

Lack Of Bids On County Facility Upgrades Will Benefit Supervisors' Donor

By Mark Gutglueck

With the final political piece now in place, there is acute concern among informed individuals concealed within the county government's structure that the county's taxpayers are about to be taken on a \$7 million to \$11 million ride by one of the board of supervisors' more obscure, but nevertheless generous, patrons.

Of note is the creative fashion in which what

have been characterized as payoffs are being delivered to the politicians who will make an ultimate determination on the projects in question and whose personal interests are driving the giveaway of public money.

All five of the current members of the board of supervisors – Curt Hagan, Dawn Rowe, Paul Cook, Joe Baca Jr. and Jesse Armendarez – have been compromised by

the ultimate intended beneficiary of the proposed set of projects and his political operative. Key to the planned diversion of public money into the pockets of a deeply self-interested private sector entity, his agent of political influence and the elected officials being corrupted is exploitation of a loophole in California law that allows for the suspension of the requirement that public projects be

awarded through an open bidding process. Crucial as well is the willingness of the county's two top staff officials – in order to protect their respective \$550,000-plus per year and \$350,000-plus per year total annual compensations – to allow what is estimated at more than \$100 million to be expended on projects which they know will enrich a benefactor of their political masters on the board of supervi-

sors while simultaneously costing taxpayers approaching or exceeding \$10 million more for the provision of services that could be obtained from other business entities offering a comparable set of services and improvements at a substantially lower cost.

The formula for this taxpayer-funded giveaway has already been applied on a lesser scale with the cities of Upland, Fontana and Ri- See P 2

With Hundreds Of Other January 6 Protestors Entering Pleas, Hostetter Heading To Trial

While 465 of the 978 people who were present during the January 6, 2021 mass protest against the results of the 2000 presidential election have entered guilty pleas and two have been convicted on charges relating to what the U.S. Government says was a seditious insurrection, former Assistant Fontana Police Chief Alan Hostetter is

rolling the dice, insisting that prosecutors take him to trial.

A pretrial hearing on his case is scheduled for January 15 and his trial is set to begin on July 6.

Though Hostetter's claim of innocence has remained constant and unchanged since his arrest on June 10, 2021 and his first appearance in court on June 14, 2021

followed by his response to the government's superseding indictment filed on December 1, 2021, he has made a radical departure from his initial contention that he stands in solidarity with five associates from Southern California who were with him in the nation's capital and that the government cooked charges up against all of

them. He is now contending that at least some or maybe even all of those five – Russell Taylor, Erik Warner, Felipe "Tony" Martinez, Derek Kinnison, and Ronald Mele – are, in fact, government agents who were seeking to entrap him.

In both the original indictment, which was unsealed and filed with the federal court in Wash-

ington, D.C. on June 9, 2021 and the December 1, 2021 superseding indictment, Hostetter is charged with obstructing an official proceeding, entering a restricted building, disorderly conduct within a restricted building and disorderly conduct within a restricted building in order to disrupt or impede the government so See P 3

Minority Political Representation In 29 Palms Evolves Organically

Quietly and without fanfare, the City of Twentynine Palms has distinguished itself both politically, socially and demographically in a way that, if not unique among California cities, is certainly rare.

Last month, Octavious Scott replaced Karmollette O'Gilvie on the Twentynine Palms City Council. For the last

year, O'Gilvie had been the 27,435-population desert city's mayor. As a result of the November 8 election, she was replaced as the city's District 4 council representative by Scott on the basis of just 8 votes.

Scott claimed 311 of the 614 votes cast in the district, or 50.65 percent. O'Gilvie captured 303 votes or See P 5

Country Music At Gardiner On Spring January 23

The musicians of the Ontario Chaffey Community Show Band and Ovitt Family will present "An Evening of Country Music" on Monday January 23, 2023 at 7:30 p.m. in Gardiner W. Spring Auditorium located on the campus of Chaffey High School, 1250 N. Euclid Ave. in Ontario.

The performance is free to the public.

Special guest artist Jim Curry will perform

John Denver's "Take Me Home, Country Roads," "Annie's Song," "Sunshine On My Shoulders," "Rocky Mountain High," and "This Old Guitar."

Francisco Mowatt will perform a fiddle solo on Alan Jackson's hit song "Chattahoochee." Tom "Elvis" Hill will be featured on the Kenny Rogers hit "Lucille" and "The Ballad of Harley Joe."

Assistant Director Pat Arnold will play Boots

Randolph's version of "Night Train," and sing Willy Nelson's hit song "Always On My Mind."

The musicians of the Show Band will be featured on a medley of country hit songs that include "Sixteen Tons," "Tennessee Waltz," "Ghost Riders In the Sky," "Release Me," "Big Bad John" featuring Tom Hill, and Johnny Cash's hit "Folsom Prison Blues."

62-Year-Old Woman Demonstrates She Is Someone You Might Not Want To Tangle With

Anyone in Fontana who has the occasion to come into proximity with 62-year-old Susan Faye Martin might want to figure some way to move as rapidly in another direction as possible.

Such was the lesson learned by an unidentified 36-year-old man who had the misfortune of having something she wanted when their paths

crossed on December 30. The man was in his car in the 15000 block of Valley Boulevard in the unincorporated area of Fontana at about 2:05 p.m. when the five foot 7, 147-pound Martin confronted him. After approaching the car and getting within striking distance, she used a sharp object to threaten him. She got him out of the car and then took his

place behind the steering wheel and drove off.

A call reporting what happened came into the Fontana police department at 2:07 p.m. and that department's dispatch team notified the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, which has law enforcement and patrol jurisdiction in that area, about the carjacking.

Some fifteen minutes

later, the sheriff's department's dispatch center received calls reporting that a woman, matching the description of the carjacking suspect, was creating a ruckus in the environs of Arrow Route and Ilex Street. While deputies were in route to that location, they were provided with further information indicating the car involved in the incident matched the vehicle

heisted in the carjacking.

Upon the deputies arriving at the Arrow/Ilex location, however, the car and woman were gone.

Within minutes, however, officers with the Fontana Police Department spotted her in the stolen car. They gave chase, with the pursuit ending at 8294 Banana Avenue.

That was not See P 3

As Soon As Contamination Plumes Moving Through Basin Water Table Reach Each Other, County Will File Suit Against Ontario

The City of Ontario and San Bernardino County are likely on a legal collision course over groundwater contamination issues that are the legacy of operations at separate airports both entities control.

The litigation will commence around the same time that a plume consisting of aviation fuel, solvents used in plane maintenance and other chemicals that are byproducts of aviation operations at Ontario International Airport meets with or merges with the noxious contaminants emanating from Chino Airport, including those originating with the burying of napalm decades ago on that air field's grounds.

The spreading contamination from both aerodromes represents a hazard to the region's water supply and the health of the nearly 450,000 people who live in Ontario, Montclair, Chino and Chino Hills.

Both the county and Ontario are seeking to redress the issue at the property they control. Nevertheless, the more serious environmental threat represented by the plume originating in Ontario is threatening to greatly complicate an already challenging effort at remediation being conducted by the county in Chino.

On the afternoon of July 22, 2010, three buried drums were discovered at Chino airport during trench- See P 3

By Using Special Waiver Of Open Bid Requirement, County To Ensure Key Political Donor Gets Millions In Building Upgrade Contracts

from front page

alto.

At play is a determination by the county's top elected officials and their senior staff members to obtain future electioneering funding, both for themselves and their political allies and protégé. Equally involved is a determination by former County Supervisor/Assessor Bill Postmus to overcome his 2011 conviction on a variety of public officer corruption charges and reassert himself as a major political force in the county. The third ingredient is the opportunity for and ability of a private sector contractor to exploit Postmus's desire and the financial needs of the present set of supervisors who have succeeded Postmus and his colleagues who are now overseeing the county's governmental structure.

San Diego-based Alliance Building Solutions, which is owned by Brad Chapman, has found a niche in the energy efficiency market. While Chapman's company does a modest amount of work in the private sector in commercial or industrial/manufacturing settings, its specialty is catering to public agencies, utilities, schools and governments.

In recent years, governmental entities at all levels have gone on an energy efficiency kick. Emerging technologies have improved insulation methodologies for buildings, keeping them cooler in the summer and warmer in the winter. Heating, ventilation and air conditioning unit design has evinced substantial improvements, such that less energy is used in heating furnaces whether they are electrically-powered or burn gas or oil. Similarly, there have been improvements to air conditioning units that allow them to operate using far less current for the same cooling ef-

fect than was achieved in older models. Improvements in light bulbs and florescent tubes provide today equal or greater luminosity than did previous generation illumination devices that used twice as much electricity. Solar panels as well as solar film can now be mounted on the roofs of buildings or south-facing walls to provide a substantial amount of the electricity used within those buildings.

Servicing the public sector confers upon Alliance Building Solutions an advantage it does not have when it deals with the general public and private companies.

Generally speaking and with a few exceptions, governments are required to carry out a competitive bidding process when contracting for services or the delivery of goods. From the standpoint of the governmental entity or public agency and taxpayers, competitive bidding offers a multitude of advantages. Among those are the opportunity it provides to scrutinize myriad options with regard to the products available, examine the level and types of service, consider the qualifications, experience and track record of those offering to provide the service as well as an opportunity to purchase the goods or services sought at the lowest available cost. While in most cases governments and public agencies at all levels are required by law to utilize a competitive bid process, that requirement is suspended in certain circumstances such as emergencies or where the urgency for the service or goods is so great that soliciting, receiving and evaluating bids would result in a delay that would harm public safety, health or wellbeing.

Another specific exception to the competitive bid requirement for public agencies and governments in California relates to energy efficiency projects. As long as a public agency or government can demonstrate that the products, work or service to be provided will result in improved energy efficiency or a

reduction in fuel or energy use as well as show that some savings in cost will accrue to the entity contracting for the service, it need not conduct a bidding process but can simply award a contract to a licensed provider of that service. While not conducting a competitive bidding process on energy conservation or energy efficiency projects is an option for governments and public agencies, they can, if they so choose, seek competitive bids. The process of soliciting bids is referred to in government jargon as a request for proposals.

Already in San Bernardino County, Alliance Building Solutions has gotten three multi-million dollar no-bid contracts from three cities – one worth \$6.7 million in Rialto; another for \$6.7 million in Upland; and a third that took place in three stages for a total of \$9.4 million in Fontana – all of which involved outfitting their facilities with energy conservation systems and products and installing alternative energy supply sources such as solar energy facilities on rooftops and carports. In all of those cases, there was no competitive bidding on the projects, the contracts for which were simply conferred upon Alliance Building Solutions.

