

Friday, September 9, 2022 A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with Countywide News Service 10808 Foothill Blvd. Suite 160-446 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 (951) 567-1936

USC Admissions Scandal Bleeds Into Ontario International Airport Operations

By Mark Gutglueck

The culture of favorable treatment and the compromising of standards that pervaded the 2019 College Admissions Scandal spilled over to taint operations at Ontario International Airport, implicating the president of the airport's board of directors, Alan Wapner, and the man he handpicked to serve as the airport's chief executive officer, recently unearthed public docu-



Alan Wapner

ments show.

In keeping with the nature of the criminal conspiratorial nature of the activity that felled a

multitude of celebrities, blue bloods and influential titans of finance and industry who used their wealth to have their children accepted at some of the country's most prestigious colleges and universities in exchange for bribes paid to scholastic deans and other guardians of the gates of academia, what were apparently unreported gifts and privileges that could not otherwise have been purchased at any

price were for years conferred upon Wapner, in exchange for which he rewarded his benefactor with a service contract which is ultimately to be worth millions of dollars over the next decade.

Wapner and the executive director of the Ontario International Airport Authority he had installed, Atif Elkadi, are alumni of USC, the University of Southern California, which employed Scott Jacobson as its

associate athletic director before sacking him in January 2020. After revelations that the scions of famous actors and wealthy businessmen or industrialists had been given athletic scholarships to USC despite having no skill, no ability and in some cases no familiarity whatsoever with the sports for which those scholarships had been provided, Jacobson and several others in the USC Athletic See P 2

Martinez Looks To Parlay Her Momentum Into Continuation As Montclair Solon



Corysa Martinez

In explaining why she is running for city council, Corysa Martinez said, "I am proud to call Montclair my home of over thirty years, and excited to raise a family here. As a council member since 2019, I have been dedicated to improving our residents' quality of life by promoting public safety, economic development, and resident welfare in collaboration with our community leadership."

Martinez noted "It is important that Montclair is represented by dedicated leaders focused on the long-term socioeconomic health and welfare of our community, which will be accomplished by the alignment of businesses, community, and government objectives. I am that dedicated leader for our city."

Martinez has been on the city council since 2019, when she was chosen to replace her late mother, who died during her second term in office. She was then confirmed to remain in that position with a special election held in November 2020 to determine who was to serve out the last two years of the term to which her mother was elected in 2018. With the benefit of seeing what her mother was experiencing when she was in office, her own See P 3

As Non-Disparagement Clause Breach Suit Looms, Tillman Begg Access To District Lawyers

His board colleagues appear to be leaning in favor of indulging San Bernardino City Unified School District Board Member Danny Tillman in his suggestion that individual board members be permitted to confer with the district's legal counsel at will.

Some have interpreted Tillman's request as a ploy to have the district foot the bill for an indi-

vidual board member's legal costs growing out of that member's personal action independent of the collective decisions arrived at by the board.

The board at its meeting this week revisited that section of the district's board policy manual pertaining to the way in which the board is to go about seeking legal advice. That section of the manual was last

modified and ratified by the school board as it was then composed on October 16, 2007.

Within the board policy manual, San Bernardino City Unified School District Bylaw 9124 states, "The board of education recognizes the complex legal environment in which school districts operate and desires reliable, dependable legal advice. The board

also supports collaborative legal efforts with other agencies and districts in order to promote the district's interests. The board as a whole may use the county counsel, district attorney, or private attorneys to meet the needs of the district. The district's legal counsel may: 1. Render legal advice to the board and the superintendent or designee. 2. Serve the board

and the superintendent or designee in the preparation and conduct of district litigation and administrative proceedings. 3. Render advice on school bond and tax increase measures and prepare the necessary forms for the voting of these measures. 4. Perform other administrative duties as assigned by the board and superintendent or designee. The su- See P 4

California Giving Medi-Cal To 764,000 Illegal Aliens As Over 2 Million Of Its Citizens Lack Access To MDs

By Richard Hernandez

The State of California has completed the first phase of what is ultimately planned as an expansion of Medicaid California, also known as Medi-Cal, to an estimated 764,000 illegal immigrants in the Golden State, even as more than two million of the state's residents with full U.S. citizenship have no medi-

cal insurance coverage whatsoever.

This summer, California made its largest stride yet in providing taxpayer-subsidized medical assistance to those in the state illegally. Since 2014, there has been an effort in the California legislature to extend Medi-Cal benefits to illegal aliens, promoted by then-Assemblyman Ricardo Lara. Lara's

initial bill failed to gain passage, but in 2016 legislation was passed and signed into law by then-Governor Jerry Brown that extended Medi-Cal coverage to children without legal residency status.

In 2019, Senate Bill 104, by which Medi-Cal was extended to cover low-income illegal aliens aged 19 to 25, See P 3

Preserving Small Town Sentiment Taylor's Theme In Yucaipa Race



Mark Taylor

His intensifying civic concern over the last

several years and his commitment to public service have prompted Mark Taylor to seek election to the Yucaipa City Council, he told the *Sentinel* this week.

"I'm running for city council in District 1 because I believe that the city deserves leadership that is representative of the people who live here - people like See P 3

Arguments & Rebuttals Posted For And Against Upland's Sales Tax Increase Measure L

The Argument in Favor of Measure L,

written by Upland Treasurer Greg Bradley, Upland Parks Committee Member Sarah Lee, Upland resident James Thomas, retired Upland Unified School District Superintendent Dr. Loren Sanchez and retired Los Angeles County Deputy Sheriff Susan Higgins Coniglio, states: For local control and

quality of life, vote yes on L!

Yes on L keeps Upland Safe.

Yes on L Fixes Upland's streets & potholes.

All money stays in Upland.

Yes on L keeps our taxpayer dollars local - keeping Upland safe and clean, and our property values high.

We all want to keep Upland a special place to

live, but we need funding that the State cannot take to keep our city well-maintained. Without the local funding Measure L will provide, Upland will be forced to cut vital public safety services.

Today, Upland cannot afford to maintain basic local services like local street, alley, or pothole repair, clean up piles of trash and litter that people dump along streets

and sidewalks, maintain our parks, or address homelessness.

Unless we keep our taxpayer dollars local, Upland will have no choice but to further cut vital street, storm drain, waterway, and sidewalk repairs, costing we taxpayers millions as repairs cost more in the future.

Yes on L keeps Upland Safe.

Did you know we have fewer police officers today than we did 30 years ago? Meanwhile our population and the number of 911 emergency calls continue to grow.

Your yes on L vote protects neighborhood police patrols, crime investigations, and gang and drug prevention programs, making sure we have enough See P 5

As Associate USC Athletic Director, Jacobson Ingratiated Himself With Wapner Through Indulgent Favors from front page

Department were sacked. Among the revelations of the abuse of discretion that occurred within the USC Athletic Department, one pertained to how actress Lori Loughlin and her fashion designer husband Mossimo Giannulli obtained for their daughters, Olivia Jade Gianulli and Isabella Gianulli, admission to USC by paying \$500,000 in bribes to have them listed as members of the rowing team, though neither had ever previously participated in the sport. Likewise, Gamal Abdelaziz, a casino magnate, and Robert Zangrillo, a Miami developer, each paid the standard “fee” of \$250,000 to get their daughters admitted into USC. In Abdelaziz’s daughter’s case, she was falsely represented as a recruit to the women’s basketball team. In that of Zangrillo’s daughter, arrangements to have someone else take her SAT tests and complete the admissions process for her were made.

Caught up in the scandal along with Jacobson were USC senior associate athletic director Ron Orr, USC athletic department chief operating officer/chief financial officer Steve Lopes, USC soccer coaches Ali Khosroshahin and Laura Janke, athletic department administrator Donna Heinel and water polo coach Jovan Vavic, all of whom were either sacked or resigned.

An internal review of the situation by USC determined that there were grounds to believe that 33 students were admitted to the college under circumstances that involved fraud or deceit. What USC characterized as a “thorough” investigation of those cases concluded that 12 of those students were not involved or could not be proved to be involved in violating the school’s admissions procedure. The remaining 21 were indeed found to have made false represen-

tations about themselves or had others do so or to have violated university policy or to have cheated or bribed their way into the student body.

Aspiring students who were not academically or athletically qualified to legitimately attend USC but who had parents wealthy enough to have the university’s standards ignored on their behalf were not the only beneficiaries of Jacobson’s willingness to suspend USC’s protocol.

In a double touch of irony, the Ontario International Airport Authority would see its own substitution of money for talent and ability lead to a similar bastardization of values as that involved in the USC Athletic Department Admissions Scandal, as a player in that debacle made his way eastward from the USC campus to the grounds of Ontario International Airport.

Alan Wapner, a member of the USC Class of 1978, fiercely self-identifies as a Trojan. Upon coming into his orbit and making his acquaintance, unless the circumstance dictates that those present already are up to speed on exactly who he is, Wapner will work into the conversation that he has been an Ontario City Councilman for the better part of three decades, that he is the president of the Ontario International Airport Authority and that he is a graduate of USC. Like hundreds of other USC alums, Wapner is a participant in the Trojan Athletic Fund, and as such was frequently in attendance at USC’s home football games at the Coliseum/United Airlines Field, where he viewed the action on the gridiron in a seating section reserved for VIPs.

More notably, documentation obtained by the *Sentinel* shows that Wapner was the recipient of an lagniappe arranged for him through Jacobson, the value of which cannot be quantified, that being the rare privilege of traveling with the football team on certain away games such as those vs. Notre Dame and the University of Texas during the years Jacobson was associate athletic direc-

tor.

Documents obtained by the *Sentinel* show that Wapner flew with the football team to Austin, Texas for its September 15, 2018 game against Texas and flew with the team to South Bend, Indiana for its October 12, 2019 game against Notre Dame. The arrangements for those flights were made by Jacobson. Documents in the pos-



Scott Jacobson

session of the *Sentinel* show, Wapner flew with the team to Denver, Colorado for its October 2, 2021 game against Colorado. Wapner’s ability to fly with the team in 2020 and 2021, was a carry-over of the rapport he had established with the USC athletic department through Jacobson.

