

Friday, October 21, 2022 A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with Countywide News Service 10808 Foothill Blvd. Suite 160-446 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 (951) 567-1936

Incarcerated 67-Year-Old Beats 47-Year-Old To Death In West Valley Jail Cell

By Mark Gutglueck

Further questions have been raised about the safety of inmates who are under the care and supervision of the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department following the brutal death of an inmate earlier this month.

The homicide detail of the sheriff's department's specialized investigations division is looking closely at an in-custody incident that occurred at the West Valley Detention Center

in Rancho Cucamonga, which ultimately resulted in an inmate's death after he was removed to Arrowhead Regional Medical Center in Colton for medical treatment.

According to the department, Michael Follet, a 67-year-old Yucaipa resident is suspected of beating Steven Puskar, a 47-year-old San Bernardino resident to within inches of his death on October 2, 2022.

That day, deputies at

West Valley Detention Center were alerted to a problem inside a cell in the housing unit they oversaw. When they responded, the deputies found Puskar suffering injuries and unresponsive. Lifesaving measures were taken and Puskar was transported to the Arrowhead Regional Medical Center, the main campus of the county hospital, in Colton. Puskar remained in the care of Arrowhead Regional Medical Center

and on October 9, 2022, was pronounced deceased. The sheriff's specialized investigations division's homicide detectives have assumed the investigation. Investigators conducted numerous interviews and an extensive investigation. Puskar's cellmate, Michael Follet, was interviewed and on October 13, 2022, was booked for PC 187-murder.

Steven Puskar was arrested by San Bernardino Police on June 29, 2021,

on charges of assault with a deadly weapon. Michael Follet was arrested by Yucaipa Police on September 24, 2022, on charges of unlawful use of tear gas, being a felon in possession of a firearm and ammunition, assault, exhibiting a knife and resisting arrest.

The San Bernardino County jail system, run by the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, has for generations been a hostile and dangerous place for **See P 4**

O'Brien Calls For Limits On Power & For Reform In Mayoral Run



Shannon O'Brien

Shannon O'Brien is offering herself as the reformist candidate in this year's Fontana mayoral race.

O'Brien, a former member of the Fontana Unified School Board, and Councilman Jesse Sandoval, who currently represents Fontana's Council District 2, are challenging Mayor Acquanetta Warren, who was first elected mayor in 2010, was reelected in 2014 and 2018, and is again on the November 8 ballot.

Warren has been in office too long while advocating and putting into place policies that are detrimental to the residents of Fontana as a whole, O'Brien said.

"In certain respects, she lacks vision altogether," O'Brien said of Warren. "In those places where she has vision, she is plain wrong. There are no term limits for the mayor in Fontana. If I am elected, I will seek to pass a term limit ordinance. The City of Fontana should not be any one person's dynasty. There are many people with solid ideas, good proposals, who are not getting an opportunity to be heard. Other hard-working leaders deserve the opportunity to serve our city. Having the same mayor in place for going on 12 years now has led to not **See P 3**

Candidates & Their Supporters Exchange Blows With Each Other In Colton Council Race

The Colton City Council's contraction from a seven-member panel to five is to be effectuated with the November 8 election. As might have been anticipated, the election, which also involves the city's mayoral contest, has touched off a degree of bitter turf fighting that, while not unheard of in the Hub City historically, has not been in evidence for more than

a decade.

In this year's electioneering, cheap shots abound, with few of the candidates being guiltless in this regard. The political establishment, which tolerated having a police chief who was a pothead when that substance was illegal, has based its campaign against two of the incumbents' challengers on their embrasure of the

California social revolution that is tolerating the marijuanification of California. The support network for one incumbent candidate who has been forced, because of the council's numerical reduction, into a contest against another incumbent has characterized his rival as a racist. The candidate being accused of being a racist and his support network point out

that his rival's supporters have flagrantly violated city codes, and that his rival is covering for those misdoers. A band of political outsiders claim the current council has tolerated, indeed encouraged, the use of the city's code enforcement division to target residents who have questioned a number of land use decisions by the city council and mayor, who have been accused

of being influenced by money provided to them by the proponents of the developers of those projects that were at stake in those decisions. The current electoral circumstance is an outgrowth of Colton voters' 2018 passage of Measure R, which called for reducing the seven-member Colton City Council from a mayor elected at large and six **See P 4**

Darwin Cruz, Bank Exec Who Opposed Upland's Pension Obligation Bond Issuance Ploy, In Bid For District 4 Solon



Darwin Cruz

Darwin Cruz, who is seeking the District 4 po-

sition on the Upland City Council in the November 8 election, said he is running because "Our community is at a critical juncture, and we need bold, strong leadership. District 4 needs to have visibility, transparency, and be represented by someone who will advocate for its residents."

Cruz told District 4 residents that if he is elect-

ed, "I will proactively engage our community, and bring the necessary leadership. I will not simply wait for your call, and be reactive, which is how the current four-year incumbent Rudy Zuniga operates. District 4 deserves better. Our community deserves a new vision and someone to represent them who is willing to lead through **See P 3**

School District Remaining Mum On Principal's Fight With Second Grader

More than two months after George Brown Elementary School Principal Dr. Christine Ramirez's altercation with a second grade student on August 5, the San Bernardino City Unified School District is maintaining its silence with regard to the incident.

A video of the incident exists, but the school district is refusing

to release it.

Shortly after Ramirez's encounter with the 7-year-old, the child was taken into a classroom where two teachers were pressing onto the floor, according to witnesses.

Witnesses to the event, which included students and teachers, were traumatized by what they saw. **See P 3**

Measure D Proponents' Electioneering Tactics Trigger Rebuke From Harward Jarvis Association

The effort by the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors to extend its members' tenure in office and preserve their total annual compensation approaching \$300,000 prompted a stern rebuke from the leader of California's leading anti-tax advocacy group.

"The most despicable, deceptive campaign we've seen in a long

time," said Jon Coupal, president of the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, in reference to a mailer sent out by the proponents of Measure D.

The mailer falsely claimed Measure D, which was put on the ballot in San Bernardino County to thwart the intent and effect of an initiative, Measure K, which was passed over-

whelmingly by the county's voters two years ago, was supported by the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association.

Measure K had imposed on San Bernardino County supervisors a strict one-term in office limit, while reducing each member's yearly salary and benefits to \$60,000, an amount less than a quarter of what they were previously re-

ceiving and roughly one fifth of what they will receive if Measure D passes in November.

The use of Measure D by San Bernardino County's political establishment to block the reforms layered into Measure K is the latest effort by the supervisors to prevent the Red Brennan Group from proceeding with structural changes to county government

its members believe will safeguard taxpayer funds and reduce what its members consider to be the pernicious influence of special interests on county policy.

Kieran "Red" Brennan was a U.S. Navy submariner during World War II whose brushes with death as a young man in the service of his country while seeking to export democracy

Board Of Supervisors Has Twice Thwarted Pay Level/Term Reforms & With Measure D Is Going For A Tri-*fecta* from front page

around the globe impressed on him the need to refine democracy at home. Brennan pushed efforts to ensure government transparency and accountability, ones he hoped elected officials could be convinced to impose on themselves and, if not, would ultimately be put in place through the citizen initiative process.

In 2012, at the age of 87 and in his last hurrah before his 2013 death, Brennan headed an effort by a group of like-minded county residents in sponsoring an initiative, designated in that year's balloting as Measure R, which called for downscaling the five individual San Bernardino County supervisors' then-yearly \$151,971 salaries and \$67,500 in benefits to \$50,000 in salary and \$10,000 in benefits annually, a drop in total compensation from \$219,471 per year to \$60,000.

Brennan and his cohorts figured that the supervisors' total compensation, which was more than three-and-one-half times that made by the average county resident, put the county's political leadership in a bracket that left the supervisors out of touch with the economic reality their constituents dealt with on a daily basis. Moreover, the inflated salaries and benefits the supervisors were provided left them, Brennan and his associates said, intent on being reelected so they could keep those positions and the financial advantage holding those offices represented, such that they had grown desperate for political donations. Those donations, provided by individuals and corporations with contracts and/or franchises with the county or projects up for approval by the board of supervisors, created a circumstance in which the supervisors were more

sensitive to their donors and their needs than the needs of the constituents they represented, Brennan maintained.

The members of the board of supervisors, alarmed at the prospect that they would be subject to seeing their pay reduced by more than two-thirds, used their authority as public officials to place their own "reform" initiative on the ballot, designated Measure Q, to compete with Measure R. Measure Q called for leaving the supervisors yearly \$151,971 salaries in place and reducing their annual benefits then valued at \$67,500 by \$5,000 to \$62,500. During the 2012 campaign season, the supervisors touted Measure Q as a "sensible" and "moderate" approach toward political reform.

In the November 2012 election, Measure R passed by a convincing 64.25 percent to 35.75 percent, with 326,939 voters in favor of it and 181,907 opposed. Measure Q passed as well, by a 67.28 percent to 32.72 percent margin, 344,226 votes in support to 157,369 against it. Because it had garnered more votes than Measure R, Measure Q went into effect and Measure R did not.

