

Friday, October 28, 2022 A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with Countywide News Service 10808 Foothill Blvd. Suite 160-446 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 (951) 567-1936

Emerging Details On Puskar In-Custody Beating Death Beget Further Questions

By Mark Gutglueck

The plot has thickened with regard to the already steepening mystery related to the death of Steven Puskar, who died at Arrowhead Regional Medical Center on October 9 as a result of a severe beating administered to him by his cellmate, Michael Kevin Follet, on October 2 while both were incarcerated at the West Valley Detention Center in Rancho Cucamonga. Follet was formerly a ser-

geant with the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, the agency that runs the West Valley Detention Center. According to the department, on October 2, deputies found Puskar, 47, of San Bernardino, injured and unresponsive in the cell he shared with Follet. Lifesaving measures were taken and Puskar was transported to the Arrowhead Regional Medical Center, the main campus of the county hospital, in

Colton. He expired from his injuries on October 9.

Puskar was arrested by San Bernardino Police on June 29, 2021, on charges of assault with a deadly weapon. Michael Follet was arrested by Yucaipa Police on September 24, 2022, on six felony charges and a misdemeanor charge after he was found to be in possession of tear gas and he brandished a knife in an effort to resist being arrested and then was found to have a gun.

The court record with regard to Puskar shows that less than a month after his arrest, during a hearing before Judge David Mazurek on July 19, 2021, a declaration as to the doubt of Puskar's mental health was made and the proceedings against him were suspended. On September 7, 2021, according to the court file, Puskar was referred to the county mental health director for placement into a mental health recovery program.

According to the file, on October 14, 2021, a stipulation and order was filed to the effect that Puskar was "to continue involuntary medication." On March 29, 2022, the court found Puskar mentally competent to stand trial and the case against him was reinstated.

There were multiple delays, cancellations and waivers of the deadline for Puskar's preliminary hearing in April, June, July and Sep- See P 4

Electronic Tag On Campaign Sign Thwarts Theft Attempt In Redlands

In what is believed to be the first use of an electronic tracking system to thwart the vandalism and/or disruption aimed at electioneering activity in San Bernardino County, the Redlands Police Department on October 17 recovered four stolen campaign signs and cited the woman who had removed them from where they had been posted.

The signs in question were ones for Redlands School Board candidate Erin Stepien, which had been placed at various locations around Redlands, including at the Plaza Las Palmas retail center, 1150 Brookside Avenue, at the corner of Brookside Avenue and San Mateo Street. The sign at the shopping center had been outfitted with a tracking device.

At 7:40 p.m. on the evening of October 17, a Monday, Candy Olson, Stepien's campaign manager, noted that one of Stepien's campaign signs was being moved. She traced it to a location on Sonora Circle Drive, proximate to or at the residence of Patty Holohan, the incumbent school board member against whom Stepien is running. Olson contacted the police to inform them of the theft.

According to the department, Olson's relaying of the tracking data related to the sign sufficed as evidence of a "violation of Penal Code section 484(a) petty theft occurrence at 20:04 hrs [8:04 p.m.] on October 17, 2022, at 1150 Brookside Avenue, Redlands."

Records obtained from the Redlands Police Department indicate its officers were dispatched at 8:55 p.m. to the Sonora Circle Drive location. While they were See P 3

Pacheco, Tafoya & Taylor Used Burner Phones In Baldwin Park/WVWD Plotting

Three of the principals caught in the City of Baldwin Park/West Valley Water District political corruption scandal were utilizing burner phones in much of their communication with one another at least as early as 2018, the *Sentinel* has learned.



Ricardo Pacheco

Councilman Ricardo Pacheco arrived at an understanding with two

entities interested in establishing marijuana-related enterprises in Baldwin Park that he would champion their cause, including obtaining the necessary two other votes on the council to approve their operating permits within the 6.79-square mile city, in exchange for kickbacks.

Assisting Pacheco in that arrangement, according to the FBI, were



Robert Tafoya

two key Baldwin Park officials, City Attorney Robert Tafoya and Police

Chief Michael Taylor.

Through an elaborate set of deals and exchanges involving all three utilizing their official authority and capacities, Tafoya drafted the Baldwin Park city ordinances that allowed Pacheco, participating as part of the city council majority, to award commercial marijuana operating permits to the companies that were brib- See P 2

Divide In Big Bear Lake Over Tourism & Short-Term Vacation Rentals To Be A Factor In November 8 Race

In Big Bear Lake, hidden away in the rustic northeast corner of the San Bernardino Mountains, a cultural civil war is playing out in this year's municipal election, one in which there stands a chance that the reigning political establishment will virtually overnight be extirpated.

At the heart of the contest is the continuing

identity of the county's second-smallest city population-wise and third smallest city geographically as a tourist community first and foremost.

While no one anticipates that tourism will cease in a place that offers skiing in the winter and early spring, boating from spring until late fall, swimming in the

summer, hiking, camping and fishing year round and upland game bird and California mule deer hunting in season, Big Bear maintaining its primary credential as a tourist community is at stake with what in effect is an election where the incumbency of four of the city council's five members is on the line.

Under the See P 3

Accidental Gun Discharge In Oro Grande Kills Off-Duty Colton Officer

A Colton Police officer shot and killed himself yesterday in what is being characterized as accident that occurred in the desert community of Oro Grande.

Lorenzo Morgan, 29, who attended the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Academy for its 23-week course from April 2019 until September 2019, graduating



Lorenzo Morgan

with the department's Class 215 be- See P 3

Two Countywide & 14 City Measures On The November 8 Ballot In San Bernardino County

In addition to the statewide initiatives they will have the option of voting for or against, San Bernardino County voters, depending on where they live, will have some local measures to decide on come November 8.

Those living in Rialto are eligible to vote on Measure A, a proposal put forth by the Rialto Unified School District to authorize the issuance

of \$340 million in bonds to update safety systems, renovate classrooms and make other facility upgrades at all campuses in the district. To debt service those bonds, homeowners will be required to pay on a yearly basis 6 cents per \$100 of the assessed value of their homes for the next 27 years. To pass, Measure A must be approved by 55 percent of the voters

participating.

All voters in San Bernardino County are eligible to vote on Measure D, which was designed by the board of supervisors to undo Measure K, which was passed by more than two thirds of the county's voters in 2020. Measure K set the supervisors' individual salaries and benefits at \$60,000 per year and restricted them to a

single four-year term in office. Measure D will increase their salaries to \$185,460.976 and their benefits to \$68,556.82 along with add-on pay of roughly \$17,800 for a total annual compensation of \$271,817.79. It will also allow those supervisors already in office to serve three more four-year terms and all future supervisors to served three terms in office as

well.

Likewise, Measure EE is being voted upon countywide. It asks whether San Bernardino County officials should importune State of California officials to provide them with what the sponsor says is the county's "fair share" of state and federal funds, including threatening to have San Bernardino County secede See P 6

Trio Of Baldwin Park Councilman, City Attorney & Police Chief Exploited WVWD In Furtherance Marijuana Payola from front page

ing Pacheco, according to the FBI.

The FBI further maintains that Pacheco steered additional money from the marijuana entrepreneurs who were paying him off into the campaign finance coffers that helped to fund Taylor's victory in the November 2017 election for a position on the West Valley Water District Board of Directors. Particularly helpful in that regard was Sharone Barshatski, the principal in a marijuana-related company that ultimately obtained an operating permit in Baldwin Park, who provided Taylor with \$10,000 for his campaign.

Pacheco supported the rehiring of Taylor as Baldwin Park Police Chief less than two weeks after Taylor had won that election, the FBI maintains, and Tafoya wrote up the contract that spelled out the terms of Taylor's rehiring.

Taylor, upon assuming office as a member of the West Valley Water District Board in December 2017 persuaded his board colleagues to hire Tafoya as the water district's general counsel.

In March 2018, Taylor successfully lobbied his board colleagues to hire Pacheco into an assistant general manager's position with the water district the councilman did not have the technical expertise to fill that paid the city councilman just shy of a quarter of a million dollars a year in total compensation. Thereupon, at Tafoya's suggestion, Taylor abstained from the vote ratifying Pacheco's employment contract.

Even before 2017 had ended, Pacheco's activity and interaction with elements of the nascent marijuana industry had registered on the FBI's radar screen, resulting

in him falling under intense scrutiny even as he was accepting at least \$280,000 in under-the-table payments from various principals in marijuana-related enterprises that were seeking permits to transact business in Baldwin Park. At that point, however, federal agents were unable to catch Pacheco red-handed taking money from those who were greasing him. They hatched a strategy whereby they employed two Baldwin Park police officers to dialogue with Pacheco over the city's ongoing police officers' employment contract negotiations between the city and the Baldwin Park Police Association. Ultimately, in exchange for Pacheco's promise to vote in favor of the contract that had been worked out between the police officers' bargaining unit and the city, which Pacheco made good on during a city council meeting in March 2018, the officers paid or made arrangements to convey \$37,900 in bribes to the councilman from January through October 2018. That money included \$17,900, which was distributed through checks made out to Pacheco's church and to what the U.S. Attorney's Office referred to as "sham political committees" under the names of other people but controlled by Pacheco, as well as an envelope with \$20,000 in cash that one of the officers slipped to Pacheco at a Baldwin Park coffee shop.