Word has reached the *Sentinel* that the County of San Bernardino over the next several years will embark on similar efforts to efficientize its energy use, reduce its energy consumption and engage in, where feasible and most useful, alternative energy production. Reportedly, county officials are prepared to spend well over \$100 million on such projects and, depending on the county's success in obtaining state and primarily federal grants for that purpose, conceivably a quarter of a billion dollars toward those goals over the coming decade.

Because the energy efficiency programs are ones that are exempt from the government's open competitive bid requirement, they present an ideal opportunity for the decisionmakers over-

seeing the ultimate approval of the project, in this case the members of the board of supervisors, to show favoritism toward a selected contractor outside the realm of standard accountability.

In this case, the pending approvals of the facility modernization projects that are slated well ahead of time to go to Alliance Building Solutions are a function of Bill Postmus's return to a position of prominence within San Bernardino County's political establishment.

Roughly a quarter of a century ago, Postmus launched the most meteoric political ascent in San Bernardino County history. A founder and charter member of the High Desert Young Republicans in the 1990s, in 2000, at the age of 29, Postmus was elected First District San Bernardino County Supervisor, the fifth youngest supervisor in county history. Four years later, in 2004, he was reelected supervisor and acceded to the position of chairman of the board of supervisors, the second-youngest person in county history to hold that post. He was simultaneously elected chairman of the San Bernardino County Republican Central Committee. In 2006, he successfully vied for county assessor, the highest taxing authority in the county, a position of tremendous influence from which it was widely assumed he would leap to the next position up the political evolutionary chain, either within the state legislature as an assemblyman or state senator or that he might bypass Sacramento altogether and make a run for U.S. Congress.

Within two years, however, after soaring to the highest echelon of county government and establishing himself as a kingmaker to whom all other politicians paid homage, Postmus came crashing down even more abruptly and dramatically than he had risen. In February 2009, he resigned as county assessor amidst overwhelming scandal involving the use of the assessor's function for political purposes,

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

adjusting county taxes to favor his political allies and penalize his political foes, hiring no fewer than 11 political operatives with no experience, knowledge or expertise relating to real estate or property valuation who utilized county facilities to engage in partisan political activity and his own personal drug use, in particular that of methamphetamine. A year after his resignation, he had been hit with a series of 14 political corruption charges, including bribery, conspiracy, misappropriation of public funds, public office conflict of interest, fraud, conspiracy to accept a bribe, soliciting a bribe, receiving a bribe, embezzlement by a public officer, two counts of grand theft and perjury. In 2011, he entered guilty pleas on all 14 counts.

To Postmus, whose imagination as a 9-year-old had been captured by Ronald Reagan's spectacular election as president in 1980, that conviction practically ended his life as he knew it and always anticipated it would be. His calling, he believed, was to be a politician. His goal in life had been that he would go as far up the political ladder as he could ascend, and for himself and many of his supporters, becoming a U.S. Senator or even governor seemed well within his reach, even though one day residing at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington, D.C. might have been a bit too far-fetched. But under California law, Postmus's political aspirations were dashed, as the conviction he had sustained on

a public office conflict of interest charge – violating Government Code Section 1090 – carried with it a lifetime ban on holding elected office in the Golden State.

Postmus longed to get back into the political game and he began casting about for a way he might do so. Having resigned himself to no longer being a politician, per se, in 2013 he pulled himself together, determining he would once more to make his way in the political world, not as an officeholder but as a political operative. He sojourned to Wyoming, where he established a limited liability company, Mountain States Consulting Group. He had no real intention of actually doing business in Wyoming, but was interested in taking advantage of the relatively sparse reporting requirements for registered businesses in the Equality State. Returning to California, he started off tentatively, laying the groundwork for his reemergence into the thick of San Bernardino County's often contentious political scene.

The first order of business was to align himself with the dominant political bloc.

Postmus hired one of his protégés, Victor Valley College Associated Student Body Council and Senate Parliamentarian/Vice President Jeremiah Brosowske, as Mountain States Consulting Group's primary employee. Together, Postmus and Brosowske in 2013 sought to make themselves indispensable

Continued on Page 6

Police Chief-Turned-Activist Hostetter Was National Insurrection Leader, U.S. Justice Department Alleges *from front page*

to prevent attendance at an official proceeding, according to Channing D. Phillips, the acting United States Attorney in the District of Columbia.

The federal indictments dwell as much or more on Hostetter's activity and actions prior to January 6, 2021 as on his participation in the storm of protest that took place that day at, around and, after barriers around the U.S. Capitol were breached and dissenters questioning the results of the November 3, 2020 election raged out of control as then-Vice-President Mike Spence was to certify the Electoral College choice of president based on ballots cast in that election. The indictments describe how the American Phoenix Project, an entity founded by Hostetter which he said was intended to stand as a beacon of truth in the torrent of misinformation that was accompanying the COVID-19 "panic, simultaneously educate the masses with regard to vaccines while counteracting intentional misrepresentations by the mass media and to prevent Americans' Constitutional rights from being violated, was mis-

used by Hostetter to engage in political activities in defiance of federal tax law. Though the American Phoenix Project was cataloged by the Internal Revenue Services as a tax-exempt organization, Hostetter and his associates utilized the nonprofit's media arm to support Donald Trump and assert that the November 2020 election had been stolen from the ex-president. Federal tax law prohibits anyone speaking on behalf of a nonprofit to support a political candidate or oppose a political candidate.

Furthermore, according to the federal government, Hostetter physically threatened those who maintained that Joseph Biden's election was legitimate.

Both indictments state, "From at least in or around November 2020, Hostetter used the American Phoenix Project as a platform to advocate violence against certain groups and individuals that supported the 2020 presidential election results.

"On November 27, 2020, Hostetter posted a video of himself on his American Phoenix Project YouTube channel that he had taken previously, while driving from California to Washington D.C. to attend the "Million MAGA March" in support of former President Trump, which took place on November 14, 2020 in Washington,

D.C.," the indictments continue. "In the video, Hostetter asserted his belief that votes for Trump were 'switched' to Biden and otherwise 'stolen' and that: '... some people at the highest levels need to be made an example of with an execution or two or three. Because when you commit treason against this country and you disenfranchise the voters of this country and you take away their ability to make decisions for themselves, you strip them of their Constitutional rights. That's not hyperbole when we call it tyranny, that's fucking tyranny. And tyrants and traitors need to be executed as an example.... I'm going to D.C. I'm going to be there on Saturday for this march. I hope there are a million patriots there. Between that, that's going to be a shot across the bow of the deep state when they see a million patriots surrounding that shit hole of a city – the swamp. Because it's gonna make all those swamp creatures know that at any time we want we'll come back with a million patriots and we'll surround that city.... There's gonna be a million of us showing up to make this statement here in Washington, D.C., and I'm telling the swamp right now, that we will be back if this doesn't get resolved peacefully and soon..."

According to the indictment, "On December

12, 2020, the American Phoenix Project hosted a 'Stop the Steal' rally at Huntington Beach. At the rally, Hostetter gave a speech in which he stated: 'We're gonna fix this before this is all over. There must, absolutely must, be a reckoning. There must be justice. President Trump must be inaugurated on January 20th. And he must be allowed to finish this historic job of cleaning out the corruption in the cesspool known as Washington, D.C. The enemies and traitors of America both foreign and domestic must be held accountable. And they will. There must be long prison terms, while execution is the just punishment for the ringleaders of this coup.'

Despite Hostetter being charged, along with the others, of having violated 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) – entering and remaining in a restricted building and grounds, the indictment's narrative does not in actuality describe Hostetter as actually entering the Capitol building.

The indictment unequivocally states that Warner did enter Capitol building. Nowhere, however, does it indicate that Hostetter, Martinez, Mele, Warner or Kinnison went into the building but that rather they were present at the National Mall grounds as well as on the Capitol steps, the West Terrace's

lower level and the West Terrace's upper level immediately outside the Capitol building.

According to the indictment, on January 6, "In the early morning hours, Taylor, Hostetter, Person One, and others known and unknown met in a group in downtown Washington, D.C. to walk to the Ellipse for a

rally featuring a speech by President Trump. Prior to the rally on the Ellipse, Hostetter made plans to "move back to the Capitol" after the events at the Ellipse concluded. Taylor, Hostetter, and Person One congregated with others known and unknown in the crowd on the Mall for the rally. Mele, Martinez, Kinnison, and Warner also congregated on the National Mall and posed for a photo there. In the photo, Martinez, Kinnison, and Warner made a hand signal showing affiliation with a Three Percenter group."

The Three Percenters are an American right wing anti-government militia which is part of the broader patriot movement that has a presence and members in Canada. The group, which is heavily laden with both current and former military personnel and law enforcement officers, advocates the preservation of Second Amendment rights and resistance to U.S. federal govern-

ment overreach. The group's name derives from the claim that "the active forces in the field against the King's tyranny never amounted to more than three percent of the colonists" during the American Revolution. The Three Percenter movement's origin corresponded with the 2008 election of Barack Obama as U.S. president, and membership in the militia increased as a consequence of the belief among many that Obama would pursue policies, such as strict gun control laws, by which the government would narrow the rights of individual citizens.

Prosecutors allege that Hostetter, Taylor, Mele, Martinez, Kinnison, and Warner are committed Three Percenters, although that status is not, in and of itself, a crime.

According to the indictments, "Mele and Martinez wore camouflage-print plate-carrier vests. Taylor, Hostetter and others known and unknown walked down Pennsylvania Avenue to the Capitol. Taylor wore [a] black plate-carrier vest and carried a knife in a vest pocket. He also carried a backpack containing a stun baton."

It is when they reached the Capitol grounds, according to the prosecution's narrative which was accepted by the grand jury, that the crucial act linking the six

Continued on Page 4

Both Ontario & County Are Running Airports That Have Created Threats To The Local Aquifer *from front page*

ing for installation of a storm drain pipeline for a Southern California Edison facility that was being constructed. The

County of San Bernardino Department of Airports was notified, and it contacted the county fire

department's hazardous materials division and TetraTech, an environmental assessment company under contract to the county. TetraTech retained Double Barrel, a commercial hazardous materials emergency responder, to assess the situation. Additional drums were discovered that day and by sunset on July 22,

2010, eight buried drums had been removed from the excavation. The drums did not have lids and contained soil on top of a tan resinous material. The contents of the drums were field tested using a chemical identification kit and determined to be a non-explosive, flammable,

non-corrosive, organic resin-type material. Over the next several days, more drums were discovered and gingerly removed. Soil samples were collected and together with the material in the drums and the liquid in one of the drums, delivered to Microbac Laboratory in Riverside, and analyzed for volatile organic compounds, semi-volatile organic compounds, total petroleum hydrocarbons, carbon range, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, flashpoint and their content of antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum,

nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, vanadium, and zinc. The analytical results indicated that high concentrations of benzene were present in all of the samples. Benzene concentrations ranging from 1,600 milligrams/kilogram (mg/kg) to 6,800 mg/kg were detected in the resinous material in the drums. The benzene concentration in the soil sample was 170 mg/kg. Also detected were toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, styrene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and naphthalene. The tan resinous material appeared to be a jellied fuel mixture.

