

Friday, July 22, 2022 A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with Countywide News Service 10808 Foothill Blvd. Suite 160-446 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 (951) 567-1936

Goodman Given Baptism By Gunfire Barely A Month Into Being SBPD Chief

By Mark Gutglueck

The ideal of community-based policing ran head-on into the hard reality of entrenched criminality in San Bernardino this week as an officer with the department shot and killed a Black suspect under circumstances with just enough ambiguity to them to bring both sides of the pro law enforcement/criminal and social justice divide to a cacophonous clash by which no rationale or



Darren Goodman

mutually acceptable resolution seems possible.

Shattered with the death of 23-year-old Rob Marquis Adams is the

illusion that San Bernardino's hiring of its first African American police chief, which was officially effectuated 31 days previously, would cure the specter of racial bias and injustice that has hung over the now-154-year-old police department for most of the time it has been in existence.

That Adams was shot in the back as he was fleeing from two police officers is not in dispute. There is little doubt, ei-

ther, that Adams was working drug-dealing turf he had staked out in one of the rougher and more crime-ridden and violence-prone districts in the county seat, which has long held the dubious distinction as the deadliest city in California and the notorious designation as the third most dangerous inner-city environment in the country. What is unclear is whether Adams was, as the police department

has alleged, armed with a handgun which he had briefly brandished before the officer that shot and killed him and his partner had emerged from their unmarked vehicle. Nor is it likely to be convincingly established precisely what Adams' intent was as he approached the undercover police vehicle in the seconds leading up to the slaying. A surveillance video that captured the entirety of **See P 2**

Redlands Council Trades Preserving Canyon For Allowing Downtown High-Rises

As part of a calculated political move aimed at allowing intensified development to take place at the city's core, the Redlands City Council this week cleared the way for voters to impose substantial restrictions for the next two to three generations on development in that portion of San Timoteo Canyon that falls under the city's purview.

In coming to the com-

promise, the pro-development council dispensed with a previous voter initiative it had voted in June to place before the voters in the upcoming municipal election. The council took this action in the face of the intensive support a wide cross section of the city's residents had evinced for a measure two grassroots groups, Friends of Redlands and Redlanders

for Responsible Growth Management, had previously qualified for this November's election that would have, if passed, prevented the University of Redlands from proceeding with a plan to construct four-story dormitories or residential structures on or near the university campus. The compromise further means that the city will have a free hand to pur-

sue the Transit Villages concept it has been ruminating on for more than a decade.

In just over five months in early 2021, 7,715 city residents, under the aegis of Friends of Redlands and Redlanders for Responsible Growth Management, endorsed a petition calling for a citywide vote on a measure that would have limited new development in the city to no more than three sto-

ries everywhere but in the district immediately surrounding the New Jersey Street rail station, where four story structures were to be allowed. Those petitions were turned over to City Clerk Jeanne Donaldson on June 7, 2021. Given that the Friends of Redlands and Redlanders for Responsible Growth Management had surpassed the number of endorsements they needed to qualify the **See P 5**

Former Marine Niles Departing Sheriff's Office After Two Decades Including Stint Heading JT Station



Lucas Niles

Sheriff's Captain Lucas Niles will retire on

July 28.

The commander of the Morongo Basin sheriff's operations since March 2020, he will move into retirement after 20 years with the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department.

For Niles, law enforcement was his second career. He was a military officer previously, having retired from the U.S. Marines.

Niles said working first as a deputy, then achieving the rank of detective by 2009, sergeant in 2013, lieutenant in 2017 and ultimately being promoted to captain in 2020 when he took on the assignment of heading the Morongo Basin Sheriff's Station was a rich experience and an honor. He said his accomplishments as a law enforcement officer were in **See P 3**

Big Bear Solons Unable So Far To Keep Vacation Rental Regulation Measure Off Ballot

With the calendar rapidly advancing toward the November 2020 election, the prospect for a compromise being worked out between city officials and a grassroots group of activists in Big Bear Lake intent on imposing stricter guidelines and regulations on vacation rentals in the mountain city of 5,231 residents is fading with every passing day.

It appears that a de-

nouement to the clash that has been brewing over the years between those with a vested or economic interest in the tourist trade in Big Bear Lake and those who simply reside there will take place on November 8, the date on which the city's 2,887 voters will have an opportunity to head to the polls or meet the deadline to submit their ballots by mail. Unless those who

pushed to place a measure on the ballot that calls for intensifying the regulations and conditions to be imposed on short-term rental units can have a meeting of the minds with the movers and shakers in the local tourist industry, the measure as previously drafted will come before the city's voters for approval or rejection. Most political prognosticators in a position to have two

fingers on the pulse of the mountain community believe that measure will pass.

Indeed, the need for or at least the desirability of stricter control over vacation rentals appears to be one of the few areas in which there is a substantial divergence of opinion in Big Bear Lake between the city's elected officials and its citizenry.

Big Bear Lake is, along

care services, accompaniment to medical appointments, and protective supervision for the mentally impaired.

No experience is necessary and working in this capacity can involve a flexible work schedule. The greatest need for caregivers is in Chino, Yucaipa, and Yucca Valley.

To complete an application, go to www.sbcare-jobs.com

with Lake Arrowhead, Joshua Tree, Mount Baldy and Wrightwood, one of the major magnets for tourists in San Bernardino County, those tourists being skiers, boaters, hikers, vacationers or people from the heart of the Southern California megalopolis looking to get away from the stress and rigors of civilization, if only for no more than a weekend or a **See P 5**

Political Payoffs To Supervisors A Decade Ago Led To The Smoldering Pit Now In Hinkley

The environmental hazard and persistent nuisance of the now eight-week-long fire at the Synagro facility eight miles from Hinkley and approximately 17 miles from Barstow is the legacy of political payoffs delivered from the waste reclamation company's corporate predecessor to members of the San Bernardino Board of Supervisors.

Maryland-based Synagro Technologies currently operates a waste processing and sludge-to-agricultural-grade-composting operation at a location in the east Mojave Desert at 14479 Cougar Road in Hinkley, which is roughly halfway between Hinkley and Hinkley.