The FBI did not immediately act upon having established that Pacheco was accepting bribes, but continued to observe his interactions with a host of others, monitoring his communications and scrutinizing his official actions. In December 2018, the FBI without fanfare served a search warrant at Pacheco's home, during the course of which agents confronted him with some of the evidence that had been accumulated against him. During that search, the FBI found, or was led to by Pacheco, \$83,145 in

cash, including \$62,900 the councilman had had buried in his backyard in two locations. Thereafter, Pacheco cooperated with the FBI, regularly turning over to federal agents and the U.S. Attorney's office his cell phones and computers, or otherwise allowing them to monitor his phone calls, text messages and emails.

While it is now well documented that Pa-



Michael Taylor

checo was forthcoming in providing federal authorities with access to the standard devices he routinely used and the information they contained, it is not clear whether he disclosed to the FBI at that time that he, Tafoya and Taylor had been and were continuing to communicate by means of a series of inexpensive and prepaid cell phones they would discard after a few months of use.

Relatively soon after he had been hired into the assistant general manager's position at the West Valley Water District, it had become clear to Taylor's board colleagues and senior staff at the district that Pacheco had no qualifications for the post, which was of little consequence, as the job he had been provided with was an essentially do-nothing assignment, meant as a highly lucrative sinecure arranged for him as a political favor. From the time of his hiring at the end of March 2018 until March of 2019, Pacheco made sporadic appearances at West Valley Water District headquarters. As of April 2019, he was a complete no-show at the district offices. In May 2019, it was announced that Pacheco was on paid administrative leave, though he continued to draw his full pay until November 2019, a total of more than \$135,000

over the nearly eight months he was in total absentia. In November 2019, Pacheco's employment with the district was terminated, at which point he was provided with a \$146,459.82 severance equal to nine months' salary.

Throughout 2019, the ongoing FBI investigation into the corruption at Baldwin Park City Hall was spreading across the landscape of Southern California from Los Angeles County and into San Bernardino County, primarily because of the connection to the West Valley Water District involving Pacheco, Tafoya and Taylor.

Oftentimes, the FBI in serving search warrants and conducting raids does so in an open and obvious fashion, with large numbers of agents wearing dark windbreakers emblazoned with the prominent white lettering "FBI," such that any passersby are immediately alerted to what is ongoing. In dealing with Pacheco, however, the U.S. Attorney's office had insisted that federal investigators be far more discrete. The FBI had managed to encircle the Baldwin Park councilman and slowly over time reduce the circumference of the investigative parameters around him, squeezing him into a compliant attitude of cooperation with the ongoing investigation into just who was involved in corrupting the wheels of government. Initially, the agents' focus had been exclusively on what was ongoing in Baldwin Park in terms of the permitting of marijuana-related businesses, which under California law were a permitted use but which were yet considered illegal under existing federal statutes. That Pacheco was being paid off to approve those operations was a given; yet undetermined was whether any of his council colleagues were likewise on the take or whether they had merely been beguiled by Pacheco and the business applicants into thinking that allowing such operations to set up in Baldwin Park would pro-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

vide the cash-strapped municipality with much needed revenue in terms of permitting fees and taxes on the product to be grown or sold.

Less than clearly defined by the circumstances, at least initially, was the degree of involvement by Tafoya, who was overseeing the legal aspect of the city setting up the ground rules by which applicants for permits would compete for what in the end would be a limited number of marijuana cultivation and retail opportunities in Baldwin Park, and Taylor, who as police chief would have at least some say in the police department's enforcement of the city's rules and ordinances and enforcement of those regulations. While suspicion would fall on Tafoya relatively early because of the manner in which the drafting of the ordinances he was responsible for conferred out-and-out monopolies or overwhelming advantages on those entities that were bribing Pacheco, Taylor's involvement in the processes was far more subtle and indirect. In this way, the use of the burner phones, which prevented the FBI from capturing a window on the plotting that was ongoing among "the big three," as Pacheco, Tafoya and Taylor came to be known, allowed the graft-encrusted relationship between the top echelon of governance in Baldwin Park and the political and administrative leadership in the water district to continue.

Almost immediately after the raid at his home

had taken place, Pacheco informed Tafoya and Taylor of what had occurred. Tafoya and Taylor, circumspcctly, advised Pacheco on what he should say to the agents and how he should say it. The burner phones, which provided the three with a means of communicating that was not compromised in the way that Pacheco's use of his other phone and computers were, facilitated this. Simultaneously, Pacheco had quietly agreed to cooperate with the FBI, assisting its agents in determining the depth of corruption in the City of Baldwin Park's transition to a community that hosted marijuana-based commercial activity. That cooperation included allowing agents to set up points of vantage with regard to a whole host of interactions he was having, while he was yet a councilman and mayor pro tem in Baldwin Park, with businessman, other politicians, political operatives and community leaders.

In March 2020, the U.S. Attorney's Office under seal filed criminal charges against Pacheco, at which point the councilman simultaneously entered a plea to those charges. The case and plea arrangement were kept secret to allow the FBI's investigation of public corruption to continue, and the councilman's participating as a confidential informant was extended. In June 2020, Pacheco, in keeping with the terms of the plea arrangement, resigned from the council. To protect the yet

Continued on Page 5

Sign Outfitted With Tracker Leads Police To The Person Who Stole It *from front page*

en route, at 8:58 p.m., the tracking device gave indication the sign was being moved once more, ultimately to a location in the 900 block of Thomas Avenue in Redlands, whereupon Olson texted the police, alerting them to the sign's repositioning.

According to the department, its officers

were able to go immediately to the location of the sign, the Thomas Avenue residence of Mary Ethel Bell, 62, where she was found to be in possession of four Stepien campaign signs, including the one removed from the Brookside Avenue/San Mateo Street commercial center and three others.

"On Monday night, Oct. 17, a school board candidate reported that her political signs had been

stolen from the corner

of a shopping center at the corner of Brookside Avenue and San Mateo Street," the Redlands Police Department's weekly update for October 13 to October 20 states. "The victim had an Apple Air Tag inside one of the signs and received notification when it was moved. She traced the sign to an address in Redlands. Police responded and found the suspect in possession of four signs. She was arrested and the signs were returned to the victim."

In response to the *Sentinel's* request of the city for information pertaining to the incident, Redlands City Attorney Yvette M. Abich Garcia clarified that Bell had been subjected to a cite-release arrest rather than being taken into custody. With regard to the factual circumstances surrounding the arrest, the amount of bail set, all charges the arrestee is being held on and the time and manner of release, Abich Garcia said there was no bail and that

a "citation [was] issued in the field and [Bell was] released."

Olson, reporting on what had been related to her by the officers dispatched to Bell's home to locate and recover the signs, indicated Bell acknowledged having coordinated with Patty Holohan, the incumbent school board member Stepien is running against, in the removal of the signs. The *Sentinel* has been unable to confirm that Bell made an admission of working

on behalf of Holohan or the Holohan campaign. The full police report containing Bell's entire statement to the police officers who were dispatched to her residence is being withheld.

According to Abich Garcia, "Section 6254(f) (2)(A) of the [California Public Records] Act does not require disclosure of investigatory records or information when doing so 'would endanger the successful completion of

Continued on Page 5

Big Bear City Council Testing Whether Resident Resentment Over Intensive Tourism Will Undo Them Politically *from front page*

5,231-population city's normal election cycle, Mayor Rick Herrick is being challenged by Omar Torres Cázares in the city's District 2. In District 3, incumbent Councilman Randall Putz is opposed by Paul Sokoloff. In District 4, appointed incumbent Perri Melnick is facing Robert Barton and Cory Blake Miholich.

In addition, District 1 Councilman Alan Lee, who was elected in 2020 and was under the normal course of electoral rotation not due to stand for reelection until 2024, is facing a recall question. Running as alternate candidates to fill out the District 1 post in the event that a majority of those going to the polls in Big Bear District 1 favor dispensing with Lee as their representative are Jim Eakin and Kendi

Segovia.

It is thus within the realm of possibility that following the November 8 election, the only member of the current council left will be Councilwoman Bynette Mote.



Randall Putz

Rarely do such radical makeovers of a council take place, as typically, among the 21 cities or towns of San Bernardino County which are at present led by five-member councils, the most positions up for election in any given year is three. Recall elections are relatively rare. In this case, the recall of Lee corresponds with a gubernatorial year election in Big Bear when three council posts are up for selection.