On July 28, 2010, a geophysical survey was

conducted in an effort to locate any additional buried drums. During the survey, anomalies were found in several areas to the east and west of the original excavation and were marked as possible targets for further investigation. TetraTech formulated a removal strategy and submitted it to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board office in Riverside on August 9, 2010 and the staff for the board provided same-day verbal approval of that plan. Excavation and removal of the remaining drums was conducted between August 16 and August 25, 2010. A total of 51

Continued on Page 5

62-Year-Old Woman Carjacks Vehicle From 36-Year-Old Man *from front page*

all there was, though, since Martin refused to exit the vehicle. Hardened law enforcement officers, ones with guns and equipped with batons, mace and electric stun guns, were, for an interim, unable coax her from the vehicle. Ulti-

mately, she was taken into custody after eight law enforcement officers arrived.

She was booked into West Valley Detention Center on felony PC 215(A) carjacking and VC2800.4 evading and recklessly operating a motor vehicle while going the wrong way charges. No bail was set for her, but she was released this evening at 9:14 p.m.

California & U.S. Government Officials Were Using Informants & Provocateurs In Efforts To Entrap Him, Hostetter Says *from page 3*

– Hostetter, Taylor, Martinez, Mele, Warner and Kinnison – to the act of insurrection that took place inside the Capitol occurred.

“At 2:13 pm, Warner entered the Capitol Building through a broken window,” the indictment states.

Taylor did not remain in the building for long, something less than 17 minutes, according to the government, since, according to the indictment, “At approximately 2:30 pm, Taylor and Hostetter joined rioters on the lower floors of the West Terrace of the Capitol who were pushing through a line of law enforcement officers trying to hold them back. Taylor, still carrying a knife in the front chest pocket of his plate carrier vest, urged on rioters attempting to push through another line of officers on a lower level of the West Terrace, saying, ‘Move forward Americans!’”

A plate carrier is a type of bulletproof vest, which also has pockets that serve to carry ammunition or as a holster or weapon holder.

“Taylor then turned back to the officers a few feet away from him, who were trying to keep the rioters from moving toward the Upper West Terrace and Capitol Building, saying, ‘Last chance boys. Move back!’ Taylor, followed closely by Hostetter, then pushed through the area that the law enforcement officers had been blocking, moved up the stairs onto a structure erected for the Inauguration, and continued moving

on to the Upper West Terrace. On the Upper West Terrace, Hostetter stated, ‘The people have taken back

their house Hundreds of thousands of patriots showed up today to take back their government!’

Taylor yelled to other rioters, ‘Inside!’ and Taylor and Hostetter then moved toward the Capitol Building. At 2:38

pm, Martinez, wearing a plate-carrier vest, and Kinnison, wearing a gas mask, joined rioters in the restricted area on the Upper West Terrace of the Capitol Building.”

According to the indictment, Mele shot a “selfie” video of the riot on his cell phone from the steps next to the Upper West Terrace and stated, “We stormed the Capitol.” The government has apparently obtained a copy of that photo.

According to the indictment, “Taylor met Martinez and Kinnison on the Upper West Terrace of the Capitol.”

The indictment collectively accuses Hostetter, Mele, Martinez, Kinnison, Warren and Taylor of violating not just 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a) (I), which pertains to entering and remaining in a restricted building and grounds as well as U.S.C. § 1752(b)(I)(A), which involves an enhancement of the maximum sentence from a fine and/or imprisonment for not more than one year up to a maximum ten years in prison if the violator is carrying a deadly or dangerous weapon.

In this way, the language of the indictment, although precise in many respects, remains less than clear on at least two crucial points.

Elsewhere in the indictment in reference to when Hostetter and Taylor had gone to the Ellipse to witness President Trump’s speech is the statement, “Hostetter and Taylor remained outside the secure area of the Ellipse where President Trump was speaking because they were carrying ‘personal protective gear’ that was not allowed inside under Secret Service regulations.”

In this way, how a jury of his peers will interpret Hostetter’s possession of a yet-undefined weapon – most likely either a gun or a knife – while he was out and about on the streets of Washington, D.C. on the morning

and afternoon of January 6, 2001 will be of crucial importance in his trial. Unlike Taylor, who may or may not have been caught up in the intensity of the moment, Hostetter did not go into the Capitol. As a former law enforcement official, he most likely has – or at the time had – a concealed weapon permit issued in the State of California, which would have been valid in the nation’s capital.

A second crucial interpretation to be made by the jury would be whether he entered into a restricted building and grounds.

According to U.S.C. § 1752(c), “In this section – (1) the term ‘restricted buildings or grounds’ means any posted, cordoned off, or otherwise restricted area – (A) of the White House or its grounds, or the vice president’s official residence or its grounds; (B) of a building or grounds where the President or other person protected by the Secret Service is or will be temporarily visiting; or (C) of a building or grounds so restricted in conjunction with an event designated as a special event of national significance.”

Since the insurrection that is at the heart of January 6 contemps was the attempt to interrupt or prevent the certification of the Electoral College vote, which took place in the Capitol building, a jury might conclude Hostetter had not entered nor remained in a restricted building and grounds, and was thus not guilty of three of the four charges against him, namely entering a restricted building, disorderly conduct within a restricted building and disorderly conduct within a restricted building in order to disrupt or impede the government so to prevent attendance at an official proceeding. If, however, the jury were to conclude that either or both the Lower West Terrace and the Upper West Terrace of the Capitol building constituted restricted grounds, he could very well be adjudged as guilty of

the three counts alleged in that portion of the indictment. In addition, Hostetter is charged with obstructing an official proceeding, which is independent of his having entered into a restricted building or grounds. On that count, even if the jury were to find him not guilty on the other three charges, he could yet be deemed guilty if the jury believes his action in encouraging others to prevent the election certification from taking place indeed led to the obstruction of the proceeding.

Hostetter, was formerly represented by Attorney Bill Essayli, who has since been elected to the California Assembly, as well as by John Pierce, Elita Amato and Karen Kenney. He is now representing himself.

In his first major sally against the U.S. Attorney’s Office contesting the case filed against him while acting as his own legal counsel, Hostetter filed a motion to dismiss the indictment due to “outrageous government conduct.” In that motion, Hostetter alleged that a host of agents provocateur and informants working “at the behest of federal handlers from the FBI and/or U.S intelligence agencies” had set him up for the indictment to neutralize his efforts to protest and reverse the stay-at-home orders issued by California Governor Gavin Newsom in reaction to the COVID-19 outbreak in 2020 and the “freedom movement” he was leading in Southern California that was resisting the world domination agenda of international communism, Mormonism and Freemasonry through his effort to ensure the reelection of Donald Trump. In his motion, Hostetter identified several of those agents provocateur, including Orange County Sheriff’s Department Sergeant Paul Ketchum, a street artist in Orange County known only as “Bandit,” civil rights attorney Leigh Taylor Dundas, Billionaire Irvine Smith, social activist Michael Inzano and his co-defendant Taylor.

In the motion, Hostetter

revealed that the individual identified as Person One in the indictment was Irvine Smith. He further stated that Taylor had suggested that he stay at the Kimpton George Hotel in Washington, D.C. when he was there for the Stop the Steal Rally on November 14, 2020 and the protest against the election certification on January 6, 2021. The Kimpton George Hotel, according to Hostetter, is “likely a hotel the FBI/intel agencies use to surveil and monitor specific targets, of which defendant most certainly was by November of 2020.”

In the motion, Hostetter insisted he had no seditious intent by being in Washington, D.C. on January 6, 2021.

“The only plan or intention that day was to peacefully and lawfully protest a stolen election,” he wrote.

Hostetter wrote that “Defendant believed that any discussions or messages being circulated by others and about carrying ‘personal protective gear’ that day had to do with being able to defend oneself against a possibly violent and large BLM/Antifa counterprotest that might occur, thereby placing Trump supporters in physical danger. Defendant estimates that he arrived at the U.S. Capitol roughly 45 minutes to an hour after the breaching of the Capitol had already begun. Defendant, at no time prior to arriving at the Capitol, had made any plans with anyone to commit an illegal act, nor did he have any intention of moving past any police perimeter lines or disrupting the proceeding inside the Capitol building.”

Part of Hostetter’s formula as an activist in California was to carry and use a bullhorn to stir up crowds at the rallies he attended. He had a bullhorn with him on January 6, 2021.

“Defendant would estimate tens of thousands of people had already surrounded the Capitol building and surrounding streets on all sides by the time defendant arrived at the Capitol,”

according to Hostetter’s motion. “Defendant recalls walking to Taylor’s right side and slightly behind Taylor at the time we arrived at the Capitol grounds. Immediately upon stepping onto the Capitol lawn, before having any opportunity to survey the situation or try to determine where we should stand in or near the crowd to join the protest, a man at the edge of the main crowd yelled words to the effect of, ‘You with the bullhorn!’ (Pointing directly at defendant and then looking down at his phone as if he were reading a text or a news report). ‘Get

on your bullhorn and let the people know... Pence just betrayed Trump. It’s over. We’re doomed!’ or words to that effect. Defendant believes it very likely this unknown man encouraging defendant’s use of the bullhorn was likely working with Taylor and his handlers to initiate the sequence of events that led to defendant ultimately standing on the Capitol Plaza. Defendant did not do as the man requested. After the unknown man yelled at defendant, co-defendant Russ Taylor immediately looked over his shoulder at defendant and yelled, ‘Let’s go!’ Taylor then began moving quickly towards the Capitol building by ducking under a tarp and rapidly walking up some stairs. Taylor was defendant’s friend at the time so defendant joined his friend and followed him. Not having any idea where Taylor was headed, other than generally in the direction of the Capitol building, defendant simply followed him. Defendant would describe this as akin to a halfback following a lead blocker on a football field. Taylor is so large that all defendant could initially see was Taylor’s back. Defendant assumed that at some point they would arrive at a location where either a fence or a mass of protesters and police officers would likely prevent them from moving any further. In hindsight, the route Taylor was leading defendant along was

Continued on Page 12

A Toxic Cocktail Of Pollutants Is Making An Underground Creep From Ontario Airport Through the Region's Water Table Into The Chino Basin *from page 3*

drums, several aluminum canisters and pieces of wood were removed from the excavation site and additional soil was excavated from beneath the drums, placed in stockpiles and covered. The resulting excavation measured approximately 100 feet from east to west and 20 feet from north to south. The bottom of the excavation varied from 10 to 15 feet below ground surface.

