

In November Supervisors To Seek \$280,000 Annually & 12 More Years In Office

This coming November will mark two years since the 2020 Presidential Election when a supermajority of San Bernardino County's voters approved Measure K, a county government reform initiative that cut county supervisors' pay and benefits to \$60,000 per year and limited them to a single term in office.

When the balloting is held this year for the 2022 California Gubernatorial Election, San Bernardino

County's supervisors will be asking the county's voters to consider providing them with a total annual compensation approaching \$300,000, while allowing two of the board members to remain in office for six four-year terms equal to 24 years, permitting two board members to stay in office for four full four-year terms, equal to 16 years, and letting another remain in office up to four-and-a-half terms, or

18 years.

According to the supervisors, by ensuring that their \$280,000 per year plus remuneration remains in place and that they are free to seek re-election over and over again, they will protect the county's residents from the harm reformists known as the Red Brennan Group are seeking to inflict on them and their fellow and sister citizens.

Measure K was placed on the 2020 ballot after

the Red Brennan Group and one of its members, Nadia Renner, sponsored the initiative and gathered 75,132 signatures of county voters to qualify the matter for a vote.

The Red Brennan Group is a grassroots affiliation of county residents inspired to pursue government reform by Kieran "Red" Brennan, a U.S. Navy submariner during World War II whose brushes with death as a young man in

the service of his country while seeking to export democracy around the globe impressed on him the need to refine democracy at home. Brennan pushed efforts to ensure government transparency and accountability, ones he hoped elected officials could be convinced to impose on themselves and, if not, which would ultimately be put in place through the citizen initiative process.

In 2012, at the **See P 3**

Indian Wells Valley Water Reclamation Authority Undertaking Pipeline Study

The Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority last week committed \$449,100 toward determining the most efficient and affordable route and realistic approach toward right-of-way acquisition for the pipeline it is to construct to bring in water to mitigate the drought in the West Mojave Desert region that includes northwesternmost San Bernardino County.

In 2015, in the aftermath of a four-year running drought and a determination by the California Department of Water Resources that the Indian Wells Valley is one of the 21 basins throughout the State of California in critical overdraft, the Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority was formed, pursuant to a joint exercise of powers agreement involving Kern County, San Bernardino County, Inyo County, the City of Ridgecrest and the Indian Wells Valley Water District as general members and the United States Navy and the United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management as associate members.

Previously, in 2014, Brown signed into law the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act, mandating water-saving measures throughout the state and requiring local agencies to draft plans to bring groundwater aquifers into balanced levels of pumping and recharge through the adoption of a groundwater sustainability plan.

Based upon **See P 3**

Bob Dutton, The Template Of SBC's Political Self-Interest & Dysfunction, Gone At 71

By Mark Gutglueck

Bob Dutton, who was able to convert the status, wealth, advantage and corporate connections provided to him by his father into a political career at the municipal, state legislative and then at the county level, has died.

Despite a relative dearth of talent and meager managerial, business, administrative and electioneering acumen,



Bob Dutton

Dutton nonetheless was able, based on his familial circumstance, to find

his way in the world and achieve political success that eluded far more capable and ambitious candidates for public office in San Bernardino County.

The authority Dutton came to possess surpassed that of others with more and clearer vision, superior dedication and a far stronger work ethic, all of which ultimately came to embody a metaphor for San Bernardino

County itself, a geographically huge but comparatively primitive and some have argued socially challenged backwater to neighboring Los Angeles County, one of the most dynamic and culturally significant jurisdictions in California, the nation and the world.

Dutton came to be a major player on the political landscape, not on the basis of ability but rather

money, both that available to him through his father and those he networked with as a fellow suede shoe-shod and Italian suit-clad businessman whose first priority in office was to protect his and their collective financial interests.

Robert Dale Dutton was born in Lincoln, Nebraska on October 13, 1950 to Dorothy Gould and Ted Dutton. **See P 2**

Vandalism Conviction For Writing On Courthouse Planter Using Chalk During Justice Demonstration

Donavan Caver this week was convicted by a jury on a charge of vandalism for having written with chalk on a planter at the Joshua Tree Courthouse nearly two years ago.

Caver was among a number of demonstrators, some affiliated with the Black Lives Matter movement, who were protesting what they said

were injustices in the form of prejudicial treatment and incorrect verdicts at the courthouse.

Caver was convicted at the same courthouse where he was charged with having engaged in the vandalism.

Officials had banned protesting on the grounds of County of San Bernardino/State of California property in

Joshua Tree, to which the protesters objected.

Revealed during the jury trial, which took place on July 27, 2022, was that on the day of Caver's offense, July 31, 2020, 40 sheriff's deputies had been assigned to the outside grounds of the government center in Joshua Tree, which includes the courthouse, as part of an ef- **See P 5**

Chino Removes Commissioner Because Of His Council Candidacy

Although it is not his choice, Greg Marquez is to soon depart from the Chino Community Services Commission for the duration of this year's election season as he campaigns for a position on the Chino City Council.

Walt Pocock, who was appointed by the city council in May 2021 to complete the District

2 council term to which Councilman Mark Hargrove was elected in 2018, must vie for election this year to remain on the council. Marquez has indicated he will be running for the post in the Chino Municipal Election to be held in conjunction with the Gubernatorial General Election conducted at polls statewide **See P 6**

Commercial Passenger Flights To Begin Next Week Out of SB International Airport

Some 28 years after Norton Air Force Base was shuttered and roughly 27 years after passenger flights out of San Bernardino International Airport were first promised by local officials, the first commercial flights from that aerodrome are set to take off next week.

On August 4 Breeze Airways will initiate daily nonstop flights to

San Francisco followed by a flight on the same plane to Provo, Utah.

According to Breeze Director of Legal and Corporate Affairs Eric Fletcher, one-way tickets to San Francisco start at \$49.

In the aftermath of the U.S. Department of Defense's announcement that Norton was to be closed, the County of San Bernardino and the

cities of San Bernardino, Highland, Redlands, Colton, Loma Linda and Grand Terrace formed two separate joint powers authorities – the San Bernardino International Airport Authority (SBIAA) and the Inland Valley Development Authority (IVDA). SBIAA was dedicated to the civilian use conversion of the base itself. IVDA was intended to over-

see the development/redevelopment of the property around the airport that was to be created from the Air Force Base, including some of the property that would, upon the departure of the military, not be used as a part of the aviation facility. Ultimately Redlands and Grand Terrace discontinued their participation in both SBIAA and IVDA. High-

land discontinued its participation in IVDA but remains a participant in SBIAA.

Empty promises and some extremely expensive failures to perform dogged the San Bernardino International Airport Authority.

Under executive directors Don Rogers, T. Milford Harrison and Scot Spencer, San Bernardino Air- **See P 3**

His Very Capable Father's Success Rubbed Off On Dutton, Boosting His Early Business Career & Establishing Him As A Presence In Rancho Cucamonga from front page

He came to Southern California after graduating from high school, where at the height of the Vietnam War, he enlisted in the Army Reserve, transitioning, eventually, to the California Air National Guard, where by 1974 he achieved the rank of sergeant. He attended Los Angeles Valley College, from which in 1972 he was awarded an associate degree in real estate.

He involved himself in local service organizations in his mid-twenties, including the Kiwanis Club, the YMCA and the Red Cross.

His father, Ted Dutton, had transplanted to Southern California as well, as did his brother, Jerry.

Ted Dutton was an energetic and resourceful entrepreneur, known as a wheeler-dealer, sophisticated in making contacts among other movers and shakers, many of whom were politicians. Over the years, Ted Dutton created or would be instrumental in founding or otherwise building up a multitude of businesses and entrepreneurial undertakings, including Urban Advisors; Foothill Cape, Limited; Eagle Vision; Security Investment; Security Advisors, Limited; The Cadiz Land Company; Thistle Sage, Limited; Da Quail, Limited; L.T.D. Coastal Corp; Security Financial Concepts; School Facility Advisors, Inc.; Virginia Dare, Limited; Brutoco Construction Management Group; Lake Park Associates; and Upland Game, Ltd.

By the 1970s, Ted Dutton had come to recognize that the Inland Empire, primarily San Bernardino County, with its then-dirt-cheap land which could be rezoned very easily and sold for a profit or provided with an entitlement to build and sold for a greater profit or actually developed to pro-

vide an ever-greater profit still, represented the wave of the future.

Among those Ted Dutton formed a partnership with was Robert Townsend, who was at that time a member of the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors representing the Fourth District, which then included Chino, Ontario, Montclair, and then-unincorporated Chino Hills, Guasti and southern Fontana, where he had property investments.

In 1980, Ted Dutton and his partner, George Voigt purchased Ontario Motor Speedway for approximately \$26.64 million and in a 45-day double escrow unloaded it to the Chevron Land Company, a division of Chevron Corporation, for \$35 million, turning a quick \$8 million profit.

His father's sale of the speedway became a major turning point in Bob Dutton's life. That money would bankroll a further set of Ted's investments, which included ventures with Bob, who at that point had become something of his protégé, as Ted was willing to have Bob join him on the business side of things while Jerry was given the re-

city's incorporation, it would grow from a population of around 30,000 to approaching 88,000, with intensified housing construction being carried out by Lewis Homes, the William F. Lyon Company, the Caryn Company and others.

A major project the Duttons involved themselves in was the salvaging of the dilapidated Virginia Dare Winery, what had originally been built in 1908 as the "Mission Winery," by a French vintner invited to America by the U.S. Government to create the flourishing Cucamonga Wine Region and who had used available regional Chinese labor to construct the works, which was then purchased in 1910 by the Brooklyn, New York-based descendants of Dr. Frank Garrett, who had begun producing wine in North Carolina in the 1830s. The Mission Winery was renamed the Virginia Dare Winery, at one time producing wine fermented and aged in huge redwood casks with a capacity of 52,000 gallons from grapes harvested from over 750 acres of vineyards in Cucamonga and Etiwanda. During Prohibition, the winery

ert Pirosh and executive producer Selig Seligman began using the Virginia Dare Winery grounds for location shooting of the ABC television show *Combat!* because it resembled any number of bombed out French villages during World War II. In the 1970s and into the 1980s, the property continued to deteriorate.