In January 2020, upon Jacobson being boot-ed from his position at USC, Wapner and Assistant Ontario International Airport Executive Officer Atif Elkadi, who obtained his master’s degree in communication management at USC, began casting about for a way to assist Jacobson in holding body and soul together.

Wapner and Elkadi surveyed the airport operation for a position which Jacobson could fill, but were unable to find a suitable assignment to which Jacobson was suited, one matched to his skill set and temperament, into which he could be inserted without risking some catastrophic outcome or questions being raised about such a hiring decision.

At USC, Jacobson had been involved in promoting the school’s various athletic programs. Wapner and Elkadi entertained, for a time, creating an in-house advertising arm for the airport, one that would include promoting the airport at various sporting and entertainment

events and venues, something that was right up Jacobson’s alley. An obstruction to that was two of the divisions of Lamar Advertising – that pertaining to advertisement in general and its airport advertising division – had a ten-year contract related to advertising with Ontario International Airport and the Ontario International Airport Authority that had been initiated in July of 2017 and yet had seven more years to run. Lamar’s was a multidimensional advertising and promotional function. It utilized outdoor billboards and signs all across the country to bring consumer awareness to products or services or entities and it also had contracts with airports for the provision of internal advertising inside the airports or on the airport grounds or around the airports, primary features of which were kiosks, signs and electronic/video/audio displays inside the airport terminals and along the walkways to the airport’s gates. Those signs and devices pushed on consumers the gamut of items or services that the American public and particularly elements of the American public financially well off enough to travel by air might consider buying. They also created a synergy that allowed for airports in, say, Florida or Texas or Ohio or New Jersey or Illinois or New York or Virginia could be informed that there was an alternate airport to congested Los Angeles International Airport just 35 miles east in Southern California, that being Ontario International Airport. Moreover, the contract with Lamar provided Ontario International Airport more than just promo-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel’s main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

attracting more ridership. It represented revenue to the airport. Under the terms of the contract, as long as the number of fliers into and out of Ontario did not drop below those moving through the airport’s gates in 2016, Lamar was to pay the Ontario International Airport Authority 55 percent of the advertising revenue it pulled in by selling advertising space on those kiosks, signs and displays throughout the airport, with a guarantee that at a minimum the airport authority was to be paid no less than \$350,000 per year.

In this way, what Wapner and Elkadi were contemplating was asking the other members of the board to abrogate the contract with Lamar, one which was not only raising Ontario International Airport’s profile on a national basis but generating revenue for it at the same time, in favor of hiring Jacobson, an unproven entity whose only prior experience consisted of coordinating the scheduling and promotion of college athletic competitions. The prospect of being able to achieve that was somewhat dubitable. Nevertheless, by the summer of 2020, Wapner began laying the groundwork for that or something similar. Just as the entire airline industry was hit with substantial downturns in ridership as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic, Wapner began bemoaning Lamar’s performance, in particular its failure to prompt more and more travelers to choose Ontario as their place of departure from Southern California

or their destination when coming into the Golden State. At the same time, Wapner sought to prevent Lamar’s corporate officers from discovering that he was the source of the expressions of manufactured discontent with the advertising company. The airport authority board president, however, was unable to maintain a shroud over his militating against Lamar, as Lamar’s executives began asking airport authority executives why they were suddenly getting the cold shoulder, ostensibly over the dwindling passenger numbers at the aerodrome when the current circumstance was such that virtually every airport in the country and the world was seeing a passenger drop-off. Those airport executives responded – truthfully – that they were merely carrying forward the message that had originated with Wapner.

Ultimately, Wapner and Elkadi abandoned the concept of creating an in-house promotional/advertising capability with Jacobson as its director, thus making him an employee of the airport authority. Instead, they opted to enter into a contractual relationship with Jacobson, or rather an entity that Jacobson created. Of note is that even as Lamar was being shoved out the door, that entity – which was dubbed Fuse Advancement – had yet to come into existence.

On February 1, 2021, the Ontario International Airport Authority entered into a \$96,000 annual contract with Fuse Advancement, as it was identified in the contract.

Continued on Page 6

While 764,000 Illegal Immigrants In California Are To Get Medi-Cal Benefits, Over 2 Million State Residents With Full Citizenship Have No Health Insurance & No Doctor

from front page

passed into law and was signed by Governor Gavin Newsom, going into effect on January 1, 2020. With Governor Newsom's signing of the 2022-23 \$307.9 billion operating budget on June 30, California became

the first state to guarantee free health care for all low-income immigrants living in the country illegally. It is projected that generosity will provide coverage for an additional 764,000 illegal and unregistered immigrants in

California, costing California and by extension U.S. taxpayers roughly \$2.7 billion per year.

The 2022-23 California budget signed by Newsom does not extend to all of the calculated 764,000 impoverished and undocumented aliens living in California at once, but stands as a commitment to make all low-income non-citizens living here eligible for

the state's Medicaid program by 2024.

This represents a milestone for immigration activists, even though it simultaneously will serve as a form of registration, which many of those activists have long resisted. The provision of medical care to a substantial portion of the population living in the state illegally was a trade-off those advocates of the undocu-

mented population were apparently willing to make.

There is concern, however, that federal immigration officials will find a way to tap into the data banks relating to those to be offered Medicaid coverage, and for this reason, the undocumented applicants for Medi-Cal assistance are being advised to fudge on that portion of the ap-

plication on which they are required to provide their address or place of residence.

Medicaid is a U.S. federal program which is commonly coordinated with state governments to provide free or low-cost health care to a select group of low-income residents, primarily the elderly and/or families/women with children.

Continued on Page 5

Yucaipa Should Build Its Way Into The Future, Taylor Says

from front page

you and me, who live in Yucaipa and put in the work to make it the wonderful place that it is," he said. "I'm not a politician, just a concerned citizen who wants to give back to the community that inspires me. I will support our first responders as well as our local businesses. I will work to maintain a balanced budget while also delivering the services the City of Yucaipa requires." His professional experience has given him the skills and qualifications to serve as a councilman, Taylor said.

"I work in the technology industry, specializing in healthcare IT for the past 23 years," he said. "I currently work for a local healthcare organization

from a home office. At my previous county healthcare position, I led many multi-million-dollar projects that I oversaw from planning, to procurement, to implementation. These experiences will align with decisions that need to be made in city leadership."

Taylor said, "My commitment to this city is what distinguishes me from other candidates. I didn't just decide months ago that I would run for city council. I started dedicating my time to the city approximately two-and-a-half years ago by volunteering daily to pick up litter within our town. I'm committed to community cleanliness and working to preserve our small-town sentiment by keeping it clean of trash, graffiti, and vandalism. After receiving a community service award from the City of Yucaipa

in October of 2021, I was inspired to dedicate more of my time to serving the city by running."

According to Taylor, "Current major issues affecting our hometown are homelessness, increased graffiti, and litter. Other major issues are the proposed Northbench rezoning project and the proposed 200 apartment building project near 2nd Street."

He said, "Issues such as homelessness need state support. We need more housing for these individuals, such as the planned community in San Bernardino. Graffiti and litter need additional city employees to combat the increased workload. Additionally, I would like to grow the current adopt-a-street program that is already in effect but not to the degree it should be. Any assistance we can obtain from

our residents is a win-win for everyone."

To pay for the solutions he is suggesting, Taylor said Yucaipa has to spur further development, both commercial and residential, while seeking grant funding.

"We need to look for new business that will increase our sales tax revenue or look at opportunities for new home developments if we can find an appropriate location," he said. "Another solution that I think should be investigated is looking for grant money for these particular issues. There are many code enforcement opportunities out there such as the Keep America Beautiful Graffiti Prevention Grants Program."

Taylor said he had previous experience relating to government in the form of being a county employee.

Martinez Offers Her Montclair Constituents, She Says, Experience, Education Involvement & An Open Mind

from front page

independent civic involvement, her now nearly three years' experience on the council and her experience and training in the law, Martinez said she believes she will be a valuable asset to the community over the next four years if the city's voters allow her to maintain her position on the council.

"My experience, education, and involvement make me uniquely qualified for Montclair City Council, and my enthusiasm makes me the right candidate," she said. "I am a lawyer by trade, with a background in em-

ployment, tax, and consumer law. In addition to serving on the Montclair City Council since 2019, I have been involved as a city council liaison for Montclair's Community Activities Commission and Chamber of Commerce, a member of the human services, public works and real estate committees, a member of the Montclair Junior Women's Club, and a director of the Montclair Housing Authority and Montclair Housing Corporation."

Martinez said, "As a councilmember, I have worked on programs to assist our seniors, suspend "shut-offs" of essential resources, increase shelter access and transitional housing, support small businesses and city organizations, develop parks and trails, and increase accessibili-

ty to services throughout Montclair, particularly in the south."

Also running for the city council this year is another incumbent, Bill Ruh, as well as Juliet Orozco and Xavier Mendez. She is distinguished from her opponents, Martinez said, in multiple ways.

"I am privileged to have been elected by the residents of Montclair to serve our community," she said. "In the three years I have served on the council, I have gained invaluable experience that I hope to use to continue serving. I represent our community with an open mind and with our residents' interests at heart. I hope to see Montclair continue to develop in a way that offers value to our residents, and with the goal of helping Montclair maintain its history,

charm, and culture.

In sizing up the major issues facing the city," Martinez said, "Montclair is no stranger to California's housing crisis, which, if not appropriately addressed, could impede the ability of local businesses to hire workers, displace our residents, and ultimately negatively affect the economy."

She said, "Montclair has taken great strides to develop affordable housing and increase accessibility within and outside of the city, including by increasing transit options for residents and visitors. The North Montclair Downtown Specific Plan will implement a transit-oriented, mixed-use development that is designed to positively affect our environment and economic development."