Unbeknownst to many people, the incineration of a portion of the waste products that are trucked to the facility has always been a part of the plant's operation. Those waste products originate from as far away as Rancho California, San Clemente, Santa Margarita, the South Orange County Wastewater Authority in Dana Point and Laguna, the Hyperion Plant in Los Angeles, Carson, Van Nuys, Corona, Redlands, Rialto, Morongo, Cabazon, Riverside, Beaumont, Mira Loma, Chino, Lake Arrowhead and Big Bear, as well as Adelanto, Barstow, Lancaster, Palmdale, Harper Lake and Moorpark. They include all order of organic materials, green waste such as tree and grass trimmings, as well as sewage system byproducts, euphemistically referred to as "half biosolids," **See P 3**

With His Solid Law Enforcement Background, Top Tier Education & Minority Status, Goodman Was Seen All Around As The Dream SB Police Chief *from front page*

the shooting and what preceded and followed it shows Adams acting in what might be interpreted as an aggressive manner in approaching the vehicle in which the two officers had arrived just seconds before the shooting.

Though the police department insists that Adams was holding a handgun as he approached the vehicle, whether the item in his hand is in fact a gun is not clear from the surveillance video nor from the bodyworn camera video taken from the perspective of the officer who shot Adams. Attorneys retained by Adams' family and family members themselves assert the object was not a gun but a cell phone. And while the department showed still photographs of the gun that it maintains was recovered from near Adams after he was shot, the department insisted on blurring a substantial portion of the bodyworn video footage that showed Adams on the ground after he was felled by gunfire. Adams' family's attorneys purport that the portions of the body camera video footage that were released were electronically blurred to prevent those observing it from seeing that the item next to Adams as he lay dying on the ground was not a gun but the cell phone they alluded to. The gun the department maintains was the one Adams was carrying was planted by a member or members of the department unknown, the family and its attorneys have suggested.

In the aftermath of the shooting and the controversy it raised, Police Chief Darren Goodman emerged as the face of the department. Racial dynamics surround the shooting. Based on both the surveillance video and the selective footage of the body camera video

shown by the department to the public, it appears that the officer who fired upon Adams is white. Goodman was brought in to head the police department in some measure because of his minority status, which was deemed an important and positive distinguishing characteristic, based upon ever intensifying accusations of racial prejudice that have been vectored at the department, which historically has been primarily composed of officers who are white. While the department in the last decade has engaged in an effort to recruit and hire more minority members – African Americans, Hispanics and Asians – and has made some inroads in doing just that, the racial and ethnic makeup of the department has not reflected that of the community as a whole. As of the 2020 Census, the Hispanic population of San Bernardino stood at 66.2 percent, the non-Hispanic white population at 14.4 percent, the African American population 13.2 percent, the Asian population 4.1 percent, American and Alaskan native 0.8 percent and Hawaiian and Pacific Islander 0.2 percent. Over half of the department's officers are white. The department as a whole has been criticized because of the consideration that very few of its officers live within the city. In recent decades, the proliferation of crime, the sometimes-aggressive tactics used by the department in seeking to address it and multiple publicized officer-involved shootings together with statistical disparities in which non-whites are arrested by the San Bernardino Police Department in numbers that exceed their ratio in the general American population have led to charges of bias against the department.

This has been exacerbated by the promotional patterns within the department by which white officers chosen from an already heavily Caucasian employment pool have risen to command positions within the department with far greater

frequency and in greater numbers than ethnic minority officers. The vilification of the department hit dual crescendos with the appointments of the two acting chiefs of police immediately prior to Goodman. Prior to his being designated as acting police chief, Eric McBride in 2015, while he was serving in the capacity of assistant police chief in San Bernardino, had competed for the position of police chief in the Los Angeles County city of El Monte when that city was carrying out a recruitment for that post. McBride, who had previously served as a councilman and mayor in the Riverside County city of Hemet, was selected by the El Monte City Council to lead its police department. Before he was sworn in, however, immigrants' rights activists cited anti-illegal immigration policies McBride had advocated while he was an elected official in Hemet, which they said were incompatible with El Monte's 68 percent Hispanic population. With a controversy building, McBride withdrew his application for the El Monte job.

When McBride retired in 2021, he was succeeded by Assistant Chief David Green as acting police chief. Green, along with two other members of the department, Adam Affrunti and Von Verbanic, on June 1, 2007 ran four African-American suspects who had engaged in an armed robbery of the Turner's Outdoorsman store at Orange Show Lane and E Street – Tabari Barnes, Isaiah Henderson, Brandon Carroll and Michael Wade – to ground at a dead-end on Third Street in Highland after a ten-minute chase across San Bernardino during which the Acura Legend the quartet was using as a getaway car reached claimed speeds of as high as 130 miles per hour. There, Barnes and Henderson, who were both armed and trying to flee, were killed in a fusillade from Green, Affrunti and Verbanic.

The district attorney's office ruled the shootings justified and a jury

in 2012 rejected a wrongful death claim lodged against the officers by Barnes family, finding that they had used reasonable force to stop and apprehend the suspects, who had made off with more than 70 firearms during the robbery.

Those calling for social and criminal justice reform in San Bernardino maintained that the situation with the department could only be addressed by installing at its command level ethnic minorities who would change the culture of the organization and accelerate the hiring of minority officers.

Goodman, whose law enforcement career had advance mercurially almost from the onset of his tenure with the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department that began in 1991 and which was augmented by scholastic achievement as well, was considered to be an ideal candidate to head the San Bernardino Police Department for multiple reasons.

After graduating from the San Bernardino County Sheriff's law enforcement academy, he went to work for the sheriff's department as a deputy, first working corrections at the Central Jail in San Bernardino before being reassigned to patrol. Thereafter, he worked in the department's narcotics, emergency operations, regional gang enforcement, fugitive apprehension and special weapons and tactics divisions, steadily increasing in rank to detective, sergeant and lieutenant. In 2013, upon his promotion to captain, he assumed command of the Sheriff's Regional Training Center.