Located as it was at the northwest corner of Foothill Boulevard and Haven Avenue, a high-profile location along Route 66 and at the very heart of Rancho Cucamonga, the property, which at that point was a full-blown eyesore, was something of an embarrassment to the community and city officials. When the Duttons offered to develop the property into a business park/commercial center and threw in the sop of preserving part of the original winery, that being its majestic bell tower, the city jumped at the offer. At the intersection of Haven and Foothill, the Virginia Dare Business Center, on the northwest corner, stood catercorner to Barton Plaza, which eventually came to entail two modern four-story office buildings at the southeast

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.
The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

a real estate company that never quite achieved long-term independent success, but was propped up by periodic infusions of monetary support from his father.

Bob Dutton moved to intensify his influence in the city on the move, joining the Chamber of Commerce. He acceded to a position on the chamber board and, ultimately, became board president.

Unlike his father, however, the younger Dutton was heavy-handed, indeed ham-fisted in his approach to the business world and the social milieu that accompanied it. Ted possessed a keen intellect and sensitivity to nuance which allowed him to get in front of developing trends. Whereas like his namesake he talked softly and carried a big stick, using a humble persona to speak modestly and suggest persuasively rather than argue while allowing his wealth and connections to remain in the background, his son was brash and aggressive, and not reluctant or shy to throw his weight around, letting it be known that he would bring the monetary sources at his command – meaning his father's fortune – to bear if need be to get his way if those he was dealing with resisted the direction he wanted to move in. Bob's was a one-dimensional formula of bullying and intimidation, at first asking nicely to define for others what it was that he wanted but then raising his voice and becoming threatening if his interlocutor did not comply with his wishes. Those in his circle learned that things were to be done his way and resolved to his satisfac-

tion. Within the collective that was the Rancho Cucamonga business community and the political establishment that was financially backed by the business community, ideas were not judged on their merit but as to whether they were consistent with the goals and financial interests Dutton and the core of investors and developers he was associated with had staked out for themselves. Individuals or entities which did not align themselves with the political hierarchy at Rancho Cucamonga City Hall and march to the same drum were ostracized by Dutton and those in his clique.

Bob Dutton's efforts to use the Rancho Cucamonga Chamber of Commerce as a fulcrum in his business dealings was a case in point. In the mid-1980s, a retired naval officer, Lowell Gomes, had been hired by the chamber of commerce as its executive director. Upon his having been elevated to the position of chamber president, Dutton began pressuring Gomes to take official action on behalf of the chamber which would have been to Dutton's advantage as a real estate professional. Gomes, acutely aware that Dutton was making the request without the authorization of the board or any vote thereof, declined Dutton's requests. For his adherence to principle, Gomes would be rewarded by Dutton with public castigation for not doing what he was being improperly ordered to do.

Despite Dutton having overstepped his authority, the other members of the chamber board and the

Continued on Page 4



The Virginia Dare Business Center at the heart of Rancho Cucamonga

sponsibility of maintaining the properties as a janitor, gardener and custodian.

One of the places where Ted and Bob concentrated their investment and development activity was Rancho Cucamonga, which had incorporated in 1977 from the disparate but geographically contiguous communities of Alta Loma, Cucamonga and Etiwanda. Over the first decade of that

had survived by producing sacramental wine for the Catholic Church and was then revived into regular operations with the repeal of the Volstead Act in 1933. It remained in operation, with Sam Elder as its Vintner, until 1960, at which point the Garrett Company shuttered the operation. Elder went into the real estate business. Beginning in 1962, Selmur Productions, television series creator Rob-

corner. Undeniably, with the Virginia Dare undertaking, the father and son team had outdone everyone else, and to this day, more than three decades later, the center the Duttons created is Rancho Cucamonga's flagship public space and most iconic landmark.

Using his share of the profits realized from his joint venture with his father, Bob established Dutton & Associates, Inc.,

San Bernardino International Airport Initiating Commercial Flights Next Week *from front page*

port failed to attract commercial airlines following intensive efforts and the expenditure of a substantial amount of taxpayer money to accomplish that goal. Spencer had previously been indicted for bankruptcy fraud in connection with the failed attempt to revive Braniff Airlines. Despite that, and without

any competitive bidding, Spencer was chosen by the airport authority to serve as contract developer of the airport in 2007. Ultimately, Spencer’s relationship with Harrison, who had been one of his predecessors as the airport authority’s executive director, would raise eyebrows all around. Spencer and Harrison jointly formed at least three aviation companies, to which they intended to extend exclusive contracts at the airport through the authority. Spencer was given a

contract to oversee what was supposed to be a \$38 million renovation of the airport’s passenger terminal and a \$7 million development of its concourse. Spencer undertook that assignment amid confident predictions that upon completion of those projects, the airport would attract at least one and perhaps as many as a half dozen commercial passenger carriers. In carrying out that project, Spencer used two corporations he owned, Norton Development Company, LLC and SBD Proper-

ties, LLC. The cost of the passenger terminal and the concourse escalated to \$142 million. The \$142 effort created a surrealistic spectacle which consisted of a resplendent but completely empty passenger terminal, as the airport and that facility hosted no commercial airlines, although corporate jets and other private pilots did for a short period land at the Million Air corporate aviation facility, for which Spencer was the franchisee, beginning in 2010. With the announce-

ment that Breeze would begin operations at San Bernardino International, using Embraer E195 aircraft featuring a two-by-two seating arrangement that can accommodate 118 passengers, Congressman Pete Aguilar (D-Redlands) obtained a \$7.1 million Federal Aviation Administration grant to “increase airport productivity as it expands their commercial flights.” The grant is to be applied toward rehabilitating the runway and reconstructing taxiways. “I thank and applaud

Breeze Airways CEO David Neeleman and his management team for their investments and confidence in San Bernardino International Airport and for bringing their low-cost service to our fast-growing region,” said San Bernardino International Airport Director of Aviation Mark Gibbs. “The airport team is making final preparations to our terminal and roadway system that will make travel through the airport easy, convenient, and, well, a breeze.” -M.G.

Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority Preparing To Construct Pipeline To The California Aqueduct *from front page*

a survey of water usage patterns undertaken by an engineering consultant, Carlsbad-based Stetson Engineers, the authority and the Indian Wells Valley Water District sought to derive a strategy for both reducing water use in the valley and increasing groundwater recharge to reach a balance of both that will end the overdraft. Any realistic assessment of the existing

population, industrial, agricultural and commercial operations in the area and the decreases in the drafting of water from the regional aquifer that could be achieved through efficientization, conservation, increased recycling of water and perhaps the minimization of evaporation demonstrated that it would not be possible to achieve by the target year of 2040, as is mandated by the state, a balance of natural water recharge to the region from rainfall and the amount of water usage, such that the depletion of the aquifer will end. According to the surveys completed to provide the data needed to formulate

the Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Sustainability Plan, the average natural annual recharge in the basin is 7,650 acre-feet while the annual drafting of groundwater in the region by all entities is three to four times that amount. Accordingly, staff and the board of the Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority long ago concluded that the sought-after goal of bringing the region’s water table out of a state of overdraft can only be achieved by the importation of water from outside the valley and injected it deep into the ground to avoid evaporation and replenish water lost from excessive

production. More than two years ago, after the survey of water use by well owners both collectively and individually was made, the authority assigned water use allowances to the region’s well owners. Excess use fees, referred to as augmentation fees, were formulated for application to those well owners who pump above their allowances as well as on farmers who go beyond their respective share of the water supply set aside for agricultural usage. The authority intends to use money generated in this way to purchase imported water and pay for the infrastructure needed to bring in the imported

water. That water will very likely come from the State Water Project. An issue, however, is that there is no means of conveyance of water that would come out of the California Aqueduct to Indian Wells Valley, meaning a pipeline will need to be constructed. On July 22, at a specially called meeting, the Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority Board unanimously approved entering into an agreement with Provost & Pritchard Consulting Group for the preparation of an imported water pipeline alignment study. Provost & Pritchard indicated it could carry

out that assignment for \$449,100. According to Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority Acting General Manager Carol Thomas-Keefer, a \$7.6 million state grant the authority has obtained to do planning and design toward a water importation/water source interconnection project can be used to pay for the work Provost & Pritchard is to carry out. The grant is usable toward design, environmental work, right-of-way acquisition, infrastructure preparation and anything reasonably related to constructing a water importation pipeline. -Mark Gutglueck

County Supervisors Banking On November 2022 Ballot Measure To Undo 2020 2/3s Citizens’ Vote To Downscale Their Pay *from front page*

age of 87 and in his last hurrah before his 2013 death, Brennan headed an effort by a group of like-minded county residents in sponsoring an initiative, designated in that year’s balloting as Measure R, which called for downscaling the five individual San Bernardino County supervisors’ then-yearly \$151,971 salaries and \$67,500 in benefits to \$50,000 in salary and \$10,000 in benefits annually, a drop in total compensation from \$219,471 per year to \$60,000.

Brennan and his cohorts figured that the supervisors’ total compensation, which was more than three-and-one-half times that made by the average county resident, put the county’s political leadership in a bracket that left the supervisors out of touch with the economic reality their constituents dealt with on a daily basis. Moreover, the inflated salaries and benefits the supervisors were provided left them, Brennan and his associates said, intent on being reelected so they could keep those positions and the financial advantage holding those offices represented, such that they had grown desperate for political donations. Those donations, provided by individuals and corporations with contracts and/or franchises with the

county or projects up for approval by the board of supervisors, created a circumstance in which the supervisors were more sensitive to their donors and their needs than the needs of the constituents they represented, Brennan maintained. The members of the board of supervisors, alarmed at the prospect that they would be subject to seeing their pay reduced by more than two-thirds, used their authority as public officials to place their own “reform” initiative on the ballot, designated Measure Q, to compete with Measure R. Measure Q called for leaving the supervisors yearly \$151,971 salaries in place and reducing their annual benefits then valued at \$67,500 by \$5,000 to \$62,500. During the 2012

campaign season, the supervisors touted Measure Q as a “sensible” and “moderate” approach toward political reform. In the November 2012 election, Measure R passed by a convincing 64.25 percent to 35.75 percent, with 326,939 voters in favor of it and 181,907 opposed. Measure Q passed as well, by a 67.28 percent to 32.72 percent margin, 344,226 votes in support to 157,369 against it. Because it had garnered more votes than Measure R, Measure Q went into effect and Measure R did not. The political reform movement in San Bernardino County was set back by Kieran Brennan’s death in 2013. Ultimately, however, many of those associated with him over the years created a

nonprofit entity named in his honor. Members of the Red Brennan Group were convinced the substitute reform measure ploy the board of supervisors had used in 2012 which reduced the board members’ overall annual compensation at that point from \$219,471 to \$214,471 had not effectuated the change they felt was necessary to make the county’s top elected officials less beholden to their campaign contributors. Accordingly, they initiated another voter initiative. After aborting an effort to place a new measure on the ballot in 2018 when the county legally challenged the contents of that proposed initiative in 2017, the Red Brennan mobilized in 2019 and over a period of less than six months gathered 75,132 signatures of

county voters to place another measure on the ballot, which was designated by the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters as Measure K. Measure K covered the same ground as 2012’s Measure R but took a step further. It called for reducing the supervisors’ individual total compensation, which by that point had risen to \$263,466.95 – consisting of \$174,884.83 in salary, \$20,461.61 in other pay and \$68,120.51 in benefits – to the \$60,000 originally proposed by Red Brennan in 2012 and proposed limiting each supervisor to a single one-year term. The board of supervisors attempted to repeat what had occurred in 2012 by placing an alternative initiative on the ballot, one designated as

Continued on Page 5

Backed As He Was By His Father's Wealth, Dutton Became The Little Caesar Of Rancho Cucamonga, Bullying His Way To The Front Of The Line Of That City's Movers & Shakers *from page 4*

general membership of the chamber were unwilling to challenge Dutton over what he had done, acutely conscious of his relative financial firepower and willingness to use it against those with whom he had differences.