Montclair has the most

dynamic sales tax-to-land area ratio of all of San Bernardino County's cities and one of the most favorable sales tax-to-per capita population ratios of all of San Bernardino County's cities. It thus has the financial means to structure solutions to its problems, Martinez said.

"Montclair is fortunate to have the support of City Manager Edward Starr and local government officials that promote Montclair's goals in obtaining funding for development throughout our city," she said. "In line with the goals of increasing accessibility through transit, I am confident that we will also obtain state funding to build the Gold Line to Montclair."

Having lived in Montclair for more than 30 years, Martinez attended International Polytechnic

and CITRIX," he said. "I'm employed full time as an electronic health record specialist with a local health care system. I have worked in the information technology industry for approximately 30 years and have specialized in health care for approximately 23 of those 30 years. I am married and recently celebrated our 25th anniversary. We are also high school sweethearts. We have two children. Our son just started his senior year at the University of Redlands. Our daughter is in her second year at Crafton Hills College."

Taylor said, "I have worked as a manager and as a coach for many years and know how to be a leader. Being elected to the Yucaipa City Council will provide me a platform to showcase my leadership skills."

-M.G.

High School in Pomona, then attended and graduated from the University of Southern California, where she earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in anthropology. Thereafter she obtained her Juris Doctor degree from the University of La Verne College of Law. After law school, she attended Loyola Law School to get her LL.M. — a master's degree in tax law.

She is employed as a sole proprietor attorney.

"I am married to Nicholas Cisneros, and we have a three-year-old son, Roman," Martinez said.

In making her appeal to the voters, Martinez said, "I am a voice for the residents of Montclair, and I would love to hear from our residents. They should feel free to reach me at (909) 212-9632."

-M.G.

Tillman Desperately Pushed His Colleagues To Take First Step Toward Letting Board Members Commandeer District Counsel As Their Personal Legal Representatives *from front page*

perintendent may confer with the district's legal counsel at his/her discretion and shall provide the board with desired legal information."

As worded, the most logical interpretation is that while the board acting as a body can collectively call upon the district's legal counsel for legal advice or direction, individual board members on their own cannot buttonhole the district's lawyers.

"I think it's important for board members to know which counsel there is you would call in case you had a question," said Board Member Danny Tillman. "I read in this proposed thing from CSBA [California School Boards Association] about having to get a majority or the board president [to actuate the district's legal counsel]. I don't agree with that because I've been around long enough to know there are times when you may question whether or not the board president or another board member or the majority of the board is doing something that is legal and are you in legal standing, so to call counsel and ask a quick question I think is a good thing. Also the challenge being if a majority of the board were to bring in counsel and start doing legal things to a board member, as a board member we don't have any means of paying for counsel. So you would have to be able to call someone and say, 'This is what I'm being told, and how should I respond?' A board member should never represent themselves. It would be a horrible situation for someone without the means to pay for legal counsel to be in a predicament where you're being served or talked to by somebody else's counsel."

Board Member Barbara Flores said, "I agree with you. You're right in terms of us not having to represent ourselves, but being able to ask a legal question. So, I support

that."

Board Member Maya Ceballos said, "There's been some confusion with conversations that have happened from maybe individual board members having access to attorneys outside from the board as a whole, even knowledge from the rest of the board. Although I see your point, Mr. Tillman, and it is very well taken, I think we do have to clean it [the policy] up a little bit in terms of the parameters that we have as individual board members to be able to contact counsel. It just so happened recently that board members were contacting attorneys without the knowledge of the rest of the board members. For me, that's very uncomfortable, as part of the board for me to not to know who on the board is contacting [the district's lawyer], when they're contacting and for what reasons they're contacting, in the spirit or trying to maintain transparency to the entire board. I would like for us to have further discussion... and look at the other board policy that has been brought before and maybe do some comparisons and talk a little more in depth about it. My recent experience was not a good one. I just want to make sure that there are specific parameters on what our ability as individual board members are in terms of contacting legal counsel."

At that point, Tillman offered his interpretation, one not shared by many, that he and the other members of the board were at liberty to confer with the district's legal counsel on individual matters.

"Just to be clear, Ms. Ceballos: We have an existing policy, so tonight would be a good time to look for consensus and see whether the board wanted to change it," Tillman said. "It's going to be obvious tonight if we have four votes or not. If we don't have four votes, then the existing policy

will stand, and the existing policy does allow a board member to call a attorney who has a contract with the district."

Dr. Gwen Dowdy-Rodgers disputed Tillman's wishful interpretation that the present policy gives a board member one-on-one access to the district's counsel.

"To your point, Mr. Tillman, of what you just mentioned: Are you saying this policy says that we can [unilaterally meet with the district's lawyer]? I think that's where our discrepancy came in, by saying board. It just doesn't say board member individually. I'm looking at this other one. They gave us a sample of CSBA [the California School Board Association policy guideline relating to a school board's access to district lawyers], and I think somewhere in there it even says, 'Don't do it.'"

Dowdy-Rodgers said San Bernardino City Unified School District Bylaw 9124 is "vague. The vague part of it also may have to have a legal opinion, too, because how often, what are we calling for, what are the parameters around calling and asking. How do you call and clear up something that may be personal as opposed to something that may be pertaining to the whole board? I don't think this policy has enough meat in it the way it stands right now for us to be clear."

Having pushed for and failed to get a consensus interpretation of Bylaw 9124 that would allow him and his colleagues to unilaterally confer with the district's lawyers, Tillman redirected his effort to getting a majority of the board to change the policy to allow individual board members to have access to the district's lawyers.

"If you had a majority of the board that wanted to change this policy... we could do that tonight," Tillman said. "You could say I want to bring this back, look for consensus to say that a board member cannot call counsel unless they have approval by the majority of the board or they're the president..."

"It's already vague right now," Dowdy-Rod-

gers interrupted him. "I can't even interpret it because it only refers to the board. So, are we the board as six or seven of us or are we individual? I read this as the board, not an individual."

"I really think it's critical that a board member has the ability to call counsel that, of course, has a contract with the district already," said Tillman. "You need to think about this. You could have one board member that has upset the majority of the board. They could take legal action against that board member that's not legal. The only way that board member could know that is to have counsel themselves. You'd have to provide them with counsel. We do that for everybody."

Tillman then sought once more to pull from the board an interpretation that the policy as it stands allows an individual member to confer with a district-paid lawyer.

"You can tell me that I'm wrong, that we know it doesn't preclude someone from calling, because it says the board can," Tillman said. He went on to say that the board members should be and are entitled to district-defrayed lawyers. "There may be cases where a board member has to assume counsel because the board has taken action against that board member but the district has to pay for both," he said.

The board with a consent finding agreed to have the board's policy subcommittee look into keeping the policy as it is or changing it or refining it. The subcommittee is to look at the options of redrafting the bylaw to state explicitly that only the board president or a majority of the board can elicit advice from the district's legal representative; leaving the language as it currently exists; or rewriting the bylaw so that it allows without equivocation that a board member on his or her own is permitted to seek advice from the district's lawyer. The subcommittee is to consider adding the term individual board members to the language after it examines the rec-

ommendations from the California School Board Association and considers the language other districts use in their bylaws.

Tillman's concern for being able to utilize the district's legal counsel stems from a pending lawsuit that is heading his way from former Superintendent Harry "Doc" Erwin. That lawsuit is likely to be filed on September 20.

Erwin was hired by the seven-member board in the Spring of 2021. In August 2021, with the full support of the board, Erwin began a survey of the district's various service contracts. Thereafter, prior to the conclusion of those surveys and any findings being made, Erwin held off on renewing several of those contracts.

By September 2021, three members of the board – Abigail Medina, Dr. Barbara Flores and Tillman – were discontented with what they considered to be Erwin's fixation on those contracts, some of which involved companies owned or operated by political donors, associates or friends of certain board members. By October 2021, Tillman, Flores and Medina had formed a consensus to fire Erwin, but lacked a requisite fourth vote to hand Erwin a pink slip. Erwin's continuing tenure, which was backed by board members Dr. Scott Wyatt, Dr. Margaret Hill, Maya Ceballos and Dr. Gwen Dowdy-Rodgers, was thrown into jeopardy with Hill's December 2021 death. Thereafter, in January and February of this year, Tillman, Flores and Medina militated to get a fourth member of the board appointed to replace Hill, one who would go along with them in their effort to sack Erwin.

On May 3, 2022, Erwin tendered his resignation effective July 1, in accordance with a severance agreement which contained a mutual non-disparagement clause by which the two parties – Erwin and the district – agreed to refrain from badmouthing one another. Erwin abided by that restriction, and did not speak about various district contracts with indi-

viduals who were friends, supporters or associates of Flores, and he let lie circumstances pertaining to current or past associations between the district and entities in which members of Erwin's family had a financial interest. Erwin made the point that the district had to evolve in such a way that the decision-makers were motivated solely by improving or perfecting the district's educational methods and were not distracted by any other considerations venal or financial, such that those decisions were not tainted by cronyism and nepotism. "Our actions, attitudes, behaviors and beliefs should always reflect our commitment in making decisions good for kids," Erwin said. "When you don't do that, silence is acceptance."

With his tenure as superintendent running through until the end of the 2021-22 school year on June 30, Erwin remained in place long enough for an audit by the Great Gains educational approach advising firm given authorization at the August 17, 2021 school board meeting to be completed and accepted by the district. That audit pertained to the district's financials and performance with regard to so-called Creative After-School Programs. Great Gains looked at how effective those programs were, how much student participation they attracted and their cost effectiveness.

Great Gains also explored whether there were any relationships between those owning or operating the companies with the contracts for the Creative After School Programs and members of the board. According to Great Gains, it surveyed the YMCA of the East Valley, Transforming Arts and Minds, Project Life Impact, Ecclesia Christian Fellowship, Athletes for Life and the Akoma Unity Center, all of which were entities with Creative After-School Program contracts. None of the principals in those companies had a direct connection to any of the board members, according to Great Gains.