In August 2010, a staff member of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board observed the collection of the final confirmation soil samples. Personnel from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control were also present. The samples were submitted to a California certified laboratory for analysis. The analytical results for the soil samples showed very low concentrations of benzene, ethylbenzene, xylene, trimethylbenzene, naphthalene, gasoline, diesel and motor oil.

The analytical results were reviewed by the California Water Resources Board and discussed by phone with TetraTech employees. TetraTech's analysis of the soil samples for volatile organic compounds,

semi-volatile organic compounds, and total petroleum hydrocarbons showed concentrations of benzene up to 17.3 I-Ig/kg, ethylbenzene up to 3.2 I-Ig/kg, toluene up to 17.2 I-Ig/kg, xylene up to 7.54 I-Ig/kg, total petroleum hydrocarbons diesel range up to 32.2 mg/kg, and total petroleum hydrocarbons motor oil range up to 149 mg/kg. 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene was detected in only one sample at 5.91 pg/kg. Based on the analytical results of the stockpiled soil, TetraTech had the soil transported to TPS Technologies in Adelanto for disposal on August 20 and 21, 2010.

Based on the high benzene concentrations detected in the contents of the drums, on October 11, 2010, the sealed bins, containing waste product, drums and debris, were transported and disposed of at Rineco in Benton, Arkansas.

While the material represented a de facto limited and potentially more widespread ecological hazard to the ground and groundwater beneath the airport, the county at that time insisted the prompt removal of the drums and their contents by county officials was alleviating that danger and most or all of the contamination

was being remediated.

At that time, state water resources officials said they were satisfied with the county's response.

Chino Airport is an asset of the county overseen by the county's airports division. Another problem there consists of a plume of perchloroethylene (PCE) and trichloroethene (TCE) that originated at Chino Airport migrating south-southwest from the western end of the airport property. The plume has reached a point more than 8,500 feet from the airport, south of Bickmore Avenue. There are 28 permanent wells in the area and 33 additional sample ground water collection probes that have monitored the extent of contamination and its movement. The highest level of TCE detected is 420 parts per billion. The allowable California safe drinking water standard is 5.0 parts of TCE per billion, such that some of the water in the basin is testing at a level that is 84 times higher than is considered safe.

There are multiple points within the plume where readings of over 100 parts per billion have been encountered.

Some of the plume is being captured by a desalter project that has wells within the area of the plume. The desalter plant was built to capture water and remove the high concentration of

salt and nitrates present in the water as a consequence of the significant number of dairies in the area before the water reaches the Santa Ana River. The plant also pulls in TCE, so a portion of the TCE is not making it into the river but the desalter plant is inadequate to the task of effectuating the whole clean-up. The county, in conjunction with TetraTech, has devised and is executing a plan to remediate the TCE and PCE contamination.

According to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, the TCE and PCE contamination, which is likely to have originated as a consequence of the use of those solvents on aircraft at the airport, is unrelated to the drums of what appears to be naphthalene that were buried at the airport.

Over the years, the county has increased its contract with TetraTech, Inc. for the handling of contamination issues at Chino Airport. In September 2014, having already paid TetraTech \$1,695,880 for historical site assessment, environmental site assessments, environmental compliance audits and the conducting of a monitoring program at Chino Airport, it upped the contract by another \$290,000, increasing it to \$1,985,880.

Tetra Tech's work at the airport has continued apace over the in-

tervening years. In addition, Yellow Jacket Drilling Services, LLC has installed thirty-two groundwater monitoring wells along with other facilities in the area around the airport and the known extent of the contamination plume.

The potential for litigation between the county and the City of Ontario was revealed in the agenda for next week's county board of supervisors meeting.

On January 10, the county board of supervisors is set to sign off on a waiver of potential conflict of interest arising out of the law firm of Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell representing the County of San Bernardino while it is simultaneously representing the City of Ontario.

According to County Counsel Tom Bunton, "San Bernardino County is under a Regional Water Quality Control Board order to investigate, clean up and remediate a groundwater plume near Chino Airport. Since May of 2010, outside counsel, including Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell LLP (KKR), in coordination with the office of county counsel has provided the necessary specialized legal advice and assistance to the county regarding the Chino Airport groundwater remediation."

The office of county counsel is the county governmental structure's stable of in-house attorneys.

"On February 9, 2021, the county expanded its agreement with KKR by entering into Contract No. 21-125 in the amount of \$3.4 million to continue to provide legal services, including experts, related to the remediation and investigation of the county's ability to recover clean-up costs from prior occupants and tenants at the Chino Airport who may have caused the contamination," Bunton continued. "KKR has been asked to provide legal advice to the City of Ontario for a limited time regarding Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulatory requirements for the

sale or lease of airport property. At this time there is no lawsuit pending between the county and the City of Ontario. However, the City of Ontario is potentially adverse to the county at the Chino Airport because a separate plume (the Archibald Plume) is migrating from and near the Ontario International Airport property towards the far eastern edge of the Chino Airport property. The Archibald Plume is a separate plume that may be threatening county property and damage to county property could result in the county filing a lawsuit against the city to seek remediation costs."

According to technical experts, because the aviation operation at Ontario International Airport dwarfs the aviation-related activity at Chino Airport, the contamination threat from the northward facility is approaching 30 times as serious as what the county is dealing with in Chino.

"The potential FAA matter is unrelated to KKR's work for the county related to the Chino Airport remediation project and KKR does not advise the county on FAA matters," according to Bunton. "KKR will not be advising the City of Ontario regarding the development itself nor on environmental matters. The two matters do not involve common facts or relevant confidential information, and different attorneys will work on each matter. This representation is not prohibited by California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1.7. Given the short duration and limited scope of the City of Ontario matter and that any potential litigation between the county and the City of Ontario regarding the plume is many years away at the earliest, the representation is not anticipated to be an issue, but should litigation ensue, KKR would not represent either party at the same time."

-Mark Gutglueck

Voting Rights Act Tilted Playing Field In Favor Of District Elections Over At-Large electoral Races *from front page*

49.35 percent. Scott, an African American, will replace O'Gilvie, an African American.

The same night that O'Gilvie gave up the mayoral gavel and her council post, McArthur Wright replaced her as the council's choice as mayor. McArthur Wright is an African American.

A generation-and-a-half ago, a college professor said that in a cultural and political context, the American population had grown too sophisticated to talk about race. Three-quarters of a gen-

eration later, the California Legislature begged to differ when it passed the California Rights Act of 2001.

The California Voting Rights Act had as its purpose ensuring minority representation – what is more accurately referred to as "protected" minority representation – on political bodies in the Golden State. For the context of the California Voting Rights Act, protected minorities meant ethnic minorities that had previously been underrepresented in elected

office: African Americans, Latinos, Asians, Native Americans and Pacific Islanders.

Provisions were worked into the California Voting Rights Act to prevent what was referred to as majority dilution of the minority vote. The problem was, or so the theory went, that the overall ethnic majority in any given city, which in most cases at that time meant Caucasians, would overwhelm – or dilute – by its more numerous votes the fewer minority voters in the city, be they Hispanic or Black or Oriental or Indians or those with ancestors from the South

Pacific.

In at least some of the cases, it was noted by theorists and conceptualists, there would be a significant number of one minority living within a given community, in many cases enough or more than enough people to represent a bloc larger than one fifth or one seventh of the city's population. Since most city councils consisted of five members with a handful having seven, that meant that in a city where one minority ethnicity represented 20 percent or more of the population, it should be able, at least statistically speaking, to

Continued on Page 12

Postmus, Who Has Refined The Art Of Delivering Bribes To Politicians To A Science, Is Making Sure That A Company Kicking Back To Politicians Will Get The Contracts To Make County Buildings More Energy Efficient from page 4

to Curt Hagman, the one-time Chino Hills mayor who was then in his third term in the California Assembly and therefore under the term limit rules then in effect no longer eligible to run for reelection to California's lower legislative house. Hagman was intent to transition to the position of Fourth District San Bernardino County supervisor, which was to be contested in the 2014 election. To better position himself for the supervisorial race, Hagman orchestrated a silent coup to move then-San Bernardino County Republican Party Chairman Robert Rego out of the county party's top spot and assume it himself. Once he had acceded to the county party chairmanship, Hagman worked closely with the then-22-year-old Brosowske, who exhibited an uncommon enthusiasm and energetic intensity in his involvement on behalf of the Republican Party. As the new county party chairman, Hagman, at Postmus's urging, gave Brosowske one challenging assignment after another, which he dutifully fulfilled. Hagman proudly proclaimed that his protégé Brosowske had involved himself in 14 separate electoral efforts on behalf of Republican candidates in the 2014 election, and all 14 had won. Consequently, Hagman hired Brosowske at the age of 23 into the post of executive director of the San Bernardino County Republican Central Committee.

In this way, through his connections with Hagman and Brosowske, Postmus had once again placed himself within the inner circle of those controlling Republican politics in San Bernardino County.

In 2014, Postmus used Mountain States Consulting Group as a vehicle for successfully supporting Paul Russ, one of his longtime associates,

in his run for the Hesperia City Council. In 2016, he used Mountain States to assist several hopefuls in the race for the Republican Central Committee held during that year's June Primary and he coordinated the successful Hesperia City Council candidacy of Rebekah Swanson, the wife of one of his longtime political associates, Eric Swanson, in that year's November race.

For Postmus, who understood implicitly and explicitly how the political system works with its circular pay-to-play element of control and governance where politicians take in money from those with an interest in the governmental decision-making process, use that money to get into office or stay in office and vote to approve the development projects or the franchises of those who have donated that money, Mountain States Consulting proved the ideal vehicle for reestablishing himself as a political player. He had been caught boldly and baldly engaging in quid pro quos – bribetaking in which he sold his votes or actions as an officeholder to entities with a financial interest in governmental decisions or policies. Now as the owner of Mountain States Consulting Group, he could offer politicians a way to engage in the same pay-to-play ethos that had tripped him up so that they would not get caught and be stigmatized with criminal convictions as he had been. Mountain States took money originating with individuals or companies with a stake in governmental decisions, laundered it through his company and then provided that money, either as legitimate political donations or payments in some other form to the politicians making those decisions. In this way, Postmus employs Mountain States Consulting Group as a cutout, insu-

lating the recipients of the money – the politicians – from those who are providing the money. When Postmus properly executes on this mission, it protects the politicians from the perception that their votes are being purchased, which has political benefits, while serving to lessen to some extent the possibility that the politicians he is funneling money to will be subject to enforcement action for engaging in what in the final analysis is out-and-out illegal activity, the taking of kickbacks.