Ted Dutton's instincts had always been to ingratiate himself with and continue to get along with the powers that be, best described as the political establishment and whoever embodied it wherever it was that he was involved in investing or developing or operating. Bob Dutton, essentially, took a leaf out of his father's book in this regard, and used the full range of resources at his disposal – money in the form of donations to the political war chests of those in office, putting the arm on his friends, associates and businesses partners to do likewise, sponsoring fundraisers, providing candidate endorsements, allowing the more opulent property under his control to serve as a forum for politicians' speeches or events and offering whatever organizational or logistic assistance he could to local elected decision-makers. That support was always calculated, as his determination of whom he would support was based almost entirely on who was already in office or who stood the best chance of getting elected. In this way, the major criterion Bob Dutton used in making his political donations was not who was the best or highest-minded candidate for office, per se, but rather assurance that his donations would prove a sound investment and serve to put in office or keep in office politicians who would be amenable to protecting or furthering his interests.

In one notable instance, Dutton deviated from that norm, challenging an entrenched politician when a policy pushed by that politician was not to his liking. In 1986, Dennis Stout was elected mayor in what was Rancho Cucamonga's first

direct mayoral election, after the council for nine years had entrusted the city council to confer the honorific of mayor upon a member of its own ranks. Initially, Dutton had supported Stout, who previously had been a member of the planning commission, and who had accorded in that capacity approval of the projects Dutton and his father had pursued. Indeed, as a member of the chamber of commerce and in his own right as one of the pillars of the Rancho Cucamonga Community, Dutton had sponsored the annual Mayor's Ball, a formal black-tie affair for which tickets cost \$125 to \$175, the proceeds from which would go to a charity selected by the mayor.

In 1990, Stout, who was at that time a deputy district attorney and would later accede to the position of San Bernardino County District Attorney, had gone on a public safety kick in which he and members of the city council had directed the city manager to look into the sometimes drunken revelry that was taking place at various nightspots around the city, and accompanying reports of drug-related activity or drug dealing inside those establishments and in their parking lots involving the younger set. Then-Rancho Cucamonga City Manager Jack Lam had detailed members of the city's code enforcement division to make an examination of those accusations and take action as appropriate where circumstances as they were found to be so dictated. Indeed, code enforcement officers did issue several citations, including repeated ones to operations run by restaurateur Harry Chan, which, based on the city's code, upon compounding grew to first \$25,000 and then \$50,000. This resulted in the closure of some nightclubs, including one from which Bob Dutton derived a considerable monthly lease payment.

In retaliation, Dutton

withdrew his personal support and induced the chamber of commerce and other local entrepreneurs with whom he associated to withdraw their support of the mayor's annual social event, indeed changing the outward nature of the soiree so for that year it was not billed as the mayor's ball.

For Dutton, politics was just as it was purely defined: the wielding of power. Money flowed into business interests, which doled the money out to politicians to either help elect them or make sure they were reelected. Dutton provided that money with the understanding that those politicians were to help him.

Some of the untoward manifestations of Dutton's personality may have been explained by the problem he had with alcohol. Over a period of five years in the middle 1990s, he was arrested three times for driving while intoxicated.

The San Bernardino County sheriff's deputy who made one of those arrests, Ken Holtz, recalled that in the course of the arrest, "Bob was cooperative, but he had a sense of entitlement. While I had him handcuffed in the back of the car, he told me that I should call [Rancho Cucamonga Sheriff's Station Commander] Captain [Bruce] Zeiner, to make sure I wasn't making a mistake, and didn't get myself into trouble. I told him I didn't need to call my captain to make a DUI arrest."

Holtz observed of Dutton, "He seemed to glide through life. He was arrested for drunk driving twice after that. He had a Teflon coat. He was a member of the Sheriff's Council, a group of people who were politically affiliated with the sheriff. He played that card when he needed to. I know that I would not have been able to keep my job as a deputy if I had been arrested on three DUIs, but he was able to go on to become a councilman, a state senator and assessor and whatever else."

Over the years, several officials have related to the *Sentinel* taking phone calls from Dutton at 9 and 10 at night, at which point they would have to en-

sure what were obviously alcohol-fueled tirades.

The business community took care of the politicians and it was therefore, Dutton believed, up to the politicians to take care of the business community, or at least that portion of the business community that had participated in getting the politicians elected. That was his philosophy before he was elected to office and the philosophy he lived by once he was in office. One of the functions of government was to regulate the private sector and Dutton believed it was the job of politicians to prevent government from overregulating.

In 2000, well after Dennis Stout had moved on from being mayor to being district attorney, Dutton ran successfully for the Rancho Cucamonga City Council. The outcome was never in doubt in the race for two positions that year. He outspent both of the other candidates in the race, incumbent Paul Bi-ane and newcomer Maryann Sebelist, combined, polling 21,628 votes or 39.1 percent, slightly behind Bi-ane's 21,984 votes or 39.8 percent, and not quite double the 11,598 votes or just over 21 percent Sebelist received.

Dutton spent only two years on the city council, during which he supported enlarging the fire department and increasing the scope of the city's contract with the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department to put more deputies on patrol around what was then a 39.89-square mile city.

In 2002, Assemblyman Bill Leonard was termed out of the California Legislature, and Dutton made a deft and easy transition from municipal elected official to being a state politician. He liquidated a portion of the real estate holdings he had been provided by his father to finance that electoral run. He first scored a relatively tight victory over Susan Pepler for the Republican nomination with 12,200 votes or 44.5 percent to her 10,509 votes or 38.3 percent, followed by Sam Stavros with 4,698 votes or 17.1 percent in the March primary before going on to

trounce Democrat Doris Wallace in that year's November General Election, 48,617 votes or 61 percent to 31,012 votes or 38.9 percent. He spent a relatively undistinguished two years in the Assembly with no notable legislative accomplishments.

In 2004, Jim Brulte, the one-time Republican Leader of the Assembly who twice narrowly missed becoming Assembly speaker and who later proved a dominating figure as the Republican Leader of the California Senate, was termed out of office. In a special arrangement, Dutton was anointed to replace Brulte as California State Senator in the 31st District. After he faced no opposition for the Republican nomination during the March 2004 primary, he received overwhelming GOP assistance in the November General Election, comfortably outdistancing his Democratic rival, Marjorie Mikels, 170,900 votes or 59.50 percent to 116,312 or 40.50 percent.

Under the arrangement that put him into the State Senate, Dutton served, essentially, as Brulte's surrogate in California's upper legislative house. In 1990, the Republicans had orchestrated the imposition of term limits in California, a move they hoped would stem the influence of the Democrats, in particular longtime Assembly Speaker Willie Brown. They had expounded the philosophy that entrenched politicians continuing to hold, year-after-year, election cycle-after-election cycle and in some cases for a generation or more the same elected position represented a bastardization of politics. In passing Proposition 140 in 1990, the voters put in place limits of three two-year terms on members of the Assembly and two four-year terms for members of the California Senate. In 2004, the impact of Proposition 140 came home for Brulte, who had been termed out of the Assembly in 1996 and thereupon had been elected to the California Senate. He had reached his maximum time in that body eight years later. By having Dutton move into his place, Brulte effec-

tively extended his tenure as a legislator. For the next eight years, Dutton followed Brulte's instructions. In 2010, under an arrangement engineered by Brulte, Dutton assumed the position of Republican Senate Leader, heading the 15-member GOP delegation in the 40-seat upper house.

In this way, Dutton achieved status, with Brulte being an obvious exception, as one of the most successful politicians from Rancho Cucamonga ever.

In 2012, at which point he was termed out of the Senate, he made an effort to step up to the next level as a politician, seeking election as U.S. representative in what was then California's newly-drawn 31st Congressional District. In the June Primary, which that year was held in accordance with California's open primary rules, he faced five others, Democrats Renea Wickman, Rita Ramirez-Dean, Justin Kim and Pete Aguilar, as well as Congressman Gary Miller, who was the incumbent Republican in California's 42nd Congressional District. Miller captured 16,708 votes or 26.66 for first place and Dutton came in a relatively close second, with 15,557 votes or 24.82 percent. Thus, the November 2012 General Election pitted the two Republicans against one another. Dutton, up against an incumbent who had been in Washington, D.C. since 1999 and was every bit as ruthless as he was and far more energetic and sophisticated, was defeated, capturing 72,255 votes or 44.82 percent to Miller's 88,964 or 55.18 percent.

Having been bitten by the political bug, Dutton considered running for San Bernardino County Supervisor in 2014, but the lesson he had been taught by taking on an incumbent and established Republican in the 2012 Congressional race gave him pause, and he was not willing to challenge Second District Supervisor Janice Rutherford, a member of the GOP. Instead, he ran for the position of assessor, a position which was preferable to supervisor for multiple

Continued on Page 6

When Protesters Targeted Joshua Tree’s Courts, Which Already Had An Established Reputation For Ignoring Due Process And Reaching Predetermined Conclusions, The Authorities Doubled Down *from front page*

fort to keep the governmental quarters free of protesters. As a show of defiance and to register objections to the underlying issue of the repeated and institutionalized injustice the movement claims is passed off as a fair legal process at the courthouse as well as a making a statement in solidarity with the protests then occurring nationwide over the Minneapolis Police Department’s May 25, 2020 killing of George Floyd, Caver used chalk to write on a planter “FTP.”