Continued on Page 12

While Illegal Immigrants In California Get Free Medical Coverage, Residents Face Fines For Not Buying Health Plans *from page 3*

It is generally not available to able-bodied men, defined as those under the age of 65. Nor does the federal government extend coverage to individuals who are not citizens residing in the country illegally. Over the last decade, however, there has been a move on in some states, particularly those with a substantial number of Latino legislators, most notably California, to augment federal funds with state funding to bypass the prohibition against using federal money to defray health care expenses for low-income immigrants.

While California is at the forefront of the effort to provide health care to immigrants, including those who have violated

federal law to come into the country, seventeen other states have initiated some form of medical assistance to those who are living in the U.S. without having complied with its immigration laws. Prenatal care is the most common form of assistance in this regard, with 18 states now offering that service to pregnant women and their soon-to-be offspring. California is included among Illinois, New York, Oregon and Washington and the District of Columbia in providing low-income families or households headed by at least one adult with dubitable immigration status with medical care. California and one other state, Illinois, now provide Medicaid benefits to

illegal immigrants living within their borders who have achieved a certain age, variably 50 or 65.

On June 27, in response to the preparations for Governor Newsom to sign the 2022-23 budget with its provision to expand Medi-Cal to large numbers of illegal immigrants in the state, Anthony Wright, executive director of Health Access California, a statewide consumer health care advocacy group, said, "This budget commits to fully remove the exclusion in Medi-Cal based on immigration status, opening up this coverage program by January 2024 to over 700,000 income-eligible Californians ages 26-49, many of whom are essential workers contributing in so many ways to our economy and society. With the urgency of the ongoing pandemic, we

will continue to advocate to take this and other steps to universal health care as soon as possible. This will represent the biggest expansion of coverage in the nation since the start of the Affordable Care Act in 2014. In California we recognize everybody benefits when everyone is covered."

That is just it, many observe, as not everyone in California is covered. While exact figures are dodgy, it appears that roughly 11 percent of California's 39.538 million residents – something approaching 4.35 million – have absolutely no health insurance whatsoever. It is estimated that at present, there are 2.6 million illegal immigrants residing in California. Estimates are that of those, 13 percent to 16 percent have medical coverage they or their parents have paid

for. Thus, it would seem, somewhere between 2.184 million to 2.262 million of California 4.349 million residents without health insurance are illegal aliens. Thus, something like 2,087,000 to 2,165,000 of California's residents with full U.S. citizenship have no health coverage and virtually no prospect of getting any assistance from the state. They must face an existence without the prospect of medical care. Most have come to accept that reality.

What is more, a set of draconian U.S. laws that came in with the Universal Health Care Act, augmented by accompanying California laws, financially penalize those who do not have health coverage, simply because they cannot afford it. The federal penalty for not having health insurance is \$695

per uninsured adult and \$347.50 per uninsured child, up to a maximum of \$2,085 per family. The State of California layers on top of that a fine of \$800 per adult and \$400 per dependent child under the age of 18 in the household. It is worth noting that these penalties are levied exclusively against U.S. citizens. Those residing illegally in the United States are not subject to these fines.

The practical effect of this is that it has driven those impoverished U.S. citizens in California without any means of obtaining medical insurance further underground. Already incapable of securing health insurance, they are discouraged from exploring what health insurance assistance they might be eligible for because in making such inquiries *Continued on Page 12*

Measure L Arguments And Rebuttals Made Public *from front page*

police officers on duty to respond quickly to 911 emergency calls and save lives.

Yes on L is fiscally accountable: It includes independent citizens oversight, public disclosure on how all funds are spent, and annual independent audits.

All Measure L funds are required by law to remain local for our needs.

Protect our safety, neighborhoods and community! Join Upland public safety, local business and neighborhood leaders in voting yes on L!

The Rebuttal To The Argument In Favor Of Measure L written by former Upland Councilman Glenn Bozar and former Upland Treasurer Larry Kinley

Vote no on Measure L!

Residents cannot afford to have a new sales tax increase imposed by the city!

We both have witnessed while serving as your city councilmember and as your city treasurer serious municipal mismanagement in Up-

land.

The 2020 Grand Jury Report documented how the city interfered with my duties and responsibilities as your city treasurer. I resigned. The grand jury report documented serious problems and noted "The San Bernardino County Civil Grand Jury is aware that there potentially may be criminal activity associated with these actions that are not within the jurisdiction of the Civil Grand Jury." Interestingly, no criminal actions were filed.

Mismanagement should not be rewarded by raising sales taxes! The new city manager and city council should conduct community meetings to discuss the prior resident taskforce recommendations to significantly reduce costs while maintaining good service levels.

One of the cost-saving options recommended by the resident taskforce was outsourcing the police department to the county sheriff. There is a potential \$10 million annual savings opportunity. This city council must allow an open, honest and transparent discussion of this cost-saving opportunity.

Our neighbors in Rancho Cucamonga

and Chino Hills use the county sheriff police services and are two of the safest cities in California. Upland's transition from having its own fire department transferred to county fire was a seamless transition to residents.

You deserve to know about a \$10 million cost savings opportunity before allowing the city to increase the sales tax. Vote no.

The Argument Against Measure L, written by former Councilman Glenn Bozar and former City Treasurer Larry Kinley, states:

With record high inflation and gasoline prices, hardworking families and senior citizens living on fixed incomes cannot afford a city-imposed sales tax increase. The Federal Reserve chairman recently said grocery shoppers find their budgets no longer cover their usual shopping list. Inflationary cost of living increases have forced people to cut back spending. Residents struggle to make ends meet to pay their bills.

According to economists, we are heading into a recession of unknown severity or duration. Yet a tone-deaf city council approved the

sales tax increase ballot initiative. Now is not the time to raise taxes. Vote no.

The recently approved police department budget has increased 57.7% over the last decade and budgeted staffing levels increased from 104 to 115, excluding animal control staffing. The overwhelming majority of 911 emergency calls are for medical assistance handled by AMR Ambulance Service and the fire department – not the police department.

Over the last 22 years the city has been through various budget shortfalls and budget cuts. During that time, resident-driven financial workout plans and workshops forced city councils that represent you to take actions to improve operating efficiencies and reduce costs. Outsourcing the fire department to the county worked out well. Other recommendations were never considered by the current city manager or city council. There are still options to reduce costs. The city has remained solvent and will continue to do so.

Now residents are struggling to figure out how to pay their monthly living expenses during record inflation, high gas prices, costly grocer-

ies, increased electricity costs, increased water rates, trash rates, in a looming recession and economic uncertainty. Now is not the time for the city to increase sales taxes. Vote no on Measure L!

The Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure L, written by local business leader Jason Gaudy, Upland Treasurer Greg Bradley, Upland Parks Committee Member Sarah Lee, Upland resident James Thomas and retired Upland Unified School District Superintendent Dr. Loren Sanchez, states:

Don't believe politically motivated rhetoric.

We need Yes on L to keep our neighborhoods safe, and streets and sidewalks repaired – so we can reach you in an emergency, when seconds count.

Here's what Measure L won't do:

Measure L is not a tax on your home or property.

Measure L is not applied to food purchased as groceries or prescription medication.

Fact: Upland services have been slashed to the bone and cannot be cut further without impacting the services you expect and deserve.

Fact: Without Measure L, we won't be able to keep the number of police officers patrolling our neighborhoods.

Fact: Your Yes on L vote is the only thing that will allow current sidewalk repair programs to continue.

Unless we act, Upland will have to cut police officers on top of 14 unfilled police positions, making our neighborhoods less safe.

Without Measure L, our streets, sidewalks, trees, parks, and storm drains will worsen, affecting your cars and all our wallets as we must pay more to repair in the future.

Measure L, will allow us to address the basic quality of life services we all need: cleaning up trash on our streets, ensuring crime investigation, gang and drug police units can continue their critical work, maintaining our parks, and ensuring our streets and public spaces are safe and secure for everyone.

Talk is cheap – we must act to improve Upland!

Join safety, business and community leaders in voting yes on L.

Get the facts, visit uplandca.gov

After Jacobson's Ignominious Departure From USC, Wapner Swooped In To Install Him As One Of His Loyal Soldiers At Ontario International Airport *from page 4*

According to the California Secretary of State, Fuse Advancement was not registered as a business entity until February 18, 2021, 18 days after it was first granted a contract with the airport authority.

Subsequently, on May 27, 2021, the contract with Fuse Advancement was increased to \$240,500 per year.

Though both Lamar and Fuse Advancement fell under the rubric of being advertising and promotion companies and both engaged in the promotion of Ontario International Airport, there were elements of their separate functions that differed somewhat. The break with Lamar did not come off as cleanly as Wapner had hoped, and the Ontario International Airport Authority had to buy out the remaining six years of the contract with Lamar.

While the transition from Lamar to Fuse Advancement was carried through with a significant number of Ontario International Airport Authority employees and at least one of the board members not knowing about the previous relationship between Wapner and Jacobson, more and more of them have come to recognize the coup that Wapner and Elkadi, who was then the assistant executive director of the authority and is now the executive director, pulled off for Jacobson. Though none will say so publicly, a number of Ontario International Airport Authority employees feel the favoritism Wapner showed toward Jacobson was highly improper.

Wapner serves as a public official in multiple capacities, including as an Ontario city councilman, as the president of the Ontario International Airport Authority Board, as a board member of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency, a board member of the Southern California Association of Governments and a member of the Metrolink Board

of Directors. In each of those capacities, he is required to disclose his sources of income and gifts provided to him on statements of economic interest known as State of California Form 700s. In completing those forms, Wapner did not make any disclosure of the travel accommodations provided to him by USC and/or Jacobson when Jacobson was the associate athletic director, according to the City of Ontario.

An argument made when Wapner and Elkadi were seeking to jettison Lamar as the airport's and the airport authority's advertising provider was that Lamar was committed to advertising national brands and products and that the switch to Jacobson and Fuse Advancement would allow for a change of emphasis that would switch out the national brands in many of those promotions in favor of localized businesses.