In 2018, Adelanto saw four of its five council members taken out of office and replaced. That occurred when Jermaine Wright was removed in January of that year for non-attendance after his November 2017 arrest by the FBI on bribery charges and he remained in federal custody past the 60-day limit on meeting nonattendance. He was replaced by Joy Jeanette in a special election held in June 2018, and that November, Charlie Glasper did not seek reelection and Mayor Rich Kerr and Councilman John Woodard were voted out of office in an

election that saw Gabriel Reyes elected mayor and Gerardo Hernandez and Stevonna Evans elected to the council.

Also in 2018, the Upland City Council lost three of its members with that November's election, when Councilman Gino Filippi and Councilwoman Carol Timm were voted out of office and replaced by Ricky Felix and Rudy Zuñiga, while appointed Councilman Sid Robinson opted out of running for election.

In 2020, Barstow saw three new officeholders elected to its five-member council when Mayor Julie Hackbarth-McIntyre was defeated by Paul Courtney; Barbara Rose won in the contest for that city's District 3 council position, replacing Councilman Richard Harpole, who had resigned previously; and Marilyn Dyer Kruse ousted incumbent Councilwoman Carmen Hernandez.

In Big Bear there is more political tension there than in previous years and more than exists in most cities, despite its diminutive size.

At issue is that the city's 1.277-mile high oxygen-thin atmosphere is simultaneously thick with resentment that has been brought about because of the perception of many of the city's permanent residents that they must take a backseat to the city's temporary residents.

Big Bear Lake is, along with Lake Arrowhead, Joshua Tree, Mount Baldy and Wrightwood, one of the major magnets for tourists in San Bernardino County, those being for the most part

people from the heart of the Southern California megalopolis looking to get away from the stress and rigors of civilization, if only for no more than a weekend or a few days. While renting their properties out for a short span has proven advantageous and lucrative for some homeowners or investors with property in 6.5-square mile city limits of Big Bear Lake, the influx of temporary residents into any given locale can create nuisances for those living near such leased properties. In at least some cases, homes or cabins have been simply converted into temporary accommodations without regard to local ordinances or regulations.

Nearby residents have been put at the disadvantage of having, for a short time, neighbors they do not know and who in some cases have little or no regard for others they are not likely to ever see again.



Perri Melnick

On occasion, those guests have proven to be poor neighbors, creating disturbances, inviting dozens, scores or even hundreds of others

to parties on the premises they have leased or rented, involving parking and traffic problems. Rarely but still potentially, such parties can prove to be raves, with highly intoxicated participants. Excessive noise has been an issue in some cases. Bonfires are a staple of such gatherings. In some isolated cases, those lodging at the rental properties or their guests grow aggressive or confrontational with nearby residents. The Big Bear Lake City Council has found itself caught between on one side the full-time residents who want tough restrictions imposed on both tourists and the owners of vacation

Continued on Page 5

Deputy's Accidental Self-Inflicted Gunshot Wound Proves Fatal *from front page*

fore being hired by the Colton Police Department, was in his car near the intersection of National Trails Highway and Mill Street in Oro Grande when the shooting occurred. Morgan, who was off duty and roughly 15 miles from his home in Apple Valley, himself phoned in the report of the mishap at

Continued on Page 12



I am a certified massage therapist going on two years now. My goal is to teach the community about holistic approaches to recover from bodily injuries as well as focusing on recognizing stress in the body due to the demands of everyday life. Currently, my services are mobile, so you can experience this therapy in the privacy of your home.



Jeneka Romero

CERTIFIED HOLISTIC MASSAGE THERAPIST
CMT Lic.#: 86395

Scan Below for more information

SERVICES OFFERED:

- Holistic massage with CBD oil
- Myofascial Therapy
- Swedish Massage
- Deep tissue
- Isolated stretching
- Kinesio Taping

CONTACT JENIKA ROMERO

(626)602-0858
jenekaromero.cmt@gmail.com
<https://linktr.ee/jenekaromeroCMT>

Do You Have Information Of Public Interest? Call the *Sentinel* at (951) 567 1936 The *Sentinel* is always looking for information to inform our readership and keep our readers abreast of newsworthy developments.

The *Sentinel* devotes itself to what is happening in and around San Bernardino County. Social events, political news, issues pertaining to education, medicine, industry, commerce, development, real estate, history, culture and entertainment are of interest to us. Let us help you make our readers aware of what is happening in your corner of the world.



San Bernardino County **Sentinel**

News of Note
from Around the
Largest County
in the Lower
48 States

Do you have a news tip? Don't hesitate to pick up the phone or drop us a line at sbcsentinel@yahoo.com to alert us to that fascinating tidbit.

In-Custody Beating Death *from front page*

tember, all while he remained in custody. He was yet awaiting trial or some resolution of his case at the time of his beating and death.

In the sheriff's department's initial public disclosure on October 17 of what had occurred to Puskar, it misreported the date of his June 29, 2021 arrest as occurring a year later, on June 29, 2022. It also omitted that Follet had been, for 30 years, a sheriff's department employee.

Follet graduated from the sheriff's department's academy in 1976 and was hired as a deputy sheriff in San Bernardino County shortly thereafter. He resigned in 1985 to accept a lateral transfer and then promotion from a different agency, but returned to the department less than three months later, yet in 1985. He thereafter promoted to corporal, then detective and ultimately to sergeant, retiring at that rank in 1999. The following year, he returned to the department, overseeing the department's emergency operations division's volunteers before retiring once more, in 2007.

In the years since, Follet, who has a reputation for excessive alcohol use and aggressiveness, had fallen on hard times. As a retired law enforcement officer with San Bernardino County, he was entitled to a annual pension equal to 90 percent of his highest yearly salary while he was serving in the rank of sergeant – an estimated retirement stipend of \$99,648 in 2008, which would have grown, based on a three percent

cost of living adjustment over the last 14 years to \$142,074.22 every 12 months, as of 2022. Follet, however, is not included on the roster of San Bernardino County Employee Retirement Association pensioners, indicating he either took a buyout of his pension or was in some fashion disqualified from receiving it.

At some unknown point after he left the sheriff's department, Follet was convicted on at least one felony count. A check of court records in both San Bernardino and Riverside counties show no recordation of any felony convictions in either jurisdiction against Follet, which indicates that he was either convicted elsewhere, the record was sealed or information relating to the conviction has been scrubbed from the website.

Similarly, with Puskar's previous felony conviction, there is no current reference to his previous felony conviction on the San Bernardino County Superior Court website. A civil claim that was filed against Puskar by an insurance company in San Bernardino County Superior Court in 1995 when he was 20 years old suggests that he had been living locally for some time. It is not known whether Follet's and Puskar's criminal court records in San Bernardino County, such as they may have existed previous to the charges that were most recently in play against them, were removed from public scrutiny and, if so, when and for what purpose.

On September 24, Follet was arrested in Yucaipa by the Sheriff's Department on suspicion of a multiplicity of unlawful

acts, and on the basis of the sheriff's department's allegations the district attorney's office as of September 27 charged him with felony assault with a deadly weapon other than a firearm against a public safety officer in a manner likely to cause great bodily injury; felony exhibition of a knife in an attempt to resist arrest; felony being a felon in possession of a firearm; felony being a person prohibited by consequence of a felony conviction of being in possession of ammunition; felony obstructing or resisting an executive officer; felony possession/use of tear gas by a convicted felon; and a misdemeanor charge of battery against a peace officer.

On September 27 Follet appeared by video for an arraignment before Judge Arthur Benner II, who denied a motion by the deputy public defender representing him for a pretrial release. Two days later, on September 29, Follet appeared for a pre-preliminary hearing before Judge Colin Bilash, who set a preliminary hearing/bail hearing/recognition release hearing for Follet on October 4, which was ultimately vacated after what occurred on October 2.

There are a number of anomalies about the circumstances that led to Puskar's death, allegedly at the hands of Follet, that, taken both singly and together, are highly troubling, resurrecting long-established concern about the seeming disregard the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department has for the physical well being of the inmate population entrusted to it.