According to the sheriff’s department, FTP stood for “Fuck The Police.” According to Caver he intended it as both “Free The Protesters” and “For The People,” meaning that the justice

system and the courthouse was intended for the citizenry and not the authorities.

Evidence was presented that Caver wrote on the planter while standing directly in front of a deputy. The sheriff’s department alleged in the arrest report that Caver had evinced consciousness of guilt by seeking to avoid capture after the act of vandalism. That was contradicted by testimony from other deputies and evidence that suggested Caver made a purposeful show of defiance as an act of protest.

Immediately prior to the trial, the prosecution was prepared to present testimony from a sheriff’s deputy that the planter still bore visible markings of Caver’s

vandalism. The district attorney’s office made a last-minute decision not to call the deputy when photographic evidence was presented showing that the markings are no longer visible.

According to Caver, he used chalk rather than paint because it would not permanently efface the property and his intent was to merely register a protest on the day in question while the protesters were being restricted and prevented from conveying their discontent and message around the government center.

Newly appointed Superior Court Judge Kory Mathewson presided over the trial. Mathewson, who was a counselor at PricewaterhouseCoopers from 1998 until 2000 and had been in private practice for nearly 22 years before he was elevated to the bench last month, has not served as a prosecutor. Court

personnel said that he has been under pressure since being assigned to Joshua Tree to comport his rulings in favor of the prosecution.

The Joshua Tree Courthouse is notorious for being a hostile forum for criminal defendants. In the 1990s, it hosted then Judge Richard Crouter, a former prosecutor who had become a judge. Inside the Joshua Tree jail was a sign which read. “You’re in Crouter Country now, boy.” Deputies in the jail and Crouter’s bailiffs routinely told those who appeared before him that they needed to plead guilty or otherwise they would be handed maximum sentences for whatever crimes they were accused of committing.

Even though the vast majority of the residents in the Morongo Basin were stridently in pro-law enforcement in orientation, Crouter’s practice of forcing everyone who

came before him into a guilty plea no matter the strength or weakness of the case pending against that particular defendant was considered to be too much, and he was voted off the bench in favor of Bert Swift. After Judge Swift, who was formerly a police officer and Navy Seal, took his place at the Joshua Tree Courthouse and allowed defendants and defense attorneys the opportunity to present exculpatory evidence in a forum that provided an opportunity for juries to come to a conclusion other than the guilt of the individual charged, the district attorney’s office complained to the office of the presiding judge. Then-District Attorney Dennis Stout and then-Assistant District Attorney Dan Lough coined a nickname for Judge Swift, that being, “Not-so,” as in “not so swift,” meaning he did not understand that those who appeared at the Joshua

Tree Courthouse are to be adjudged guilty without question.

That tradition persists in Joshua Tree. In only the rarest of cases is a defendant exonerated after trial there and most, wisely, do not go to trial, as it is understood that a guilty plea will make for a more lenient sentence. That is partially what the protesters who were present on July 31, 2020 were attempting to bring into focus.

Judge Mathewson did not allow Caver to present any testimony or evidence as to why he had written on the planter or what he meant by “FTP.”

The crime Caver was charged with was a misdemeanor. He was fined \$265.20 and was given 30 hours of community service, of which at least 8 hours is to consist of graffiti removal.

Caver was represented by attorney Peter Schlueter.

-Mark Gutglueck

Board Of Supervisors Proposing Alternate Initiative In November To Get Around Pay Reductions Imposed By Measure K *from page 3*

Measure J.

Measure J perpetuated the existing cap of three four-year terms for supervisors and set their salaries at 80 percent of a Superior Court judge’s salary, which when taken together with the supervisors’ add-on pay and their benefits would bring their total annual compensation to somewhere between \$270,000 to \$290,000. Measure J also dispensed with genderist language that had been part of the county charter for more than a century which used pronouns such as he and him in reference to county officials, which, it was pointed out, no longer fit the current times when many of those serving in public office are women. It was the supervisors’ collective hope that just as had occurred eight years previously, their alternate reform measure

would outperform the one sponsored by the Red Brennan Group and thus keep Measure K’s pay reductions from going into effect.

As it turned out, however, Measure K did much better at the polls than did Measure J. Measure K passed with 516,184 or 66.84 percent of the 772,282 voters participating supporting it, and 256,098 voters or 33.16 percent opposed.

According to the final certified election results released by the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters, Measure J, the one sponsored by the supervisors, passed, with 378,964 votes or 50.72 percent of the 747,188 votes cast supporting it and 368,224 or 49.28 percent opposed.

Once the election results were certified, the board of supervisors, using taxpayer funds, contracted with three Los Angeles-based attorneys – Bradley Hertz, James Sutton and Nicholas Sanders – to prevent its members’ pay from being reduced. Hertz, Sutton and Sanders filed a petition for a writ of mandate aimed not at the Red Brennan Group

or Renner, but rather the supervisors’ own employee, San Bernardino County Clerk of the Board Lynna Monell. The petition on behalf of the board of supervisors sought to prevent Monell from implementing Measure K, arguing that it violated the supervisors’ right under the California Constitution to set their own salary, infringed on San Bernardino County citizens’ First and Fourteenth Amendment rights in the U.S. Constitution through the imposition of term limits that prevented voters from reelecting incumbent supervisors and that Measure K violated “the single subject rule” pertaining to voter initiatives.

The county was granted an injunction preventing Measure K from going into effect while the legal action was pending, such that the supervisors have continued to be provided with their \$270,000-to-\$280,000 annual compensations.

Though it was not named in the petition for a writ of mandate, the Red Brennan Group, through its attorney, Aaron Burden, submitted a motion that was granted to in-

tervene as a defendant in the case. After considering the arguments put forth by Hertz, Sutton and Sanders as well as Burden, San Bernardino County Superior Court Judge Donald Alvarez in October 2021 concluded that Measure K should not be implemented.

While holding that the salary/benefits/total compensation limitation contained in Measure K was permissible under the California Constitution, Judge Alvarez ruled that the measure’s one-term limit is a violation of the U.S. Constitution. Because Measure K is not severable, meaning that it could not be applied in part but had to be enforced in all of its aspects or not at all, Judge Alvarez said the measure in its entirety must be struck down.

Burden appealed that ruling to the Fourth District Court of Appeal in Riverside. On July 12, the Fourth District Court of Appeal released a tentative decision stating that Judge Alvarez erred in striking down Measure K. While the appellate panel said it would provide both sides an opportunity to present

oral arguments before the decision is finalized, the justices stated unless they were dissuaded by the county’s reasoning, “We will hold that the one-term limit is constitutional. We will further hold that supervisors’ compensation can be set by initiative. The board of supervisors has not shown that the compensation limit violates minimum wage laws. The trial court’s ruling granting the petition is reversed. Monell and all other county officials may carry out their duties to certify, authenticate, record and file Measure K. It must also provide that Measure K is deemed to have been certified, authenticated, recorded and filed on the same date as Measure J.”

Faced again with the prospect of seeing their pay reduced, the supervisors on Tuesday, July 26 voted 5-0 to put another measure on the November 2022 ballot that will, if passed, undo all of the provisions of Measure K.

As presented, the measure would adjust the county charter so that the supervisors would receive a base annual salary equal to 80 percent

of the annual salary of the judges serving on the San Bernardino Superior Court along with benefits provided to the county’s department heads. As the judges in San Bernardino County currently receive \$225,074 in base salary, this would translate into the supervisors being provided with an annual salary of \$180,059.20. The county’s department heads are provided with benefits ranging from \$51,381.92 at the bottom and \$82,380.25 at the top with an average of \$66,560.02. The supervisors are provided with add-ons and perquisites ranging from \$17,000.10 to \$25,340.12 on a yearly basis with an average of \$19,644.10. Thus, the measure the supervisors are proposing would, if passed, provide them with an average annual compensation of \$266,263.32 as of this year, which would be subject to a 3 percent cost of living increase yearly with regard to their benefits and add-on pay and any raises provided to the county’s judges.

Contained in measure is a selling point the supervisors hope they can

Continued on Page 12

Mistaking, As Did Others, His Father’s Wealth For His Own Competence, Dutton Launched His Political Career, Assuming Roles For Which He Was Not Ideally Equipped*from page 4*

reasons. First, the position paid more money. In San Bernardino County, the assessor also serves as the county clerk and recorder, for which triple function the holder of the office was paid at that time a salary of \$218,101.69, further pay of \$20,384.75 and benefits of \$66,506.16, for a total annual compensation of \$304,992.60. Comparatively, a member of the board of supervisors was not paid as well, receiving at that time \$151,690.24 in salary, \$17,000.10 in pay additions and \$49,825.74, for a total annual compensation of \$218,516.08.

Every bit as importantly, the county assessor is the county’s highest taxing authority, a position of tremendous power, leverage and influence. Not only does the assessor determine the value of real estate, which has a direct bearing on taxes to be paid by residents on their homes, his authority extends to determining the value of buildings, offices, headquarters and factories, machinery and equipment used by businesses, which impacts the taxes those entities pay. The tax burden an entrepreneur, company, venture or corporation must bear can have a dramatic – indeed a controlling or make-or-break – impact on a business, influencing whether it is able to remain as a going concern.

In the 2014 election,

Dutton ran against Dan Harp, the assistant assessor who had 34 years’ experience in the assessor’s office. Both were looking to succeed Dennis Draeger, who was retiring as the assessor/county clerk/recorder.

The race was touch and go. Dutton ran a campaign that was far better financed than Harp’s, one in which the former state legislator spent more than six times the amount of money than did his opponent on all forms of electioneering, including mailers, radio ads, television spots, getting his name listed on slate mailers, newspaper ads, campaign signs and phone banks. Harp’s credentials consisted primarily of his command of what the assessor’s job entailed, his far greater experience and his overall familiarity with the office he was seeking to head. The outcome of the voting was incredibly close, with Dutton claiming victory by a slim majority, with 73,549 votes or 50.61 percent of the vote to Harp’s 71,783 or 49.39 percent.