An issue under consideration is whether the airport was benefited or harmed by the transition from Lamar to Fuse Advancement. Several people close to the circumstance were skeptical with regard to the claims that the upstart Fuse Advancement was able to better serve the airport than a company with Lamar's track record and extensive number of existing clients. Even if, indeed, the deal worked out between Fuse Advancement and the airport authority contains advantages over the arrangement that previously existed with Lamar Advertising and there is tangible evidence to suggest Fuse Advancement offers superior service to the airport and the airport authority than did Lamar Airport Advertising, there remains a daunting question as to whether that advantage yet applies when the cost of the buyout of the Lamar Airport Advertising contract is factored in and consideration is made that the revenue Lamar Airport Advertising represented

to the county was at least \$350,000, income which the airport and airport authority have now abandoned.

Information and documentation obtained by the *Sentinel* indicates that even in the face of the substantial downturn in airline travel that accompanied the COVID-19 pandemic, Lamar had met all of its contractual terms and exceeded revenue projections.

The termination of the Lamar contract in favor of the arrangement with Fuse Advancement went unremarked by the public for well over a year but has now become an issue of controversy with disclosures about Wapner having been able to obtain the virtually unheard-of privilege of traveling with the USC Football Team on the team plane to several of its away games and the apparent quid pro quo of Wapner arranging for Fuse Advancement's contract in the aftermath of Jacobson's downfall as a consequence of the Admissions Scandal.

The *Sentinel* sought from Wapner his input with regard to the contretemps that is now roiling in the aftermath of those revelations.

The *Sentinel* asked if it was his position that Lamar had failed to live up to the contract it had entered into with the airport authority in 2017 and, if so, whether he could marshal documentation to that effect. Wapner did not respond.

Nor did he offer any clarification as to what, precisely, had been the driving force behind the termination of the contract with Lamar Airport Advertising.

Wapner further sidestepped direct questions into what about Fuse Advancement and its potential justified entering into a contract with it to the exclusion of Lamar Advertising, why the airport authority terminated the contract with Lamar to take up with Fuse Advancement and whether he could produce empirical data to demonstrate that Ontario International Airport and the Ontario International Airport Authority have been better served by

Fuse Advancement than by Lamar Advertising.

The *Sentinel* asked if Fuse Advancement has produced more advertising revenue to the airport authority than Lamar over similar durations and whether, after adjusting for rising flight numbers that have generally taken place throughout the airline industry as a consequence of the general recovery from the pandemic in the last year-and-a-half, he could cite statistics that Fuse Advancement has outperformed Lamar Airport Advertising. The *Sentinel* sought from Wapner whether there was statistical indication that Fuse Advancement succeeded in attracting greater ridership to Ontario International Airport than did Lamar.

Wapner, who was peppered with those questions in writing at mid-day on Thursday September 8, had not responded by the *Sentinel's* deadline on Friday, September 9.

Wapner is largely credited and hailed for the role of prime mover he assumed in leading the City of Ontario in its effort to liberate Ontario Airport from the City of Los Angeles and its corporate entity, Los Angeles World Airports, after the megalopolis to the west had, pursuant to a joint powers agreement, taken over management of the airport in 1967 and ownership of the aerodrome in 1985. Los Angeles maintained possession of the airport for 30 years, surrendering the facility back to Ontario after an aggressive four-year campaign led by Wapner, who charged the larger city and its officials of purposefully mismanaging Ontario Airport to increase ridership at Los Angeles International Airport.

This success has garnered for Wapner, at least in some circles, a reputation as an intrepid and forthright advocate for the Ontario community and his constituents, who was looking to restore the integrity of and local control over a public asset that is the central component of the Inland Empire's economic engine.

Countervailing that, however, are the contentions of some that Wapner is not a dedicated public servant looking after the best interests of the collective but rather someone who is angling for his own personal aggrandizement, ego gratification and personal advancement and to benefit his associates and political backers, those who are willing to engage in a pay-to-play, cronyis-



Atif Elkadi

tic ethos. According to them, Wapner militated on behalf of Fuse Advancement not on the basis of that company's superior service but simply because of his relationship with Jacobson. Viewed in this frame of reference, the perquisites that were provided to Wapner by Jacobson when he was acting in his capacity as assistant athletic director at USC – in particular the extension of the privilege of flying on the team plane to several away games over the last several years – constituted gifts that were not properly reported and which, in the light of the favorable treatment Wapner as president of the airport authority arranged for Fuse Advancement, stand as quid pro quos – bribes in plain terms. In addition, Elkadi, who was promoted earlier this year to replace Mark Thorpe, the airport's previous executive director, is a USC graduate. It is alleged Elkadi abetted Wapner in the move to terminate Lamar and bring in Fuse Advancement in its stead. Under the present circumstance, in which USC grads Wapner and Elkadi hold the top elected leader and top staff positions at the airport authority and Jacobson has assumed the role as the airport's chief publicist, promoter and head of communications, previous whispers that under Wapner's leader-

ship of the airport authority the "USC Mafia" has seized control of Ontario International Airport have intensified into a deafening cacophony. An offshoot of that accusation is that, as is often typical of such cronyistic circumstances, Wapner has substituted friendship for competence such that the airport is now being run by people who are valued for their loyalty to him rather than their ability to manage. The *Sentinel's* offer to Wapner to provide a cogent refutation of those perceptions was not taken up.

Elkadi, nonetheless, rose to the challenge of defending the airport authority's contractual arrangement with Fuse Advancement.

"The Ontario International Airport Authority has more than doubled its in-terminal airport advertising revenue with Fuse Advancement since early 2021 versus comparable timeframes using Lamar Advertising," Elkadi said.

Elkadi suggested it was the employees of the airport and the airport authority who initiated the termination of the Lamar Advertising contract for the internal portion of the airport, rather than Wapner.

"In 2020, the Ontario International Airport Authority staff felt that Ontario International Airport Authority's share of in-terminal ad revenue over the years should have been higher," Elkadi said. "The Ontario International Airport Authority therefore exercised an early termination provision in Lamar's in-terminal advertising contract, and reimbursed Lamar for unamortized capital expenditures per contract terms. Those expenditures were for new in-terminal digital ad screens that Lamar installed. The Ontario International Airport Authority still uses those digital ad screens and will continue to do so for many years."

Elkadi clarified that "The Ontario International Airport Authority does not have any current agreement with Lamar for in-terminal advertising. It does have another

Continued on Page 12

Public Notices

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIV SB 2128482

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO DEMANDADO):

DAVID ALAN BOUCHER, MERCEDES MARTINEZ, AND DOES 1 to 10, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): MTC FINANCIAL INC. dba TRUSTEE CORPS

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar on formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formulario de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corta que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Public Notices

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es):

San Bernardino Justice Center
247 West Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

John C. Steele, Steele LLP,
17272 Red Hill Avenue,
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: (949) 222-1161
DATE (Fecha): November 12, 2021

Clerk (Secretario), by Karina Venegas, Deputy (Adjunto)
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 08/19/2022, 8/26/2022, 9/02/2022 & 9/09/2022.

FBN 20220007622

The following person is doing business as: E's POWER OAT BARS 263 SULTANA AVE UPLAND, CA 91786 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ELAINE M CRAWFORD 263 SULTANA AVE UPLAND, CA 91786 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ELAINE M. CRAWFORD Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 17, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy 15199 Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/19/2022, 08/26/2022, 09/02/22 & 09/09/22.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: TAMARA LYN MADDEN CASE NO. PROSB2201147

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of TAMARA LYN MADDEN has been filed by KIMBERLY RENEE LOGAN in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PRO-

Public Notices

BATE requests that KIMBERLY RENEE LOGAN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held SEPTEMBER 14, 2022 at 11:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: August 10, 2022
VALERIE URU-ENA, Deputy Court Clerk

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Filed: August 10, 2022
Attorney for Kimberly Renee Logan:

R. SAMPRICE SBN208603
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620
REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 8800
sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on August 25, September 2 & September 9, 2022.

FBN 20220007622

The following person is doing business as: E's POWER OAT BARS 263 SULTANA AVE UPLAND, CA 91786 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ELAINE M CRAWFORD 263 SULTANA AVE UPLAND, CA 91786 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ELAINE M. CRAWFORD Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 17, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy 15199 Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/19/2022, 08/26/2022, 09/02/22 & 09/09/22.

NOTICE OF HEARING
Date: September 21, 2022
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 07/20/2022
Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO
Attorney for Susana Corona & Marcelino Dabalos Co-

Public Notices

the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/22 09/09/22 & 09/16/22.

FBN 20220007463

The following person is doing business as: DUMPLING DUTIES 1236 E 9th ST UPLAND, CA 91786 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO BOBA GIRL GANG, LLC 1236 E 9th ST UPLAND, CA 91786 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of California as 202250613492 The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: May 1, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ NEYSA SIMANGUNSONG, Manager Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 12, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/22 09/09/22 & 09/16/22.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2215266

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: SUSANA CORONA & MARCELINO DABALOS CORONA JR. filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

ISAAC MANUEL WOLFORD to ISAAC MANUEL CORONA

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing
Date: September 21, 2022
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: S16
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 07/20/2022
Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO
Attorney for Susana Corona & Marcelino Dabalos Co-

Public Notices

rona Jr.:
Sandy S. Garcia, Esquire
Garcia & Miller, APLC
49459 Palo Verde Street,
Suite 207C
Montclair, California
91763
(909) 321-9241
s.garcia@garciamiller.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022 & 09/16/2022.