The political reform movement in San Bernardino County was set back by Kieran Brennan's death in 2013. Ultimately, however, many of those associated with him over the years created a non-profit entity named in his honor. Members of the Red Brennan Group were convinced the substitute reform measure ploy the board of supervisors had used in 2012 which reduced the board members' overall annual compensation at that point from \$219,471 to \$214,471 had not effectuated the change they felt was necessary to make the county's top elected officials less beholden to their campaign contributors. Accordingly, they initiated another voter initiative. After aborting an effort to place a new measure on the ballot in 2018 when the county

legally challenged the contents of that proposed initiative in 2017, the Red Brennan Group mobilized in 2019 and over a period of less than six months gathered 75,132 signatures of county voters to place another measure on the ballot, which was designated by the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters as Measure K. Measure K covered the same ground as 2012's Measure R but went a step further. In addition to calling for reducing the supervisors' individual total compensation, which by that point had risen to \$263,466.95 – consisting of \$174,884.83 in salary, \$20,461.61 in other pay and \$68,120.51 in benefits – to the \$60,000 originally proposed by Red Brennan in 2012, it also proposed limiting each supervisor to a single one-year term.

The board of supervisors attempted to repeat what had occurred in 2012 by placing an alternative initiative on the ballot, one designated as Measure J.

Measure J perpetuated the existing cap of three four-year terms for supervisors and set their salaries at 80 percent of a Superior Court judge's salary, which when taken together with the supervisors' add-on pay and their benefits would bring their total annual compensation to somewhere between \$270,000 to \$290,000. Measure J also dispensed with genderist language that had been part of the county charter for more than a century which used pronouns such as he and him in reference to county officials, which, it was pointed out, no longer fit the current times when many of those serving in public office are women. It was the supervisors' collective hope that just as had occurred eight years previously, their alternate reform measure would outperform the one sponsored by the Red Brennan Group and thus keep Measure K's pay reductions from going into effect.

As it turned out, however, Measure K did much better at the polls than did Measure J.

Measure K passed with 516,184 or 66.84 percent of the 772,282 voters participating supporting it, and 256,098 voters or 33.16 percent opposed.

According to the final certified election results released by the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters, Measure J, the one sponsored by the supervisors, passed, with 378,964 votes or 50.72 percent of the 747,188 votes cast supporting it and 368,224 or 49.28 percent opposed.

Once the election results were certified, the board of supervisors, using taxpayer funds, contracted with three Los Angeles-based attorneys – Bradley Hertz, James Sutton and Nicholas Sanders – to prevent its members' pay from being reduced. Hertz, Sutton and Sanders filed a petition for a writ of mandate aimed not at the Red Brennan Group, but rather the supervisors' own employee, San Bernardino County Clerk of the Board Lynna Monell. The petition on behalf of the board of supervisors sought to prevent Monell from implementing Measure K, arguing that it violated the supervisors' rights under the California Constitution to set their own salary, infringed on San Bernardino County citizens' First and Fourteenth Amendment rights in the U.S. Constitution through the imposition of term limits that prevented voters from reelecting incumbent supervisors and that Measure K violated "the single subject rule" pertaining to voter initiatives.

The county was granted an injunction preventing Measure K from going into effect while the legal action was pending, such that the supervisors have continued to be provided with their \$270,000-to-\$280,000 annual compensations.

Though it was not named in the petition for a writ of mandate, the Red Brennan Group, through its attorney, Aaron Burden, submitted a motion that was granted to intervene as a defendant in the case. After considering the arguments put forth by

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

Hertz, Sutton and Sanders as well as Burden, San Bernardino County Superior Court Judge Donald Alvarez in October 2021 concluded that Measure K should not be implemented.

While holding that the salary/benefits/total compensation limitation contained in Measure K was permissible under the California Constitution, Judge Alvarez ruled that the measure's one-term limit is a violation of the U.S. Constitution. Because Measure K is not severable, meaning that it could not be applied in part but had to be enforced in all of its aspects or not at all, Judge Alvarez said the measure in its entirety must be struck down.

Burden appealed that ruling to the Fourth District Court of Appeal in Riverside. On July 12, 2022, the Fourth District Court of Appeal released a tentative decision stating that Judge Alvarez erred in striking down Measure K. While the appellate panel said it would provide both sides an opportunity to present oral arguments before the decision is finalized, the justices stated unless they were dissuaded by the county's reasoning, "We will hold that the one-term limit is constitutional. We will further hold that supervisors' compensation can be set by initiative. The board of supervisors has not shown that the compensation limit violates minimum wage laws. The trial court's ruling granting the petition is reversed. Monell and all other county officials may carry out their

duties to certify, authenticate, record and file Measure K. It must also provide that Measure K is deemed to have been certified, authenticated, recorded and filed on the same date as Measure J."

Faced again with the prospect of seeing their pay reduced, the supervisors in July voted 5-to-0 to put another measure on the November 2022 ballot, since designated as Measure D, which will, if passed, undo all of the provisions of Measure K.

As presented, the measure would adjust the county charter so that the supervisors would receive a base annual salary equal to 80 percent of the annual salary of the judges serving on the San Bernardino Superior Court along with benefits provided to the county's department heads. With the three percent annual cost-of-living increases that are applied to the salaries of county administrators and management, this would zoom the supervisors salaries to somewhere in the neighborhood of \$290,000 per year. Judges in San Bernardino County currently receive \$225,074 in base salary. As such, this would translate into the supervisors being provided with an annual salary of \$180,059.20. The county's department heads are provided with benefits ranging from \$51,381.92 at the bottom and \$82,380.25 at the top with an average of \$66,560.02. The supervisors are provided with add-ons and perquisites ranging from

Continued on Page 6

O'Brien In Fontana Mayoral Bid *from front page*

only complacency but corruption in Fontana's municipal government. We need accountable leadership that will be responsive to the residents of the city and allow fresh ideas to flourish so we can tap into the enthusiasm of the people who are most concerned about what is taking place at City

Hall."O'Brien said she believed Warren and the council "are leading our city in the wrong direction with excessive construction of warehouses, poor zoning and other questionable land use decisions. Under Mayor Warren, it appears that the city's strategy for economic development has been to construct tract homes and warehouses. I understand that people desire affordable housing, but it is lazy

and shortsighted to just put houses all over the place, without offering opportunities for economic growth that will ensure that the residents and future homebuyers can comfortably pay their mortgages."

O'Brien decried in particular the unbridled development of warehouses, which she said were imposing environmental havoc on the city's neighborhoods populated by the city's

most impoverished residents who do not have the wherewithal to oppose City Hall.

"A disproportionate number of the residents where those warehouses are being built are brown and black," O'Brien said. "The mayor knows that. She is not standing up for her own constituents. When it comes down to whether she is going to represent the people who elected her or the big money interests, she

sides with the money every time."

The justification Warren gives for allowing more and more warehouses to be built in the city – that they will provide employment to city residents – is downright false, O'Brien said. "The jobs available in warehouses do not provide a livable wage by which laborers there can support a family," O'Brien said. "We also know that the warehouse industry

is moving towards robots and automation that will replace human labor. Those warehouses do not represent lasting employment opportunities."

O'Brien was equally critical of the Warren regime's business/economic development strategy and priorities.

"The council is now planning to permit marijuana dispensaries that favor those who are part of the entrenched pay-

Continued on Page 5

Council Candidate Cruz Expresses Concerns About Upland's Financial Condition *from front page*

service and engagement."

Cruz, a financial professional who has worked 12 years in the banking industry, including nearly two years as a loan review administrator and credit analyst at Golden State Bank, credit analyst at Banc of California and a commercial loan processor at Pacific Premier Bank, has for some time evinced concern about Upland's fiscal state. For more than two decades, the city has been on a downward financial spiral. In 2001, then-Upland Mayor John Pomierski began to make major giveaways to the city's employees in terms of both generous salaries and benefits, including exorbitant pension plans it could not afford, to buy their silence about bribes he was taking. After Pomierski's federal indictment in 2011, which would lead to his conviction on political corruption charges

and a two-year prison sentence, the degree to which the greedy and irresponsible comportment of city officials had delivered the city to the doorstep of bankruptcy became apparent. A 2012 auditor's opinion from the certified public accounting firm Mayer Hoffman and McCann stated there were serious questions with regard to the city's solvency to the point that in a short while "it will be unable to continue as a going concern." According to Standard and Poor's, the city, which had already been downgraded from an AA credit rating to an A+, was in danger of seeing its credit rating eroding even further. In the decade since, the city in most years engaged in deficit spending, balancing its budget by making heavy borrowing from its reserves.

In 2020, Cruz vied for Upland city treasurer, coming up short in that contest.

Nonetheless, he issued a stern warning to the city about the inadvisability of utilizing so-called pension obligation bonds to defer to future generations its growing pension debt, a practice which has been likened by the Government Finance Officers Association to paying off the money owed on one credit card with another credit card. The city council did not heed his counsel, and moved toward issuing the bonds, which would have saddled the generations corresponding to the children and grandchildren of Upland's current taxpayers with upwards of \$350 million to retire the city's current unpaid pension debt of \$130 million. That debacle was nar-

rowly averted when some of those who are now supporting Cruz's candidacy acted to legally challenge that bond issuance, forcing the city to desist.

Cruz said he is worried that the financially unsophisticated council will be unable to map its way out of the quagmire it is already in and that through further endless fiscal folly it will drag the entire city into more monetary quicksand, leading to an inevitable bankruptcy.

"The challenges facing Upland in the future are financially complex and our city needs someone on the council with a background in finance and auditing," Cruz said. "The 2020 Grand Jury report highlighted numerous managerial and operational inefficiencies, and this was under the leadership of the incumbent. Upland needs change to ensure its own financial and operational success."

Addressing Upland's residents directly, Cruz said, "Your ballots have been mailed and now it's time to choose who you would like to represent you on the Upland City Council. I ask you: Do you want more of the same or are you ready for a new direction with new ideas, leadership, community engagement and solutions to resolve the issues that have been impacting our community the last four years?"