In 2018, no one surfaced to oppose Dutton for the position of assessor/county clerk/recorder, which by that point paid him a salary of \$246,644.55, additions and perquisites of \$17,000.10 and benefits of \$93,732.51, for a total annual compensation of \$357,377.16.

Commissioner Seeking Chino Council Position Removed*from front page*

on November 8.

At City Hall, a question was raised as to whether Marquez’s position on the commission conferred upon him an advantage in the election.

The commission formed a subcommittee that included Linda Takeuchi, Neal Jerry, and Brenda Strong to make a determination if allowing Marquez to maintain his status as a

commissioner compromised either the integrity of the commission or the electoral process in Chino.

Ultimately, Takeuchi, Jerry and Strong felt it would be best for Marquez’s post to be declared vacant and the city to seek applicants to replace him.

Marquez’s term had ended on June 30, but Mayor Eunice Ulloa had not appointed a replacement, and his time on the commission had been rolled over.

The full commission consists of Takeuchi, Jerry, Strong, Marquez,

In 2019, word spread that a deal had been cut so that in 2022, at which point Second District Supervisor Janice Rutherford was to be termed out of office, she would run for assessor and Dutton would seek her position on the board of supervisors.

As a Republican, Dutton outwardly embraced a pro-business philosophy and mouthed slogans decrying the Democrats for excessive regulation and high taxation that hamstrung entrepreneurs and crippled the growth of the economy. He vowed that as an elected official, he would work tirelessly to get government off the backs of the citizens it governed so they might prosper. In office, however, he did not always live up to that commitment, particularly when doing so entailed inconvenience, extra effort or focus on complicated details on his part.

Throughout his time as assessor, there were numerous and repeated examples of office shortcomings, as attested by the record of successful appeals of the assessments on property to the assessment appeals board.

Perhaps the most glaring indication of his inadequacy in the three positions to which he was elected was his performance in the role of county clerk.

A primary function of the county clerk’s office is the registration of businesses in the county, including the identities under which those busi-

nesses operate, referred to as fictitious business names. To a considerable extent, banking institutions rely upon the county clerk’s office to verify that the company an individual claims to have set up actually exists before that institution will create a banking/savings/checking account in the name of the company and provide checks and other financial services to the proprietor. As part of the fictitious business name process, would-be business operators fill out paperwork giving a host of particulars relating to the business which are then kept on file by the county clerk’s office for the five-year duration during which the fictitious name is deemed operative.

A part of that process entails giving public notice of the business name, its ownership and location, type of business, date of registration and other pertinent details. In California, that public notice by law is provided by newspapers adjudicated to do so within the county where the businesses are registered. Historically, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the transactions relating to the business registrations were carried out at the offices of the county clerk maintained throughout the county. With the public facility closure mandates that came about with the intensification of the pandemic, for a time fictitious businesses registrations were discontinued entirely and then resumed, but were not processed at the county facilities housing

resign their appointed positions to seek elected office.

In 1994, when then Deputy District Attorney Dennis Stout ran for district attorney, successfully it turned out, he took a leave of absence from the prosecutor’s office during the campaign.

Those interested in filling the position Marquez is to vacate have until August 19 to fill out an application for consideration. Marquez is to remain on the commission until his replacement is determined.

the county clerk’s office but rather remotely and digitally. These transactions were carried out electronically or by mail on all ends, both between those registering a business and the county clerk’s office as well as the newspapers that provided the public noticing of the business being registered and the county clerk’s office, involving exchanges of documents both digitally and by means of the U.S. Post Office. Curiously, after the state mandates relating to the closure of public facilities were lifted, Dutton, as the San Bernardino County clerk, did not reopen his offices but rather continued the policy of remote registration and on-line and postal submission of the proofs of publication of the noticing for name registrations. In making what appeared to be a permanent transition away from face-to-face interaction of personnel with the county clerk’s office involving both entrepreneurs and the employees of the county’s 40 newspapers, the county clerk’s office compounded the delays and difficulties the changeover in policy presented by refusing to accept electronic signatures on the documents being submitted to it digitally, despite the consideration that the county had itself gone to a system utilizing electronic signatures in processing the fictitious business name filings. The coup de grâce came when the county discontinued the practice that had been in existence for more than five decades of accepting from the newspapers submitting the proofs of publication of the fictitious business name noticing two such documents, one of which was stamped by a deputy county clerk as certified and put in the county clerk’s file and the other which was stamped as certified and returned to the newspaper as a conformed copy, which would then provide it to the business owner who could present it to his or her bank to open an account for that business. This change and complication, more than any other defeated a key purpose for which the entire fictitious business name

registration process existed. Instead, having deprived both business registrants and newspapers an opportunity to deal directly with his deputy county clerks at the various county clerk’s offices and obtain documentation that the businesses were registered, Dutton moved to a policy of charging either the newspapers or the business owners for proof that the business registrations had been publicly noticed and completed. This not only made the registration process more expensive, it further delayed the business owners in demonstrating to their banks that they were officially ready to begin transacting business.

As a consequence, at first dozens, then scores, eventually hundreds and by this point over a thousand businesses were either thwarted, or seriously delayed, in opening up banking and financial accounts for their businesses. Some discontinued operating as a result.

Alarm with what the county clerk’s office was doing came about as early as last fall, at a point after the public facility closure mandates ended and the San Bernardino County Hall of Records in San Bernardino, in which the main office for the county clerk is located, reopened. Despite the opening, those wishing to interact with county clerk personnel by coming into the Hall of Records were unable to do so without having first scheduled an appointment. Upon scheduling an appointment and meeting with a deputy county clerk, newspaper employees were informed that the proofs of publication would not be accepted over the counter but had to be submitted digitally by email or physically by U.S. Mail.

Thereafter, beginning late in 2021 and continuing into this year, when newspaper publishers and their representatives sought to meet with Dutton, the elected county clerk who was directly responsible for the performance of his office, he was consistently unavailable. He was equally inaccessible by telephone.

What is now known

Continued on Page 11

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2209561

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ to ZAMORA GILAH ISRAEL

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 9, 2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-16 The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: June 22, 2022 Judge John Pacheco Angelica Segura, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court. Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 8, July 15, July 22 & July 29, 2022.

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): 30-2021-01224356-CL-BC-CJC NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO DEMANDADO): WEI ZHENG, an individual; GEXIN ZHAO, an individual

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): FUYI GAO, an individual

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self-help), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal

Public Notices

services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la informacion a continuacion

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una repuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entreeque una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefonica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar on formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas informacion en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendoso en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a recellar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de vaioir recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corta pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es):

ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 700 CIVIC CENTER WEST SANTA ANA, CA 92701

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Pu Huang, Esq. Law Offices of Pu Huang 2102 Business Center Dr. Suite 105 Irvine, CA 92612 Phone: 626-378-5287 DATE (Fecha): 10/05/2021 Clerk (Secretario), by DAVID H. YAMASAKI Clerk (Deputy) by: Lirio Sanchez

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 04/29/2022, 05/06/2022, 05/13/2022 & 05/20/2022 and corrected on July 8, July 15, July

Public Notices

22 & July 29, 2022.

FBN 20220006519 The following person is doing business as: UPLAND INDUSTRIAL HEALTH SERVICES INC 921 W Foothill Blvd #2 UPLAND, CA 91786 UPLAND INDUSTRIAL HEALTH SERVICES INC 921 W Foothill Blvd #2 UPLAND, CA 91786

Mailing Address: 1085 N. Linden Ave. Rialto, CA 92376 County of Principal Place of Business: SAN BERNARDINO

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 4028897

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUNE 1, 2017

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MARTHA GALVEZ Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/08/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy I5199

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/8, 7/15, 7/22 & 7/29, 2022.

FBN 20220006194 The following person is doing business as: ARC INVESTMENTS 9597 CENTRAL AVE MONTCLAIR, CALIF 91763: RAC INVESTMENTS INC 9597 CENTRAL AVE MONTCLAIR, CALIF 91763

Mailing Address: 9597 CENTRAL AVE MONTCLAIR, CALIF 91763

50 Employees The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as number 1477169

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: FEBRUARY 12, 1990

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ KEITH CUNNING, President

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/27/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2524

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/8, 7/15, 7/22 & 7/29, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: EARL ELMER KNODEL CASE NO. PROSB2201026 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of EARL ELMER KNODEL A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by MARK JAMES WACK II in the Superior

Public Notices

rior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MARK JAMES WACK II be appointed as personal representatives to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-36 at 9:00 a.m. on SEPTEMBER 8, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Mark James Wack II:

ANTONINETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCENTER WEST, SUITE 108 SANBERNARDINO, CA 92408 Telephone No: (909) 890-2350 Fax No: (909) 890-0106 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 15, 22 & 29, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2212948

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: RAFAEL JEREMIAH LUMPKIN filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

RAFAEL JEREMIAH LUMPKIN to AZAREL JOSIYAH ISRAEL

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no

Public Notices

written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 18, 2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-17 The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: July 1, 2022 Judge John Pacheco Rosanna Gaitan, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court. Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 16, July 22, July 29 & August 5, 2022.

FBN ABANDONMENT FBN 20220006536 STATEMENT OF ABANDONMENT OF USE OF FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

The following entity is doing business as TRAP HOUSE PEST CONTROL 3781 KERN RD. CHINO, CA 91710: MIGUEL ALVARENGA 3527 LILA ST RIVERSIDE, CA 92504

Mailing Address: 3527 LILA ST RIVERSIDE, CA 92504

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MIGUEL ALVARENGA JR, Owner Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/11/2022 Original Filing: FBN 20200003534 Date of Current/Original Filing: MARCH 18, 2020

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy I5199

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/15, 7/22, 7/29 & 8/5, 2022.