FBN 20220006519

The following person is doing business as: UPLAND INDUSTRIAL HEALTH SERVICES INC 921 W Foothill Blvd #2 UPLAND, CA 91786 UPLAND INDUSTRIAL HEALTH SERVICES INC 921 W FOOTHILL BLVD Suite 2 UPLAND, CA 91786 Mailing Address: 1085 N. LIN DEN AVE, RIALTO, CA 92376 County of Principal Place of Business: SAN BERNARDINO

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 4028897 The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUNE 1, 2017

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MARTHA GALVEZ, CEO
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/08/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy I5199

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/8, 7/15, 7/22 & 7/29, 2022 and corrected on 8/26, 9/02, 9/09 & 9/16, 2022.

FBN20220006319

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LUNA CINEMATICS 4195 CHINO HILLS PKWAY #405 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709 MICHAEL C LUNA 4195 CHINO HILLS PKWAY #405 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/MICHAEL C. LUNA This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 06/30/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.
Began Transacting Business: June 14, 2022

County Clerk J2530
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22 and corrected on 8/26, 9/02, 9/09 & 9/16, 2022.

FBN20220006714

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LOTUS GARDEN 1639 N MOUNTAIN AVE UPLAND, CA 91784 THE 168 TRADING, INC 5547 LAS BRISAS CT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 4697804

Public Notices

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/JUN XU, President

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22 and corrected on 8/26, 9/02, 9/09 & 9/16, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

FBN20220006844

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: NORTON DELGADO FAMILY MEDICINE 8283 GROVE AVE STE 202 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: NORTON DELGADO FAMILY MEDICINE 8283 GROVE AVE STE 202 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 3720499

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/LAURA A. NORTON, CEO

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/20/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk G8420,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22 and corrected on 8/26, 9/02, 9/09 & 9/16, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JUAN SERNA CASE NO. PROSB2201197

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JUAN SERNA A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANGIE MARIE SERNA in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that CANDICE RENEE WILLIAMS be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held SEPTEMBER 27, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247

Public Notices

ested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-37 at 9:00 a.m. on SEPTEMBER 26, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Angie Marie Serna:

ANTONIETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624)
1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108
SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408
Telephone No: (909) 890-2350
Fax No: (909) 890-0106
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 2, 9 & 16, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: FREDERICK SANCHEZ

CASE NO. PROSB 22 0 1 2 0 3

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of FREDERICK SANCHEZ has been filed by CANDICE RENEE WILLIAMS in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that CANDICE RENEE WILLIAMS be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held SEPTEMBER 27, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247

Public Notices

West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: AUGUST 22, 2022
BRITNEY SPEARS,
 Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. **IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR** or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. **YOU MAY EXAMINE** the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: July 11, 2022
 Attorney for Candice Renee Williams: **R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373**
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 8800
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 2, 9 & 16, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: ALLEN HOOD CASE NO. PROSB2201228

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of **ALLEN HOOD** has been filed by **VICY WALKER** in the Superior Court of California, County of **SAN BERNARDINO**. **THE PETITION FOR PROBATE** requests that **VICY WALKER** be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. **THE PETITION** requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held **OCTOBER 12, 2022** at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: AUGUST 25, 2022
AMY GAMEZ-REYES, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing

Public Notices

and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. **IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR** or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. **YOU MAY EXAMINE** the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: July 11, 2022
 Attorney for Vicy Walker:

R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 8800
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 2, 9 & 16, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LEMUEL NICOLAS CASE NO. PROSB2201231

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of **LEMUEL NICOLAS** has been filed by **RUTH PASCO** in the Superior Court of California, County of **SAN BERNARDINO**. **THE PETITION FOR PROBATE** requests that **RUTH PASCO** be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held **OCTOBER 12, 2022** at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. Filed: AUGUST 25, 2022
AMY GAMEZ-REYES, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. **IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR** or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a

Public Notices

copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. **YOU MAY EXAMINE** the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: AUGUST 25, 2022
 Attorney for Ruth Pasco: **R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373**
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 8800
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 2, 9 & 16, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LORIE DUNLING CASE NO. PROSB2201207

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of **LORIE DUNLING** has been filed by **JESSICA BALLESTEROS** in the Superior Court of California, County of **SAN BERNARDINO**. **THE PETITION FOR PROBATE** requests that **JESSICA BALLESTEROS** be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held **OCTOBER 5, 2022** at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. Filed: AUGUST 23, 2022
NICOLE CARTWRIGHT, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. **IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR** or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the

Public Notices

California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. **YOU MAY EXAMINE** the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: AUGUST 23, 2022
 Attorney for Jessica Ballesteros: **R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373**
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 8800
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 2, 9 & 16, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF IVAN IB KLITGAARD Case No. PROSB2201206

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of **IVAN IB KLITGAARD** A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by **Thomas I. Klitgaard** in the Superior Court of California, County of **SAN BERNARDINO**.

THE PETITION requests that **Thomas I. Klitgaard** be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held on **Sept. 29, 2022** at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S36 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice

Public Notices

under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: **TANYA L WILLIS ESQ SBN 249613**

LAW OFFICES OF TANYA L WILLIS
 545 N. MOUNTAIN AVE STE 111
 UPLAND CA 91786
 CN989894 KLITGAARD
 Sep 2,9,16, 2022

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2216329

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: **NGA CUU NGUYEN** filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

NGA CUU NGUYEN to **ANNA NGA NGUYEN** **THE COURT ORDERS** that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
 Date: **OCTOBER 3, 2022**
 Time: **08:30 AM**
 Department: **S-16**
 The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415.

SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT CIVIL DIVISION
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: August 15, 2022
 Judge **John Pacheco**
Eduardo Hernandez, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court.
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 2, 9, 15 & 23, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO. FBN20220007191

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: **SOLUTIONS LABOR RELATIONS CONSULTING, 5489 STAGECOACH DR, FONTANA, CA 92336, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY**
 Mailing Address: **CARLOS ORTIZ, 5489 STAGECOACH DR, FONTANA, CA 92336, State of Inc./Org./Reg., Inc./Org./Reg. No. Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL**

Signed: **BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT.** A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement

Public Notices

becomes Public Record upon filing. s/CARLOS ORTIZ.

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 08/03/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 01/01/2010
 County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022

T.S. No. 22-01023-SM-CA Title No. 2145413 A.P.N. 1051-111-04-0-000 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE. YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 03/15/2013. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. A public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, (cashier's check(s) must be made payable to National Default Servicing Corporation), drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state; will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to a Deed of Trust described below. The sale will be made in an "as is" condition, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, with interest and late charges thereon, as provided in the note(s), advances, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale. Trustor: **Angel Perez,** an unmarried man Duly Appointed Trustee: **National Default Servicing Corporation** Recorded 03/25/2013 as Instrument No. 2013-0120277 (or Book, Page) of the Official Records of San Bernardino County, CA. Date of Sale: 10/04/2022 at 9:00 AM Place of Sale: **Chino Municipal Court, North West Entrance in the Courtyard, 13260 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710** Estimated amount of unpaid balance and other charges: **\$265,779.15** Street Address or other common designation of real property: **2308 S Bon View Ave Ontario, CA 91761 A.P.N.: 1051-111-04-0-000** The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address or other common designation, if any, shown above. If no street address or other common designation is shown, directions to the location of the property may be obtained by sending a written request to the beneficiary within 10 days of the date of first publication of this Notice of Sale. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee, and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. The requirements of California Civil Code Section 2923.5(b)/2923.55(c) were fulfilled when the Notice of Default was recorded. **NOTICE**

Public Notices

TO POTENTIAL BIDDERS: If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same lender may hold more than one mortgage or deed of trust on the property. **NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER:** The sale date shown on this notice of sale may be postponed one or more times by the mortgagee, beneficiary, trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about trustee sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call or visit this Internet Web site www.ndscorp.com/sales, using the file number assigned to this case **22-01023-SM-CA**. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. **NOTICE TO TENANT*:** You may have a right to purchase this property after the trustee auction pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code. If you are an "eligible tenant buyer," you can purchase the property if you match the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. There are three steps to exercising this right of purchase. First, 48 hours after the date of the trustee sale, you can call 888-264-4010, or visit this internet website www.ndscorp.com, using the file number assigned to this case **22-01023-SM-CA** to find the date on which the trustee's sale was held, the amount of the last and highest bid, and the address of the trustee. Second, you must send a written notice of intent to place a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 15 days after the trustee's sale. Third, you must submit a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 45 days after the trustee's sale. If you think you may qualify as an "eligible tenant buyer" or "eligible bidder," you should consider contacting an attorney or appropriate real estate professional immediately for advice regarding this potential right to purchase. *Pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code, the potential rights described herein shall apply only to public auctions taking place on or after January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2025, unless later extended. Date: 08/31/2022 National Default Servicing Corporation c/o Tiffany & Bosco, P.A., its agent, 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 820 San Diego, CA 92108 Toll Free Phone: 888-264-4010 Sales Line 855-219-8501; Sales Website: www.ndscorp.com By: **Gabriela Sanchez,** Trustee Sales Representative 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 CPP353094

Public Notices

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JONATHAN JIANFENG DUI
CASE NO. PROSB 2201229
 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JONATHAN JIANFENG DUI has been filed by RAY S DUI in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that RAY S DUI be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held OCTOBER 12, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. Filed: AUGUST 25, 2022 AMY GAMEZ-REYES, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: AUGUST 25, 2022 Attorney for Ray S. Dui: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 16 & 23, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: GARY ALLEN DUNN
CASE NO. PROSB2200813
 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or es-

Public Notices

ate, or both of GARY ALLEN DUNN has been filed by BREK RANDOLPH in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that BREK RANDOLPH be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that the decedents wills and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held OCTOBER 5, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. Filed: AUGUST 30, 2022 BRITTNEY SPEARS, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: AUGUST 30, 2022 Attorney for Brek Randolph: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 16 & 23, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: LINDA JO BROWN
CASE NO. PROSB 2201269
 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of LINDA JO BROWN has been filed by JILL ANN PARKER in the Superior