In 2016, Goodman was moved to Chino Hills as head of the sheriff's station there, making him Chino Hills police chief, as that city contracts with the sheriff's department for law enforcement service. Goodman was credited with using his status to ensure that the department devoted itself to traditional and specific law enforcement needs and issues by convincing city officials there to transfer assign-

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

ments relating to non-law enforcement related public safety matters to other municipal and county service providers such as public works, code enforcement and mental health services.

Meanwhile, Goodman, who had already obtained a correspondent's bachelor's degree from Southern Illinois University, attended classes and obtained graduate status relating to coursework at the Naval Postgraduate School's Center for Homeland Defense and Security, completed Harvard University's Kennedy School of Government's seminars for senior executives in state and local government, graduated from the University of Southern California's Public Safety Leadership Program, obtained a master's degree in public administration from the University of Southern California and then finished his doctoral studies at USC's Rossier School of Education while serving as an adjunct-professor at California State University San Bernardino.

In 2018, he was lured away from the sheriff's department after he was induced to apply for the position of Upland police chief and was then selected for that post. His acceptance of that assignment made him Upland's first Black police chief.

In the summer of 2019, Riverside Police Chief Sergio Diaz signaled his pending retirement and then exited in September of that year. Goodman, who resides in Riverside, applied to fill the vacancy created by Diaz's departure. Goodman was

a serious contender for the job. Ultimately, then-Riverside City Manager Al Zelinka's conferred the police chief's position on Lawrence Gonzalez, based upon his familiarity with the department as a consequence of his 27 years there, including the extended stint he had served as deputy chief during Diaz's tenure and the four months he had served as interim chief following Diaz's departure. Nevertheless, Riverside expressed interest in hiring Goodman as assistant police chief. When reports reached Upland that Goodman was about to jump ship to Riverside, the Upland City Council took steps to secure a commitment from Goodman in the form of a contract that was intended to keep him in place in the City of Gracious Living for what the council hoped would be the foreseeable future.

Upland boosted his total annual compensation to \$388,033.99, which included an annual salary of \$218,467.31, additions of \$12,623.59, benefits of \$62,790.14 and a \$94,152.95 contribution toward his retirement. That move initially raised Goodman's compensation above the \$372,755.03 provided to then-Upland City Manager Rosemary Hoerning.

For managerial purposes, that presented a dilemma, since on a municipal governance organizational chart, a city manager oversees the police chief. In only the rarest of circumstances does someone higher up in the hierar-

Continued on Page 5

Blinded By Propo- nent's Donations, Su- pervisors Let Poorly Designed Compost Plant Onto Desert Site *from front page*

which are nothing more than partially-treated human waste.

Jeff Meberg, the president of what was then known as Nursery Products, Inc., began during the first decade of the Third Millennium to seek permission to put the conversion and composting plant in Adelanto. Because of opposition to the plant at that location, he spun his wheels until at last determining that if he were to grease San Bernardino County's politicians, he could get clearance to proceed at a more remote location.

Meberg, a wealthy resident of San Clemente, made generous donations to the then-members of the board of supervisors, including Brad Mitzelfelt, Josie Gonzales, Gary Ovitt and Janice Rutherford. As a consequence, the board gave Meberg permission to proceed.

That permission was given despite penetrating questions that were raised with regard to environmental concerns. The Center for Biological Diversity, an environ-

mental group, stepped in to assist HelpHinkley.org, a group dedicated to fighting environmental issues on behalf of Hinkley residents, in an effort to derail the project.

While the Center for Biological Diversity prevailed with regard to several battles pertaining to environmental considerations and succeeded in getting judgments in its favor, Nursery Products again and again neglected to make good on paying the judgments against it granted by the judges who heard the suits brought against the company, which included the requirement that it pay to cover the Center for Biological Diversity's and HelpHinkley.org's legal expenses.

While Nursery Products lost those battles, it won the war. Meberg, pleading financial hardship, claimed to the county supervisors, who were inclined to listen to him because of his generosity toward them and their electioneering funds, that his company could not pay for the mitigations that the environmentalists wanted in the design of the facility. One of those mitigations was putting a cover over the open-air waste pit that was the main feature of the plant.

Consequently, those design modifications that should have been logically applied were not incorporated into the plant because of the cost.

The facility was permitted to process 400,000 "wet tons" of material annually.

It is less than clear how much material actually comes in to the facility and how much compost goes out. Whatever those totals, far greater tonnages came in than a final product for use as compost was being created. What has long been ongoing is that the waste was simply dumped into a huge 80-acre hole in the ground. The vast majority of the material deposited there would dry out through evaporation in the hot desert environment, at which point it would be ignited. This represented the so-called processing the plant was credited with performing.

The problem is the oxidation of the material – its burning – created noxious smoke. Moreover, substances which were not supposed to be present in the waste material, including chemicals, plastics, inorganics and pharmaceuticals, as well as organic byproducts such as non-edible vegetable derivatives, fruit

derivatives or meat derivatives, were dropped into the waste pit. Upon being burned, pollutants would be released into the atmosphere.

In 2020, Synagro Technologies Inc. was acquired by Goldman Sachs.

The management at the plant – whether it was under Nursery Products, Synagro or Goldman Sachs – was engaging in all of this unpermitted activity without the knowledge or consent of the Mojave Air Quality Management District. A calculation was that the remote location of the facility allowed such environmental crimes to go undetected. That calculation was relatively accurate. Nevertheless, those who lived close to the plant or downwind of it or who commuted through the area became aware of what was going on.

For quite some time, there were occasional complaints lodged about odors or smoke emanating from the plant. In the past there may have been instances where the fires in the waste pit defied, at least for a time, control and could not be doused. Eventually, though, they would be put out, in all known cases, in less than two weeks.

At some precisely un-

known time, probably the last week of May of this year, a fire was lit in the pit that has yet to be contained.

Though the operations there previously were conducted outside official governmental scrutiny, since May 28 it has been widely known that the open-air pit has been on fire, with smoke pouring out of it, detectable, depending on wind conditions and visibility, as far away as Barstow.