Cruz said, "I will help bring business development that complements our community. I'll bring responsible housing development to enhance the character or our community. I am a fan of businesses that complement our city. We need a lot of small businesses. We

need to be smart with our limited space and bring in business owners who hire local people and bring quality service to our residents. My focus in District 4 will be to try to help the current business owners in our downtown district and also work to bring in businesses to fill those vacant spots we have in our district. The more businesses we have, the more traffic it generates, which includes more tax sales revenue. That increases our budget and pays for services we are in dire need for."

Cruz opposes Measure L, the Upland sales tax override initiative on the November 8 ballot, as one that is counterproductive.

He was committed Cruz said, to "advocate

for public safety because we need a safe environment for everyone."

Cruz said he would actively seek more money for Upland from Sacramento and Washington, D.C.

"I have no qualms knocking on the doors of our state representatives, federal representative to say, 'We need grants,'" he said. "We need money."

The city should move forward but be mindful of its past and should not discard those things that can be salvaged, Cruz said.

"Our community is rich with history, and we need to celebrate our past and embrace the future opportunities that we can accomplish, without losing the character that

makes our community the gem of the City of Upland," he said. "I will save our park space and work for additional green space. I will work tirelessly on additional solutions to address homelessness. I will partner with downtown businesses and property owners to create a more vibrant Downtown Upland. This can all be accomplished when you elect proactive leadership."

"I am a local native who has seen our community and city quality of life decline and I know we can do better," Cruz said. "I grew up in the Upland Housing Authority and my first job was as a dishwasher at the Upland Hills Country Club.

Continued on Page 5

Information Black-out On Principal's Fight With Seven-Year-Old *from front page*

The police department has reviewed the video, but made no announcement with regard to any action or investigation. As best as can be determined, Dr. Ramirez has not been arrested or charged or relieved of her position. No formal statement to that effect has been provided by the police department.



Jeneka Romero

CERTIFIED HOLISTIC MASSAGE THERAPIST
CMT Lic.#: 86395

Scan Below for more information



I am a certified massage therapist going on two years now. My goal is to teach the community about holistic approaches to recover from bodily injuries as well as focusing on recognizing stress in the body due to the demands of everyday life. Currently, my services are mobile, so you can experience this therapy in the privacy of your home.

SERVICES OFFERED:

- Holistic massage with CBD oil
- Myofascial Therapy
- Swedish Massage
- Deep tissue
- Isolated stretching
- Kinesio Taping

CONTACT JENKA ROMERO

(626)602-0858
jenekaromero.cmt@gmail.com
<https://linktr.ee/jenekaromeroCMT>

Do You Have Information Of Public Interest? Call the *Sentinel* at (951) 567 1936 The *Sentinel* is always looking for information to inform our readership and keep our readers abreast of newsworthy developments.

The *Sentinel* devotes itself to what is happening in and around San Bernardino County. Social events, political news, issues pertaining to education, medicine, industry, commerce, development, real estate, history, culture and entertainment are of interest to us. Let us help you make our readers aware of what is happening in your corner of the world.



San Bernardino County **Sentinel**

News of Note
from Around the
Largest County
in the Lower
48 States

Do you have a news tip? Don't hesitate to pick up the phone or drop us a line at sbcsentinel@yahoo.com to alert us to that fascinating tidbit.

In-Custody Beating Death *from front page*

those incarcerated therein. A succession of the county's sheriffs at least since Frank Bland and including Floyd Tidwell, Dick Williams, Gary Penrod, Rod Hoops, John McMahon and now Shannon Dicus, have evinced a degree of insensitivity to the safety of those relegated to their department's custody over the years. Bland, who was elected sheriff in 1954 and sworn into that post in 1955 and then was reelected six times and served until 1983, freely admitted that jails were supposed to be and in fact were unpleasant places, and that the experience of jail and prison was intended to make a lasting impression on those consigned to them. Whatever experience those in jail underwent, Bland maintained, was a consequence of their own criminal acts.

While there was certainly a degree of truth in what Bland expressed, the management of the jail system and humane attitude of those managers contributes in no small degree to the safety and well being of those housed in county's various detention centers. The safety of those inmates historically has not been the sheriff's department's highest priority.

There has been an information blackout with regard to Follet and Puskar. According to the sheriff's department, both had previous criminal convictions on felony charges. The San Bernardino County Superior Court's website, however, references no criminal cases for either other than those previously stated

pertaining to Puskar's June 29 arrest and Follet's September 24 arrest. It is thus not publicly known at present the type, seriousness and number of their prior offenses. It is not known whether their convictions were recorded in another jurisdiction than San Bernardino County or whether the data with regard to their convictions locally had been scrubbed from the court's website. A civil claim that was filed against Puskar by an insurance company in San Bernardino County Superior Court in 1995 when he was 20 years old suggests that he had been living locally for some time.

The charges that were in play against both at the time of Puskar's death suggest each was prone to physical violence. Based upon their relative ages, it would seem that Puskar represented the greater physical threat to Follet rather than the other way around, although other relevant detail with regard to such a consideration, such as the size, weight and health of each is not at this time available to the *Sentinel*.

One detail that was available from the court records relating to the case against Follet was that the district attorney's office appeared to be making a serious run at Follet, having charged him as of September 27 with felony assault with a deadly weapon other than a firearm against a public safety officer in a manner likely to cause great bodily injury; felony exhibition of a firearm or deadly weapon in an attempt to resist arrest; felony being a felon in possession of a firearm; felony being a person prohibited by consequence of a felony

conviction of being in possession of ammunition; felony obstructing or resisting an executive officer; felony possession of tear gas by a convicted felon; and a misdemeanor charge of battery against a peace officer.

It is further known from the court record that on September 27 Follet appeared by video for an arraignment before Judge Arthur Benner II, who denied a motion by the deputy public defender representing him for a pretrial release. Two days later, on September 29, Follet appeared for a pre-preliminary hearing before Judge Colin Bilash, who set a preliminary hearing/bail hearing/recognition release hearing for Follet on October 4, which was ultimately vacated.

The court record with regard to Puskar shows that less than a month after his arrest, during a hearing before Judge David Mazurek on July 19, 2021, a declaration as to the doubt of Puskar's mental health was made and the proceedings against him were suspended. On September 7, 2021, according to the court file, Puskar was referred to the county mental health director for placement into a mental health recovery program. According to the file, on October 14, 2021, a stipulation and order was filed to the effect that Puskar was "to continue involuntary medication." On March 29, 2022, the court found Puskar mentally competent to stand trial and the case against him was reinstated.

There were multiple delays, cancellations and waivers of the deadline for Puskar's preliminary hearing in April, June, July and September, all

while he remained in custody.

A gap in the communications between the sheriff's office, the district attorney's office and the court is observable in the court file in that the record for a disposition hearing scheduled for Puskar on October 14, 2022 before Judge Bilash, five days after his death, states that the defendant "failed to appear."

Extrapolating on available information, it appears that the sheriff's department placed into an enclosed cell two individuals with demonstrated propensities for physical violence, one of whom had a diagnosed mental illness for which he had been prescribed anti-psychotic medication which he was reluctant, resistant or refusing to take. While it is not known for certain, it does not appear to be the case that there was video surveillance of the cell in which Puskar met his end. The sheriff's department personnel had the option of housing either or both Puskar and Follet in a dormitory setting, i.e., a communal cell housing up to a dozen or more inmates, which is subject to video monitoring.

Unknown is what, precisely, passed between Puskar and Follet in the hours, minutes or seconds before Puskar's death. Did Puskar threaten his cellmate, leading to what Follet deemed to be a need to defend himself? Was Puskar blindsided by Follet? Were things initiated by Puskar and terminated by Follet? Did one or the other make a sexual advance that turned fatal? Did Follet sadistically and pointlessly murder the hapless Puskar for the hell of it? Did Puskar step out of line

with some gangland types who enlisted Follet to administer punishment that was meant to merely send Puskar to the infirmary but which instead brought him to the coroner's table? Did the powers that be – either a shot caller among the inmates or a deputy or sergeant among the jailers – come to the conclusion that Puskar had it coming and give Follet a signal that they wanted him to solve a problem?

The sheriff's department has a challenging assignment with its Central Jail in San Bernardino, the Glen Helen Rehabilitation Center in Devore, The High Desert Detention Center in Adelanto, the West Valley Detention Center in Rancho Cucamonga and its smaller holding facilities around far flung 20,105-square mile San Bernardino County. Keeping a handful of criminals or those who are criminally charged under lock and key presents difficulties. Housing a few dozen or score of those who have broken the law or at least are accused of doing so, many of whom lack social grace, who are embittered and are experiencing having their freedom curtailed becomes intractable. When that number jumps to 5,193, the average daily population of the sheriff's detention facilities, keeping at least some of those inmates from harming each other looms as impossible. Even under the best of circumstances, the safety of those who must live and work in that environment cannot be guaranteed.