Historically, there is an undeniable tradition of the San Bernardino County

Sheriff's Department looking after its own. There is episode upon episode ad infinitum going back for at least six generations in which members of the department, their family members and the sheriff's political supporters were cut breaks that were simply not provided to the rest, or most of the rest, of the county population. Thus, it would have seemed, Follet, as a one-time member of the department, one who had risen to the position of sergeant in its hierarchy, would be, if he were perchance to be caught doing something foolish or questionable or even illegal, cut a break such that he would be let off, perhaps with a stern warning but no more, rather than be arrested, booked, incarcerated, assigned bail and prosecuted. In innumerable cases, his erstwhile colleagues in the sheriff's department caught up in any number of misdeeds had been accorded just such favorable treatment had been allowed to leave quietly through the side door or back door without arrest and without criminal charges being forthcoming against them. For some reason which is entirely unclear at this point, when Folett after his career of bringing lawbreakers to justice crossed over to become a lawbreaker himself, he was not allowed to walk away and instead sustained a criminal conviction or criminal convictions. Then, last month, when during its normal course of operations the department's deputies crossed paths with Folett, they did not, as was in their power of discretion to do, allow Folett to pass by unnoticed but rather went so far as

to carry out a search of his person and apparently, his vehicle and premises, leading to the discovery of the tear gas canister. The department's personnel had legal clearance and authorization to act as they did, since individuals placed on probation as Folett apparently was waive their Fourth Amendment rights against intrusive searches and seizures. Still, in Folett's case, they did not appear to be acting in keeping with department tradition, by which department members and former department members are given whatever benefit of the doubt the circumstances dictate. With regard to his September 24 arrest, the department not only engaged in the search that involved the discovery of the tear gas canister, it chose to perceive that possession as an offense and further proceeded against Folett for his possession of a firearm and ammunition, behavior typical of former law enforcement personnel who routinely arm themselves with the justification that their multiple encounters with the community's criminal element in the performance of their duty leaves them lifelong targets of those they arrested and in many cases sent to jail or into extended incarceration in state prison. Rather than accepting, as they normally would, that Folett as a retired peace officer had justification for being armed, despite his status as a convicted felon, those department members took action against him as they would any run-of-the-mill criminal. As is indicated by the charges of felony obstructing or resisting an executive officer, felony exhibition of a knife in an

attempt to resist arrest and the misdemeanor charge of battery against a peace officer, Folett perceived the arrest to be unreasonable, and he strenuously objected to it.

On October 1, the sheriff's department officially initiated "Operation Consequences," which has been focused on crime suppression operations in the 14 cities and towns around San Bernardino County where it serves as the municipal police department and the unincorporated county areas where its jurisdiction extends, a program involving the department's gangs and narcotics division targeting known criminals and parolees/probationers, whose persons, premises and effects are open to searches by law enforcement at will because of the terms of their parole and/or probation. The department has not disclosed whether Folett was among those on felony probation who were involved in practice runs for Operation Consequences.

It is not unreasonable to suggest that Follet, perhaps because he had turned to what some in the sheriff's department considered to be a life of crime in the years following the more than a quarter of a century during which he had been identified as an intrinsic element of the department as one of its members, has not been the recipient of the preferential treatment normally accorded the department's retirees but is being accorded harsh treatment by the department's officers because he is now considered a pariah.

Indeed, the circumstances that brought Follet

Continued on Page 6

Baldwin Park Councilman Pacheco Steered Bribe Money Originating With Marijuana Entrepreneurs To That City's Police Chief Who RAn Successfully For A West Valley Water District Board Post *from page 2*

ongoing investigation, no disclosure of the plea arrangement was made by the U.S. Attorney's Office, and Pacheco publicly stated that he had resigned so he could spend more time with his family and pursue other professional endeavors. Obscuring the matter further were reports that Pacheco's resignation had come shortly after Baldwin Park officials had come across indications that he had used a city-issued credit card at strip clubs and amid talk that he had asked for inappropriate favors from the police department, including fixing traffic tickets.

There were, for those paying close attention, yellow caution lights glaring all around Pacheco. His out-of-the-blue resignation from the council was, at least, a rather curious development. In September 2020, recently retired Baldwin Park Police Lieutenant Christopher Kuberry, who had overseen the city's cannabis businesses inspections, filed a sworn declaration in which he said three different operators of commercial marijuana-related concerns told him they were put in the posi-

tion of "having to pay \$250,000 in a brown paper bag to city officials." Disclosed was that under the city's licensing program, a company, Rukli Inc., was given an exclusive franchise as the city's sole transporter/distributor of marijuana in the city, such that cultivators and manufacturers had to use Rukli to transport their product. It was widely believed that Pacheco was in the thick of that untidiness.

Some turned a blind eye to the obvious indicators, but further maintenance of the fiction that there was nothing amiss with Pacheco became impossible on October 28, 2020, when the FBI served search warrants at the home of Compton City Councilman Isaac Galvan, the downtown Los Angeles law office of Robert Tafoya and the Upland home of San Bernardino County Planning Commissioner Gabe Chavez.

An analysis of the search warrants and the entire circumstance led those whose premises had been searched to the inescapable conclusion that Pacheco was an FBI informant. Any others who had failed to fully grasp that reality had

it spelled out for them in explicit terms three months later when the U.S. Attorney's Office in January 2021 publicly announced Pacheco's June 2020 guilty plea and the details relating to his acceptance of bribes from the officers with the police union, revealing the charges against him and partially unsealing the plea agreement by which Pacheco had agreed to fully cooperate in ongoing public corruption investigations. The redactions in the document were intended, the U.S. Attorney's Office said, "to protect the integrity of ongoing aspects of those investigations."

More recently there were further revelations when the U.S. Attorney's Office announced charges and a plea agreement pertaining to Chavez's use of his Claremont-based internet marketing company, Market Share Media Agency, to launder \$170,000 in payoffs to Pacheco that had originated with two companies that had received marijuana-related commercial operating permits in Baldwin Park.

In conjunction with that announcement, the U.S. Attorney's Office unsealed a set of documents, including the charges against Chavez, Chavez's plea agreement and Pacheco's plea agreement. Those

documents detailed the activity Pacheco was involved in, including his interactions with the commercial marijuana companies that obtained permits to operate in Baldwin Park as well as those he had with Tafoya, Chavez and Taylor, among others. Pacheco's plea agreement was augmented with an exhibit, the "Factual Basis" provided to the court to support Pacheco's guilty plea. Revealed in that document was how Tafoya behind the scenes instructed Pacheco, Taylor and Chavez to comport themselves in carrying out the activity they were engaging in, including formulating the consulting agreement between Chavez's marketing firm by which the cannabis companies used that company to serve as a vehicle for Pacheco to hide and launder the kickbacks he was receiving for approving those applicants' operating permits in Baldwin Park.

In the "Factual Basis" narrative generated by the FBI, Tafoya is referred to as "Person 1" and Taylor is referred to as "Person 2."

According to the "Factual Basis" narrative, Tafoya assisted Pacheco not only in devising a strategy to shake down would-be marijuana entrepreneurs looking to set up operations

in Baldwin Park, he accompanied him to some key meetings early on with those businessmen and assisted in the shake down.

The narrative states, "[I]n approximately August 2017, defendant [Pacheco] and Person 1 [Tafoya], a public official, approached PC-1 [political consultant 1] and Marijuana Company 1 and solicited donations in the amount of \$10,000 each for defendant's church, CEC and for the campaign of Person 2 [i.e., Taylor], a public official, for board of the West Valley Water District. At the time, Marijuana Company 1 was seeking a development agreement from the city to be the sole distributor of marijuana in the city. Marijuana Company 1's owner, Person 3, provided a \$10,000 check to CEC and a \$10,000 check to Person 2's campaign."

The CEC is the California Education Coalition, a political action committee controlled by Pacheco. The *Sentinel* has identified PC-1 as David Morgan, who did political work for Pacheco and served as Taylor's 2017 campaign manager. The *Sentinel* has identified Person 3 as Sharone Barshatski. After Barshatski provided a \$10,000 check to Pacheco's California Education Coalition,

Pacheco arranged for the California Education Coalition to make a \$7,000 donation to Taylor's campaign on September 26, 2017.

According to the FBI narrative, "Beginning in at least June 2017 and continuing through at least December 2018, defendant entered into an agreement with Person 4, defendant's "fundraising guy," in which Person 4 would solicit "consulting" contracts from Marijuana Companies 3 and 4, both of whom were seeking city marijuana cultivation and manufacturing development agreements."

The *Sentinel* has identified Person 4 as Gabe Chavez

"The development agreement for Marijuana Company 3 was worth well in excess of \$220,000, and the development agreement for Marijuana Company 4 was worth well in excess of \$198,000," the FBI narrative continues. "Defendant and Person 4 agreed that Person 4 would charge Marijuana Companies 3 and 4 \$150,000 each in consulting fees, which would be paid to Person 4's company, Consulting Company 2. Of the \$150,000, defendant would receive 60 percent of those fees and Person 4 would receive 40 percent of the fees. Person 4 would

Continued on Page 12

Big Bear Lake Council Race Hinging On Tourism Regulation Controversy *from page 3*

rental units and on the other side the often-absentee landlords who are making a substantial amount of money by renting their properties on a temporary basis and want nothing in place that will discour-

age renters from coming to Big Bear Lake. Over the last several years, a majority of the city council has proven more responsive to the wealthier absentee landlords. The council has collectively responded to

the calls for a strict ordinance by instituting what many local residents consider to be watered-down measures to create a regulatory regime that involves a modest licensing requirement and fines on cabin owners on whose properties problems manifest, with the potential for revocation of those licenses if the nuisances persist on a given property. A contingent of city residents who did not believe that City Hall had gone far enough formed in April 2021. They lobbied for more vigorous regulation. Its members made a concerted call for a cap on vacation rentals, and they pushed the city to increase the transitory occupancy tax