There were 167 complaints about the smoke, odor and hazard from the smoldering feces and organics mix in June. So far this year, despite the facility being in a relatively obscure portion of the desert, it has attracted more complaints to the Air Quality Management District than any other single entity or facility.

The conflagration in the pit has given rise to anxiety that to those breathing the smoke, no matter how diffused, it represents potential damage to their lungs, vascular system, liver or other organs.

State regulators have now moved to assess fines against Goldman Sachs that presently total roughly \$1 million. If the fire is not put out and other actions taken to redress code and health

and safety violations, that fine will be ratcheted up to \$2 million by the end of August and \$3 million if the issues are not cured by the end of September.

Meanwhile, the politicians who took money from Meberg in exchange for allowing him to build the facility without holding him to any standard have gotten off scot-free.

Mitzelfelt, in whose First District the Nursery Products facility was located, in 2012 used the money that Meberg and other donors had given him to make a run for Congress. He was due for reelection as supervisor that year, but believed he had a chance to replace then-retiring Congressman Jerry Lewis. He placed fifth in that year's Congressional primary, which meant he did not make into the November runoff. He had given up his shot at getting reelected to the board of supervisors in exchange for his Congressional run.

Ovitt in 2014 chose not to seek reelection.

Gonzales was reelected in 2012 and 2016. She was termed out of office in 2020.

This year, Rutherford is being termed out of office after having served three terms.

–Mark Gutglueck

Big Bear Officials Unable To Reach Compromise To Remove Short-Term Rental Regulation Measure From The November Ballot *from front page*

few days.

While renting their properties out for a short span has proven advantageous and lucrative for some homeowners or investors, the influx of temporary residents into any given locale can create nuisances for those living near such leased properties. In at least some cases, homes or cabins have been simply converted into temporary accommodations without regard to local ordinances or regulations.

Nearby residents have been put at the disadvantage of having, for a short time, neighbors they

do not know and who in some cases have little or no regard for others they are not likely to ever see again.

On occasion, those guests would prove to be poor neighbors, creating disturbances, inviting dozens, scores or even hundreds of others to parties on the leased or rented premises, involving parking and traffic problems. Rarely but still potentially, such parties can prove to be raves, with highly intoxicated participants. Excessive noise has been an issue in some cases. Bonfires are a staple of such gatherings. In some isolated cases, those lodging at the rental properties or their guests grow aggressive or confrontational with nearby residents.

The Big Bear Lake City Council has found itself caught between on one side the full-time residents who want tough

restrictions imposed on both tourists and the owners of vacation rental units and on the other side the often-absentee landlords who are making a substantial amount of money by renting their properties on a temporary basis and want nothing in place that will discourage renters from coming to Big Bear Lake.

Over the last several years, a majority of the city council has proven more responsive to the wealthier absentee landlords, and responded to the calls for a strict ordinance by instituting what many local residents consider to be watered-down measures to create a regulatory regime that involves a modest licensing requirement and fines on cabin owners on whose properties problems manifest, with the potential for revocation of those licenses if the nuisances persist on a given property.

A contingent of city residents who did not believe that City Hall had gone far enough formed in April 2021. They lobbied for more vigorous regulation. Its members made a concerted call for a cap on vacation rentals, and they pushed the city to increase the transitory occupancy tax – i.e., the city's bed tax or hotel tax – from 8 percent to

12 percent, based on their argument that 35 percent of the calls for service from the fire department or sheriff's department in Big Bear Lake involve short term rental properties and/or visitors to the city. In August 2021, the Big Bear Lake City Council voted 4-to-1 against a proposed cap on vacation rental permits, with the controlling council

majority members saying they wanted to give the regulations that exist an opportunity to work. If those did not achieve the desired results, they said they might then put more restrictive measures into place.

That was not good enough for the group of residents animated about the issue of vacation rent-

Continued on Page 5

Niles Retiring *from front page*

large measure made possible by the support he and other members of the department had gotten from the community.

In 2002 he started with the department as a patrol officer in the Morongo Basin Sheriff's Station. As a detective, sergeant and lieutenant, he worked homicide.

He returned to the Morongo Basin in December

2018 as to serve as second-in-command to Captain Trevis Newport.

Niles first came to the Morongo Valley as a Marine in 1997.

As the head of the Morongo Basin Sheriff's Station in Joshua Tree, Niles served as the chief of police for the City of Twentynine Palms and the Town of Yucca Valley.

The lowest moment of his time with the department came last year on Memorial Day when Ser-

geant Dominic Vaca, who served under Niles at the Morongo Basin Sheriff's Station, was killed by gunfire while seeking to apprehend Bilal Winston Shabazz, an armed motorcyclist who had sought to elude capture after refusing to yield during an effort to ticket him for riding a bike without a license plate.

Like Niles, Vaca was a veteran, having served in the U.S. Army. Niles delivered a tribute to Vaca at his funeral.

The Assumption Was That As A Black Police Chief, Goodman Would Magically Cure All Of The Department's Social Shortcomings *from front page*

chy of an organization make less money than those answerable to him or her.

That Goodman was one of those rarefied instances of someone making more money than his boss was an indicator of how much he was valued. Nevertheless, this elicited concern that the city's proper line of authority had been compromised through the creation of a circumstance in which Goodman was being remunerated at a higher rate of pay than Hoerning, who was technically in a position of authority over him. Ultimately, to address that managerial anomaly, the city council in Upland provided Hoerning with \$28,268.26 in annual add-ons to make her once more the top dog on Upland's staff roster.

In the summer of 2020, Goodman was briefly placed on administrative leave when his executive secretary lodged a complaint against him. Both Hoerning and Upland Mayor Debbie Stone chose to pursue an investigation and potential discipline or firing of Goodman in response to the complaint. In reaction, Goodman retained former Federal Judge Stephen Larson to represent him. Multiple issues were raised in contesting the suspension, including accusations that Goodman was being discriminated against because of his race. There was simultaneously a spontaneous outpouring of support for Goodman from the community. Within a week, Goodman was reinstated.