FBN 20220006574 The following entity is doing business as STILL CRUISIN' 8389 MONTARA AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: ROBERTO MUNGUIA III 8389 MONTARA AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 9, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ROBERTO MUNGUIA III, Owner Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/11/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2525

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself au-

Public Notices

thorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/15, 7/22, 7/29 & 8/5, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF VIVIAN HAMILTON Case No. PROSB2200989

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of VIVIAN HAMILTON

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Marchello James Bollatti in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Marchello James Bollatti be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A HEARING on the petition will be held on August 10, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S37 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: RICHARD F NEVINS ESQ SBN 137261 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD F NEVINS 3895 BROCKTON AVENUE RIVERSIDE CA 92501 CN988670 HAMILTON Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel July 15, 22, & 29, 2022

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2211239 TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Claudia

Public Notices

dia Lorena Guevara filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

Claudia Lorena Guevara to Claudia Lorena Avila Avila THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 08/09/2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 06/03/2022 Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

Judy Rae Darroch Case NO. PROSB2201003

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of Judy Rae Darroch

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Deanna Marie Longin the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Deanna Marie Long be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S35 at 09:00 AM on 08/22/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Probate Division of San Bernardino District at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a

Public Notices

general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

In Pro Per
3120 Antler Road
Ontario, CA 91761
Telephone No: 9094342640
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 7/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

Ramona Tafolla
Case No. PROSB2200530
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of Ramona Tafolla

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Deborah Tafollain the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Deborah Tafolla be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 08/25/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice

Public Notices

(form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Deborah Tafolla
6777 Ridgeline Ave, San Bernardino, CA 92407
Telephone No: 9096932491
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 7/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: BONNIE LEE CHRISTOPHERSON CASE NO. PROSB220053
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of BONNIE LEE CHRISTOPHERSON has been filed by LEE CHRISTOPHERSON in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that LEE CHRISTOPHERSON be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 28, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: April 13, 2022
AMY GAMEZ-REYES, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: April 13, 2022 AttorneyforLeeChristopherson: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC

Public Notices

300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 8800
sam@pricelawfirm.com
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 22, 29 & August 5, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2213999
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: CHRISTINE HUNT DOMINGUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: CHRISTINE HUNT DOMINGUEZ to CHRISTINE HUNT SWAIN
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: SEPTEMBER 6, 2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S-17
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: July 18, 2022
Judge John Pacheco
Rosanna Gaitan, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court.
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 22 & July 29 and August 5 & August 12, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE
NUMBER CIV SB 2213530
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Burt Lavarez Labios filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

Burt Lavarez Labios to Norberto Lavarez Labios THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 08/24/2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S16
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino County Superior Court 8303 Haven Avenue Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior

Public Notices

to the date set for hearing of the petition.
Dated: 06/23/2022
Judge of the Superior Court: John M. Pacheco
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL)
CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) 37-2021-00034610-CU-PA-NC
NOTICE TO ALREDO ALVAREZ VAZQUEZ AND DOES 1 TO 10 (AVISO DEMANDADO):
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):
APRIL E. ORTIZ
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su version. Lea la informacion a continuacion
Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una repuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefonica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar on formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exencion de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos extensos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN 20220006147
The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LUNA PARTY RENTALS, 121 W CARLTON ST, ONTARIO, CA 91762
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
Mailing Address: LUNA PARTY RENTALS CORP 121 W CARLTON ST, ONTARIO, CA 91762
State of Inc./Org./Reg. CA, Inc./Org./Reg. No. 5101746
Business is Conducted By: A

Public Notices

Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos extensos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corta pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
325 S. MELROSE DRIVE VISTA, CA 92801
NORTH COUNTY DIVISION

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

EDWARD ALBEROLA, ESQ.; BAR NO: 243431
CARLTON & ALBEROLA 23792 ROCKFIELD BLVD, SUITE 101
LAKE FOREST, CA 92630 949-356-6444
Telephone: 949-356-6444
DATE (Fecha):08/13/2021
Clerk (Secretario), by ASHLEY CARINI

Published in the SAN BERNARDINO SENTINEL on: 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

STATEMENT OF DAMAGES (PERSONAL INJURY OR WRONGFUL DEATH) CASE NUMBER: 37-2021-00034610-CU-PA-NC
TO ALVAREZ VAZQUEZ AND DOES 1-10 THE PLAINTIFF APRIL E. ORITZ SEEKS DAMAGES IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED ACTION, AS FOLLOWS:

1. GENERAL DAMAGES: A. PAIN, SUFFERING, AND INCONVENIENCE FOR \$500,000.00
2. SPECIAL DAMAGES: A. MEDICAL EXPENSES (TO DATE) FOR \$10,000.00
B. FUTURE MEDICAL EXPENSES (PRESENT VALUE) FOR \$50,000.00
C. LOSS OF EARNINGS (TO DATE) FOR \$4,000.00
D. LOSS OF FUTURE EARNING CAPACITY (PRESENT VALUE) FOR \$125,000.00
DATE: 08/13/2021
s/EDWARD ALBEROLA, ESQ.

Published in the SAN BERNARDINO SENTINEL on: 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN 20220006147
The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LUNA PARTY RENTALS, 121 W CARLTON ST, ONTARIO, CA 91762
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
Mailing Address: LUNA PARTY RENTALS CORP 121 W CARLTON ST, ONTARIO, CA 91762
State of Inc./Org./Reg. CA, Inc./Org./Reg. No. 5101746
Business is Conducted By: A

Public Notices

CORPORATION
Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ANGELICA CASTRO, CEO
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 06/27/2022
I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.
Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk,
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

AMENDED FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220003583
The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: KJ FLIP FLOPPING, 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377: JOSEPH F SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377, KERRI SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377,
Business is Conducted By: A MARRIED COUPLE

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/JOSEPH F SANCHEZ, OWNER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.
Began Transacting Business: 04/11/2022

County Clerk,
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 05/06/2022,05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022; Corrected on 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022 Corrected on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FBN20220006844
The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: NORTON DELGADO FAMILY MEDICINE 8283 GROVE AVE STE 202 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 917309: NORTON DELGADO FAMILY MEDICINE 8283 GROVE AVE STE 202 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 917309
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377,
Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 3720499
BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/LAURA A. NORTON, CEO
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/20/2022
I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Public Notices

Began Transacting Business: N/A
County Clerk G8420,
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FBN20220006714
The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LOTUS GARDEN 1639 N MOUNTAIN AVE UPLAND, CA 91784 THE 168 TRADING, INC 5547 BRISAS CT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739
Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 4697804

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/JUN XU, President
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/20/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.
Began Transacting Business: N/A
County Clerk G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FBN20220006319
The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LUNA CINEMATICS 4195 CHINO HILLS PKWAY #405 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709: MICHAEL LUNA 4195 CHINO HILLS PKWAY #405 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL
BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/MICHAEL C. LUNA
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 06/30/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.
Began Transacting Business: June 14, 2022

County Clerk J2530
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FBN20220006875
The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: SMILE321 PHOTO BOOTHS 6851 HUDSON COURT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701 DANDY J SANSOME 6851 HUDSON COURT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701
[and] APRIL C SANSOME 6851 HUDSON COURT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
Business is Conducted By: A MARRIED COUPLE
BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS

Public Notices

TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ DANDY J SANSOME
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/21/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: June 12, 2022
County Clerk G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

T.S. No. 20-20197-SP-CA Title No. 200079866-CA-VOI A.P.N. 1050-661-09-0-000 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE. YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 01/22/2007. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. A public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, (cashier's check(s) must be made payable to National Default Servicing Corporation), drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state; will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to a Deed of Trust described below. The sale will be made in an "as is" condition, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, with interest and late charges thereon, as provided in the note(s), advances, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale. Trustor: Haidee S Royval, wife of Grantor herein, a married woman as her sole and separate property Duly Appointed Trustee: National Default Servicing Corporation Recorded 01/31/2007 as Instrument No. 2007-0062975 (or Book, Page) of the Official Records of San Bernardino County, CA. Date of Sale: 09/08/2022 at 1:00 PM Place of Sale: At the Main (South) Entrance to the City of Chino Civic Center, 13220 Central Avenue, Chino, CA. 91710 Estimated amount of unpaid balance and other charges: \$235,713.70 Street Address or other common designation of real property: 1459 South Euclid Avenue #17 Ontario, CA 91762 A.P.N.: 1050-661-09-0-000 The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address or other common designation, if any, shown above. If no street address or other common designation is shown, directions to the location of the property may be obtained by sending a written request to the beneficiary within 10 days of the date of first publication of this Notice of Sale. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any

Public Notices

reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee, and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. The requirements of California Civil Code Section 2923.5(b)/2923.55(c) were fulfilled when the Notice of Default was recorded. NOTICE TO POTENTIAL BIDDERS: If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same lender may hold more than one mortgage or deed of trust on the property. NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER: The sale date shown on this notice of sale may be postponed one or more times by the mortgagee, beneficiary, trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about trustee sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call or visit this Internet Web site www.ndscorp.com/sales, using the file number assigned to this case 20-20197-SP-CA. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. NOTICE TO TENANT*: You may have a right to purchase this property after the trustee auction pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code. If you are an "eligible tenant buyer," you can purchase the property if you match the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. If you are an "eligible bidder," you may be able to purchase the property if you exceed the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. There are three steps to exercising this right of purchase. First, 48 hours after the date of the trustee sale, you can call 888-264-4010, or visit this internet website www.ndscorp.com, using the file number assigned to this case 20-20197-SP-CA to find the date on which the trustee's sale was held, the amount of the last and highest bid, and the address of the trustee. Second, you must send a written notice of intent to place a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 15 days after the trustee's sale. Third, you must submit a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 45 days after the trustee's sale. If you think you may qualify as an "eligible tenant buyer" or "eligible bidder," you should consider contacting an attorney or appropriate real estate professional immediately for advice regarding this potential right to purchase. *Pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code, the potential rights described herein shall apply only to public auctions taking place on or after January 1, 2021, through December

Public Notices

31, 2025, unless later extended. Date: 07/22/2022 National Default Servicing Corporation c/o Tiffany & Bosco, P.A., its agent, 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 820 San Diego, CA 92108 Toll Free Phone: 888-264-4010 Sales Line 855-219-8501; Sales Website: www.ndscorp.com By: Jesse Gonzalez, Trustee Sales Representative 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CPP352848

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: CHARLES RODRIGUEZ aka CHUCK RODRIGUEZ CASE NO. PROSB2201006 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of CHARLES RODRIGUEZ aka CHUCK RODRIGUEZ has been filed by RITA JEAN RODRIGUEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that RITA JEAN RODRIGUEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that the decedents wills and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held AUGUST 15, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S36 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: JULY 11, 2022
VALARIE URU-ENA, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: July 11, 2022 Attorney for Rita Jean Rodriguez: R. SAM

Public Notices

PRICE SBN 208603
PRICE LAW FIRM, APC
300 E STATE
STREET SUITE 620
REDLANDS, CA 92373
Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 8800
sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 29, August 5 and August 12, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: PAULINE LOPEZ ESPARZA CASE NO. PROSB2200487 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of PAULINE LOPEZ ESPARZA aka PAULINE APOLINAR LOPEZ ESPARZA aka PAULINE ESPARZA A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by GENARA ROBERT ESPARZA and PAULINE NATALIA GRINAGER in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that GENARA ROBERT ESPARZA and PAULINE NATALIA GRINAGER be appointed as personal representatives to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-37 at 9:00 a.m. on SEPTEMBER 14, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Genera Robert Esparza and Pauline Natalia Grinager:

ANTONINETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCER WEST, SUITE 108 SANBERNARDINO, CA 92408 Telephone No: (909) 890-2350 Fax No: (909) 890-0106 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 29, August 5 and August 12, 2022.