At the top of the sheriff's department, in the ivory tower of sheriff's headquarters at 655 East 3rd Street in San Ber-

nardino, Sheriff Shannon Dicus, Undersheriff Horace Boatwright, Assistant Sheriff Robert Wickum, Assistant Sheriff Sam Fisk, Deputy Chief John Ades, Deputy Chief Rick Bessinger, Deputy Chief Chris Fisher, Deputy Chief Shelley Krusbe, Deputy Chief Trevis Newport, Deputy Chief Robert O'Brine and Deputy Chief Sarkis Ohannessian can be honestly said to want to avoid both injury and deaths to the department's jails inmates to avoid liability and damage to the department's reputation. All of them are now by many years – indeed decades – removed from the everyday, every hour, every minute, every second down and dirty and mean and brutal reality of the jails. An entirely different ethos applies there. In polite company no one will admit it, but violence – or the hint of it, the threat of it and more often than anyone lets on the use of it – is the watchword there. Deputies, their fists in rawhide gloves, participate, for if they do not, they will not long be deputies. Just as the jailers must be obeyed, so too must deference be given to the leaders of those who are incarcerated. There is a dance between the jailers and the jailed, between the sheriff and the captains of criminal industry that everyone involved knows takes place. Beatdowns occur. Discipline must be maintained. There is a shadow hierarchy within the jail, a culture that has existed for generations, and the shot callers are part of that hierarchy. If they are not obeyed, chaos is the result. From rare time to rare time, someone needs to die. The ra-

Continued on Page 6

Colton Establishment Political Figures Are Down On Marijuana, Though Some Accept Commercial Cannabis Money; Some Of Their Challengers Are Potheads *from page 3*

council members elected by the constituents in a half dozen districts to a council consisting of an at-large mayor and four council members from as many districts. Measure R passed with 5,321 votes in favor and 4,469 opposed, a margin of 54.35 percent to 45.65 percent. At present, the Colton City Council consists of Mayor Frank Navarro, First District Councilman David Toro, Second District Councilman Ernest Cisneros, Third District Councilman Kenneth Koperski, Fourth District Councilman Luis González, Fifth District Councilman John Echevarria and Sixth District Councilman Isaac Suchil.

In accordance with the terms of Measure R, in the November 2020 election districts 3, 5 and 6 were up for election, but only for two-year terms. Districts 1, 2, and 4 were not up for election in 2020. In next month's election, all districts in Colton will be contested, with the new districts 3 and 4 being conducted with four years at stake and districts 1 and 2 involving two-year terms. Thus, by 2024 the elections for the districts will

be staggered, with district 1 and 4 candidates competing in elections corresponding with U.S. Presidential elections and districts 3 and 4 being contests held in connection with the California gubernatorial elections.

As a consequence of the district confections, councilmen Toro and Cisneros now live within the new District 1. Councilman Koperski lives in District 2. Councilmen González and Suchil reside in the new District 3. Councilman Echevarria resides in District 4.

As intense as the political tension is in Colton, it is somewhat less than it might have been, given that Cisneros opted out of running against Toro in District 1. Since no others have emerged to challenge Toro, there will be no contest in District 1 this year, and Toro will automatically be retained on the council until 2026.

In District 2, Kelly Chastain, who from 1996 until 2006 represented what is now District 3 and was mayor from 2006 until 2010, is challenging the incumbent Koperski. In District 3, González and Suchil, who have developed the makings of a bitter rivalry, are vying

against one another. In District 4, Gem M. Montes and Robert Wilson have emerged to challenge Echevarria.

Mayor Navarro faces a single challenger, Mark Garcia.

Somewhat ironically, the seven-member council that has existed over the last two years and the slightly differently-composed council that existed in the two years previous to that functioned pretty much as harmoniously as any of the councils in Colton going back for three decades. All of the incumbents and all but one of the challengers in this year's race are being badmouthed to one degree or another, in some cases rather virulently.

In District 2, Koperski, is seeking to remain on the council after he was appointed in 2019 to fill the gap that was created when Navarro was elected mayor with time yet remaining on his council term. As much as anyone, Koperski, a U.S. Air Force veteran and member of American Legion Post 155, is a member of the Colton establishment. He was heavily involved in Ken Hubbs Little League, Colton's Jr. All-American Football program and is a member of the Knights of Columbus Council 4017. As a consequence of his conventionality and being a

member of the political mainstream, Koperski has been accused along with several of his colleagues of being on the take when they allowed intensified development in La Loma Hills to proceed earlier this year after they previously shut the door on that project. Additionally, Koperski is a member of the council majority that has, according to some, thwarted the will of a majority of the city's residents who voted in 2018 to allow marijuana to be sold within city limits.

Measure U was presented to the city's voters in the November 2018 election. It called for allowing the city to impose a tax on marijuana businesses of up to \$25 per square foot for nurseries and up to 10 percent of gross receipts for other marijuana-related or cannabis-related commercial operations. Its passage, with 6,864 votes or 69.35 percent in favor and 3,035 or 30.65 percent in opposition, was widely interpreted as the citizenry's acceptance of marijuana cultivation and dispensaries.

While the city has initiated the acceptance of commercial cannabis permit applications, so far the city staff, at the secret direction of a majority of the city council, has used the background check, criminal history

check, zoning verification, business plan, safety and security plan, labor and employment plan, neighborhood compatibility/proposed location criteria, community benefit determination and reservation of city rights elements of its approval process to prevent any marijuana/cannabis-related businesses from locating in the city.

Those who support the marijuanification of Colton consider Koperski's stance in this regard, which essentially matches that of the rest of the council, as being reactionary and hypocritical. They point out that former Police Chief Steve Cabral was a regular marijuana smoker, even as the police department was busting potheads left and right.

Running against Koperski is Kelly Chastain, who was first elected to the city council in 1996, representing District 3. She was reelected in 2000 and 2004, and elected mayor, by which point she was the bona fide leader of the Colton Establishment. She has long touted herself as pro-law enforcement, supporting the same officers who busted pot smokers in their homes and on the streets of Colton and then recreated in their own homes by lighting up a joint or two or three or four, sometimes in the

presence of Colton council members or its mayor. Chastain was closely aligned with the former mayor of Fontana, Mark Nuami, an electrical engineer by trade with no experience in municipal management. She was instrumental in hiring him to serve as Colton's assistant city manager. Nuami assisted Chastain in her efforts to raise money for her campaigns, despite the ethical questions that activity raised. Under Chastain, the city pursued a policy of annexing property along the city's periphery, despite the opposition of the property owners whose land was being annexed. She was deposed as mayor in 2010 by former Colton community development director and Colton Joint Unified School District Board Member David Zamora. That essentially brought her political career to an end. She sought to revive it in 2016, when she ran against Frank Navarro, the incumbent, in District 3, losing convincingly, with her 700 votes or 38.31 percent to Navarro's 1,127 votes 61.69 percent.

In District 3, Isaac Suchil, who was first elected to the city council to represent District 6 in 2004, was narrowly defeated by Alex Perez for reelection in 2008

Continued on Page 12

O'Brien Questioning Mayor's Decision-Making And Political Associations *from page 3*

to-play culture that pervades San Bernardino County," she said. "Our city can do better than that."

"I am committed to transparency in government," O'Brien said. "If I am elected mayor, I will make every effort to ensure transparency at

Cruz *from page 3*

Today, I am a college graduate with a decade-long career in banking credit risk, proving that with a plan and perseverance, anything in this world is possible."

-M.G.

Fontana City Hall, with a special emphasis on how our monetary resources are put to work for us. Citizens deserve to know how their tax dollars are being spent, and their input on where that money should go before it is allocated is something I will work for."

O'Brien said Warren had entered into highly questionable political and professional relationships that the electorate in Fontana needed to take full stock of.

"Acquanetta Warren is both Black and a woman," O'Brien said. "So am I, but the similarity ends right there. Many people are not aware that she is a conservative Republican and a delegate for the Republican Party."

O'Brien said it was

difficult to know whether Warren's questionable political associations were an outgrowth of a wrongheaded political philosophy, a lapse in judgment or were intentionally entered into because of her calculation that they would advance her personally and politically.

"I have been trying to figure out why this woman does not respect American Democracy and the Constitution of the United States," O'Brien said. "She cast her delegate votes for Donald Trump to be president. She laughed, ate and took selfies with him, while knowing that he is a lunatic who prompted right wing extremists' groups, such as the Proud Boys, to try to overthrow our govern-

ment."

Warren's poor judgment carried over into her unethical relationship with Fontana's former city manager, Ken Hunt, which not only brought Warren's integrity and basic honesty into question but cost the city's taxpayers over \$1 million, O'Brien said.

O'Brien pointed out that in early 2019 Hunt and Warren were on what appeared to be excellent terms, such that Hunt, who had at that point been serving in the capacity of Fontana city manager for just under 20 years, was so well-thought of by Warren and the council that he had achieved the status of the second highest paid city manager in the state, with a contract that was set to run to 2022.

But seemingly overnight there was an inexplicable falling out between Warren and Hunt which led to Hunt's abrupt termination in July 2019. Warren has steadfastly refused to provide an explanation of what occurred to cause a radical breach in her relationship with the city manager she up until that time was so laudatory of. One report held that Hunt had confronted Warren about an incident of bribetaking she had been involved in.

Whatever the actuality was, it is not likely to ever be disclosed, per a confidentiality clause that Warren insisted be inserted into Hunt's lucrative termination agreement with the city, which kept him technically on the city's payroll from July 6, 2019, to

January 31, 2020 while paying him \$1,127,378 in wages and benefits though he was locked out of his office and did not return to City Hall that entire time.

"Fontana seemingly violated the Brown Act, California's open government law, when it approved a settlement agreement with former City Manager Ken Hunt in 2019 and it now refuses to release any records showing why elected officials paid him more than \$1.1 million to leave his post three years early," O'Brien said. "Mr. Hunt's departure originally was framed by Mayor Warren as a retirement, but the city manager's employment agreement is clear that Hunt would receive no

Continued on Page 6

To Preserve Its Pay Level, The Board Of Supervisors Falsified Endorsements Of The Measure It Sponsored *from page 2*

\$17,000.10 to \$25,340.12 on a yearly basis with an average of \$19,644.10. Thus, the measure the supervisors are proposing would, if passed, provide them with an average annual compensation of \$266,263.32 as of this year, \$274,251.22 as of next year and \$282,478.75 by 2024, which would be subject to a 3 percent cost of living increase yearly thereafter with regard to their benefits and add-on pay and any raises provided to the county's judges.