Brosowske, Postmus and others believed, possessed the charisma, attitude, perseverant dedication and temperament the GOP needed in its leadership and elected officeholders to offset the increasing advantage the Democrats have been accruing over the Republicans in San Bernardino County in terms of voter registration numbers. Postmus began grooming the younger man for office, such as that of county supervisor or a place in the state legislature and Congress Postmus had once aspired to but ultimately failed to achieve.

In May of 2018, Hesperia Mayor Russ Blewett died. Rather than hold an election to fill the resulting vacancy until what would have been the end of Blewett's term later that year, the council, after elevating Councilman Bill Holland into the mayor's position, invited residents of the city to apply for appointment to fill in the council gap. Postmus arranged to find Brosowske living quarters in Hesperia, and had him apply to serve as Blewett's replacement. Since Postmus had been instrumental in getting two of the Hesperia City Council's members at that time – Paul Russ and Rebekah Swanson – elected to their positions, he was able to readily convince them to support Brosowske's appointment. With some minimal lobbying of Holland, another Republican, Postmus was able to get him to support Brosowske as well. Just like that, Brosowske, at the

age 27 was the youngest member of all of the city and town councils in San Bernardino County's 24 municipalities. Running in the November 2018 race as an incumbent council member, Brosowske, using his own electioneering savvy and the support of Postmus and the Republican Party and its donors, won that election.

At that point, Postmus was back with a vengeance, and he was well on the way to once again becoming the de facto kingpin of San Bernardino County politics, the hidden hand behind the fundraising efforts for Third District County Supervisor Dawn Rowe and First District Supervisor Paul Cook in their successful 2000 election efforts and that of Jesse Armendarez in his 2022 Second District supervisorial victory, to say nothing of the guidance he gave with regard to the shaping of the campaigns themselves.

A key element in this is the role Postmus plays in guiding not just the candidates for office but a good cross section of the major political donors in the county.

A case in point is Reggie King. From 1999 until 2008 King was the second most prolific individual donor to San Bernardino County politicians and from 2009 until 2018 the most generous financial sponsor of the county's elected officials. He remains among the top five contributors to the political campaigns of officeholders throughout San Bernardino County. The chief executive officer of Young Homes, King is also a principal in or president of 15 other companies, including YHM, LLC; Young Homes Group, LLC; YH Communities LLC; North Trademark Builders, Inc; North Trademark Finance Company, LLC; PRJR Partner, Inc; Marsteller Investments, LLC; Jumong 81 LLC; Neoteric Entertainment Inc; Avenal Finance, LLC; RJJ Investments LLC; The Avenal Group, LLC; Avenal Auto & Marine LLC; and FHC Productions, Inc. In non-election years, King's

donations to elected office holders hover around \$100,000 annually. In election years during the first two decades of the Third Millennium, King's donations to local politicians typically reached or exceeded \$200,000 annually. King told the *Sentinel* that he in large measure relies upon Postmus to guide him with regard to which candidates for public office he should support. Postmus, King said, was on top of the dynamics impacting local government and politics, and is able to discern trends and momentum in that regard and keep him ahead of the curve in terms of who is up and who is down in the clash between rival political camps.

King is not the only captain of local industry who has turned to Postmus for such guidance.

Consequently, the powers that be in San Bernardino County – officeholders at the municipal and county level – have reason to maintain a working relationship with Postmus. In this way, Postmus and Mountain States Consulting Group today exist as a juggernaut of influence at the local governmental level, an irresistible political force.

It is not lost on San Bernardino County's political class, either, that it was former San Bernardino County District Attorney Mike Ramos who initially laid Postmus low by criminally charging him in 2009 and again in 2010 and obtaining the 14 convictions against him in 2011. Ramos was defeated by the current district attorney, Jason Anderson, in 2018. Anderson is disinclined to prosecute Postmus at this point out of political considerations, which has provided Postmus with a free hand to engage in political money laundering while facilitating the pay-to-play trade-offs between donors and politicians that have become his bread and butter.

Postmus has gone to bat for Chapman and Alliance Building Solutions, having effectively persuaded Hagman, Rowe, Cook and

Armendarez – the four Republican members of the board of supervisors – that they should allow Alliance Building Solutions to simply take on the county building and facility upgrades without having to engage in an open bid process, just as was the case in Upland, Rialto and Fontana.

The remaining member of the board of supervisors – Joe Baca Jr – was a member of the Rialto City Council when that city waived the bid competition requirement for Alliance Building Solutions, and he has been primed to repeat that in his supervisorial capacity. The county and the board stand ready to use the loophole in California law that allows projects that promote energy efficiency to be considered and approved outside the normal process of requiring a competitive bid.

At the county staff level there are two individuals who have the authority to intervene on behalf of the county's taxpayers and make a point of forcing the issue in such a way that the board of supervisors would face unwanted public scrutiny of their decision to waive the competitive bid process for the building and facility upgrade projects: County Chief Executive Officer Leonard Hernandez and County Chief Operating Officer Luther Snoke. Either Hernandez or Snoke could exercise the discretion they possess to put into the staff reports relating to those projects a recommended waiver of the competitive bid process or, in the alternative, a recommendation that the county solicit bids on each of the projects separately or a series of the projects collectively.

The *Sentinel* is informed that Hernandez has already delegated oversight of the projects to Snoke with the understanding that Snoke will go along with the will of the board of supervisors that no competitive bidding take place.

There are multiple factors driving why no competitive bidding will occur. The first of these is

Continued on Page 12

Public Notices

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220010626

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: AUTO AMBASSADOR, 1018 N VISTA AVE, RIALTO, CA 92376, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: , AUTO AMBASSADOR, 1018 N VISTA AVE, RIALTO, CA 92376, State of Inc./Org./Reg. CA, Inc./Org./Reg. No. 5301463 Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JAIME VALDEZ, PRESIDENT This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 11/17/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 10/20/2022

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code). 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 1/06/2023

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: BARBARA ANN MASIA
Case No. PROSB2201717

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of BARBARA ANN MASIA

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by CHRISTINE MARIE MASIA in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that CHRISTINE MARIE MASIA be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-37 at 9:00 a.m. on JANUARY 25, 2023 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Public Notices

representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Christine Marie Masia:

ANTONETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624)

1894 S. COMMERCE CENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408

Telephone No: (909) 890-2350

Fax No: (909) 890-0106

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on December 23 & 30, 2022 and January 6, 2023.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LEONOR SALDANA ALVAREZ
Case No. PROSB2201709

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of LEONOR SALDANA ALVAREZ

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ADRIANA CONTRERAS in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ADRIANA CONTRERAS be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-37 at 9:00 a.m. on JANUARY 25, 2023 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Public Notices

California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Adriana Contreras:

ANTONETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624)

1894 S. COMMERCE CENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408

Telephone No: (909) 890-2350

Fax No: (909) 890-0106

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on December 23 & 30, 2022 and January 6, 2023.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: ARDRELLE M. BANKS BAHAR
Case No. PROSB2201672

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of ARDRELLE M. BANKS BAHAR

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Lenora Bahar in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Lenora Bahar be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on January 17, 2023 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: PAUL HORN ESQ SBN243227

PAUL HORN LAW GROUP PC 11404 SOUTH STREET CERRITOS CA 90703 CN991913 ANDERSON Dec 23,30, 2022, Jan 6, 2023

Public Notices

of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: RICHARD F NEVINS

ESQ SBN 137261

LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD F NEVINS

3895 BROCKTON AVENUE

RIVERSIDE CA 92501

CN992638 BAHAR Dec 23,30, 2022, Jan 6, 2023

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF WILBERT F. ANDERSON

Case No. PROSB2201630

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of WILBERT F. ANDERSON

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Natalie Antoinette Anderson in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Natalie Antoinette Anderson be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on January 10, 2023 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: RICHARD F NEVINS

ESQ SBN 137261

LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD F NEVINS

3895 BROCKTON AVENUE

RIVERSIDE CA 92501

CN992638 BAHAR Dec 23,30, 2022, Jan 6, 2023

Public Notices

FBN 20220011559

The following entity is doing business as JOHN DUKE MUSIC 11660 CHURCH ST #156 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: JOHN K. O'NEILL 11660 CHURCH ST #156 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Mailing Address: 11660 CHURCH ST #156 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: NOVEMBER 15, 2022.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JOHN K O'NEILL

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 12/20/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on December 23 & 30, 2022 and January 6 & 13, 2023.

FBN 20220011466

The following entities are doing business as SLICK PRODUCTIONS [and] SLICKQUAL [and] SLICK DIGITAL 14932 BOBCAT LN FONTANA, CA 92336: SANJAY RAJAN 14932 BOBCAT LN FONTANA, CA 92336 [and] BEN T BOBEN 1900 COLORADO ST REDLANDS, CA 92374

The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: DECEMBER 15, 2022.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ SANJAY RAJAN, General Partner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 12/16/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy J3108

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on December 23 & 30, 2022 and January 6 & 13, 2023.

FBN 20220009648

The following entity is doing business as C&M PAINTING 9250 LOCUST AVE FONTANA, CA 92335 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: CESAR MARTINEZ 9250 LOCUST AVE FONTANA, CA 92335

Mailing Address: 1440 S E ST #E SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ CESAR MARTINEZ, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/17/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy I8090

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does

Public Notices

not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on November 4, 11, 18 & 25, 2022. Corrected on December 23 & 30, 2022 and January 6 & 13, 2023.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

MICHAEL THAMES
Case NO. PROSB2201724

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MICHAEL THAMES

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by IVORY THAMES in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that IVORY THAMES be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S 36 at 9:00AM on 01/24/2023 3 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, SAN BERNARDINO JUSTICE CENTER at 247 W. THIRD STREET, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: CHRISTOPHER JAMES CATALANO

R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603

PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000

Fax (909) 475 8800

sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on December 30, 2022 and January 6 & 13, 2023.

AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING RELATING TO DEFENDANT'S ESTATE OR TRUST

ESTATE OF: FRED

ESTATE OF: JAMES

Public Notices

CATALANO CASE NO. PROSB2201746

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JAMES CATALANO has been filed by CHRISTOPHER JAMES CATALANO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that CHRISTOPHER JAMES CATALANO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests that CHRISTOPHER JAMES CATALANO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held JANUARY 26, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S36 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: December 23, 2022

Sabrina Munoz,

Deputy Court Clerk

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Public Notices

TROY WILLIS CASE NO. PROSB2100817

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, and contingent creditors of FRED TROY WILLIS and persons who may be otherwise interested in the will or estate, or both:

This notice is required by law. You are not required to appear in court, but you may attend the hearing and object or respond if you wish. If you do not respond or attend the hearing, the court may act on the filing without you.

Notice is given that LEHANNA LESHAN GRIMALDI has filed a petition, application, report or account: REPORT OF SALE AND PETITION FOR ORDER CONFIRMING SALE OF REAL PROPERTY (Filed 11/18/22) WITH SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT OF SALE AND PETITION FOR ORDER CONFIRMING SALE OF REAL PROPERTY.

A hearing on the matter will be held as follows: JANUARY 09, 2023 AT 9:00 in Department 37 at SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT - PROBATE DIVISION 247 W. 3rd STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0212.

If the filing described is a report of the status of a decedent's estate administration made under Probate Code section 12200, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO PETITION FOR AN ACCOUNTING UNDER SECTION 10950 OF THE PROBATE CODE.

Attorney for the Petitioner Lehanna Leshan Grimaldi: MARY M. BADER 9227 HAVEN AVENUE, SUITE 368 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 Telephone: (909) 945-2775 Fax: (909) 945-2778

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on December 30, 2022 and January 6, 13 & 20, 2023.

FBN20220010950

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: ANTHONYS TRUCKING 16404 EMBARK WAY CHINO, CA 91708, primarily in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: ANTHONY J OCHOA 16404 EMBARK WAY CHINO, CA 91708.

This Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ANTHONY J OCHOA
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 11/30/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk, J2286

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 12/30/2022, 01/05/2023, 01/12/2023 and 01/19/2023.

FBN20220011783

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: Q SCREW PRODUCTS 1512 E. FRANCIS ST. UNIT A ONTARIO, CA 91761, primarily in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY:

AMY N. QUINONEZ 28175 WELLS FARGO RD LAKE EL-SINORE, CA 92532

[and]
VICTOR R. QUINONEZ 28175 WELLS FARGO RD LAKE EL-SINORE, CA 92532

This Business is Conducted By: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information,

Public Notices

which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/AMY N QUINONEZ, Partner
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 12/29/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: NOVEMBER 28, 2007
County Clerk, J2286

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 12/30/2022, 01/05/2023, 01/12/2023 and 01/19/2023.

FBN20220011469

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: the table fellowship THE TABLE FELLOWSHIP 1318 W 9TH ST UPLAND, CA 91786, primarily in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY:

CANVAS CHURCH OF UPLAND, INC. 1318 W 9TH ST UPLAND, CA 91786

Mailing Address: 8413 ORCHARD ST. RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

This Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/NATHAN LOBDELL, President
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 12/16/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk, J3108

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 12/30/2022, 01/05/2023, 01/12/2023 and 01/19/2023.

FBN20220011390

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: BENJAMIN FRANKLIN PLUMBING 8378 BRITANNY LANE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701, primarily in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY:

PIPE DREAM ROOTER & PLUMBING, INC 8378 BRITANNY LANE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

This Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION registered with the STATE OF CALIFORNIA under the number 2505184

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/DANIELLE LEYTON, Secretary

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 12/14/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk, J3108

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 12/30/2022, 01/05/2023, 01/12/2023 and 01/19/2023.

NOTICE OF SALE OF

Public Notices

REAL PROPERTY [Probate Code Sec. 10300]

Case No. PROSB2100817
In re the: Estate Of FRED TROY WILLIS

Notice is given that LEHANNA LESHAN GRIMALDI, as Personal Representative of the Estate of FRED TROY WILLIS, will set at private sale subject to confirmation by the Superior Court of San Bernardino, on JANUARY 9, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. at Superior Court of San Bernardino 247 W. Third Street, San Bernardino CA Department S37, of the following real property of the Estate:

10800 PLUMAS ROAD RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

Legal Description: TRACT NO. 11606-3 LOT 41 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga, County of San Bernardino, State of California, as per Plat Recorded in Book 188, Pages 1 through 4, Inclusive of Records of Said County. APN: 1076-421-77-0000.

The terms and conditions of sale are: The amount of the sale is \$676,412.00. Deposit of \$15,000.00 with total down payment of 25%, or \$169,103.00. Balance of \$507,309.00 to be financed at 6.375%. AS IS SALE. The required amount of the first overbid is \$710,732.60. At least ten percent (10%) of the amount bid must be paid with the offer, and the balance must be paid on close of escrow after confirmation of sale by the Court.

Bids or offers for this property must be made to the Court at the time and date shown above. The sale is subject to confirmation by the Court.

Dated: November 18, 2022
Signed: Lehanna Leshan Grimaldi
Attorney for Lehanna Leshan Grimaldi: MARY M. BADER 9227 HAVEN AVENUE, SUITE 368 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 Telephone: (909) 945-2775 Fax: (909) 945-2778 officemarybader@verizon.net

Posted on the San Bernardino County Sentinel website January 4, 5 & 6, 2023. Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel January 6, 2023.

Notice Of Sale Of Real Property [Probate Code Sec. 10300]

Case No. PROSB2100817
In re the: Estate Of FRED TROY WILLIS

Notice is given that LEHANNA LESHAN GRIMALDI, as Personal Representative of the Estate of FRED TROY WILLIS, will set at private sale subject to confirmation by the Superior Court of San Bernardino, on JANUARY 9, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. at Superior Court of San Bernardino 247 W. Third Street, San Bernardino CA Department S37, of the following real property of the Estate:

10800 PLUMAS ROAD ALTA LOMA, CA 91701

Legal Description: TRACT NO. 11606-3 LOT 41 APN: 1076-421-77-0000
The terms and conditions of sale are: All cash, the amount of the sale is \$676,412.00. The required amount of the first overbid is \$710,732.60 At least ten percent (10%) of the amount bid must be paid with the offer, and the balance must be paid on close of escrow after confirmation of sale by the Court.
Bids or offers for this property must be made to the Court at the time and date shown above. The sale is subject to confirmation by the Court.
Dated: November 18, 2022
Signed: Lehanna Leshan Grimaldi
Attorney for Lehanna Leshan Grimaldi: MARY M. BADER 9227 HAVEN AVENUE, SUITE 368 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 Telephone: (909) 945-2775 Fax: (909) 945-2778 officemarybader@verizon.net
Posted on the San Bernardino County Sentinel website January 4, 5 & 6, 2023. Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel January 6, 2023.

Public Notices

TRACT NO. 11606-3 LOT 41 APN: 1076-421-77-0000

The terms and conditions of sale are: All cash, the amount of the sale is \$676,412.00. The required amount of the first overbid is \$710,732.60 At least ten percent (10%) of the amount bid must be paid with the offer, and the balance must be paid on close of escrow after confirmation of sale by the Court.

Bids or offers for this property must be made to the Court at the time and date shown above. The sale is subject to confirmation by the Court.

Dated: November 18, 2022

Signed: Lehanna Leshan Grimaldi

Attorney for Lehanna Leshan Grimaldi: MARY M. BADER 9227 HAVEN AVENUE, SUITE 368 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 Telephone: (909) 945-2775 Fax: (909) 945-2778 officemarybader@verizon.net

Posted on the San Bernardino County Sentinel website January 4, 5 & 6, 2023. Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel January 6, 2023.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: ROBERT BISHOP CASE NO. PROSB2201737

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of ROBERT BISHOP has been filed by JEFFREY HEMBREE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JEFFREY HEMBREE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests that the decedent's wills and codicils, if any, be admitted into probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held JANUARY 31, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: December 29, 2022
Valerie Uruena, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: December 29, 2022
Attorney for Trese Bishop: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 8800
sam@pricelawfirm.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13 & 20, 2023.

Public Notices

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: December 22, 2022
Attorney for Trese Bishop: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 8800
sam@pricelawfirm.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13 & 20, 2023.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JOHNSON HEMBREE CASE NO. PROSB2201751

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JOHNSON HEMBREE has been filed by JEFFREY HEMBREE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JEFFREY HEMBREE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests that the decedent's wills and codicils, if any, be admitted into probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held FEBRUARY 8, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: December 29, 2022
Valerie Uruena, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: December 29, 2022
Attorney for Kapiolani Ann Castillo: ANTONIETTE JAU-REGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408
Telephone No: (909) 890-2350
Fax No: (909) 890-0106
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13 & 20, 2023.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2223055
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner ANDREA MARIE NUNEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JOSEPH RUBEN SERENA to JOSEPH MICHAEL NUNEZ
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing
Date: FEBRUARY 21, 2023
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Filed: 12/7/2022
Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court: Veronica Gonzalez Andrea Marie Nunez, In Pro Per
11786 Cedar Avenue
Bloomington, CA 92316
(951) 204-4941
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13, 20 & 27, 2023.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2300040
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner JACOB JOSHUA REYES filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JACOB JOSHUA REYES to JACOB JOSHUA DIAZ
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at

least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing
Date: FEBRUARY 21, 2023
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.
IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: December 29, 2022
Attorney for Kapiolani Ann Castillo: ANTONIETTE JAU-REGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408
Telephone No: (909) 890-2350
Fax No: (909) 890-0106
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13 & 20, 2023.

Public Notices

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: December 29, 2022
Attorney for Kapiolani Ann Castillo: ANTONIETTE JAU-REGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408
Telephone No: (909) 890-2350
Fax No: (909) 890-0106
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13 & 20, 2023.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: ANGELINE LEDBETTER CASE NO. PROSB2201711

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of ANGELINE LEDBETTER

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by KAPIOLANI ANN CASTILLO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that KAPIOLANI ANN CASTILLO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests that the decedent's wills and codicils, if any, be admitted into probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-35 at 9:00 a.m. on JANUARY 26, 2023 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: December 29, 2022
Valerie Uruena, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: December 29, 2022
Attorney for Kapiolani Ann Castillo: ANTONIETTE JAU-REGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408
Telephone No: (909) 890-2350
Fax No: (909) 890-0106
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13 & 20, 2023.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2300040
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner JACOB JOSHUA REYES filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JACOB JOSHUA REYES to JACOB JOSHUA DIAZ
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at

least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing
Date: FEBRUARY 21, 2023
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.
IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Public Notices

least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing
Date: FEBRUARY 17, 2023
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Brian S. McCarville, Judge of the Superior Court
Filed: January 6, 2023
Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court: Brianna Johnson
Jacob Joshua Reyes, In Pro Per

Balfour Court
Redlands, CA 92374
(909) 557-6536

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on January 6, 13, 20 & 27, 2023.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME NOTICE

FBN 20220011076
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as:

DAMION'S CONSTRUCTION SERVICES LLC 1649 MAGNOLIA AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92414; DAMION'S CONSTRUCTION SERVICES 6709 LA TIERRA BOULEVARD #551 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

Mailing Address: 6709 LA TIERRA BOULEVARD #551 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

Business is Conducted By: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 202252019387

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ DAMION WILLIAMS, CEO
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 12/05/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: September 28, 2022.