Public Notices

County Sentinel on July 29, August 5 and August 12, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: REBECCA CATHERINE ALMANZA CASE NO. PROSB2201058 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of REBECCA CATHERINE ALMANZA A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by BRENDA CATHERINE ALMANZA in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that BRENDA CATHERINE ALMANZA be appointed as personal representatives to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-37 at 9:00 a.m. on AUGUST 24, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Brenda Catherine Almanza:

ANTONINETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCER WEST, SUITE 108 SANBERNARDINO, CA 92408 Telephone No: (909) 890-2350 Fax No: (909) 890-0106 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 29, August 5 and August 12, 2022.

Public Notices

MADDUX aka MICHAEL CHARLES MADDUX A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by SHAYNA STEWART in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that SHAYNA STEWART be appointed as personal representatives to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-35 at 9:00 a.m. on AUGUST 18, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Filed: July 14, 2022
Sabrina Felix, Deputy Clerk of the Court Pro Se:
Shayna Stewart
3260 Little Mountain Drive
#A
San Bernardino, CA 92405
Telephone No: (951) 941-9878
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 29, August 5 & August 12, 2022.

NOTICE OF HEARING ON PETITION FOR TERMINATION OF PARENT - CHILD RELATIONSHIP
CASE NUMBER JS21265
SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY
Juvenile Department
PARENT/GUARDIAN NAMES: Tiffany Araujo – Mother; Malik Russell Etheridge – Father
Petitioner Tiffany Araujo In the Matter of Minor: Delani Nicole Van Damme (10/30/2012)
This is an important notice from the court. Read it carefully.

Public Notices

A petition about termination of parent-child relationship has been filed with the court, and a hearing has been scheduled related to your child. Your rights may be affected by the proceedings. You have a right to appear as a party in the proceeding.

If you fail to participate in the court proceedings, the court may deem that you have waived your legal rights and admit to the allegations made in the petition. Hearings may go forward in your absence and may result in the termination of your parental rights.

Facility Assignment: Durango Juvenile Court Center 3131 W. Durango St, Phoenix, AZ 85009.

Judicial Officer: Honorable Lauren R. Guyton

Hearing Date/Time: October 13, 2022, at 9:30 a.m.

Hearing Type: Severance-Initial Hearing Location - Court Connect Remote Appearance

Court Connect Hearing: Yes

Video: <https://tinyurl.com/jbazmc-juc02>

Phone: (917) 781-4590, Participant Code 312 434 5#

How can I prepare for the hearing? Any supporting documentation must be filed with the Clerk of Court at least seventy-two hours in advance of the set hearing date.

If I have questions or concerns who can I contact?

For questions concerning filing, please contact the Clerk of Court at (602) 372-5375. For questions about the hearing, contact the Juvenile Department at (602) 506-4533, Option 2 to reach the assigned Judicial Officer's staff. If you have legal questions, seek legal counsel.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel July 29, August 5, August 12 & August 19, 2022.

FBN 20220006192
The following person is doing business as: THE SMELLY GENTLEMAN COMPANY; THE SOAP SHOP; LA BOTANA DULCERIA; DEBONAIR TRAVEL CONCIERGE; CHARMED & ENCHANTED. 15848 SYCAMORE ST HESPERIA, CA 92345 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO R AND S BRADING 15848 SYCAMORE ST HESPERIA, CA 92345 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ SIENNA M ROBLES, CFO Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 27, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022 CNB-B272022131R

FBN 20220006458
The following person is doing business as: FAMILY NAILS & SPA. 1270 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MAI N NGUYEN 1270 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MAI N NGUYEN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 06, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was

Public Notices

ing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CN-BB29202205MT

FBN 2022000648
The following person is doing business as: TOMSEN'S HEATING AND COOLING. 1025 EVERGREEN CT REDLANDS, CA 92374
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
DAVID P TOMSEN 1025 EVERGREEN CT REDLANDS, CA 92374.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ DAVID P TOMSEN, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 07, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CN-BB29202204MT

FBN 20220006427
The following person is doing business as: CALI DETAILING. 15957 SAN LEANDRO DR FONTANA, CA 92336311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92336
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
FABIAN PICON 15957 SAN LEANDRO DR FONTANA, CA 92336.
The business is conduct-

Knowing He Was Unlikely To Live Long Enough To Be Sworn In Let Alone Serve Out His Full Term, Dutton Nonetheless Ran For Reelection from page 11

is that Dutton had developed prostate cancer more than three years ago. It had progressed undetected and by last summer had reached a critical stage. The *Sentinel* is reliably informed that on three separate occasions late last year paramedics had been summoned to his residence when he suffered a medical emergency.
Before the end of 2021, Dutton learned that his cancer had metastasized and had reached his bones.
Nevertheless, he chose to seek reelection as assessor/county clerk/recorder, the total annual remuneration for which has grown to \$409,540.07, when the filing period for county positions opened on February 14, 2022. As had been the case four years previously, Dutton's status as an entrenched incumbent

Public Notices

ed by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ FABIAN PICON, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 06, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CNB-B29202203CV

FBN 20220006384
The following person is doing business as: ALEX TRAILERS AND REPAIRS. 10916 ALDER AVE BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
JANETH AYALA FLORES 10916 ALDER AVE BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316; JOSE A FLORES 10916 ALDER AVE BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316.
The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ JANETH AYALA FLORES, WIFE
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 05, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was

with substantial name recognition and virtually unlimited fundraising capability discouraged anyone who might have contemplated running against him. When the filing period for elected county government offices closed on March 11, 2022, Dutton was the sole candidate in the race.
Throughout the late 1980s and the 1990s when he had been a major backer and contributor to the Republican Party and subsequently, when he had become an elected official himself, Dutton repeatedly bewailed the approach toward governance advocated and carried out by his rival Democrats, asserting they were interfering with the free market and the rights of entrepreneurs and pursuing anti-business policies. Yet, upon assuming the post of county clerk, a position which carried with it tremendous impact in facilitating the creation of businesses and providing them with a primary tool allowing them to operate, Dutton, in the face of the challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic, changed the office's policies and basic

Public Notices

filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CN-BB29202202MT

FBN 20220006542
The following person is doing business as: GOMEZ CAD SERVICES. 3045 SANGABRIEL ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
RAYMOND R GOMEZ 3045 SAN GABRIEL ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ RAYMOND R GOMEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 11, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CNB-B29202201IR

FBN 20220006752
The following person is doing business as: TEAM SANCHEZ TRANSPORT. 383 E MESA DR RIALTO, CA 92376
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
JOSE M. SANCHEZ 383 E MESA DR RIALTO, CA 92376.

level of function in such a way that obstructed an untold number of businesses from operating and retarded the county's economic recovery. It was not clear during the last several months of his life whether he fully understood the role his office played in this regard and the impact the policies he was ultimately responsible for putting into place were having. Nor is it clear whether his office's recent dysfunction reflected a basic lack of understanding on his part with regard to the services one of the divisions of the county he headed provided and what impact the shift in policy he instituted was having or whether this was a consequence of his deteriorating health as his cancer progressed.
Though circumstances had given him a glimpse of how incapacitated he was becoming, Dutton chose to seek reelection, knowing he would not likely be able to serve out his full term, resulting either in the expense of having to hold a special election to ensure that the county's residents are represented by an elected

Public Notices

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 19, 2016
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ JOSE M. SANCHEZ, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 19, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022, 08/19/2022 CN-BB30202206MT

FBN 20220006583
The following person is doing business as: J&R HEATING AND AIR COND. 2255 S. SAN ANTONIO AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
WILLIAM R SCIMONE 2255 S. SAN ANTONIO AVE ONTARIO, CA 91762.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUL 12, 2022
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ WILLIAM R SCIMONE, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 12, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was

assessor/county clerk and recorder or giving to the board of supervisors control over who would fill the position. Earlier this year, the *Sentinel* has learned, during a social gathering in which several of those with whom he has been politically affiliated, it was state with confidence that the Republican political machine which is built around multiple current officeholders has perfected the art of campaigning so that each of the GOP's anointed candidates is free to engage in promotion of himself or herself while several independent expenditure committees that have been formed as adjuncts to that machine can run negative campaigns attacking his or her opponents. Advantaged with that capability, Dutton chose to stay in office, knowing that there was virtually no prospect he could be successfully challenged. Four decades, three decades and as recently as two decades ago, Dutton was bewailing that "career politicians" were damaging the nation, the State of California and local government by holding

Public Notices

filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022, 08/19/2022 CNB-B30202205IR

FBN 20220006799
The following person is doing business as: MONTCLAIR EXPRESS CAR WASH. 10973 S CENTRAL AVE WINNETKA, CA 91306[MAILING ADDRESS 19812 LULL ST WINNETKA, CA 91306];
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
MONTCLAIR EXPRESS CAR WASH LLC 10973 S CENTRAL AVE MONTCLAIR, CA 91762
The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ MUSTANSIR CADERBHOY, MANAGING MEMBER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 20, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022, 08/19/2022 CNB-B30202204IR

FBN 20220006764
The following person is doing business as: YOLMA'S. 27445 MAIN ST HIGHLAND, CA 92346

Public Notices

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
YOLANDA HARO 27445 MAIN ST HIGHLAND, CA 92346; NORMA A HARO 27445 MAIN ST HIGHLAND, CA 92346.
The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUL 26, 2017
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ YOLANDA HARO, GENERAL PARTNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 19, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022, 08/19/2022 CNB-B30202203IR

FBN 20220006849
The following person is doing business as: OVERFLOWING WATER PRESSURE WASHING. 636 E RALSTON ST ONTARIO, CA 91761
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
MICHAEL MURILLO 636 E RALSTONS ST ONTARIO, CA 91761.
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ MICHAEL MURILLO, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 20, 2022

on to office at any price to benefit themselves with no regard for serving their constituents and their needs. After spending 20 of the last 22 years in elected office, Dutton had himself become a career politician, one so intent on staying in office that he ran for reelection knowing he was dying and had little prospect of fulfilling the position and term which he had convinced the voters to entrust to him.