The supervisors included in Measure D a selling point they hoped will convince the voters they should support it. The measure, if passed, will require that if the board of supervisors is to put on any future ballot a proposal to increase taxes, it must do so by a four-fifths vote. As it now stands, the board of supervisors maintains it can call for a tax approval vote of the county's residents with a vote by three of its members.

Also contained in the measure is language that will move the start or end of the supervisors' terms from the date of the board of supervisors' first meeting in December of even-numbered

“Warren Is Hiding Something,” O'Brien Charges *from page 5*

severance if he resigned early. Even if the city council had voted to terminate his contract without cause, his severance package required only 12 months of pay, yet Fontana paid him for 18 months through a settlement agreement.”

O'Brien said, “Mayor Warren is hiding something, and the way she used taxpayer money to buy the former city manager's silence is a dead giveaway that she cannot be trusted.”

O'Brien said she was committed to being transparent with taxpayer dollars. That transpar-

Continued on Page 12

years following an election to noon on the first Monday after the first day of January next following their election, such that their four-year terms will end at noon on the first Monday after the first day of January four years thereafter.

Contained in the language is an ambiguity that would, based upon how it is interpreted, seemingly allow the currently serving board members to serve another three terms beyond what they have already served. This has come about because language that cleared up that ambiguity which was in an earlier draft of the language revision for the ordinance the measure would put in place was removed from the text. That language, which existed within Section 204 of the ordinance pertaining to the board of supervisors under the heading “Term Limits” stated: “This section shall only apply to those supervisors who are first elected to the board of supervisors after the effective date of this section, and who have not previously served on the board of supervisors. Members of the board of supervisors who were elected before the effective date of this section may serve only the number of terms allowed at the time of the last election before the effective date of this section.” Both sentences, however, were struck from the redrafted ordinance, which is to be the subject of what is voted upon on November 8.

As it now stands, irrespective of whether the Fourth District Court of Appeal tentative ruling released on July 12 is finalized and the one-term limit put in place, under Measure P passed by the county's voters in 2006, supervisors are limited to three terms. If the measure the supervisors are proposing in November passes, it will reset the term limit clock such that limiting any of the supervisors to three terms will go into effect

going forward after this coming November. That would mean that current Second District Supervisor Janice Rutherford, who under the rules put in place by Measure P is to be termed out of office in December after she was elected in 2010 and reelected in 2014 and 2018 and did not seek reelection this year, will become eligible to run for office once again in 2026, such that she conceivably could, with the approval of voters, serve three further terms, which would give her a total of six terms or 24 years in office. Fourth District Supervisor Curt Hagman, who was elected in 2014 and reelected in 2018 and in June of this year, would be eligible to run again in 2026, 2030 and 2034, such that he, too, might possibly serve six terms or 24 years total as supervisor, if the Measure D passes. Third District Supervisor Dawn Rowe, who was appointed to the board in 2018 to finish the final two years of a term to which former Supervisor James Ramos was elected in 2016, was reelected in 2020. If Measure D passes muster with the voters on November 8, under the language of the county charter to be revamped by the measure, she would be able to run again in 2024, 2028 and 2032, so that pursuant to the decision of the voters in future elections, she could potentially serve four-and-one-half four-year terms, or 18 years as supervisor. Both First District Supervisor Paul Cook and Fifth District Supervisor Joe Baca were elected in 2020. Under the change in terms to the county charter as pertains to the term limits of supervisors contained in Measure D, they would be able to finish their current term and run again in 2024, 2028 and 2032, conceivably serving four terms in total or 16 years, if, indeed, the voters consistently retain them in office.

In carrying out their campaign on behalf of Measure D, the supervisors and their supporters sought to make use of

the measure's provision to require a four-fifths vote of the board to place a tax increase proposal before the county's voters, characterizing it as a “taxpayer protection clause.” Then boldly, utilizing its own rhetoric, the Measure D campaign team appropriated the name of the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, without the association's permission, in touting Measure D.

The Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association is the group founded by Howard Jarvis, who famously led the California Taxpayer Revolt of 1978, which resulted in the passage of Proposition 13, which locked in property tax rates.

In actuality, the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association is aligned with the Red Brennan Group and had been supportive of the local group's goals, including Measure K and its reforms.

In relatively short order, the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association objected to the use of its name and what it called “the illegal use of the image of Howard Jarvis.” It sent two cease and desist letters to the committee supporting Measure D.

In addition to calling the Yes on Measure D campaign misleading and despicable, Jon Coupal, in his capacity as the Howard Jarvis Taxpayer Association president, said, “Measure D is the latest attempt by entrenched interests to undo Measure K, a citizens' initiative that imposed a one-term limit on San Bernardino County supervisors and cut their salaries to match the county's median income. Efforts to reverse Measure K, which was approved by 66.8% of voters, have been pushed forward in the courts and in the Legislature and now are being put before voters in a ballot measure that is dressed up to look like taxpayer protection.

“They are masking their self-serving measure with images of Howard Jarvis, Ronald Reagan, and claims that Measure D is a ‘new Proposition 13 for San Bernardino County,’”

Coupal continued. “But Measure D isn't a new Proposition 13. It's a ploy by special interests and career politicians to stay in power longer.”

According to the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, “Measure D does not actually contain the taxpayer protections for which it attempts to claim credit. Thanks to Propositions 13 and 218, the people already have the right to vote on taxes, and Measure D's requirement for a ‘four-fifths’ vote of the board of supervisors to place taxes on the ballot is misleading. Under current law – Government Code 53724 [Prop. 62] – general taxes already require a two-thirds vote of the supervisors, and two-thirds of five is the same four-vote requirement. Measure D would change only the vote requirement for board approval of special-purpose taxes, increasing it from three votes to four.”

Further, according to the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, “Measure D deceptively promises to give San Bernardino taxpayers rights they already have while taking away something voters approved by a two-thirds majority only a couple of years ago.”

Susan Shelley, the spokeswoman for the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, emphasized, “The Measure D campaign takes deception even higher into the stratosphere with its illegal use of a photograph of Howard Jarvis. On the subject of taxes, Califor-

nia voters rightfully trust the name of Howard Jarvis and the eponymous organization that carries on his work. The author of Proposition 13, which has saved California homeowners hundreds of billions of dollars in property tax relief, would recoil at the politicians' lying schemes that are being exposed in San Bernardino County.

“The Measure D campaign has knowingly and wrongfully pirated the copyrighted picture of Howard Jarvis, the exclusive rights of Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association as well as ‘Save Proposition 13,’ an independent advocacy organization specializing in advancing taxpayer interests during California election cycles,” Shelley added. “Both organizations have forwarded ‘cease and desist’ letters to the Measure D campaign over the use of the photograph and have demanded economic damages for the unlawful infringement.”

According to the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, “While we are considering additional legal action against the Measure D campaign, we will do all we can to educate voters of San Bernardino County that Measure D in no way advances the interests of taxpayers. Measure D is an attempt to reverse the will of the voters after the voters decisively ended a gravy train for politicians. This unseemly campaign only serves to reinforce that the voters were right.”

-Mark Gutglueck

Unknown Is Whether Puskar Died Sadly But Simply At The Hands Of Follower Or At The Command Of A Gang Or Department Shot Caller *from page 5*

tionale for that is hard to understand for those who are not involved in law enforcement or criminality. For those that are, it is a given. Quite simply, some people have worn out their welcome – with the gang leaders, with the gangs, with their fellow

inmates, with polite society, with the politicians, with the sheriff himself, with his department, with his deputies or with the community in general. And all in the sheriff's department – from Shannon Dicus to the undersheriff to the assistant sheriffs to the deputy chiefs to the captains to the lieutenants to the sergeants to the detectives to the deputies on the street to the recent academy graduates working the jails – understand that some people need to go, and they let it happen.

Steven Puskar (1974-2022) went.

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2217956

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: ALBERTO TORRES filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

ALBERTO TORRES to ALBERT TORRES

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 11/01/2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino,

247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division 91730

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 08/05/2022 Judge of the Superior Court: John M. Pacheco

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 09/30, 10/07, 10/14 & 10/21, 2022.

Notice of Trustee's Sale T.S. No. 22-01242-FS-CA Title No. 2165753 A.P.N. 0210-652-56-0-000 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE.