In San Bernardino, even before McBride departed as acting police chief last year, both city officials and community activists had come to consider Goodman to be something of a dream candidate for the position of the city's top cop.

His three decades of experience as a lawman appealed to traditionalists and the pro-law enforcement crowd on the right.

His educational status was impressive, appealing to those on both the right and left of the political spectrum. He stood at the threshold of having a PhD, an extremely uncommon achievement for law enforcement professionals who normally place a greater emphasis on action than academics. He was unable to put the title of Dr. in front of his name only because he had been so engaged in running the Upland Police Department that he had simply not had the time to devote to completing his dissertation.

Moreover, Goodman was an African American, which was of tremendous appeal to those on the left, for whom identity politics is the watchword. On the basis of his race alone, they calculated, Goodman would be sensitive to the plight of minorities in American society and the outrage of summary punishment by police officers meted out at the street level and the injustices too often administered through the courts. Moreover, Goodman had himself asserted his own status as an abused minority when he was temporarily removed as police chief in the City of Gracious Living.

In Goodman, everyone in San Bernardino, it seemed, perceived what they wanted to perceive. He was a superman, someone who would come in, uphold the law and direct his officers to arrest criminals and make the city's streets safe once again while simultaneously purging the department of the brutal and biased white supremacists who were abusing their authority to unjustifiably harass, bedevil, arrest, incarcerate, testify against and even cripple, maim or kill innocents because of racial animus. He was the answer, the solution, the leader, the messiah and savior everyone was waiting for.

Early on, San Bernardino officials signaled

to Goodman they were enthusiastic, indeed anxious, to hire him as police chief. This put the city and its taxpayers at a tremendous disadvantage in the negotiations that ensued with Goodman, as he was able to deal from a position of strength. After months of back and forth discussions between Goodman and City Manager Robert Field, during the course of which Goodman had the opportunity to dialog with Upland city officials and to see the degree to which they were willing to increase his salary and benefits to keep him on the west end of the county, he was able to utilize the figures he was offered in Upland to induce Field to go higher and higher and yet higher in his offers to have Goodman take on the chief of police spot in the county seat. On April 1, it was announced that Goodman was leaving Upland and would begin as San Bernardino's police chief in July. Tellingly, neither Field, nor the city council nor the city in general disclosed or offered for public scrutiny Goodman's employment contract, despite it being a public document. Nor did Field, nor the council nor the city reveal how much Goodman is being paid in his role as police chief.

Informally, Goodman began with the city on June 1. On June 15, he was sworn in as chief in a public ceremony.

In September 2021, Captain Francisco Hernandez had been promoted to the position of assistant police chief. With a Hispanic as Goodman's right-hand man, it was the nearly universal hope that the department could at last put the *bête noire* of institutional racism behind it and that somehow Goodman would magically elude the pitfalls that lay before him. Unmentioned was that the pitfalls reserved for the San Bernardino police chief stretched nearly to hell itself.

As it turned out, Providence did grant Goodman a one-month grace period. From his swearing-in to July 15, he and the department

suffered no untoward incident. But Saturday, June 16 dawned, and as the day progressed, Adams found his way to one of the many areas in San Bernardino where illegal activity proliferates, in this case, the back parking lot shared by several commercial establishments, including an illegal online gambling business located in the 400 block of West Highland Street as well as Golden State Medical & Oxygen Service at 424 West Highland Avenue. That parking lot is a locale at which a concentration of vice activity, including drug dealing and pimping, is known to take place.

Right around sunset, which fell, according to the National Weather Service, at 7:57 p.m., a call came into the police department's dispatch center reporting that an armed man was seen in the parking lot. Two officers with the department, who at this time have not yet been identified, rolled on the call after the dispatch center broadcast.

Video footage of the shooting was made publicly available by the department in a posting to YouTube early on July 19 titled "Critical Incident Debrief – Officer Involved Shooting." The shooting in that posting is shown from both the perspective of a parking lot security video and the bodyworn camera of the officer who shot Adams.

Roughly seven seconds after the officers' car first appears on the security video slowly pulling into the parking lot, the car comes to a stop. As the portion of the video shown in the debrief video begins, Adams, who in the field of the video is somewhat closer to the elevated camera recording the scene than the car, can be seen in right profile facing the back of the building in which Golden State Medical & Oxygen Service is quartered as he is apparently speaking to another black man seen in left profile who is closer to the building standing between two parked cars. That black man, the *Sentinel* has learned, is Adams' cousin. Roughly

two seconds after the car comes into the parking lot, Adams pivots to his left to regard the vehicle so that he is only seen from behind on the security video. Shortly thereafter, and while his back is yet to the camera, he appears to pull an object out of his waistband with his right hand. When his hand drops to his side, a dark and linear object can be seen in his hand pointing toward the ground. It then appears that he has placed the object into the right pocket of the nearly-knee length shorts he is wearing as he begins a slow and what appears to be an almost rhythmic shuffle toward the car. As he is taking a sixth step, the officers, who are in uniform, abruptly emerge from either side of the vehicle simultaneously, at which point they both have their guns drawn. The light-sighting on the nearest Adams is already activated and appears as a bright light beam as he launches himself from the driver side of the car. Upon the officers exiting their vehicle, Adams wheels around nearly 180 degrees and begins running away. His flight takes him into the narrow gap between two cars parked with their front ends proximate to the back of the Golden State Medical & Oxygen Service building. The debrief video at that point backtracks some two or three seconds to show the video from the bodyworn camera of the officer on the driver side of the car as he exits the vehicle, with the security camera video synchronized in a small screen to the lower right of the debrief video to thus show the shooting from both perspectives. When the door flies open, the driver darts straight toward Adams. As Adams is caught between the two cars and nears the wall of the Golden State Medical & Oxygen Service building, which has blocked him in, he appears to jump almost straight up as he heaves something onto the roof of the Golden State Medical & Oxygen Service building as the officer shoots at

him five times. He collapses into the space near the front of the cars and the building. Thereafter, a considerable portion of the bodyworn camera video shown on the debrief video is electronically blurred but does seem to depict the officer who shot Adams using a belt to apply a tourniquet to the fallen Adams as the officer can be heard saying, "See if they can get a trauma kit to the next unit." In the field of the bodycam video that is unobscured, seen lying next to Adams is a wad of what appears to be at least 17 \$20 bills. The video from the perspective of the officer's bodyworn camera then jumps ahead an unknown amount of time, resuming to show the officer and at least one other officer or fireman are carrying Adams rapidly – indeed virtually running as the clacking of their shoes on the pavement seems to indicate they are trotting – to a fire department paramedic unit.