County Clerk, G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 01/06, 01/13, 01/20 & 01/27, 2023.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME NOTICE

FBN 20220011240
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as:

CAR HAPPY AUTO SALES 170 NORTH ARROWHEAD AVE STE E-127 RIALTO, CA 92376; RAMI ENTERPRISE LLC 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 20225317452

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ JOSE A RAMIREZ, MANAGER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 12/09/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: No-

Public Notices

November 15, 2022.
County Clerk, G8420
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 01/06, 01/13, 01/20 & 01/27, 2023.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME NOTICE

FBN 20220011240
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as:

CAR HAPPY AUTO SALES 170 NORTH ARROWHEAD AVE STE E-127 RIALTO, CA 92376; RAMI ENTERPRISE LLC 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 20225317452

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ JOSE A RAMIREZ, MANAGER

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 12/09/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: November 15, 2022.

County Clerk, G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 01/06, 01/13, 01/20 & 01/27, 2023.

FBN 20220011016

The following person is doing business as: SOCAL FIT NUTRITION. 190 N. ARROWHEAD AVE, STE H RIALTO, CA 92376

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE L ARROYO 190 N. ARROWHEAD AVE, STE H RIALTO, CA 92376

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ JOSE L ARROYO, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 01, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 01/06, 01/13, 01/20 & 01/27, 2023.

FBN 20220011016

The following person is doing business as: SOCAL FIT NUTRITION. 190 N. ARROWHEAD AVE, STE H RIALTO, CA 92376

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE L ARROYO 190 N. ARROWHEAD AVE, STE H RIALTO, CA 92376

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ JOSE L ARROYO, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 01, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 01/06, 01/13, 01/20 & 01/27, 2023.

FBN 20220011087

The following person is doing business as: FONTANA SUSPENSION AND AUTO REPAIR. 17474 FOOTHILL BLVD. UNIT A FONTANA, CA 92335

Mailing Address: 17474 FOOTHILL BLVD. UNIT A FONTANA, CA 92335

Business is Conducted By: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California under the number 20225317452

Public Notices

s/ ISMAEL PEREZ DIAZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 05, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222101R

FBN 20220011100

The following person is doing business as: DULCERIA TIJUANA. 17565 VALLEY BLVD FONTANA, CA 92316

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JAN 07, 2013

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LETICIA RICO, GENERAL PARTNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 05, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222091R

FBN 20220011101

The following person is doing business as: SAVON CAR AUDIO. 1125 W. HOLT BLVD. SUITE B ONTARIO, CA 91762

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CESAR VELASCO DIAZ 1125 W. HOLT BLVD. SUITE B ONTARIO, CA 91762

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ CESAR VELASCO DIAZ, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 05, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222051R

FBN 20220011286

The following person is doing business as: ARCHIBALD LIQUOR & WINE. 8880 ARCHIBALD AVE STE A RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ALBERT LIMBRICH JR, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 09, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222020MT

FBN 20220011290

The following person is doing business as: ARCHIBALD LIQUOR & WINE. 8880 ARCHIBALD AVE STE A RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ALBERT LIMBRICH JR, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 09, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222020MT

Public Notices

becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CATRICE I ADAMS, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 12, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222071R

FBN 20220011218

The following person is doing business as: DONUTS DELICIOUS. 1231 N CACTUS AVE STE B RIALTO, CA 92376

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SOKCHEA SRUN 654 W MARGARITA RD RIALTO, CA 92376

KIMVA L SRUN 654 W MARGARITA RD RIALTO, CA 92376

The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JAN 01, 2018

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ SOKCHEA SRUN, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 08, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222061R

FBN 20220011109

The following person is doing business as: ZINUMSOLUTIONS LLC. 4181 MOUNTAIN DRIVE SANM BERNARDINO, CA 92407

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO YUSIMY J MUNIZ 4181 MOUNTAIN DRIVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ YUSIMY MUNIZ, CEO

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 05, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222051R

FBN 20220011286

The following person is doing business as: ARCHIBALD LIQUOR & WINE. 8880 ARCHIBALD AVE STE A RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ALBERT LIMBRICH JR, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 09, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222020MT

FBN 20220011290

The following person is doing business as: ARCHIBALD LIQUOR & WINE. 8880 ARCHIBALD AVE STE A RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ALBERT LIMBRICH JR, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 09, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CNB-B50222020MT

Public Notices

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DEEMBER 13, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CN-BB50220204MT

FBN 20220011170

The following person is doing business as: BRANDON'S DINER VINEYARD. 2407 SOUTH VINEYARD AVE SUITE A ONTARIO, CA 91761

Mailing Address: 7054 HARVEST LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92506

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: DEC 06, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ANA BENITEZ, PRESIDENT

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 07, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 12/16/2022, 12/23/2022, 12/30/2022, 01/06/2023 CN-BB5022203MT

FBN 20220011235

The following person is doing business as: BUY RITE PRODUCTS. 5049 GRAND AVE MONTCLAIR, CA 91763

Public Notices

ing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI20231MT

FBN 20220011778 The following person is doing business as: AMERICAS TOROS MECANICO WELDING. 1260 N FITZGERALD AVE #204 RIALTO, CA 92376; MAILING ADDRESS 16040 MILLER AVE FONTANA, CA 92336; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JUAN F LOPEZ 16040 MILLER AVE FONTANA, CA 92336. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: DEC 29, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JUAN F LOPEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 29, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202310MT

FBN 20220011319 The following person is doing business as: SOLPIX. 3139 N ASHFORD AVE RIALTO, CA 92377 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LOUIS C RODRIGUEZ 3139 N ASHFORD AVE RIALTO, CA 92377. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the ficti-

Public Notices

tious business name or names listed above on: DEC 06, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LOUIS C RODRIGUEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 13, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202309MT

FBN 20220011452 The following person is doing business as: U.S.A. AUTO BODY SHOP. 8265 FREMONTIA AVE FONTANA, CA 92335 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARCO DUARTE 8265 FREMONTIA AVE FONTANA, CA 92335. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: DEC 05, 2005 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MARCO A DUARTE, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 16, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself

Public Notices

authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202308MT

FBN 20220011442 The following person is doing business as: DIVINE HOME INSPECTIONS. 3713 OAKCREEK DRIVE #F ONTARIO, CA 91761 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO NORMAN CRAIG CHISOLM 3713 OAKCREEK DRIVE #F ONTARIO, CA 91761. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: DEC 10, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ NORMAN CRAIG CHISOLM, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 16, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202307MT

FBN 20220011431 The following person is doing business as: DIVINE ALIGNMENT CHRISTIAN COUNSELING AND SUPPORT. 9431 HAVEN AVE SUITE 100 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO THE SNOWDEN COMPANIES LLC 9431 HAVEN AVE SUITE 100 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 The business is conducted by: A

Public Notices

LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ RONALD SNOWDEN, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 15, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202306MT

FBN 20220011290 The following person is doing business as: ARCHIBALD LIQUOR & WINE. 8880 ARCHIBALD AVE STE A RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; MAILING ADDRESS 4718 GRAPHITE CREEK RD JURUPA VALLEY, CA 91752; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO PRAMUKHIRTH INC 4718 GRAPHITE CREEK RD JURUPA VALLEY, CA 91752; 8880 ARCHIBALD AVE STE A RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 917305 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ NITINKUMAR P PATEL, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 13, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a

Public Notices

correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202305MT

FBN 20220011505 The following person is doing business as: SAGE PATHWAYS. 5405 DELANEY WAY FONTANA, CA 9336 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LISA M ROSS 5405 DELANEY WAY FONTANA, CA 92336; BRIAN K ROSS 5405 DELANEY WAY FONTANA, CA 92336. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: NOV 18, 2019 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LISA M ROSS, PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 19, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202304IR

FBN 20220011522 The following person is doing business as: DAMIENSONLINESHOP. 1215 BIRCH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA

Public Notices

92410; MAILING ADDRESS PO BOX 1352 HIGHLAND, CA 92346; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARLON D RAMIREZ 1215 BIRCH ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: DEC 18, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MARLON D RAMIREZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 19, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202303IR

FBN 20220011719 The following person is doing business as: ZINUM SOLUTIONS. 4181 MOUNTAIN DRIVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO YUSIMY J MUNIZ 4181 MOUNTAIN DRIVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JAN 26, 2016 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ YUSIMY J MUNIZ, OWNER Statement filed with the Coun-

Public Notices

ty Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 28, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202302IR

FBN 20220011733 The following person is doing business as: EL VENADO TIRES LLC. 3512 CAJON BLVD SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EL VENADO TIRES LLC 3512 CAJON BLVD SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JAN 10, 2019 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ STEVEN VASQUEZ, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: DECEMBER 28, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 01/06/2023, 01/13/2023, 01/20/2023, 01/27/2023 CNBBI202301IR

install one member of that ethnic minority onto a five-member city council. Nevertheless, assuming that members of a majority ethnicity were more willing to vote for members of their ethnic group than for minorities, minority candidates would see the votes they received, again assuming voters of their own ethnicity would be more inclined to vote for them, diluted by the majority vote, keeping members of ethnic minorities from being elected to office. The cure for this that was worked into the California Voter Rights Act was to divide a city into smaller pieces – wards or districts – and have the city’s voters vote only for a council member who lives within his or her own district. This meant that in those cases where ethnic groups tended to congregate in

one neighborhood or set of neighborhoods in one part of a city, a district or ward could be drawn in which one of the minority ethnicities predominated. Again assuming that voters of one ethnicity are more likely to vote for a candidate of their own ethnicity than candidates of a different ethnicity, this made it more likely that ethnic minorities could get elected. One provision of the California Voting Rights Act was that a citizen could allege that minority voting dilution was taking place in his or her city and demand that the city switch from the at-large voting system that was the most common form of electoral selection among the state’s cities to by-district elections. Cities could, if they chose, contest the assertion that minority voting dilution was occurring. If the cities could provide a convincing case that minority voting dilution was not taking place and had not taken place in that par-

ticular city historically, it could obtain a court ruling that it could continue with the at-large voting system. If, however, the plaintiff in the case was able to demonstrate that such voting dilution had taken place by showing that the percentage of ethnic minority members living in that city was higher than the percentage of members of that ethnic minority serving on that particular city council over a fair sampling of time, the city would be forced into adopting a by-district voting system. To encourage such challenges of at-large voting systems, the California Voting Rights Act incorporated another provision that prevented cities from seeking to recover their legal costs if they prevailed in any such litigation. The same provision allowed for a plaintiff against those cities to recover his or her legal costs if he or she prevailed. Thus, someone wanting to challenge the elective status quo in any city could do so

with impunity, as long as the lawsuit was filed on behalf of a protected minority. Under the California Voting Rights Act, Caucasians are essentially credited with being a majority in a given jurisdiction. In short, Caucasians cannot be considered a protected minority under the California Voting Rights Act. In this way, if someone sues a city under the California Voting Rights Act on behalf of African Americans or Latinos or Asians or Native Americans or Pacific Islanders and wins that lawsuit, he or she can collect all of his or her attorney fees, which, depending on how drawn out the court case proves, can run into millions of dollars. If the litigant loses, he must pay for his or her own attorney but is not required to cover the legal costs of the city. While for more than a decade there was little interest in using the advantage offered by the

