line to the Montclair Tran-

sit Center, but that's it," Leon said. The Metro Authority [in Los Angeles County] has given the Gold Line its all. The Gold Line Construction Authority is different from the Metro Authority. For the Gold Line Construction Authority to have the success on the east side of the

divide with Los Angeles County, there needs to be commitment in SBCTA like there was in the Metro Authority. We need another plan to continue. We have to form the political will to get the light rail Gold Line to Ontario International Airport."

## Insurance Commissioner Lara Tells SBC Republicans He Is Already Addressing The Insurance Crisis *from page 14*

County residents whether they wanted to secede from California and form their own state. That measure passed with 212,615 or 50.62 percent of the county's 420,054 voters in favor of leaving the Golden State and 207,438 or 49.38 percent opposed.

With the board of supervisors asking that Lara take action, and quickly, to assist their predominantly Republican constituents, he responded by deflecting

the suggestion that he do what they were asking of him by reminding them of what he has already done.

"Since June 1, more than 25 wildfires have raged across California prompting evacuations and threatening our communities," Lara noted. "I understand the challenges consumers throughout the state are facing and the need for action. The regulatory goals set forth in San Bernardino County's recent resolution support the ambitious reforms set forth in my Sustainable Insurance Strategy set into motion last September. My Department is moving with all deliberate speed — balancing the urgency of the issue

with the need for transparency — on several



Richard Lara

actions to move forward with the state's largest insurance reform in 30 years since the passage of Prop. 103 in 1988."

With a hint of sarcasm, Lara continued, "I appreciate San Bernardino County's support of our urgent ongoing regulatory work to solve this insurance crisis and

stabilize our state's insurance marketplace in order to benefit and protect consumers while increasing the availability of insurance."

Next, Lara adopted one of the Republican Party's major talking points by subtly accusing Rowe and her Republican colleagues of engaging in an effort to hamstring private industry, turning the tables on the board chairwoman by suggesting she and her Republican allies are interfering with insurers' ability to make a buck.

"We want fair and modern regulations, not overregulation that will ultimately harm consumers," Lara said, again through a filter

of sarcasm intended to outRepublican the Republicans. "To date and up through the end of this year, my department will have completed several regulations, administrative actions, and open public meetings to transform our state's insurance marketplace that results in more insurance companies returning and expanding in all areas of our state."

In closing, Lara said, "We will continue to assist San Bernardino County, and all California counties, as we urgently continue to take on this unprecedented insurance crisis."

Handed lemons, Rowe sought to squeeze them into lemonade.

"San Bernardino

County appreciates Commissioner Lara's prompt response to our resolution calling for urgent action to provide relief to California property owners being pushed out of the insurance market when they need coverage more than ever, and his acknowledgment that a crisis exists. We and our residents eagerly look forward to the opportunity to review and comment on the commissioner's proposals and work together as Californians on meaningful and effective solutions. We believe time is of the essence."

-Mark Gutglueck