In the aftermath of the incident, a report that a member or members of the police department had shot a black man in the back as he was fleeing from them spread throughout the community. Immediately thereafter, what was apparently a copy of the surveillance video showing Adams being gunned down was posted to the internet.

Any hope Darren Goodman once had that he could engage in policing a crime-ridden predominantly minority community while the entirety of the United States in general and San Bernardino in particular are caught in a thunderstorm and deluge of charges of racial bias and prejudice and that he might simultaneously maneuver between the raindrops and keep from getting wet was over forever. By Monday, July 18, he was faced with a decision: He would need to either back the officers he commanded or join in with the social reformers and crusaders for racial justice calling for the officer or officers responsible for the killing of Rob Adams

Continued on Page 6

Redlands Officials Willing To Restrict Building In Agricultural Zone So High-Rises Can Be Built Downtown *from front page*

referendum for the ballot, the city council had no choice but to schedule the measure for a vote. In August 2021, the council did so, slating the vote to take place in conjunction with the municipal election scheduled for November 2022.

Redlands University President Krista Newkirk appealed to the city council, asking it to use its authority as the city's legislative body to place an alternate measure on the November ballot, one that would set a height limitation on buildings in the city of three stories and a height of 43 feet from the ground level to their highest point visible from the fronting street with the exception of buildings that are located within a quarter mile of the transit stations that lie within the University Street Transit Village and the Alabama Street, California Street and New York Street Transit Villages, which are to instead be subject to a master development plan and shall be limited to four stories and a height of 68 feet as measured from the ground level to their highest point visible from the fronting street.

On June 21, 2022, the city council complied with Newkirk's request, at the same time ignoring suggestions that it include in that measure a provision calling for instituting safeguards against aggressive development taking place elsewhere in the city, particularly in the city's existing agricultural zones which are nevertheless vulnerable to zone changes in the future which would allow farmland and groves to be converted into houses or warehouses or foundries or commercial centers.

University administrators understood that sentiment against aggressive development within Redlands runs high, as was demonstrated by city's voters' passage of the controlled-growth or slow-growth Proposition R in 1978, Measure N in 1987 and Measure U in 1997. They sensed that Friends of Redlands and Redlanders for Responsible Growth Management, having already captured the momentum of the enthusiasm for controlled-growth in the city and having lined up a dependable 7,715 votes in their favor before the respective campaigns for

the competing measures had even begun, would very likely succeed in getting more votes for their measure than the alternate measure.

Under California's Government Code and Elections Code, if two voter initiatives are in conflict and both pass during the same election, the one with the greater number of votes goes into effect.

The university's administrators were acutely conscious that the measure they were pinning their hopes on had been put on the November ballot by the vote of a mere five members of the city council last month. At the same time, the measure sponsored by Friends of Redlands and Redlanders for Responsible Growth Management already had the support of all of the residents who had signed the petition to put it on the ballot, roughly 17 percent of the city's 45,458 registered voters. With that kind of head start going into the fall campaign, coupled with the consideration that Friends of Redlands and Redlanders for Responsible Growth Management have as their inspirational leader and prime mover former Redlands Mayor Bill Cunningham, whose effectiveness in marshal-

ing voter support for citizen-submitted initiatives aimed at limiting development and preserving historical properties is legendary in Redlands, the university's administrators had come to recognize that using the city council's alternative measure to thwart the original controlled-growth measure was an unworkable strategy.

To maintain their ability to build the four-story structures they covet, Newkirk and others at the university involved themselves once more in a dialogue with the 96-year-old Cunningham, knowing he considers maintaining the agricultural district at the city's south end to be the one legacy he most wants to pass on to succeeding generations. They proposed asking the city council to cancel placing the measure it had approved at the June 21 meeting on the November ballot and instead revamping it so that it still contained the provision to allow four-story structures within a quarter mile of the train stations at the epicenter of the city's planned transit villages while simultaneously incorporating a provision calling for "the preservation of all parcels of land within the area, including those in San

Timoteo Canyon, west of the Southeast Area, identified [as] Resource Preservation in the Redlands 2035 General Plan," such that the agricultural zoning on the property in that district will be locked in. The amended replacement measure they were proposing, if it passes, entails a requirement that the agricultural zoning could not be changed by a vote of the city council and would instead require a majority vote of the city's residents in a city-wide election if that property is to be developed into anything other than farmland or groves or left as free natural open space. Cunningham, as the official proponent of the measure sponsored by Friends of Redlands and Redlanders for Responsible Growth Management, had the authority to rescind the request for the original measure to be placed on the ballot. He agreed to do just that if the city council agreed to jettison the alternate measure it had approved on June 21 and instead ask the county registrar of voters to place the substitute alternative measure containing the San Timoteo Canyon agricultural zoning preservation component on the ballot.

The city council this week, in a 4-to-0 vote

with Councilman Mick Gallagher abstaining because he lives in San Timoteo Canyon, voted to yank the alternative measure approved June 21 off the November ballot and replace it with the substitute alternative measure. Accordingly, Cunningham has now requested that registrar of voters remove the original measure submitted last year from the November ballot.

If, as is widely anticipated, the measure passes, then the city will be able to proceed with the Transit Villages concept.

The Transit Villages plan calls for high density residential uses in multi-story structures to be built within walking distance of train stations located near Redlands University, Downtown Redlands and in the New York Avenue, Alabama Street and California Street districts. Those projects involve constructing clusters of high-rise apartment buildings that will entail as many as 100 units per acre. The transit villages concept taps into a trend in urban planning in recent years which emphasizes the need to facilitate heavier use of public transportation, including commuter rail systems.