– i.e., the city's bed tax or hotel tax – from 8 percent to 12 percent, based on their argument that 35 percent of the calls for service from the fire department or sheriff's department in Big Bear Lake involve short term rental properties and/or visitors to the city. In August 2021, the Big Bear Lake City Council voted 4-to-1 against a proposed cap on vacation rental permits, with the controlling council majority members saying they wanted to give the regulations that exist an opportunity to work. If those did not achieve the desired results, they said they might then put more restrictive measures into place. That was not good

enough for the group of residents animated about the issue of vacation rentals, which on October 21, 2021 changed its name to Big Bear Lake United to Limit Short



Rick Herrick

Term Rentals. Thereafter, its members embarked on an effort to bypass the city council and they set about gathering signatures on a petition to place on the November 2022 ballot an initiative calling for a limit

on the number of vacation rentals in the city. Previously, Herrick, Putz, Melnick and Councilwoman Bynette Mote appeared convinced that the resident discontent with the behavior and imposition of tourists on the locals was not of a critical dimension. The four were a bit taken aback when the Big Bear Lake United to Limit Short Term Rentals group was able to get 762 of the city's 2,887 registered voters to endorse the petition to put the measure on this year's ballot. According to San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters Michael Jimenez, he and his office made an analysis of 344 of those

Continued on Page 6

Electronic Tracer Led To Nabbing Of Political Sign Thief *from page 3*

the investigation or a related investigation.' The matter has been submitted to and is pending with the San Bernardino District Attorney's Office for further review and investigation and disclosure of investigatory records would

jeopardize the pending case."

This week, some Redlands residents were calling upon Holohan to resign. Holohan, who is continuing her electioneering effort unabated, ignored those requests, and did not directly address questions about Bell's action or Bell's connection to her campaign.

–Mark Gutglueck

Indulgence Of Tourists & Short-Term Rental Landlords Has Alienated Some Big Bear Lake Residents, Putting The Reelection Hopes Of Three Council Members In Jeopardy *from page 5*

762 signatures affixed to the petitions, determining that 295 were indeed valid. Thus, Jimenez deemed that the petitioners had met their burden of getting enough signatures to put the measure on the ballot. That was something of a wake-up call to the council majority. Only Councilman Alan Lee last year was in support of enhanced tourist regulation. The other four members felt they had control of the levers and pulleys at City Hall, which had the authority to prevent the local citizenry from indulging its appetite for clamping down on the lucrative tourism industry. By May of this year, it seemed that Putz and Melnick were willing to compromise on the line they had taken against strict regulations on short-term rental operations and would at least entertain the notion of joining with Lee in seeking to insulate local residents and their neighborhoods from sometimes rowdy and rude outsiders. On May 16, the council agreed to appoint Melnick and Putz to an ad hoc committee to see if they could formulate a half-way or three-quarter-way compromise that would be satisfactory to the sponsors of the ballot measure to avoid having the issue decided in the election. It was thought that if the council agreed to institute through a vote of its members some regulations with teeth in them in the form of either a resolution or ordinance that held out the promise of actually abating nuisances at the vacation rental properties, Big Bear Lake United to Limit Short Term Rentals might agree to rescind the petition to put the measure on the ballot. After numerous meetings, however, ones both official and unofficial, formal and informal, a workable accommodation between the two sides, the one aligned

with residents and the other with the city's entrepreneurs, has eluded those seeking it.

Thus, Big Bear Lake's residents on November 8 will be asked to vote on Measure O, which asks "Shall a measure be adopted to amend the Big Bear Lake Municipal Code to limit the number of vacation rental licenses the city may issue to a maximum of 1,500 and limit the number of vacation contracts to 30 per year per property, excluding home-sharing arrangements, limiting duplexes, triplexes and four-plexes to one vacation rental per property, and enacting additional further limitations and



Alan Lee

regulation for vacation rentals?"

The measure's presence on the ballot has political implication with Councilwoman Melnick, Councilman Putz and Mayor Herrick due to stand for reelection in November and Councilman Lee facing a recall question.

Lee is persona non grata with the council, which earlier this year censured him. Essentially, according to Herrick, Putz, Melnick and Mote, Lee engages in bullying and the use of intimidation tactics, threats, and belittling. He is constantly engaging in attacks on others, they say, including them and city staff, members of which he is constantly cross-examining. Lee is engaged in an effort to "organize a union" of city workers, according to Putz, who was speaking for the three others, while simultaneously engaging in an effort to "enact a personal agenda, inflate

[his] importance, feed [his] ego, demonstrate how great [he] think[s] [he] is, punish others who might disagree with [him], seek revenge [and] dismantle the very organization that [he] was elected to support."

According to Putz, Lee had "threatened to bring forward citizens' initiatives."

It appears that Lee, in conjunction with Big Bear Lake United to Limit Short Term Rentals, has succeeded in doing just that.

That there is a cultural, political and practical

divide in Big Bear Lake over bread and butter/quality of life issues is not in dispute. What is in dispute is who on either side of the divide has the greater political muscle.

Herrick, Putz, Melnick along with Mote represent the current powers that be, the establishment, the collective now in control of City Hall and the machinery of government on the top of the mountain. They are aligned with those in the city – property owners and some residents – who pretty much like things the way they are.

Two County & 14 City Local Measures On The Upcoming Ballot *from front page*

from the state if Sacramento is not forthcoming with that money.

Measure F, which is to be decided by Redlands voters, is intended to reinforce the controlled-growth Proposition R passed in 1978, the limited growth Measure N approved in 1987 and slow growth Measure U put in place by the city's voters in 1997. Measure F would do so through preventing development in San Timoteo Canyon by prohibiting rezoning of land there now designated for agricultural use "unless changed by a majority vote of the electorate." It also sets limitation on the height of building in the city, with allowances specified for the city's so-called Transit Villages, which

are areas intended for the construction of tenements in the immediate vicinity of five stops along the commuter rail line that runs through the city. Buildings in the downtown and university transit villages are to be limited to three stories or 43 feet in height with an exception of those within a quarter of a mile from the rail station within the University Transit Village, which is subject to a four stories of height and 68 feet in height limitation/exception. Buildings in the Alabama Street, California Street and New York Street Transit Villages are limited to

four stories and 68 feet in height. One further exception is carved out for non-residential hotels which are to be permitted and developed anywhere in the five Transit Villages with the height and size to be determined by the city council such that they are not subject, necessarily, to height limitations, conditional upon approval by the city's future political leadership.

Measure H will go before the voters in Barstow on November 8, asking them whether the city's mayor is to continue to be directly elected or instead selected in the future from among five council members elected by-district. The mayoral selection process will be, if Measure H passes, subject to the discretion of the city council. Should Measure H pass and the office of a directly elected mayor is dispensed with, there would follow a requirement that the city to be divided into five districts rather than its current four.

Measure II asks Montclair voters whether they want to allow marijuana to be commercially available within the city.

Measure J is a referendum on whether Redlands voters want to impose a tax of ten-and-one-half-cents per square foot of floor space on distribution centers in the city.

Measure K, if passed by the voters in the Town of Yucca Valley, would raise the transient occupancy tax, otherwise known as a hotel tax or

They have established their primacy in the past, and if recent elections are any indication, they are on a trajectory to stay in control. They and their supporters were able to gather enough signatures of those residing in District 1 to place on the ballot a recall initiative against Lee. That is another indicator that they have the upper hand and sufficient reach right into the heart of where Lee is strongest to threaten him with being removed from office and thereby transformed into a political irrelevancy.

Conversely, Lee stands with the community's dissidents, those who do not believe that everything in the city by the lake is hunky-dory. Among those, Lee has some passionate supporters, ones who point out that he alone has stood up for those who have been the victims of crimes perpetrated by nonresidents who blow into town, intoxicate themselves until they can't see straight, beat up locals, vandalize their property and steal or damage their possessions. *Continued on Page 11*

bed tax, from its current 7 percent to 12 percent.

Measure L, to be decided by Upland's voters, asks whether they want to impose an additional 1 cent per dollar sales tax within the City of Gracious Living.

Measure M, subject to a vote that will be decided in Grand Terrace, calls for establishing a 10 percent transient occupancy tax within that 3.5-square mile city.

Measure N, which is applicable only in Needles, seeks to make that city's current transient occupancy tax applicable to short-term rentals.

Measure O, which goes before the voters in the City of Big Bear Lake, would if passed limit the number of vacation rental licenses the city may issue to a maximum of 1,500 and limit the number of vacation contracts to 30 per year per property, excluding home-sharing arrangements, while limiting duplexes, triplexes and

four-plexes to one vacation rental per property.

Another Measure being considered by those in the City of Big Bear Lake is Measure P, which calls for an increase in the transitory occupancy tax from 8 percent to 9 percent in 2024 and a further increase from 9 percent to 10 percent in 2025.

Ontario's voters are due to vote on Measure Q, which will add one percent to the sales tax collected in that city.