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 05/30/2017. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. A public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, (cashier's check(s) must be made payable to National Default Servicing Corporation), drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state; will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to a Deed of Trust described below. The sale will be made in an "as is" condition, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, with interest and late charges thereon, as provided in the note(s), advances, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be

Public Notices

greater on the day of sale. Trustor: Yew Seng Chin and Birong Zhong, husband and wife as joint tenants Duly Appointed Trustee: National Default Servicing Corporation Recorded 06/12/2017 as Instrument No. 2017-0238933 (or Book, Page) of the Official Records of San Bernardino County, CA. Date of Sale: 11/15/2022 at 9:00 AM Place of Sale: Chino Municipal Court, North West Entrance in the Courtyard, 13260 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710 Estimated amount of unpaid balance and other charges: \$320,966.86 Street Address or other common designation of real property: 3068 E Via Rosso Ontario, CA 91764-7405 A.P.N.: 0210-652-56-0-000 The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address or other common designation, if any, shown above. If no street address or other common designation is shown, directions to the location of the property may be obtained by sending a written request to the beneficiary within 10 days of the date of first publication of this Notice of Sale. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee, and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. The requirements of California Civil Code Section 2923.5(b)/2923.55(c) were fulfilled when the Notice of Default was recorded. NOTICE TO POTENTIAL BIDDERS: If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same lender may hold more than one mortgage or deed of trust on the property. NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER: The sale date shown on this notice of sale may be postponed one or more times by the mortgagee, beneficiary, trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about trustee sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call or visit this Internet Web site www.ndscorp.com/sales, using the file number assigned to this case 22-01242-FS-CA. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. NOTICE TO TENANT*: You may have a right to purchase this property after the trustee auction pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code. If you are an "eligible tenant buyer," you can purchase the property if you

Public Notices

match the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. If you are an "eligible bidder," you may be able to purchase the property if you exceed the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. There are three steps to exercising this right of purchase. First, 48 hours after the date of the trustee sale, you can call 888-264-4010, or visit this internet website www.ndscorp.com, using the file number assigned to this case 22-01242-FS-CA to find the date on which the trustee's sale was held, the amount of the last and highest bid, and the address of the trustee. Second, you must send a written notice of intent to place a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 15 days after the trustee's sale. Third, you must submit a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 45 days after the trustee's sale. If you think you may qualify as an "eligible tenant buyer" or "eligible bidder," you should consider contacting an attorney or appropriate real estate professional immediately for advice regarding this potential right to purchase. *Pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code, the potential rights described herein shall apply only to public auctions taking place on or after January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2025, unless later extended. Date: 09/29/2022 National Default Servicing Corporation c/o Tiffany & Bosco, P.A., its agent, 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 820 San Diego, CA 92108 Toll Free Phone: 888-264-4010 Sales Line 855-219-8501; Sales Website: www.ndscorp.com By: Gabriela Sanchez, Trustee Sales Representative 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CPP353291

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARGARET LUBA TUSANYUKA CASE NO. PROSB2201401 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARGARET LUBA TUSANYUKA has been filed by SARAH OLIVE KAYODI in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that SARAH OLIVE KAYODI be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 8, 2022 at 9:00 A.M. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1)

Public Notices

four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Sarah Olive Kayodi:

Neil Hedtke, Esquire SBN 273319 820 North Mountain Avenue Upland, CA 91786 (909) 579 2233 Fax (909) 618 1622 hedtkelg@gmail.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14 & 21, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2218737

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner NICOLE AMBER MARQUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

NICOLE AMBER MARQUEZ to NICOLE AMBER SERRANO

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing Date: November 14, 2022 Time: 8:30 AM Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 09/29/2022 Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO Nicole Amber Marquez, In Pro Per

1126 West F Street Ontario, CA 91762 (909) 541-1565

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2218781

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioners RAMON JOSE MARQUEZ and ELIZABETH CASTANEDA on behalf of minor children filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

RICO ANDRES MAR-

Public Notices

QUEZ to RICO ANDRES SERRANO

[and] AYDEN ISAAIAH MARQUEZ to AYDEN ISAAIAH SERRANO

[and] LOU CARLOS MARQUEZ to LOU CARLOS SERRANO

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing Date: November 16, 2022 Time: 8:30 AM Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 09/29/2022 Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO

Ramon Jose Marquez and Elizabeth Casteneda, on behalf of minor children 4800 Rosewood St. Montclair, CA 91763 (909) 964-8512

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

FBN 20220009221 The following entity is doing business as SH TRANS 11391 MOUNTAIN VIEW DRIVE, #40 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: MSB MANAGEMENT SERVICE INC 11391 MOUNTAIN VIEW DRIVE, #40 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California under the number 5212674.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEPTEMBER 26, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ HOBIN LEE, President Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/04/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By://Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022

FBN 20220009162 The following entity is doing business as SPJH GROUP 11210 4TH ST #1320 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: HOMAR TORRES 11210 4TH ST #1320 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by:

Public Notices

AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ HOMAR TORRES Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 10/03/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By://Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 7, 14, 21 & 28, 2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LORRAINE JUNE aka LORRAINE DEBRA JUNE

CASE NO. PROSB2201410

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of LORRAINE JUNE aka LORRAINE DEBRA JUNE A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by JAMES DAVID JUNE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JAMES DAVID JUNE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-35 at 9:00 a.m. on NOVEMBER 10, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy

Public Notices

to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for the James David June:

ANTONIETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 Telephone No: (909) 890-2350 Fax No: (909) 890-0106 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LORELEI JUARE

CASE NO. PROSB 22 0 1 4 0 4 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of LORELEI JUARE has been filed by WILLIAM JUARE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that WILLIAM JUARE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 16, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S36 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: OCTOBER 4, 2022 SABRINA FELIX, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in

Public Notices

person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: October 4, 2022 Attorney for William Juare: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JOHN RICHARD WILSON

CASE NO. PROSB 2201429 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JOHN RICHARD WILSON has been filed by PAULA OSTROWSKI in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that PAULA OSTROWSKI be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests full authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 21, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: OCTOBER 11, 2022 SELYNA RAZO, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2)

Public Notices

60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: October 11, 2022 Attorney for Paula Ostrowski: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300ESTATESTREETSUITE620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 14, 21 & 28, 2022.

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): 21ST CV 45171

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO DEMANDA-DO):

RODRIGO FRANCISCO MANUEL, AKA RODRIGO F. MANUEL, AKA RODRIGO FRANCISCO

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): DEOMARLEE LALUSY, MARIA JOSE VILLEGAS, ESTELITA SY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por

Public Notices

escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefonica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formulario de la corte y mas informacion en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperacion de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesion de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es):

Spring Street Courthouse 310 North Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Oscar E. Toscano State Bar #84736 625 W. Broadway, Suite B Glendale, CA 91204 Phone: (818) 241-0806 DATE (Fecha): December 10, 2021

Clerk (Secretario), by R. Clifton, Deputy (Adjunto) Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 10/14, 10/21, 10/28 & 11/04, 2022.

FBN 20220006980 The following person is doing business as LAW OFFICE OF JON F. HAMILTON 700 E. REDLANDS BLVD., SUITE U #165 REDLANDS, CA 92373 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: JON F HAMILTON 1320 GARDEN STREET REDLANDS, CA 92373

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 1, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JON F. HAMILTON, Individual Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/26/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under

Public Notices

federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 8/5, 8/12, 8/19 & 8/26, 2022. Corrected on 9/9, 9/16, 9/23 & 9/30, 2022. Recorrected on 10/14, 10/21, 10/28 & 11/04, 2022.

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 in Dept. S35 at 09:00 AM on 07/25/2022.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney: ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ 12473 DEL SUR STREET, VICTORVILLE, CA. 92392 909 238-2508 OR 909 837-0251

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIV SB

Public Notices

2128492 NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO DEMANDADO): KULWANT KAUR aka KULWANT KAURA aka KULWANT KURA, SETHI CHANCHAL, and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): MTC FINANCIAL INC. dba TRUSTEE CORPS

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefonica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formulario de la corte y mas informacion en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a

Public Notices

reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperacion de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesion de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es):

San Bernardino Justice Center 247 West Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

John C. Steele, Steele LLP, 17272 Red Hill Avenue, Irvine, CA 92614 Phone: (949) 222-1161 DATE (Fecha): November 10, 2021

Clerk (Secretario), by Sylvia Guajardo, Deputy (Adjunto)

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 10/14/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022 & 11/11/2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: GENEVA DORICE MC GEE CASE NO. PROSB2201421

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, and contingent creditors of GENEVA DORICE MC GEE, and persons who may be otherwise interested in the will or estate, or both: A petition has been filed by BOBBIE MC GEE WILLIAMS RILEY in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO, requesting that BOBBIE MC GEE WILLIAMS RILEY be appointed administrator to administer the estate with full powers.

The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action. The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

The petition is set for hearing in Dept. No. S35 at SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT – PROBATE DIVISION 247 W. 3rd STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on NOVEMBER 15, 2022 at 09:00 AM

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the deceased, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in subdivision (b) of Section 58 of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery of the notice to you under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are interested in the estate, you may request special notice of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Section 1250 of the California Probate Code. Attorney for the Petitioner: MARY M. BADER 9227 HA-

Public Notices

VEN AVENUE, SUITE 368 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 Telephone: (909) 945-2775 Fax: (909) 945-2778

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 21, 28 & November 4, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JAMES PHILLIP CARTY SR. CASE NO. PROSB2201457

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JAMES PHILLIP CARTY SR. has been filed by JAMES PHILLIP CARTY JR. in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JAMES PHILLIP CARTY JR. be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 23, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. Filed: October 17, 2022

Selyna Razo, Deputy Court Clerk

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: October 17, 2022 Attorney for James Phillip Carty Jr.: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300ESTATESTREETSUITE620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on October 21, 28 & November 4, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JUANA M. MORENO NO. PROSB 2201470

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be

Public Notices

interested in the will or estate, or both of JUANA M. MORENO A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by JOSE ANTONIO MORENO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JOSE ANTONIO MORENO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division 247 West Third Street,

Public Notices

Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on Nov. 15, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S35 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
RICHARD F NEVINS ESQ
SBN 137261
LAW OFFICE OF
RICHARD F NEVINS
3895 BROCKTON AVENUE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501
CN991057 PRIEBE Oct 21,28, Nov 4, 2022

AMENDED NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS CASE NO. PROSB2200891

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ, be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division 247 West Third Street,

Public Notices

San Bernardino, CA 92415 in Dept. S35 at 09:00 AM on 10/31/2022.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney:
ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ
12473 DEL SUR STREET,
VICTORVILLE, CA. 92392
909 238-2508 OR 909 837-0251

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on:
07/01/2022, 07/08/2022,
07/15/2022, 10/21/2022,
10/28/2022, 11/04/2022

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)
CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIV SB 2114362
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO DEMANDADO): LIZBETH MEZA-DIAZ, an individual; and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): KASSAS ENTERPRISES, INC., a California Corporation doing business as RANDY'S AUTO SALES.