-Mark Gutglueck

Having Short-Term Rental Regulation Measure On Big Bear Ballot Could Impact City Council & Recall Contests *from page 3*

als, which on October 21, 2021 changed its name to Big Bear Lake United to Limit Short Term Rentals. Thereafter, its members embarked on an effort to bypass the city council and they set about gathering signatures on a petition to place on the October 2022 ballot an initiative calling for a limit on the number of vacation rentals in the city. In that same election, three positions on the Big Bear Lake City Council – those now held by Mayor Rick Herrick and council members Randall Putz and Perri Melnick – are up for election.

Previously, Herrick, Putz, Melnick and Councilwoman Bynette Mote

appeared convinced that the resident discontent with the behavior and imposition of tourists on the locals was not of a critical dimension. The four were a bit taken aback when the group was able to get 762 of the city's 2,887 registered voters to endorse the petition to put the measure on this year's ballot. According to San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters Michael Jimenez, he and his office made an analysis of 344 of those 762 signatures affixed to the petitions, determining that 295 were indeed valid. Thus, Jimenez deemed that the petitioners had met their burden of getting enough signatures to put the measure on the ballot.

That was something of a wake-up call to the council majority. Only Councilman Alan Lee last year was in support of enhanced tourist regulation. The other four members felt they had control

of the levers and pulleys at City Hall, which had the authority to prevent the local citizenry from indulging its appetite for clamping down on the lucrative tourism industry.

By May, it seemed that Putz and Melnick were willing to compromise on the line they had taken against strict regulations on short-term rental operations and would at least entertain the notion of joining with Lee in seeking to insulate local residents and their neighborhoods from sometimes rowdy and rude outsiders.

On May 16, the council agreed to appoint Melnick and Putz to an ad hoc committee to see if they could formulate a half-way or three-quarter-way compromise that would be satisfactory to the sponsors of the ballot measure to avoid having the issue decided in the election. It was thought that if the council agreed to institute

through a vote of its members some regulations with teeth in them in the form of either a resolution or ordinance that held out the promise of actually abating nuisances at the vacation rental properties, Big Bear Lake United to Limit Short Term Rentals might agree to rescind the petition to put the measure on the ballot.

After numerous meetings, however, ones both official and unofficial, formal and informal, a workable accommodation between the two sides, the one aligned with residents and the other with the city's entrepreneurs, has eluded those seeking it.

It was reported to the *Sentinel* that both Melnick and Putz had conferred with the owners of vacation rentals and tried to usher them toward agreeing to certain conditions and restrictions on their operations. This brought a response that was then put before the prime movers

with Big Bear Lake United to Limit Short Term Rentals. The leaders of the residents' group felt the concessions the council ad-hoc committee had been able to get out of the short-term rental owners were inadequate to redress the problems, and they believe keeping the measure on the ballot will likely meet their expectations in that regard, as they are relatively certain the measure will pass.

There is some prospect that further discussion will yield some compromise, but the registrar of voters' looming and fast approaching deadline by which the ballot must be finalized renders it unlikely that city officials can convince the measure's sponsors to agree to remove the initiative from the ballot.

The question of whether the measure will remain on the ballot has wider political implications, since not only are

Melnick, Putz and Herrick due to stand for reelection in November, Councilman Lee is facing a recall question that was put on the ballot by an amalgam of residents and businesses in the city, including those involved in the tourism industry, and with the support of the other four members of the city council, none of whom get along particularly well with Lee. Having the measure to tighten restrictions on vacation rentals on the ballot will very likely drive to the polls large numbers of residents unhappy with the city's lukewarm regulations relating to short-term rentals. Those voters are more likely to support Lee against the recall effort and will probably vote against Putz, Herrick and Melnick in the regularly scheduled municipal election if alternate candidates emerge to oppose them.

-Mark Gutglueck

With Push Come To Shove On The Social Justice Vs. Law & Order Issue, Goodman Reflexively Backed His Officers *from page 4*

to have their guns and badges taken away and prosecuted for, take your pick, either coldblooded or hotblooded murder.

Anyone who had any delusion that Goodman might choose the latter course was soon rudely disappointed.

In the debriefing video, Goodman unequivocally took the position that the shooting was justified, and that Adams' fate was the product of his own poor choice to embrace a life of criminality.

Saying that it was the goal of his department "to be transparent with the community

and share as much information as possible," Goodman provided a narrative of events.

"On Saturday, July 16, 2022, at approximately 8:05 p.m., two fully uniformed San Bernardino specialized unit investigation officers were conducting follow up in an unmarked vehicle after receiving information from a citizen informant that a black male adult armed with a handgun was seen in the parking lot of a business located in the 400 block of West Highland Avenue. As officers arrived, they spotted two males, one of them clearly displaying a gun in his waistband. Additionally, a number of community members were walking and in their vehicles in the parking lot as well as residents outside in their adjacent homes. One of the males, later identified as 23-year-old Rob Marquis Adams of San Bernardino, saw the officers' vehicle, pulled the gun from his waistband and began walking toward the officers' car. The officers exited their vehicle and gave Adams verbal commands, but he immediately ran toward two parked vehicles with the gun in his right hand. Officers briefly chased Adams but seeing that he had no outlet, they be-

lieved he intended to use the vehicle as cover to shoot at them. The officer saw Adams look over his shoulder with the gun still in his right hand. Fearing that bystanders' or the officers' lives were in danger, one of the officers fired his gun, striking Adams."

According to Goodman, "Officers quickly rendered medical aid and Adams was transported to a local hospital where he died as a result of his injuries. The second subject was taken into custody and subsequently released without incident."

Throughout the debrief video, Goodman repeatedly stated with emphasis that Adams was armed. "Adams' gun, a black nine-millimeter Taurus G3C with a round in the chamber and ten rounds in the magazine, was recovered at the scene," Goodman said as the video offered a close-up on that weapon.

Goodman bewailed that the public had taken possession of not only the story but a key element of the evidence pertaining to it before the department had the opportunity to conduct its investigation and construct an authoritative narrative of what had occurred.