California Voting Rights Act in this fashion, in 2014 in San Bernardino County, a number of lawyers began to represent plaintiffs, first in the City of Highland, which went to come expense in resisting the change and then knuckled under. Thereafter, in relatively quick succession, demands were made of Chino, Chino Hills, Upland, Rancho Cucamonga, Fontana, Redlands, Big Bear Lake, Hesperia, Apple Valley, Yucaipa, Yucca Valley, Twentynine Palms, Barstow, Victorville and Ontario, all of which have folded and have agreed to engage in by-district elections. Ontario, the last to do so, will make the switch in 2024. As San Bernardino and Colton already had ward or district systems, that leaves Montclair, Rialto, Grand Terrace, Loma Linda, Needles and Adelanto as the only cities still conducting at-large elections. The changeover in some instances appears

to have boosted the number of Hispanic council members, while in others it was less effective than what it was anticipated it would be. In Victorville, moving to by-district voting had the reverse impact. Between 1991 and 2021, Victorville’s voters had elected a total of 20 council members, eight of whom – Felix Diaz, Rudy Cabrales, Angela Valles, Gloria Garcia, Eric Negrete, Blanca Gomez, Rita Ramirez and Elizabeth Becerra – were Latino or Latina and two of whom – Jim Busby and Leslie Irving – were African American. In 2021, Scott Rafferty, an attorney from Northern California, alleged that Victorville had been plagued with racially/ethnically-polarized voting and demanded that the city transition to district elections. Despite Victorville City Attorney Andre deBortnowsky’s insistence that the city had not engaged in racially/ethnically polarized voting, in the

To Keep Their Lucrative Jobs, County's CEO & Chief Operating Officer Are Agreeing To Let A Generous Donor To The County Supervisors' Political Campaigns Obtain Without Any Bidding Process Over \$100 Million In Contracts from page 6

the substantial increased profit Alliance Building Solutions stands to make if it is not forced to compete against other companies to obtain the contract. The contract would be awarded to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder. There are other companies that are prepared, if a bidding competition is held, to complete the projects in question at a lower cost than Alliance Building Solutions is prepared to accept for completing the upgrades.

The second factor, which proceeds from the first, is that Alliance Building Solutions is willing, if it is provided

with the contracts to do the upgrades without having to lower its rates for the work in question by first having to underbid its competitors, to make consistent, generous and across the board political donations to the county's elected officeholders in ways that would overcome the single-donor contribution limits that have been imposed on the members of the board of supervisors and those supporting them.

County supervisors are subject to a \$4,900-per-election cycle limitation on how much money each of them can receive from a single donor.

One of the workarounds to this limit devised by Postmus is to have multiple individuals affiliated with Alliance Building Solutions or Chapman provide separate donations to the supervisors, as was the case on September 27 of last year in the midst of the Second District supervisorial race when Chapman and his wife, Regina, each gave \$4,900 to the Armendarez for District 2 Supervisor 2022 campaign. Another strategy Postmus has come up with to overcome the contribution limit consists of donations made to independent expenditure committees, which are not bound by campaign donation limitations. A third potential means of bypassing the contribution restriction would involve providing the money to Postmus, who can use Mountain States Consulting Group to filter the money to the

supervisors.

The third factor that is leading to the atmosphere in which no competitive bidding on the contracts Alliance Building Solutions covets consists of the reluctance of both Hernandez and Snoko to get crosswise of the supervisors and their collective desire to have Alliance Building Solutions obtain the contracts for the building and facilities upgrades.

Hernandez has been in the chief executive officer position since September 2020 and is presently receiving a total annual compensation package of \$557,830.12, consisting of a \$326,759.04 salary, \$40,745.14 in add-ons and perquisites and \$190,325.94 in benefits.

Snoko, who was previously a deputy county executive officer, was similarly moved into the chief operating officer post, which Hernandez vacated to become CEO,

in 2020. Snoko is now making \$358,859.69 in total annual compensation, which is composed of his \$252,175.18 salary, add-ons and perquisites of \$14,832.10 and \$91,852.41 in benefits.

Some county employees who are knowledgeable about the move to award the building and facilities upgrade projects to Alliance Building Solutions describe Snoko as lacking the strength of character to stand up to his political masters as this would likely result in him losing his position as county chief operating officer and having to forego his substantial salary and benefit package.

Hernandez, the *Sentinel* is informed, has more job security than Snoko, as he has accumulated blackmail material on some of the current supervisors, which makes terminating him out of the question. Nevertheless, despite Hernan-

dez's willfulness and assertiveness in certain regards, his underlings with a window on the dynamic that exists between him and the members of the board of supervisors have told the *Sentinel* that he does not consider resisting the board with regard to the Alliance Building Solutions contracts to be a battle worth waging and ultimately compromising his mostly cordial and positive standing with the board, which might lead to future complications vis-à-vis his continuing in the county chief executive officer's position.

Efforts by the *Sentinel* to get Hernandez, Snoko and Chapman to go on the record with regard to the reports that the county will enter into no-bid contracts with Alliance Building Solutions for the upgrading of county buildings were unsuccessful.

January 6 Demonstrators At The Capitol Are Not Traitors, Hostetter Is Preparing To Convince His Jury, But Rather Patriots Who Were Seeking To Prevent Communists & Globalists From Stealing President Trump's Landslide Victory From The American People from page 4

suspiciously clear and with few people blocking the route. Defendant believes it likely this route was predetermined by Taylor and whoever was handling him before defendant arrived at the Capitol building."

This led to what Hostetter said was a "chokepoint" where there was a "rugby scrum" consisting of officers and protesters.

According to Hostetter, "Eventually the crowd below the chokepoint where defendant was located broke through the police line below and moved closer to the Capitol Building."

Hostetter said the crowd did not break through the police line, as described in the indictment, but that "the police officers manning a perimeter post simply turn[ed] around and walk[ed] away from it, thereby abandoning it, and protesters then walk[ed] freely through the area the officers used

to be located at."

Hostetter said he was quoted accurately in the indictment as having said, "The people have taken back their house... Hundreds of thousands of patriots showed up today to take back their government," remarking, "Defendant stands by that statement today. The statement was not made in a spirit of violence. It was certainly not made in a spirit of 'insurrection.' It was awe inspiring to have been in that crowd of patriots and to have honorably and peacefully protested against this literal communist/globalist overthrow of the United States Government and the people of our great nation. Defendant did not personally witness any extreme act of violence being directed at law enforcement officers. If anything, law enforcement seemed to be acting much more provocatively and violently against the crowd for the purpose of provoking the crowd into

violence against them rather than the other way around. The security perimeter fencing was intentionally, and by design lacking, in order to encourage and facilitate the breach and ultimately the entire 'riotous' situation. Federal law enforcement, led by the FBI, completely owns this so-called 'riot' for that reason alone. People tend to forget that the election of 2020 was actually stolen from a duly elected president whom (sic) was elected in one of the biggest landslide victories in the history of our country. It was also stolen from We the People. This was obvious in November of 2020, regardless of how much the Main Stream Media pretends to say it isn't so. It has been proven even more obvious today as more and more has been learned about the massive amount of voter fraud, both organic and electronic, that occurred on November 3, 2020."

Near where they were, doors into the Capitol that were previously closed and apparently locked, Hostetter said, "popped open. Defendant believes it is possible these doors may have been opened

from the inside, either remotely by a control center or by Capitol police officers on the other side of the door."

Hostetter wrote that "Russell Taylor immediately yelled to defendant either, 'Let's go!' as he pointed to the open door

or he yelled 'Inside.' Defendant recalls grabbing Taylor by the wrist or arm and telling him that defendant was not going any further than where they were already located on the plaza and refused to enter the building. Taylor gave defendant an

obviously disappointed look."

Hostetter's motion did not succeed, and the case against him is proceeding.

-Mark Gutglueck

With No Artificial Head Start, 29 Palms African Americans Organically Achieve Political Empowerment, While Designing A Tilted Electoral System In Favor Of Latinos In Victorville Backfires, Resulting In Net Loss Of Hispanic Representation On The City Council from page 11

face of Rafferty's effort to force the city to embrace ward system voting, the city council voted to make the transition. In November's vote, the one Caucasian on the council, Debra Jones, who was also the appointed mayor, hung onto her council seat. In the city's newly created District 4, that race was held essentially to fill the gap that had been created as a consequence of the 2021 removal of Councilwoman Rita Ramirez, based upon her no longer being able to meet the city's residency requirement following the amputation of her

lower left leg such that she had to reside out of the city and live under the care of one of her son's in the aftermath of her operation. In that race, a Caucasian male, Robert Harriman, with 2,096 of the 4,034 votes cast or 51.96 percent, defeated Lizet Angulo, a Latina, who polled 1,938 votes or 48.04 percent.

In Twentynine Palms, the African American politicians there did not need the artificial boost offered by the California Voting Rights Act to assert their ability to achieve politically. Looking at that city's demographics, Afri-

can Americans make up barely more than one-tenth of the overall population. With 27,435 residents, 2,771 identify as Black or African American, or 10.1 percent. Nevertheless, two of the city council members are Black, equal to 40 percent of the city council. And notably, the candidate one of those candidates defeated in last year's election was herself African American.

Organically, the African American population in Twentynine Palms has come into its own politically.

-Mark Gutglueck