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitra-

Public Notices

tion award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):
San Bernardino Justice Center
247 West Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Adam K. Obeid, Esq. (SBN 247188)
Cedar Adams, P.C.
27 Orchard Road, #103
Lake Forest, CA 92630
Phone: (949) 350-1889
DATE (Fecha): June 7, 2021
Clerk (Secretario), by Nicole O'Dwyer, Deputy (Adjunto)
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022 & 11/11/2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME
FBN 20220009707
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as: POSTCARDS FROM SILVIA 11450 CHURCH ST APT 77 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 HSIAO-HUA SILVIA LIU 11450 CHURCH ST APT 77 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730
Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL
Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

S/HSIAO-HUA SILVIA LIU
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 10/21/2022
I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in

Public Notices

my office.
Began Transacting Business: October 11, 2022.
County Clerk, I8090
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/21, 10/28, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME
FBN 20220008655
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as: SOAR DESIGNS 1606 PALO VERDE DRIVE REDLANDS, CA 92373: MICHELE K DERRY 1606 PALO VERDE DRIVE REDLANDS, CA 92373
Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL
Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/MICHELE K DERRY, Manager
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 09/16/2022
I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.
Began Transacting Business: 01/01/2021
County Clerk, I8090
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022, 9/30/2022 & 10/07/2022. Corrected on 10/21, 10/28, 11/04 & 11/11, 2022.

FBN 20220008811
The following person is doing business as: MENIER TAX SERVICE. 7257 STERLING AVE SUITE 5 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JMAM ENTERPRISES, INC. 28647 FENWICK WAY HIGHLAND, CA 92346
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 05, 2007
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ JAMES MENIER, PRESIDENT
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 22, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B39202210MT

FBN 20220008770
The following person is doing business as: LAURA'S PROPERTY MANAGEMENT. 734 E. RALSTON AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LAURA E CHAVEZ 734 E. RALSTON AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ LAURA E CHAVEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 21, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B392022051R

FBN 20220008873
The following person is doing business as: AVJ LANDSCAPING. 1026 E NEL DORADO AVE ONTARIO, CA 91764 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ARTURO JUAREZ 1026 N EL DORADO AVE ONTARIO, CA 91764.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 01, 2022
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ ARTURO JUAREZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 26, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B392022091R

FBN 20220008822
The following person is doing business as: A & G PRO SERVICES. 4145 S BOWERY PL ONTARIO, CA 91762 ; MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE L GONZALEZ LOPEZ 4145 S BOWERY PL ONTARIO, CA 91762.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the ficti-

Public Notices

tious business name or names listed above on: SEP 08, 2022
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ JOSE L GONZALEZ LOPEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 16, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B39202212CV

FBN 20220008737
The following person is doing business as: ETHIANO SERVICES. 239 E KIMBERLY COURT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO NICOLAI SARANCHA 239 E KIMBERLY COURT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 20, 2022
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ NICOLAI SARANCHA
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 20, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B39202210MT

FBN 20220008770
The following person is doing business as: LAURA'S PROPERTY MANAGEMENT. 734 E. RALSTON AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LAURA E CHAVEZ 734 E. RALSTON AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ LAURA E CHAVEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 21, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B392022051R

FBN 20220008873
The following person is doing business as: A&B AUTOMOTIVE & TRANSMISSION. 261 EAST FIFTH STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUKE'S TRANSMISSIONS INC 6133 MISSION BLVD RIVERSIDE, CA 92509
The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime

Public Notices

correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ DION J JOURNEY, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 22, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B392022081R

FBN 20220008373
The following person is doing business as: MOBILE SOLUTIONS. 22400 BARTON RD SUITE 21 #484 GRAND TERRACE, CA 92313 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MELISSA CORREALE 22400 BARTON RD SUITE 21 #484 GRAND TERRACE, CA 92313.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 01, 2022
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ MELISSA CORREALE, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 09, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B392022061R

FBN 20220008903
The following person is doing business as: AVJ LANDSCAPING. 1026 E NEL DORADO AVE ONTARIO, CA 91764 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ARTURO JUAREZ 1026 N EL DORADO AVE ONTARIO, CA 91764.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: SEP 01, 2022
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ ARTURO JUAREZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: SEPTEMBER 26, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/30/2022, 10/07/2022, 10/14/2022, 10/21/2022 CNB-B392022091R

FBN 20220008873
The following person is doing business as: JOURNEY SOLUTIONS. 3458 N. E ST. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DION J JOURNEY 3458 N. E ST. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime

The San Bernardino County Sentinel Offers The Lowest Prices For The Publishing Of Legal Notices Among All Of The Newspapers In San Bernardino County

Are you looking to publish a Fictitious Business Name Notice? An Order To Show Cause? Notice Of A Trustee Sale? Notice Of A Petition To Administer An Estate?

Publish your notice at a reasonable rate.

Call (951) 567 1936 for a quote on all of your legal noticing needs.

The Sentinel is a legally adjudicated newspaper of general circulation for both the City of Rancho Cucamonga and San Bernardino County.



San Bernardino County Sentinel

News of Note from Around the Largest County in the Lower 48 States

Public Notices

(B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ YOLANDA P. SALAS, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 13, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CNB-B422022131R

FBN 20220009472
The following person is doing business as: ABLE GOLD CO. 8005 ARCHIBALD AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730460 N. MOUNTAIN AVE. CLAREMONT, CA 91711 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO STEPHANIE M ROYCE 8005 ARCHIBALD AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ STEPHANIE M ROYCE, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 13, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CNB-B422022121R

FBN 20220009492
The following person is doing business as: ASP SECURITY SERVICES. 8348 ALMOND ST ALTA LOMA, CA 91701 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JULIA D HOLGUIN-HERNANDEZ 8348 ALMOND ST ALTA LOMA, CA 91701; ROBERT HERNANDEZ 8348 ALMOND ST ALTA LOMA, CA 91701. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she

Public Notices

knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JULIA D HOLGUIN-HERNANDEZ, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 13, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CN-BB42202211MT

FBN 20220009319
The following person is doing business as: TREK INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY. 2996 E GRAFTON ST EASTVALE, CA 917615120 HANMER AVE STE 140-630 EASTVALE, CA 91752 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO TREK J KINSEY 2996 E GRAFTON ST ONTARIO, CA 91761. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ TREK J KINSEY, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 06, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CNBB422022101R

FBN 20220009465
The following person is doing business as: SQUEAKY PINES CLEANING SERVICES. 241 HOLMES AVE SUGAR LOAF, CA 92386 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO STEPHANIE SILVA SANCHEZ 241 HOLMES LANE SUGAR LOAF, CA 92386; ROSA SILVA 241 HOLMES LANE SUGAR LOAF, CA 92386. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and

Public Notices

correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ STEPHANIE SILVA SANCHEZ, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 12, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CNB-B422022091R

FBN 20220009393
The following person is doing business as: MARYBEL LA REYNA DE LAS EXTENSIONES. 9773 SIERRA AVE H2 FONTANA, CA 92335 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SONIA ORTIZ 9733 SIERRA AV H2 FON, CA 92335; BLANCA SALDAÑA 9733 SIERRA AVE H2 FONTANA, CA 92335. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SONIA ORTIZ, PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 11, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CNBB42202208MT

FBN 20220009324
The following person is doing business as: CALI CARZ. 2130 N ARROWHEAD AVE SUITE #11C-9 CORONA, CA 92405; MAILING ADDRESS 9344 STONE CANYON ROAD CORONA, CA 92883; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CALI CARZ 9018 BASELINE RD RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701. The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business

Public Notices

name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ASHLEY NICHOLE RAFFY, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 06, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CN-BB42202207MT

FBN 20220009337
The following person is doing business as: Y & E SPOTLESS CLEANING SERVICES. 15503 QUAIL ST CHINO HILLS, CA 91709 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ESPERANZA BANUELOS 15503 QUAIL ST CHINO HILLS, CA 91709; YENI RAMOS 15503 QUAIL ST CHINO HILLS, CA 91709. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ESPERANZA BANUELOS, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 07, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CN-BB42202207MT

FBN 20220009234
The following person is doing business as: GREEN DIAMOND LANDSCAPE. 34647 CEDAR AVE YUCAIPA, CA 92399 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO GUILLERMO JIMENEZ-MARTINEZ 34647 CEDAR AVE YUCAIPA, CA 92399. The business is conducted

Public Notices

ed by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ GUILLERMO JIMENEZ-MARTINEZ, Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 04, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CN-BB42202205MT

FBN 20220009321
The following person is doing business as: TIMELESS STONE. 17395 DARWIN AVE SUITE B3 HESPERIA, CA 92345PO BOX 2184 HELENDALE, CA 92342 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SHANE E YOUNG 17395 DARWIN AVESUITEB3HESPERIA, CA 92345. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SHANE E YOUNG, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 06, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CN-BB42202204MT

FBN 20220009546
The following person is doing business as: PRO BEAUTY MAKEUP ACADEMY. 8557 SIERRA AVE FONTANA, CA 92335 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JANET RUIZ 16970 MARY-GOLD AVE APT 61 FONTANA,

Public Notices

CA 92335; BRENDA G SALCEDO 9020 NEWPORT AVE APT 10 FONTANA, CA 92335. The business is conducted by: JOINT VENTURE. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JANET RUIZ, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 17, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CNB-B422022031R

FBN 20220009609
The following person is doing business as: TOTAL BODY TREATMENT. 9197 BANYAN STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 917638611 BANYAN STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EDILIA L BERRY 8611 BANYAN STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ EDILIA L BERRY, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 16, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CNB-B422022021R

FBN 20220009522
The following person is doing business as: COURTESY CLEAN. 8837 GROVE AVE APT 1823 RAN-

Public Notices

CHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LUIS B ARELLANEZ 8837 GROVE AVE APT 1823 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730; MARIA D VAZQUEZ FLORES 8837 GROVE AVE APT 1823 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LUIS B ARELLANEZ, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: OCTOBER 14, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 10/21/2022, 10/28/2022, 11/04/2022, 11/11/2022 CN-BB42202201EM

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME
FBN 20220009392
The following person(s) is(are) doing business in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY as: A&A MOTORS 11012 STALLION AVE MONTCLAIR, CA 91763; ALBERT NAVARRO 11012 STALLION AVE MONTCLAIR, CA 91763
Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL
Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
S/ ALBERT NAVARRO, Owner
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 9/26/2022
I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.
Began Transacting Business: September 26, 2001.
County Clerk, J2525
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 10/21, 10/28, 11/04 & 11/04, 2022.