Measure R asks the voters of Montclair to set a 7 percent tax on commercial marijuana or cannabis transactions in that city if the city makes a determination that those currently banned products can be sold within the city.

In Colton, voters there will consider Measure U, which would if passed impose a one cent per dollar transaction and use tax, which is the city's parlance for a sales tax.

Unknown Is Whether Puskar Died Sadly But Simply At The Hands Of Follet Or At The Command Of A Gang Or Department Shot Caller *from page 5*

let into such close quarter contact with Puskar are raising questions as to whether the department had deliberately placed them together as part of what is now perceived as an ill-advised effort to annoy Follet.

As a former law en-

forcement officer, Follet should have been housed in the West Valley Detention Center's Unit 13, its protective custody ward. That ward typically holds prisoners whose alleged crimes are likely to be considered egregious or heinous by the general incarcerated population – such as pedophilia, child abuse, child murder, rape, violence against women, crimes against the elderly or disabled – as well as those suspected of being informants or who are witnesses to crimes involving gang activity, as well as law enforcement

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIVSB 2218737

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner NICOLE AMBER MARQUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

NICOLE AMBER MARQUEZ to NICOLE AMBER SERRANO

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing
Date: November 14, 2022
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California,

County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 09/29/2022

Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO

Nicole Amber Marquez, In Pro Per

1126 West F Street Ontario, CA 91762 (909) 541-1565

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIVSB 2218781

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioners RAMON JOSE MARQUEZ and ELIZABETH CASTANEDA on behalf of minor children filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

RICO ANDRES MARQUEZ to RICO ANDRES SERRANO

[and]

AYDEN ISAIHAH MARQUEZ to AYDEN ISAIHAH SERRANO

[and]

LOU CARLOS MARQUEZ to LOU CARLOS SERRANO

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing
Date: November 16, 2022
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California,

County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San

Public Notices

Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 09/29/2022

Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO

Ramon Jose Marquez and Elizabeth Castaneda, on behalf of minor children

4800 Rosewood St. Montclair, CA 91763 (909) 964-8512

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

FBN 20220009221

The following entity is doing business as SH TRANS 11391 MOUNTAIN VIEW DRIVE, #40 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: MSB MANAGEMENT SERVICE INC 11391 MOUNTAIN VIEW DRIVE, #40 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 5212674.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEPTEMBER 26, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ HOBIN LEE, President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/04/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022

FBN 20220009162

The following entity is doing business as SPJH GROUP 11210 4TH ST #1320 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: HOMAR TORRES 11210 4TH ST #1320 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ HOMAR TORRES
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/03/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LORRAINE JUNE aka LORRAINE DEBRA JUNE

CASE NO.

Public Notices

PROSB2201410

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of LORRAINE JUNE aka LORRAINE DEBRA JUNE A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by JAMES DAVID JUNE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JAMES DAVID JUNE be appointed as personal representatives to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-35 at 9:00 a.m. on NOVEMBER 10, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is avail-

Public Notices

able from the court clerk. Attorney for the James David June:

ANTONIETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408

Telephone No: (909) 890-2350

Fax No: (909) 890-0106

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on

October 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LORELEI JUARE

CASE NO.

P R O S B 2 2 0 1 4 0 4

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of LORELEI JUARE has been filed by WILLIAM JUARE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that WILLIAM JUARE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 16, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S36 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: OCTOBER 4, 2022

SABRINA FELIX, Deputy Court Clerk

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: October 4, 2022 Attorney for William Juare: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE

Public Notices

STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000

Fax (909) 475 8800

sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JOHN RICHARD WILSON

CASE NO.

P R O S B 2 2 0 1 4 2 9

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JOHN RICHARD WILSON has been filed by PAULA OSTROWSKI in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that PAULA OSTROWSKI be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 21, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: OCTOBER 11, 2022

SELYNA RAZO, Deputy Court Clerk

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: October 11, 2022 Attorney for Paula Ostrowski: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

Public Notices

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): 21ST CV 45171

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO DEMANDA-DO):

RODRIGO FRANCISCO MANUEL, AKA RODRIGO F. MANUEL, AKA RODRIGO FRANCISCO

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): DEOMARLEE LALU SY, MARIA JOSE VILLEGAS, ESTELITA SY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefonica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formulario de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corta que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso

Public Notices

por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):

Spring Street Courthouse 310 North Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Oscar E. Toscano State Bar #84736

625 W. Broadway, Suite B Glendale, CA 91204

Phone: (818) 241-0806

DATE (Fecha): December 10, 2021

Clerk (Secretario), by R. Clifton, Deputy (Adjunto)

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 10/14, 10/21, 10/28 & 11/04, 2022.

FBN 20220006980

The following person is doing business as LAW OFFICE OF JON F. HAMILTON 700 E. REDLANDS BLVD., SUITE U #165 REDLANDS, CA 92373 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY:

JON F HAMILTON 1320 GARDEN STREET REDLANDS, CA 92373

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 1, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JON F. HAMILTON, Individual Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/26/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 8/5, 8/12, 8/19 & 8/26, 2022. Corrected on 9/9, 9/16, 9/23 & 9/30, 2022. Recorrected on 10/14, 10/21, 10/28 & 11/04, 2022.

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

MARIA G. ARIAS

CASE NO.

PROSB2200891

To all heirs, beneficiaries,

Public Notices

creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 in Dept. S35 at 09:00 AM on 07/25/2022.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney:
ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ
aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ
12473 DEL SUR STREET,
VICTORVILLE, CA. 92392
909 238-2508 OR 909 837-0251

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on:
10/14/2022, 10/21/2022,
10/28/2022

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIV SB 2128492

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO DEMANDADO):
KULWANT KAUR aka
KULWANT KAURA aka
KULWANT KURA, SETHI
CHANCHAL, and DOES 1 to

Public Notices

10, inclusive
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):
MTC FINANCIAL INC. dba
TRUSTEE CORPS

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación
Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):
San Bernardino Justice Center
247 West Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210
The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
John C. Steele, Steele LLP,
17272 Red Hill Avenue,
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: (949) 222-1161
DATE (Fecha): November 10, 2021
Clerk (Secretario), by Sylvia Guajardo, Deputy (Adjunto)
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 10/14/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022 & 11/11/2022.

Public Notices

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are interested in the estate, you may request special notice of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Section 1250 of the California Probate Code.

Attorney for the Petitioner:
MARY M. BADER 9227 HAVEN AVENUE, SUITE 368
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 Telephone: (909) 945-2775 Fax: (909) 945-2778
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 21, 28 & November 4, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JAMES PHILLIP CARTY SR. CASE NO. PROSB2201457
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JAMES PHILLIP CARTY SR. has been filed by JAMES PHILLIP CARTY JR. in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JAMES PHILLIP CARTY JR. be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 23, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: October 17, 2022
Selyna Razo,
Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS
ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Public Notices

AUTO SALES.

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self-help), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas

Public Notices

de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):

San Bernardino Justice Center
247 West Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Adam K. Obeid, Esq. (SBN 247188)
Cedar Adams, P.C.
27 Orchard Road, #103
Lake Forest, CA 92630
Phone: (949) 350-1889
DATE (Fecha): June 7, 2021
Clerk (Secretario), by Nicole O'Dwyer, Deputy (Adjunto)

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022 & 11/11/2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME

FBN 20220009707
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as: POSTCARDS FROM SILVIA 11450 CHURCH ST APT 77 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 HSHAO-HUA SILVIA LIU 11450 CHURCH ST APT 77 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ HSHAO-HUA SILVIA LIU
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 10/21/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: October 11, 2022.

County Clerk, 18090

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/21, 10/28, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME

FBN 20220008655
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as: SOAR DESIGNS 1606 PALO VERDE DRIVE REDLANDS, CA 92373; MICHELE K DERRY 1606 PALO VERDE DRIVE REDLANDS, CA 92373

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/MICHELE K DERRY, Manager

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 09/16/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 01/01/2021

County Clerk, 18090

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section

Public Notices

14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022, 9/30/2022 & 10/07/2022. Corrected on 10/21, 10/28, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME NOTICE

FBN 20220009392
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as: ASHE 444 NORTH H STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410 ASHE SOCIETY SB LLC 390 WEST FIFTH STREET #638 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410

Business is Conducted By: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California as 201814110807

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ ELAINE LU, Manager
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 10/11/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: February 22, 2022.

County Clerk, G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/28, 11/04, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2220825

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: EJDIRAN TAIWO filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

EJDIRAN TAIWO to TAI EJDIRAN TAIWO THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted.

Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 12/13/2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino City News in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/25/2022

Judge of the Superior Court:

JOHN M. PACHECO

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2220823

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner VICTO-

Public Notices

RIA LYNN FREEMAN filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

VICTORIA LYNN FREEMAN TO LYNN FREEMAN

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing
Date: December 6, 2022
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 10/25/2022

Judge of the Superior Court:

JOHN M. PACHECO

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 28, November 4, November 11 & November 18, 2022

FBN 20220009070

The following entity is doing business as LARA'S CLEANING SERVICE 18852 9TH ST BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316; GUADALUPE H ORTEGA 18852 9TH ST BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ GUADALUPE H ORTEGA
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 9/30/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy 18806

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/28, 11/04, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME

FBN 20220009858
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as: SPEEDWAY MUFFLER 10459 8TH STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; RICARDO C DEL ROSARIO 9104 CHELSEA ST RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/ RICARDO DEL ROSARIO, Owner

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 10/26/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on

Public Notices

file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: October 11, 2022

County Clerk, D9865

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/28, 11/04, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

FBN 20220009319

The following person is doing business as: TREK INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY, 2996 E GRAFTON ST ONTARIO, CA 917615120 HAMNER AVE STE 140-630 EASTVALE, CA 91752 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TREK J KINSEY 2996 E GRAFTON ST ONTARIO, CA 91761. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ WENDY GALAVIZ, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 03, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-B402022151R

FBN 20220008843

The following person is doing business as: RANCHO LOS JUJUBES. 35722 RABBIT SPRINGS RD LUCERNE VALLEY, CA 92356 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ANGEL DAMIAN GONZALEZ 35722 RABBIT SPRINGS RD LUCERNE VALLEY, CA 92356; MARIA GUADALUPE DAMIAN ROSALES 35722 RABBIT SPRINGS RD LUCERNE VALLEY, CA 92356. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ANGEL DAMIAN GONZALEZ, GENERAL PARTNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 23, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-B40202211IR

FBN 20220009212

The following person is doing business as: F CHAGO DEMOLITION. 1401 W 9TH ST SP#104 POMONA, CA 91766 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO FERNANDO AGUILAR 1401 W 9TH ST SP#104 POMONA, CA 91766. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ FERNANDO AGUILAR, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 04, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et

Public Notices

nardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-BB40202213MC

FBN 20220009177

The following person is doing business as: WENDY FAMILY KITCHEN. 14181 GREEN VISTA DR. FONTANA, CA 92337 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MANDALAY MORTGAGE PROCESSING, LLC LIMITED LIABILITY CO. 14181 GREEN VISTA DR. FONTANA, CA 92337 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 29, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ WENDY GALAVIZ, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 03, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-B40202212IR

FBN 20220009189

The following person is doing business as: G PACIFIC DRIVE MOTORS. 1680 SOUTH E. ST SUITE #B-38 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408; MAILING ADDRESS 8952 MANGO AVENUE FONTANA, CA 92335; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO HORACIO GARRIDO 8952 MANGO AVENUE FONTANA, CA 92335. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ HORACIO GARRIDO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 03, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-B40202208MT

FBN 20220009212

The following person is doing business as: CALIFORNIA BOAT REPAIR LLC. 144 E ARBETH ST RIALTO, CA 92377 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CALIFORNIA BOAT REPAIR LLC 144 E ARBETH ST RIALTO, CA 92377 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 30, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LUIS ORTIZ, MANAGER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 30, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-BB40202207MT

Public Notices

seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-BB40202210MT

FBN 20220009040

The following person is doing business as: NEVEAH ENTERPRISES; NEVEAH TRUCKING; NEVEAH IN HOME CARE SERVICE FACILITY 2350 OSBUN RD UNIT 4 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARQUIJA L SMITH 2350 OSBUN RD UNIT 4 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MARQUIJA L SMITH, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 29, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. Seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022 CNB-BB40202209SR

FBN 20220009059

The following person is doing business as: XUL. 14606 PIPELINE AVE CHINO, CA 91710 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ORTIZ BEVERAGE COMPANY 14606 PIPELINE AVE CHINO, CA 91710 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record

The San Bernardino County Sentinel Offers The Lowest Prices For The Publishing Of Legal Notices Among All Of The Newspapers In San Bernardino County

Are you looking to publish a Fictitious Business Name Notice? An Order To Show Cause? Notice Of A Trustee Sale? Notice Of A Petition To Administer An Estate?

Publish your notice at a reasonable rate.

Call (951) 567 1936 for a quote on all of your legal noticing needs.

The Sentinel is a legally adjudicated newspaper of general circulation for both the City of Rancho Cucamonga and San Bernardino County.



San Bernardino County Sentinel

News of Note from Around the Largest County in the Lower 48 States

Public Notices

Began Transacting Business: September 26, 2001.
 County Clerk, J2525
 NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/21, 10/28, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

FBN 20220009656
 The following person is doing business as: KVL TIRES INC. 14887 FONTANA, CA 92335 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO KVL TIRES INC 10156 LIVE OAK AVE FONTANA, CA 92335 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ KALVINDER SINGH, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 19, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202214MT

FBN 20220009526
 The following person is doing business as: URY'S BEAUTY SALON & BARBERSHOP. 698 KARESH AVE APT 4 POMONA, CA 91767 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO IRMA D DAVILA RAMIREZ 698 KARESH AVE APT 4 POMONA, CA 91767. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ IRMA D DAVILA RAMIREZ, Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 14, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Ber-

Public Notices

ardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202213MT

FBN 20220009527
 The following person is doing business as: JD KITCHEN REFINISHING & PAINTING. 9068 CYPRESS AVE APT A FONTANA, CA 92335 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JOSE D SONTAY TARAX, Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 14, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202212MT

FBN 20220009483
 The following person is doing business as: OMNIA TRUCKING. 12001 WEEPING WILLOW FONTANA, CA 92337 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOE M GARCIA 12001 WEEPING WILLOW FONTANA, CA 92337. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JOE M GARCIA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 13, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement

Public Notices

expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202211MT

FBN 20220009560
 The following person is doing business as: BUSY BEE'S DAYCARE. 7053 SAGEBRUSH WAY FONTANA, CA 92336 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 7053 SAGEBRUSH WAY FONTANA, CA 92336 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ DEQUAN A JANURAY Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 17, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-B432022091R

FBN 20220009660
 The following person is doing business as: MOTORCYCLE TECH. 1710 W FOOTHILL BLVD UNIT D14 UPLAND, CA 91786 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO FABRICIO PULGAR 323 S TURNER AVE WEST COVINA, CA 91791. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ FABRICIO PULGAR, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 20, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement

Public Notices

must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CNB-B432022071R

FBN 20220009672
 The following person is doing business as: E'S RACE AND FAB. 2926 S PEACHTREE LN ONTARIO, CA 91762 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EDGAR M TINJACA 2926 S PEACHTREE LN ONTARIO, CA 91762. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 01, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ EDGAR M TINJACA, Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 20, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202205MT

FBN 20220009676
 The following person is doing business as: LA TAPATIA TAQUERIA Y MARISCOS. 16843 VALLEY BLVD

Public Notices

SUITE F FONTANA, CA 92335 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LA TAPATIA TAQUERIA Y MARISCOS 2100 N RANCHO AVE SUITE C COLTON, CA 92324 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ YOBANY RODRIGUEZ, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 20, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202205MT

FBN 20220009703
 The following person is doing business as: GALAVIZ MACHINES. 181 E D ST COLTON, CA 92324; [MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701]; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DENISE F GALAVIZ 181 E D ST COLTON, CA 92324. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 07, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information

Public Notices

which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ DENISE F GALAVIZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 21, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202204FA

FBN 20220009590
 The following person is doing business as: CALIFORNIA PACIFIC PATROL. 10808 FOOTHILL BLVD STE 160-832 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CALIFORNIA PACIFIC PATROL, INC. 10808 FOOTHILL BLVD RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 . The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LINDA M. OLSEN, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 18, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement

Public Notices

must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CNB-B432022021R

FBN 20220009688
 The following person is doing business as: OAK HILLS CLEANING SERVICES. 8465 CATABA RD OAK HILLS, CA 92344 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ROSA C CRUZ 8465 CATABA RD OAK HILLS, CA 92344; MARIA E MARTINEZ 8465 CATABA RD OAK HILLS, CA 92344. The business is conducted by: COPARTNERS. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 18, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ROSA C CRUZ, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 20, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022, 11/18/2022 CN-BB43202201MU

Big Bear Lake Council Contest Will Show Whether Tourist Industry Or Local Residents Have The Greater Political Muscle *from page 6*

sions. His allies were able to gather enough signatures to put a set of proposed regulations on the ballot that the city's establishment has long resisted. They did this not in a single district but across the entire city, gathering

roughly five times the number of signatures that were gathered by those looking to remove Lee from office.

All of this makes for what looks to be an interesting match-up. If there is enough of an animus against Lee in the First District to remove him from office, and Herrick, Putz, Melnick and their supporters can succeed in driving those people to the polls on November 8, Lee may very well be recalled. On the other hand, if the

spectrum of residents who have been inconvenienced or preyed upon by callous vacationers and short-term visitors and then ignored by an insensitive City Hall is as solid in the city's second, third and fourth districts as the juggernaut of voter intent that qualified Measure O for the ballot suggests, Herrick, Putz and Melnick might find themselves no longer in office come December.