It is unclear how many people knew of how closely mortality was dogging his every step. He was under no legal obligation to reveal his medical condition to anyone other than his family and close friends, and perhaps not even them. The *Sentinel* did not learn he had cancer until after his death. This week, one of his acquaintances said Dutton spoke frankly to him about it last year. It was Dutton's purview to reveal as little or as much about his health as he chose, that acquaintance said, while suggesting there was something admirable and even noble in the way that Dutton chose to go out under his own

Public Notices

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022, 08/19/2022 CN-BB30202202MT

FBN 20220006959
The following person is doing business as: YE TRANSPORT. 213 E JACKSON ST APT B RIALTO, CA 92376
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
EMMANUEL QUINONES 213 E JACKSON ST B RIALTO, CA 92376.
The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ EMMANUEL QUI-NONES, HUSBAND
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 26, 2022
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022, 08/19/2022 CNB-B30202201IR

terms.
Despite the perception of many who knew him and had interaction with him in social, business, governmental and political contexts over the last four decades that he was a self-centered and less than competent or fully capable bully whose guts were in his father's wallet, there are those who knew him and are mourning him and celebrating him as a decent and caring man who made a mark on the world and an impression on those who came into contact with him as someone who was an accomplished member of the Rancho Cucamonga community, San Bernardino County and California as a whole.
"Bob Dutton was a dedicated public servant and trusted friend," said Board of Supervisors Chairman and Fourth District Supervisor Curt Hagman, who directed that flags at county buildings fly at half-staff in honor of the former state senator. "His passion for people was evident throughout his years of service in San Bernardino County. He took so much
Continued on Page 12

Two Years After
The County’s Voters
Cut Their Pay, Su-
pervisors Are Seek-
ing A Vote On A
Measure To Restore
It *from page 5*

use to convince the voters they should support it. The measure, if passed, will require that if the board of supervisors is to put on any future ballot a proposal to increase taxes, it must do so by a four-fifths vote. As it now stands, the board of supervisors can call for a tax approval vote of the county’s residents with a vote by three of its members.

Also contained in the measure is language that will move the start or end of the supervisors’ terms from the date of the board of supervisors’ first meeting in December of even-numbered years following an election to noon on the first Monday after the first day of January next following their election, such that their four-year terms will end at noon on the first Monday after the first day of January four years thereafter.

Contained in the language is an ambiguity

that would, based upon how it is interpreted, seemingly allow the currently serving board members to serve another three terms beyond what they have already served. This has come about because language that cleared up that ambiguity which was in an earlier draft of the language revision for the ordinance the measure would put in place was removed from the text. That language, which existed within Section 204 of the ordinance pertaining to the board of supervisors under the heading “Term Limits” stated: “This section shall only apply to those supervisors who are first elected to the board of supervisors after the effective date of this section, and who have not previously served on the board of supervisors. Members of the board of supervisors who were elected before the effective date of this section may serve only the number of terms allowed at the time of the last election before the effective date of this section.” Both sentences, however, were struck from the redrafted ordinance, which is to be the subject of what is voted upon in November.

As it now stands, irrespective of whether the Fourth District Court of Appeal tentative ruling released on July 12 is finalized and the one-term limit put in place, under Measure P passed by the county’s voters in 2006, supervisors are limited to three terms. If the measure the supervisors are proposing in November passes, it will reset the term limit clock such that the limiting any of the supervisors to three terms will go into effect going forward after this coming November. That would mean that current Second District Supervisor Janice Rutherford, who under the rules put in place by Measure P is to be termed out of office in December after she was elected in 2010 and reelected in 2014 and 2018 and did not seek reelection this year, will become eligible to run for office once again in 2026, such that she conceivably could, with the approval of voters, serve three further terms, which would give her a total of six terms or 24 years in office. Fourth District Supervisor Curt Hagman, who was elected in 2014 and reelected in 2018 and in June of this year,

would be eligible to run again in 2026, 2030 and 2034, such that he, too, might possibly serve six terms or 24 years total as supervisor, if the measure to be on the ballot in November passes. Third District Supervisor Dawn Rowe, who was appointed to the board in 2018 to finish the final two years of a term to which former Supervisor James Ramos was elected in 2016, was reelected in 2020. If the measure going before the voters in November passes, under the language of the county charter to be revamped by that measure, she would be able to run again in 2024, 2028 and 2032, so that pursuant to the decision of the voters in future elections, she could potentially serve four-and-one-half four-year terms, or 18 years as supervisor. Both First District Supervisor Paul Cook and Fifth District Supervisor Joe Baca were elected in 2020. Under the change in terms to the county charter as pertains to the term limits of supervisors contained in the measure that will be on the ballot in November, they would be able to finish their current term and run again in 2024, 2028 and 2032,

conceivably serving four terms in total or 16 years, if, indeed, the voters consistently retain them in office.

In their comments on the measure, the members of the board dwelt exclusively on the term limit issue and the provision relating to the four-fifths vote of the board to place a taxing proposal before the county’s voters. None of them mentioned the provision pertaining to the board members’ remuneration.

“I want to protect San Bernardino County,” said Board Chairman Curt Hagman. “We’re the only county now not protected with at least the minimum of two terms. It doesn’t mean that as an elected official you actually get two terms because you still have to get reelected each time. And that is the trust we put in voters to elect your representatives every time there’s an election. To artificially say you must change because we want to make a statement, it’s a bad statement. And you think about San Bernardino County – and it’s been said several times – it’s larger than many states. It’s larger than nine states geographically. It’s larger

than – How many is it? – 13 states population-wise, something like that, 12, 13. We have a lot going on here in San Bernardino County. We want to go in our private lives to people with experience and know-how to do it. You don’t go to a rookie doctor to get a brain surgery. You don’t go to someone strictly out of mechanics school to get your car worked on or in any other profession we want someone with experience we can trust to represent us in the private sector. I don’t understand necessarily why you want someone without experience to run a multi-billion-dollar government entity with your tax dollars. So, this initiative, whether it be two terms or three terms, is not for the five of us, but for the future of San Bernardino County to keep us competitive, to keep our residents with experienced legislators or representatives to hopefully keep this county going in the right direction, and I believe were in that right direction. We have a great team of county employees that give it their all every day for the public residents.”

-Mark Gutglueck

Dutton Gone At 71
from page 11

pride in his role as assessor and I enjoyed working with him in solving problems and serving residents. It is with sadness that I hear of his recent passing. I wish his wife Andrea, daughter Kara and his entire family my deepest condolences.”

“Bob brought years of knowledge and experience to the county, and we will miss him dearly. My thoughts and prayers go out to his family and the entire assessor-recorder team,” said Third District Supervisor Dawn Rowe.

“Bob Dutton was more than just a colleague; he was a dear friend that I had the pleasure knowing for the past two decades,” said now-retired Marine Corps Colonel Paul Cook, who is San Bernardino County’s First District supervisor. “During our time together in the state legislature and county government, I witnessed

first-hand his passion for serving his constituents and his dedication to making San Bernardino County a better place to live. He will be incredibly missed.”

“Bob loved his family, his community and his country,” said Second District Supervisor Janice Rutherford. “He was a leader and a generous soul, whose advocacy for taxpayers and our county will be dearly missed. Bob never let his titles or accomplishments go to his head, and he was as content looking after his dogs as he was pushing through major legislation.”

“I am sad to hear of the passing of Senator Bob Dutton,” said Sheriff Shannon Dicus. “Bob was a true public servant, dedicated to the residents of San Bernardino County. Whether serving in Sacramento, or most recently as our assessor-recorder and county clerk, Bob had the best interests of our county family in

his heart. It was my profound honor to work with Bob. In 1990, Bob was invited to serve on the San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Council. During his 32-years of service with the sheriff’s department, Bob’s voice provided perspective and sage advice to six sheriffs. His absence is greatly felt, and he will be truly missed. My thoughts are with his wife, family, and friends during this difficult time.”

“Bob was a good and loyal friend and a committed public servant,” said Auditor-Controller/Treasurer/Tax Collector Ensen Mason. “Condolences to his family. He will be missed.”

“I am saddened to hear about the passing of Bob Dutton,” said District Attorney Jason Anderson. “He was a great county partner proactively working with my office to prevent real estate fraud. Condolences to his family during this difficult time.”

John Mannerino, an

attorney from Rancho Cucamonga who was closely affiliated with Dutton while he was engaged in investing and development during the 1980s and 1990s, was later brought on as a consultant to the county assessor’s office, pursuant to Dutton’s request of the board of supervisors, so that he could oversee the assessment appeals process.

Mannerino said Dutton was “a wonderful human being, a reliable friend, a great father, a humble public servant, a patriot and honest man who was heavy in his ideals and stuck by them. He has been unfairly maligned by his political enemies and the press. While he was still the minority leader in the Senate, he was engaged in a filibuster to keep the Democrats at bay and he stood at the podium, reading for 30 hours. After that, he fell asleep, and members of his own party, because he was running against a

Republican for Congress, said he was sleeping on the job. It wasn’t true.”

Dutton, Mannerino said, “worked hard. As a politician he ran hard. He was a good guy. He was a wonderful and devoted husband to his wife. He was the most honest politician I ever met.”

Last month, in the June 7 Primary Election, Dutton was reelected county assessor with 200,752 votes. He was not, however, sworn in to the office for the term to which he was elected, which does not begin until next January. Whether Dutton not yet having assumed the position he was elected to legally precludes the board of supervisors from naming his replacement and requires that a special election be held to fill the position when the third term as assessor to which he was elected is set to commence is an open question.

The board of supervisors has not come to a determination of what its

options for seeing Dutton replaced are or exactly what action it will take, the county’s spokesman, David Wert, said.

“The board of supervisors has not had an opportunity to discuss how it will approach filling the position,” Wert said. “They can only do so in public session, so a discussion would have to be agendized for a future public meeting.”

If the county’s voters are going to be called upon to vote on Dutton’s replacement in the November election, county officials will need to act with alacrity. The filing period for municipal elections in the county opened on July 18 and the filing deadline for all offices is August 12. County voter information guides are to be delivered to post offices around the county on October 4, meaning the format for the ballots, sample ballots and voter guide pamphlet will need to be finalized mid-September.