O'Brien Calls For Term Limits from page 6

ency should apply, she said, to the \$1.1 million severance package provided to Hunt, including the reason the city manager was able to walk off with that kind of money for not working. "The City of Fontana does not have the right to spend our money, especially that amount of money, without explanation," O'Brien said. "It's a ridiculous amount, and if I am elected, I plan to get

to the bottom of it."

Government needs to be respectful of those it governs, and exercise compassion in the managing of their public affairs, O'Brien said.

O'Brien was raised in Carson, where, she said, "My father, Dennis, was a well respected coach who founded a nationally renowned track club, and my mother, Jerrie, was very active in a church ministry and choir. Carson allowed me to have a very strong sense of community. I enjoyed the safety and support of community, and am run-

ning for mayor to ensure that the families of Fontana enjoy the same idyllic neighborhoods."

O'Brien attended and graduated from Howard University, where she met her husband, Jason. Shannon briefly attended law school and the couple might have remained in Washington, D.C. Jason, however, was offered a position with the Los Angeles Police Department, and they relocated to California, where she then completed a master of public administration degree from the Graduate Center for Public

Policy and Administration at California State University, Long Beach.

After the O'Briens' son, Jason, Jr., was born, they co-founded the Children's Resources nonprofit organization to help families support their children socially and academically. Today, Jason is a detective with the Los Angeles Police Department and Shannon is the chief executive officer of Children's Resources.

"I am proud of the fact that Children's Resources is considered a major community partner with

schools throughout San Bernardino, Riverside and Los Angeles counties," O'Brien said.

O'Brien served on the Fontana Unified School District Board of Education in 2013 and 2014. She also served on the executive committee of the San Bernardino County School Boards Association and was a founding board member of the Inland Empire Association of Black School Educators. She recently served on the 2019 Martin Luther King, Jr. Planning Committee with Ephesians

New Testament Church and is a member of the Black Chamber of Commerce Inland Empire.

"I am excited about leading my fellow Fontana neighbors," O'Brien said. "I've been very active in our community. I think Fontana is a wonderful city that is being failed by its current political leadership. I am running because we need term limits, transparent leadership and fiscal accountability. It will be interesting to see if the voters are in agreement with me."

-M.G.

One Colton Councilman's Supporter Is Calling His Opponent A Racist from page 5

by 12 votes. In 2012, Suchil avenged that loss by trouncing Perez by 543 votes. Suchil was re-elected in 2016 and again in 2020.

Many dope smokers have not forgotten that as a sheriff's deputy, Suchil engaged in a good share of arresting and ruining the lives of potheads, who thereafter were marked with the stigmata of a criminal record. He and the rest of the city council have continued to hold the line against allowing marijuana to be commercially available, which marijuana availability advocates point out, runs counter to the sentiment of the community as reflected in the passage of the Measure U referendum, which signaled that more than two out of three voting adults in the city are in favor of giving those who want to use the intoxicant that option, just like former Colton Mayor Frank Gonzales actively gave the residents of Colton the option of using alcohol as a social lubricant when he owned and operated a liquor store.

In his candidate statement for this year's election, Suchil told the voters of the newly-composed District 3 that he will protect immigrant rights. This, Suchil's supporters maintain, is in contrast to his opponent, Councilman Dr. Luis González, whom they

characterize as a racist. Eugene Valdez, perhaps Suchil's most vociferous supporter, has repeatedly accused Luis González, who is no relation to former Mayor Frank Gonzales, of "clashing with Black Lives Matter and the South Colton Diversity Committee in his quest to keep South Colton in the separate but not equal state it has been for the last half century." Valdez, the chairman of the South Colton Diversity Committee, claims that González's filing for a civil restraining order against him constitutes proof that the current District 4 councilman has engaged in "purposeful attempts to block the inclusion of underrepresented community members and businesses into the City of Colton."

González, who is effective at drawing positive attention to himself, has struck back at Suchil and his proxy, Valdez, by asserting that Valdez is a scofflaw who has repeatedly violated the city's codes in maintaining the property where his South Colton business is located. Suchil, González said, is covering for him.

The charges of racism against him are patently ridiculous, González has countered, and are the fabrications of a corrupt politician who is using Valdez, whom he characterized as a master of innuendo and cheap shots, to go on the attack against him.

González has a Ph.D. from the University of Cincinnati and has 40 years experience as a music and history teacher,

including at Colton High School. He writes the "City Talk" column for the Colton *Courier* and the Colton *City News*, which features information about the individuals he chooses to honor with variously "Employee Spotlight" recognition or "Community Impact" awards, given, respectively, to Colton city workers and/or city volunteers or entrepreneurs he feels merit that recognition. This "feel good" approach has generated a fair amount of good will. He is also the founder/sponsor of the Colton Area Museum Day and the Colton Youth Leadership Program.

González is the president of the Colton Historical Society. He hosts workshops on various topics for young people in an effort to get them civically involved.

The way González has succeeded in using the media, such as it exists in Colton, to promote himself while he has promoted others has rankled Suchil and his supporters. Suchil's supporters have sought to undercut González by repeating the racism refrain against González. Suchil has supported, in league with the South Colton Diversity Committee, San Bernardino County's Resolution 2020-103, aimed at combating racism, an oblique attack on González. Suchil further came across as angling at González when he vowed in his candidate statement, published by the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters, to continue the struggle

for social justice, supporting people of color and championing immigrants' rights.

Echevarria, a sergeant with the San Bernardino Police Department who in 2021 was paid

\$444,422.08 in total compensation, including \$224,119 in salary, \$72,498 in overtime pay, \$42,848 in benefits and a \$98,554.08 contribution toward his anticipated \$220,000 annual pension when he retires, was first elected to the Colton City Council in 2020.

Colton's marijuanos find the 44-year-old Echevarria to be insufficient, as he climbed to his current vaunted position as a law enforcement officer by joining in with his colleagues at the San Bernardino Police Department in making arrests of cannabis users during the early phase of his career. His critics point out that the City of San Bernardino has now legalized marijuana sales within its city limits, clearing the way for 17 such commercial marijuana operations, which are pouring money into the city's coffers. Thus, Echevarria is being paid and putting food on his family's table from the tax proceeds on the same substance that just a few short years ago he was throwing people in jail for possessing or selling themselves.

The only candidate who has escaped being denigrated in this year's Colton municipal election is Robert Wilson, one of Echevarria's two challengers. He is a retired San Bernardino

County employee.

Echevarria's other opponent represents the other side of the cultural divide over marijuana. Though she was previously a member of the Colton Planning Commission, Montes finds herself on the wrong side of the establishment these days. She is going up against Echevarria, who despite his stand against marijuana, has found a way to make money off of it. As a younger man, Echevarria brought home a paycheck for collaring people smoking weed or possessing it or selling it. He no longer is making arrests of those who get high by blowing grass, but a percentage of the fees and taxes paid by consumers of the drug and those who sell it to them goes into the City of San Bernardino's general fund, and some of that money gets doled out to him. Nevertheless, Echevarria is part of the city council that has yet to allow marijuana sales to take place in Colton.

Echevarria's colleagues want him to get reelected because they want no part of Montes on the council. They say, with an eyeroll, that Montes is a pothead, a snowflake who has signed onto such exemplifiers of hippiedom as having concern about, and advocating with regard to, the environment and global warming. They say she wants to bring the rest of Colton down to her level by encouraging others to smoke the drug by means of making the sale of it copacetic in Colton.

In this year's mayoral

race, 77-year-old Frank Navarro, today's embodiment of the Colton establishment, is seeking to remain in office, four years after he was first elected mayor and ten years after he was elected to the council to represent District 3.

Navarro has never met a development project he doesn't like and he openly admitted to meeting with Modern Pacific principal Scott McKhann and former Mayor Richard DeLaRosa before championing McKhann's La Loma Hills residential subdivision proposal, those opposed to that project say. During Navarro's term as mayor, his detractors allege, the city's code enforcement division targeted city residents who opposed development projects ultimately approved by the city council. What's more, those who want to have ready access to weed say, Navarro is stodgy old man who is against the city's marijuanaification.

Navarro is opposed in this year's election by Mark Garcia, who ran against Navarro four years ago. Navarro trounced Garcia in that contest, pulling in 7,228 votes or 77.37 percent to the runner-up's 2,114 votes or 22.63 percent.

If Colton's voters reverse themselves and choose Garcia over the incumbent, Colton will really go to pot, Navarro's supporters and Garcia's detractors say. Garcia, they said, was busted for selling marijuana less than a decade ago.

-Mark Gutglueck