Public Notices

Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JILL ANN PARKER be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held OCTOBER 26, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. Filed: SEPTEMBER 6, 2022 AMY GAMEZ-REYES, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: SEPTEMBER 6, 2022 Attorney for Jill Ann PARKER: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 16 & 23, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: GUSTAVO MORENO
CASE NO. PROSB 2201232
 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of GUSTAVO MORENO has been filed by PATRICIA L. MORENO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that PATRICIA L. MORENO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that the decedents wills and codicils, if

Public Notices

any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held OCTOBER 18, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District Probate Court. Filed: AUGUST 25, 2022 NICOLE CARTWRIGHT, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: AUGUST 25, 2022 Attorney for Patricia L. Moreno: Herb Chavers, APLC 5041 La Mart Drive, Suite 240 Riverside, CA 92507 Phone (951) 369-1335 Fax (951) 369-1775 herb@chaverslaw.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 16 & 23, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVMB 2200115
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner SHANNAN ELLY GOLDSMITH filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:
 SHANNAN ELLY GOLDSMITH TO SHANNAN ELLY GOLDSMITH
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show

Public Notices

cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing
Date: October 21, 2022
Time: 8:30 AM
Department: M4
 The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Joshua Tree District 6527 White Feather Road Joshua Tree, CA 92252
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 08/12/2022
Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN W. BURDICK
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 & 09/30/2022

FBN 20220008172
 The following entity is doing business as PARTY ROCK RENTALS LLC 4896 EL MORADO ST MONTCLAIR, CA 91763 primarily in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: PARTY ROCK RENTALS LLC 4896 EL MORADO ST MONTCLAIR, CA 91763
 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the state of California as 202252018701
 The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 11, 2022
 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
 s/ WHITNEY S SHINDLING, President
 Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/01/2022
 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy 17140
 Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 16, 23 & 30, 2022

FBN 20220008246
 The following entity is doing business as EMPOR FASHION 333 EAST ARROW HWY UNIT 2098 UPLAND, CA 91785 principally in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TELONIOUS L. TAYLOR 333 EAST ARROW HWY UNIT 2098 UPLAND, CA 91785
 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
 The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: AUGUST 18, 2022
 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
 s/ TELONIOUS TAYLOR
 Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 09/06/2022
 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2282
 Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9,

Public Notices

16, 23 & 30, 2022
FBN 20220006980
 The following person is doing business as LAW OFFICE OF JON F. HAMILTON 700 E. REDLANDS BLVD., SUITE U #165 REDLANDS, CA 92373:
 JON F HAMILTON 1320 GARDEN STREET REDLANDS, CA 92373
 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL
 The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 1, 2022
 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
 s/ JON F. HAMILTON, Individual Owner
 Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/26/2022
 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy G8420
 Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 8/5, 8/12, 8/19 & 8/26, 2022. Corrected on 9/9, 9/16, 9/23 & 9/30, 2022.

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)
CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIV SB 2022567
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
(AVISO DEMANDA-DO): ARTURO M. RUIZ, an individual
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): GILBERT SANDOVAL
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.
 You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.
 There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a

statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.
¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación
 Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corta que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.
 Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelp-california.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.
 The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es):
 San Bernardino Justice Center
 247 West Third Street
 San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210
 The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
 R. SAM PRICE
 SBN 208603
 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620
 REDLANDS, CA 92373
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 8800
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Date of the order for service by publication (Fecha): June 30, 2022
 Clerk (Secretario), by Arlene Guardado, Deputy (Adjunto)
 Date of the original filing of the lawsuit (Fecha): October 13, 2020
 Clerk (Secretario), by Sylvia Guajardo, Deputy (Adjunto)
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 15, 23 & 30, 2022.

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)
CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIV SB 2022567
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
(AVISO DEMANDA-DO): ARTURO M. RUIZ, an individual
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): GILBERT SANDOVAL
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.
 You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.
 There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a

Public Notices

statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.
¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación
 Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corta que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.
 Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelp-california.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.
 The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es):
 San Bernardino Justice Center
 247 West Third Street
 San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210
 The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
 R. SAM PRICE
 SBN 208603
 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620
 REDLANDS, CA 92373
 Phone (909) 328 7000
 Fax (909) 475 8800
 sam@pricelawfirm.com
 Date of the order for service by publication (Fecha): June 30, 2022
 Clerk (Secretario), by Arlene Guardado, Deputy (Adjunto)
 Date of the original filing of the lawsuit (Fecha): October 13, 2020
 Clerk (Secretario), by Sylvia Guajardo, Deputy (Adjunto)
 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 15, 23 & 30, 2022.

Public Notices

ACION JUDICIAL)
CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIV SB 2022567

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
JOHN H. BUCKNER, an individual

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): GILBERT SANDOVAL

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entregue esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios en la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible

Public Notices

que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):
San Bernardino Justice Center
247 West Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

R. SAM PRICE
SBN 208603
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620
REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 8800
sam@pricelawfirm.com

Date of the order for service by publication (Fecha): June 30, 2022

Clerk (Secretario), by Arlene Guardado, Deputy (Adjunto)

Date of the original filing of the lawsuit (Fecha): October 13, 2020

Clerk (Secretario), by Sylvia Guajardo, Deputy (Adjunto)

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on September 9, 15, 23 & 30, 2022.

FBN 20220007301
The following person is doing business as: SCALE DOCTOR POS & IT SERVICES. 15930 SITTING BULL ST VICTORVILLE, CA 92395 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CARLOS L GARCIA PEREZ 15930 SITTING BULL ST VICTORVILLE, CA 92395. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CARLOS L GARCIA PEREZ, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 05, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/19/2022, 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022 CNBB33202205MT

FBN 20220007370
The following person is doing business as: WV PAINTING 1023 S CYPRESS AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO WILLIE VALENCIA ROJAS 1023 S CYPRESS AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she

Public Notices

knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ WILLIE VALENCIA ROJAS, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 10, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/19/2022, 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022 CNB-B33202203R

FBN 20220007381
The following person is doing business as: ARROYO SERVICES. 142 ACACIA CT ONTARIO, CA 91766 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO EDUARDO ARROYO 1401 W 9TH ST POMONA CA 91766. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: NOV 23, 2011 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ EDUARDO ARROYO, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 08/10/2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/19/2022, 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022 CN-BB332204MT

FBN 20220007210
The following person is doing business as: FAMA BEAUTY SALON. 3156 N EST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO VERENICE F VALENZUELA 3456 N EST SAN BERNARDINO CA 92405. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ VERENICE F VALENZUELA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 03, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/19/2022, 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022 CNB-B3322021R

FBN 20220007350
The following person is doing business as: ZAPATA'S TRUCKING. 975 N ALTHEA AVE RIALTO, CA 92376 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARTIN Z MOLINA 975 N ALTHEA AVE RIALTO CA 92376. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: AUG 08, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MARTIN Z MOLINA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 09, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Ber-

Public Notices

nardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/19/2022, 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022 CNB-B3322011R

FBN 2022007453
The following person is doing business as: ENHANCE ESTHETICS 5370 SCHAEFER AVE #B CHINO, CA 91710; MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701 ; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ENHANCE BODY SCULPTING, LLC 5220 CARLINGFORD AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92504 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: AUG 04, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JASMINE LARA, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 11, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022 CNB-B34202201CV

FBN 20220007889
The following person is doing business as: JALISCO SEA FOOD AND GRILL 303 E FOOTHILL BLVD RIALTO, CALIFO 92376; MAILING ADDRESS 2712 LOS ROBLES AVE 909 RIALTO, CALIFO 92376; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO GABRIEL MONTIEL 2712 LOS ROBLES AVE RIALTO, CA 92376 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ GABRIEL MONTIEL Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 24, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022 CNB-BB34202202MT

FBN 2022007854
The following person is doing business as: E & M TRANSPORT 8015 JUNIPER AVE FONTANA, CA 92336 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ERIK VALENCIA-MENDOZA 8015 JUNIPER AVE FONTANA, CA 92336 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ERIK VALENCIA-MENDOZA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 23, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state,

Public Notices

new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022 CN-BB34202203MT

FBN 20220007855
The following person is doing business as: ML LANDSCAPING CONSTRUCTION 1065 W EVANS ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO PEDRO MORALES REYES 1065 W EVANS ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ PEDRO MORALES REYES, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 23, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022 CN-BB34202204MT

FBN 20220007829
The following person is doing business as: QUALITY WELDING 160 N CACTUS AVE RIALTO, CA 92376 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ROSA GARCIA DE RANGEL 160 N CACTUS AVE RIALTO, CA 92376 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ROSA GARCIA DE RANGEL, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 22, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022 CNB-B342022051R

FBN 20220007504
The following person is doing business as: JUNIORS DMV SERVICES 1500 CRAFTON AVE MENTON, CA 92359; MAILING ADDRESS 311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92359 ; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JUNIORS TUNING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC 1500 CRAFTON AVE UNIT 132 MENTON, CA 92359 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ALBERTO JAFET LLANOS, CEO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 12, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state,

Public Notices

or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/26/2022, 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022 CNB-B34202206CV

FBN 20220007610
The following person is doing business as: XPRESS APPLIANCE XCHANGE. 4230 E AIRPORT DR SUITE #109 ONTARIO, CA 91761 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO YNA-IT INC 2834 HAMNER AVE #512 NORCO, CA 92860; 4230 E AIRPORT DR SUITE #109 ONTARIO, CA 91761; . The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ TIANY C. VARGAS, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 16, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 CN-BB35202215MT

FBN 20220007595
The following person is doing business as: GARCIA JANITORIAL CLEANING SERVICES. 7547 GOLONDRINA DR SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SANTOS GARCIA GARCIA 7547 GOLONDRINA DR SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SANTOS GARCIA GARCIA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 16, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 CN-BB35202213MT

FBN 20220007606
The following person is doing business as: GONZALEZ CONSTRUCTION. 7461 LYNWOOD WAY HIGHLAND, CA 92346 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ALEJANDRA GUTIERREZ 7461 LYNWOOD WAY HIGHLAND, CA 92346. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ALEJANDRA GUTIERREZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 16, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 CN-