-Mark Gutglueck

Despite Cooperating With The FBI, Pacheco Was Still Using A Burner Phone *from page 5*

withdraw cash from his consulting Company 2 account and provide defendant his payments in cash in order to conceal the transactions. In exchange, defendant would vote for and support Marijuana Companies 3 and 4's city development agreements."

The narrative continues, "At some point in 2017, after this conversation, Person 1 [i.e., Tafoya] provided defendant a physical copy of sample 'consultant agreement' that Person 1 told defendant he would have his intermediary use when approaching companies seeking cultivation development agree-

ments. At the bottom of the sample agreement, it said to call Person 1 for any questions. Defendant provided that agreement to Person 4 who served as defendant's intermediary with two companies seeking marijuana cultivation and/or manufacturing development agreements."

According to the FBI narrative, "On December 13, 2018, FBI special agents executed a search warrant on defendant's [Pacheco's] residence and vehicle. Once the search had finished and on the same day, defendant met with Person 2 [Taylor] at a city event and told him about the FBI's search of his home."

The FBI document continues, "Between March 2019 and April

30, 2019, defendant spoke with Person 2 and detailed evidence the FBI had gathered concerning the police association scheme. Person 2 then provided defendant false exculpatory statements that Person 2 suggested defendant could tell the FBI, such as falsely stating that the cash he accepted from PO-1 [police officer 1] were merely campaign contributions."

The revelation that Pacheco had immediately informed Taylor about the December 2018 FBI raid on his premises clashed with Taylor's representations to members of the board and senior management employees at the West Valley Water District made at the time of the announcement of Pacheco's guilty plea in 2021 that he had known

nothing of the FBI's action targeting Pacheco.

While Pacheco did provide the FBI with access to his computer and his personal communication device as well as the devices he had been issued by the City of Baldwin Park and the West Valley Water District, it is most likely that he withheld from federal investigators the burn phones he had used during his 2018 communications with Tafoya and Taylor and which he might have been using in his telephonic and text contact with them as early as 2017 and as late as 2019 and into 2020.

Shortly after the announcement of the filing of charges against Chavez and Chavez's plea arrangement and the simultaneous release of Pacheco's plea agree-

ment and its factual basis, Tafoya resigned as Baldwin Park city attorney. Taylor, who had resigned his position on the West Valley Water District Board of Directors in late May and had moved to Arkansas by July, had returned to Southern California as of October 7, the day Chavez's plea arrangement had been announced.

Amid denials by Tafoya's attorney, Mark Werksman, there were recurrent reports that both Tafoya and Taylor were in negotiations with the U.S. Attorney's Office with regard to plea arrangements pertaining to criminal charges against them under contemplation and/or preparation by the U.S. Department of Justice. The *Sentinel* has been unable to confirm over the last

two weeks the reports of pending charges and/or pleas by Tafoya and Taylor.

The *Sentinel* did, however, learn from an entity close to the situation that despite Pacheco's cooperation with the FBI in providing some inculpatory information relating to Tafoya's and Taylor's involvement in facilitating his bribetaking from entities seeking and obtaining commercial marijuana operation permits in Baldwin Park, he also actively withheld further evidence of the same by continuing to use throwaway cell phones throughout 2018 and into 2019. It is unclear whether the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's Office ever learned of that obfuscation on Pacheco's part.

-Mark Gutglueck

Colton Officer Dies In Aftermath Of Freak Shooting Accident *from page 3*

2:46 p.m. He related to sheriff's dispatch personnel that he had sustained a gunshot wound below his waist as the result of an accidental discharge of his gun, and gave his location,

saying he was parked to the side of the road. Within 17 minutes of the call coming in, a paramedics unit and deputies had reached Morgan, who had shot himself in the leg, piercing his femoral artery. At that point he was in a state of both hemorrhagic shock and full hypovolemic shock. He was rapidly

transported to Victor Valley Global Medical Center in Victorville, located 5.9 miles from where he was found. Morgan had lost more than seven pints of his roughly 11.5 pint volume of blood, which led to his heart seizing up. Despite valiant efforts by all involved in applying aggressive life-saving

measures, he was lost. Based on Morgan's statement to the dispatch center, the conclusion of investigators is that the shooting was accidental and Morgan's death warrants no further inquiry or criminal investigation. Before Morgan's body was transported out of Victor Valley Global Medical Center, it was

draped in an American flag, and was provided with a procession of honor escort to the sheriff's coroner facility in San Bernardino. Previous to his work as a police officer, Morgan, who had a bachelor of science degree in informational technology from the University of Phoenix, had worked as

a behavioral therapist with disabled children. "Officer L. Morgan was a beloved father, friend, colleague, and a caring officer who loved working for the Colton Community," according to the Colton Police Department. "He is survived by his parents, fiancé, son, and soon-to-be-born son."

Multiple Anomalies In Jail Beating Death *from page 5*

officers or former law enforcement officers.

Puskar, given his mental issues and the consideration that he had been ordered by the court to resume his ingestion of the anti-psychotic medication he had previously resisted taking or had discontinued, should have been housed in the West Valley Detention Center's Unit 15, what is referred to as the jail's secured housing unit or, alternately, its sheltered housing unit. That unit is reserved for those inmates exhibiting what is referred to as "UB," i.e., unusual behavior or mental issues.

There is a limited universe of housing options within the West Valley Detention Center. Perhaps foremost among those are dormitory settings, ones consisting of communal cells housing up to a dozen or more inmates each.

Those are subject to video monitoring, in many cases using multiple cameras positioned at different locations around each cell, offering a variety of perspectives to jail personnel who view the footage from a secure location. Those communal cells are easily and immediately accessed by the teams of jailers on duty.

The smaller cells in several of the jail's designated units are typically occupied by a single or usually no more than two inmates. While those cells are theoretically subject to video monitoring, at least in some cases the visual perspectives on those cells are limited. Moreover, there are so many of those smaller holding areas and the number of personnel to monitor those videos so few that keeping a constant watch on the activity within the smaller individual cells is unrealistic. Based on the statements put out by the sheriff's department with

regard to Puskar's death, it does not appear that there was video surveillance of the cell in which Puskar met his end. If that capability existed, it seems the department had not actualized it at the time Puskar and Follet were involved in their deadly interaction.

It is not clear whether they were in Unit 13 or Unit 15. A case could perhaps be made that Follet's actions that led to his arrest – storing or utilizing tear gas, running the risk of being sent to prison for violating his parole by possessing a gun, ammunition and a tear gas canister and then brandishing a knife and actively resisting arrest when he was confronted by law enforcement officers, ones who were members of the same department in which he served – qualified as unusual behavior or the manifestation of mental issues that would account for his placement in Unit 15. Nevertheless, the decision to house him

with Puskar, who had a diagnosed mental illness for which he had been prescribed anti-psychotic medication which he had previously been reluctant, resistant or refusing to take, and who, like Follet, had been arrested for engaging in a violent act, is a problematic one, it now turns out. Certainly, placing Follet, who according to the sheriff's office less than a month previously had engaged in a felony assault with a deadly weapon in a manner likely to cause great bodily injury, in the same 110-square foot cell as Puskar, whose assault on a person unknown with a deadly weapon had sent him into one form of confinement or other for more than 15 months, created a match that had deadly consequences.

At this point, the sheriff's department is not offering any explanation as to why it simply did not place Follet in a cell of his own within Unit 13.

Yesterday, Thursday, October 27, in an appearance before Judge Richard Peel V, Follet was arraigned on Penal Code 187 murder charges. He pleaded not guilty. Peel has scheduled a pre-preliminary hearing for November 9 in Department R15 at the Rancho Cucamonga Courthouse for Follet on the Penal Code 245(C)-F assault with a deadly weapon other than a firearm by means likely to produce great bodily injury upon a peace officer charge; the Penal Code 417.8-F exhibition of a deadly weapon with the intent to resist arrest charge; the Penal Code 29800(A)(1)-F felon in possession of a firearm charge; the PC30305(A)(1)-F felon in possession of ammunition charge; the Penal Code 69-F resisting an executive officer charge; the Penal Code 22810(A)-F unlawful use of tear gas; and the Penal Code PC243(B)-M misdemeanor resisting arrest charge. Judge Peel

scheduled a preliminary hearing for Follet on those charges for November 9, also in Department R15.

That same day, Follet is to appear in Department R15 for a pre-preliminary hearing on the murder charge and come back on November 19 for a preliminary hearing on the murder charge.

Follet, who was previously represented by Deputy Public Defender Jerome Macht, is no longer being represented by the public defender's office after it made a conflict of interest motion in a request to be dismissed as Follet's counsel. He is now being represented by Michael Duncan on the charges growing out of his September 24 arrest and William Morales is representing him on the murder charge.

He is being prosecuted by Deputy District Attorney Eric Ta and Deputy District Attorney Pierpaolo Repetto.