Public Notices

BB35202210MT

FBN 20220008009
The following person is doing business as: CHURROMANIA BAKERY. 1600 E. HOLT BLVD. SP# JI FONTANA, CA 92335 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO WENDY DE ARCOS 1600 E. HOLT BLVD. SP# JI POMONA, CA 91767. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ WENDY DE ARCOS, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 29, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 CNB-B352022091R

FBN 20220007976
The following person is doing business as: SEMI CRAZY. 6912 ESCONDIDO AVE OAK HILLS, CA 92344 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CURTIS R ALLLERT 6912 ESCONDIDO AVE OAK HILLS, CA 92344. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CURTIS R ALLLERT, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 26, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 CNB-B352022081R

FBN 20220007856
The following person is doing business as: BIG J'S AUTO REPAIR & BODY. 208 S. STODDARD AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DIANA D LEON 208 S. STODDARD AVE. SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ DIANA D LEON, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 23, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/02/2022, 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022 CNB-B352022071R

FBN 20220007858
The following person is doing business as: CITY MEN'S STUDIO. 129 E. 3RD ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JAIRO PONCE 129 E. 3RD ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact

Public Notices

FBN 20220007600
The following person is doing business as: L & M TRUCKING, 6111 N GEREMANDER AVE RIALTO, CA 92377
PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO LEONCIO G MONDRAGON 6111 N GEREMANDER AVE RIALTO, CA 92377. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement

Public Notices

becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ LEONCIO G MONDRAGON, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 16, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Public Notices

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022, 09/30/2022 CNBB36202203MT
FBN 20220007691
The following person is doing business as: JMG LIFTGATE & TRAILER REPAIR, 16843 VALLEY BLVD SUITE E 535 FONTANA, CA 92335
PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO JUAN A CARDENAS JR 16843 VALLEY BLVD SUITE E 535 FONTANA, CA 92335; JUAN A CARDENAS RODRIGUEZ 16843 VALLEY BLVD SUITE E 535 FONTANA, CA 92335. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business

Public Notices

name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JUAN A CARDENAS JR, GENERAL PARTNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 08, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A

Public Notices

new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022, 09/30/2022 CNBB36202202IR
FBN 20220007714
The following person is doing business as: GOLDBAR, 999 N. WATERMAN AVENUE. STE. #C-18 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 924108942 STONY BROOK CIRCLE RIVERSIDE, CA 92508
PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSI-

Public Notices

NESS SAN BERNARDINO HONG'S CORP 8942 STONY BROOK CIRCLE RIVERSIDE, CA 92508; 999 N. WATERMAN AVENUE. STE. #C-18 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; 8942 STONY BROOK CIRCLE RIVERSIDE, CA 92508. The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ JOHN HONG, CEO

Public Notices

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: AUGUST 18, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 09/09/2022, 09/16/2022, 09/23/2022, 09/30/2022 CNBB36202218MT

Running Down Tillman's Wife's For Her Involvement With The District Put Erwin On The Fightin' Side Of The School Board Member from page 4

Great Gains did determine, however, that the Center for Youth and Community Development, which was previously known as the Boys and Girls Club and which since 2018 received more than \$6.6 million in contracts from San Bernardino City Unified School District, between 2018 and 2020 employed Tillman's wife, Tracy Tillman, as its chief financial officer.

The Great Gains report noted that "Board Member Tillman recused himself for the Center for Youth and Community Development board votes taken on: September 4, 2018, May 21, 2019, June 18, 2019, [and] July 16,

2019." The report went on to state that, "Even though Mr. Tillman did recuse himself from these votes, staff intimidated Mr. Tillman continued to informally influence district officials and other board members on behalf of these entities. Mr. Tillman did vote in favor of the Center for Youth and Community Development contracts on 08/04/20, 06/22/21 and 10/19/21."

When, shortly after it was released, there was publicity about the Great Gains report, Tillman went ballistic. He insisted that the Great Gains survey was not a legitimate undertaking, even though it had been commissioned in August 2021 by a unanimous vote of the board, meaning he had himself called for the report being carried out.

According to Tillman, the report was not an audit. Dwelling on nepotism, particularly as it applied to his family, Tillman said, was "evil.

That was not an audit. Auditors do audits. That company does not do audits."

Compounding the situation was that Tillman's daughter, Eva Tillman, like his wife, has had for some time a money-making arrangement with the district, that being her role as the principal of the Savant Preparatory Academy of Business, which the district sponsors. Tillman insisted that he has consistently recused himself with regard to any board votes pertaining to the Savant Preparatory Academy.

In his initial public statements to the *Sentinel* about Erwin after his departure, Tillman referenced the superintendent's May 3 joint statement with the district which held that Erwin was voluntarily retiring, and he said he was making no claim that Erwin had acted improperly in his role as superintendent. "I'm not saying any-

thing about him," Tillman said. "Legally, I can't talk about personnel issues. He left to go on to someplace else."

Nevertheless, in heated rejections of the findings contained in the Great Gains report, Tillman's anger spilled forth, saying that Great Gains taking up the question of nepotism was entirely unwarranted, impertinent and malicious. He blamed Erwin for the report being conducted altogether. Tillman rejected any suggestion that he was responsible for the perception of a conflict when it came to his family members having a financial relationship with the district. Rather, he suggested, the adverse publicity he had been subjected to was a direct and proximate outcome of an irresponsible and unethical press corps that had reported on an equally mean-spirited and unethical investigation carried out by Great Gains, which delivered a

report containing inaccurate findings. He lambasted the press for accepting the Great Gains findings without doing any verification of the report's contents.

Of the Great Gains report, Tillman said, "It's a scam. It's a lie. There ain't nothing on me. These fools spent tens of thousands of dollars investigating me and came up with nothing. I never voted for nothing when she [his wife] was working there."

Erwin had set him up to look bad, Tillman said. "I had an evil person working in my district and they did some evil shit the first time they used district funds to do a hit on me," Tillman said. "I dealt with sickos. I accept responsibility, yes. I hired some sick people and when I hire sick people, it is my responsibility. When you hire someone like that, you see what you did, and you fire them."

Tillman railed on. "Look at when that company [Great Gains] came into existence," he said. "He [Erwin] hired a company which was created to do a BS report that never found anything after he [Erwin] got pissed off. Greater Gains did not come into existence until somebody hired them to do a hit piece on me. It's a scam."

Based on his position that Tillman had violated the non-disparagement clause of his separation agreement with the district, Erwin is now purposed to take legal action. Tillman, uncertain of whether the suit Erwin will undertake will target the district or him alone, is now anxious to ensure that the district will represent him in any litigation that is to take place.

It is not clear whether his board colleagues know, as Tillman does, that Erwin is going to pursue legal redress.

-Mark Gutglueck

Giving All Citizens Health Coverage Is A Lower Priority Than Integrating Illegal Aliens Into Society from page 5

ries or applying for that assistance or coverage, they risk being assessed a financial penalty they can ill afford. Moreover, bearing the cost of those penalties further diminishes their ability to pay for health insurance.

Providing medical benefits to illegal aliens

in California diminishes the availability of money to support assistance programs to provide medical assistance to impoverished U.S. citizens residing in California.

U.S. citizens residing in California meeting low-income criteria become eligible for Medi-Cal upon eclipsing their 65th birthday. Legislation introduced by California Senator Maria Elena Durazo (D-Los Angeles) and Assemblyman Joa-

quin Arambula (D-Fresno) two years ago placed \$1.3 billion into California's 2021-2022 budget to provide Medi-Cal coverage to illegal aliens over the age of 50. Thus, illegal aliens become eligible for California's health care benefit at an age 15 years below that of U.S. citizens.

"Health care is a human right," Arambula, a former emergency room doctor said. "All Californians should have the

right to healthcare, regardless of immigration status."

Arambula did not respond to questions as to whether he thought the same human rights extended to illegal aliens should be extended to U.S. citizens in California.

Former Assemblyman Lara, who led the original effort to provide health insurance to illegal immigrants in California eight years ago, has been termed out of the legislature and is now California's insurance commissioner. He was asked if he thought that the federal government should waive the \$695 per uninsured adult and \$347.50 per uninsured child penalty and if the State of California should waive the \$800 per adult and \$400 per child state penalties imposed on those who cannot afford

to pay for health insurance.

Lara offered no response.

Governor Gavin Newsom has justified the extension of health care to non-citizens as part of a larger effort to integrate the estimated 2.6 million illegal aliens residing in California into society.

His office was asked whether the governor believed that the 2,087,000 to 2,165,000 of California's U.S.-born residents with full U.S. citizenship who also are not fully integrated into society, whose inability to pay for health care leaves them without doctors, dentists and access to medicine, therapy and treatment, should be excused from the \$800 per adult or \$400 per child state penalties imposed on them for not having insurance so that they can use that

money to make a contribution toward securing for themselves or their families a health insurance plan. One of Newsom's spokeswomen pointed out that those penalized for their failure to purchase health insurance brought that punishment upon themselves by their deliberate decisions to disobey the law and not purchase health coverage. The health coverage mandate had been well-publicized, she pointed out. As to their now being included among those eligible for health care benefits, she said, would depend upon their making an application for whatever benefits they might be qualified for and meeting the qualifications. Some people can be expected to fall into the cracks, she said.

"We can't help everyone," she said.

Fuse Outperformed Lamar In Competition For Advertisers & Producing Revenue, Elkadi Says from page 6

agreement with a separate Lamar division for outside-the-airport advertising."

The transition to Fuse Advancement represents

a significant advantage to the airport, the airport authority, the City of Ontario and its residents, Elkadi insisted.

"Since exclusively retaining Fuse Advancement for in-terminal advertising in about March 2021, the Ontario International Airport Authority has seen its in-airport ad revenue more

than double compared to pre-pandemic timeframes under Lamar." Elkadi said. "Fuse has significantly increased the number of Ontario International Airport Authority's in-terminal advertising partners, which has resulted in the substantial improvement in public revenues from such advertising."