"Unfortunately, a surveillance video was released on social media prior to our department having the opportunity to review all available information and evidence," Goodman said. "The video, which has been posted online, fails to provide critical details or context as to what actually occurred during the incident, details like the specific location has a history of criminal activity, including an armed robbery involving Adams as a suspect, where he held several victims at gunpoint and was in possession of numerous firearms. Adams not only clearly possessed a firearm but pulled it from his waistband and displayed it as he walked toward the officers' vehicle. There were numerous innocent bystanders in the immediate area. Adams was given commands by the uniformed police officers, which is confirmed by witness

statements, including the male that was with Adams. The officers did not immediately engage him when he walked toward their vehicle, hoping that he would follow their commands and drop the gun. Adams had an extensive criminal history, was on felony probation for armed robbery and had felony warrants for assault with a deadly weapon, possession of stolen property and robbery. He had several other prior arrests, including a conviction for robbery."

Goodman said, "We ask that the public and the media allow us to complete our investigation and obtain all of the



Rob Adams

facts available before rendering opinions. The San Bernardino Police Department is also conducting an administrative investigation to ensure that department policies and procedures were followed. The San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office responded to the scene and is conducting a parallel investigation, which is ongoing."

Goodman made clear that when it comes to any contradiction between the version of events his officers provide and that of others who are not as trusting of department personnel and who detect a discrepancy between the available facts and evidence as opposed to what the sworn upholders of the law who are answerable to him as their chief maintain, he is prepared to side with those who have a professional and sworn duty to perpetuating justice.

"The men and women of the San Bernardino Police Department work tirelessly to protect our community and our residents during a time when violent crime is on the rise," Goodman said. "It is unfortunate that our efforts to keep the community safe through

proactive police work occasionally results in encounters with armed felons. Officers face this danger daily in an effort to help make San Bernardino a safer community."

While both videos at present available to the public – the security video and the bodyworn video of the officer who shot Adams – contain elements that support Goodman's version of events, the entirety of what has been released is not as cut and dried as the police department suggests or otherwise put out in its debrief video or in its initial statement with regard to the shooting. An unknown amount of video footage, indeed a fair portion of it, is currently being withheld without explanation. The attorneys for Adams' family dispute some key elements of Goodman's narrative, including the assertion that he was armed. They say that the withheld footage will establish that the object seen briefly on the surveillance video described by Goodman as a gun was in fact a cell phone, and they have suggested that the black nine-millimeter Taurus G3C said to be Adams' has a handle virtually as long as the gun's barrel, such that the handle would have protruded from the bottom of Adams' hand and would have been visible when what the police department claims was the gun's barrel could be seen pointed at the ground just before Adams slipped it into his pocket and began to approach the unmarked police car.

Moreover, Adams' family's lawyers maintain, the department presented in the debrief video footage from the body camera of the officer who shot Adams which had been electronically blurred at crucial points when the officer was standing over Adams in the last minutes of his life, which blocked out key evidence – the cell phone lying beside Adams – which would establish he did not have a gun. The black nine-millimeter Taurus G3C said to be Adams' was

planted, Adams' family's lawyers say.

Within 48 hours of Adams' death, his mother, Tamika Deavila-King, his stepfather, Audwin King and his father, Robert Adams, had retained civil rights attorney Ben Crump and his associate Bradley Gage, to represent them.

"This is a classic example of shoot first and ask questions later," said Crump, who represented the family of George Floyd after he was killed during an arrest by Minneapolis Police on May 25, 2020. "It is the most cowardly type of murder to shoot a person in the back multiple times."

Crump rejected the suggestion that Adams represented a threat to the officers. "He's trying to get away," Crump said. "They come up with these subjective fears to try to justify the unjustifiable acts of killin' us." Crump, Gage and Adams' mother, Tamika Deavila-King, said that Adams was on the phone when the shooting occurred.

"I was on the phone, and all I heard after that was gunshots," Deavila-King said during a press conference/rally held on the steps of San Bernardino City Hall on Wednesday.

Adams' father, Robert Adams, said, "My son, Rob, wasn't a gang member. He was a good kid. To see my son get shot multiple times in the back... What father, or what parent would want to see their kid killed on a video?"

Gage told the *Sentinel*, "First and foremost, the item we see in his hand in the video is a cell phone. It is not the same color and it is not the same size as the gun. He was talking to his mother from the cell phone, which they falsely describe as a gun. They show you a gun afterwards in the video made for the press, but that is not the same color as what is in his hand."

Imputing to Adams criminal involvement based upon the video evidence is a fallacy, Gage said.

"In the surveillance video you can see a car come up," Gage said. "It

is unmarked with tinted windows. It goes slowly and you see Rob talking to his friend. The car goes slow, like in a drive-by [shooting]. Now, they [Rob and the other individual described by Gage as his friend and since identified as his cousin] start paying attention. At that time, you can see in his hand the cell phone. You can see on the video, he is happy. He is dancing around because he just bought a new BMW. When they [the two police officers] come out of the car, you can see there is no warning time. You see a flashing and they start shooting almost as soon as he starts running. The body camera video kills their argument that they warned him [to surrender]. They never warned him."

Gage said the department blurred the video when the officer who shot Adams was standing over him.

"That obscured, I believe, proof that what he had was a cell phone," Gage said. "If you look at the data, he [the officer driving the car] turned on the body camera before getting out of the car. Even if you believe the statement that there is a 30-second-long buffer [before the video registers an audio], that should have passed while they were in the car. He comes out of the car and starts shooting almost instantaneously. Rob is looking back at him as he runs. At that point the shots are coming, and he runs like any reasonable person would. Then, when he goes down, the officer keeps shooting at him while he is on the ground."

The officer who fatally shot Adams acted prematurely and without provocation or justification, Gage said.

"We are not 100 percent sure, but we do believe it was one officer who shot, and the other officer did not shoot," Gage said. "That is significant. An officer acting reasonably would shoot if he felt he or others might be in danger. If one did not shoot, there was no justification for the other to shoot. Both officers are running when they

Continued on Page 12

Public Notices

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Sections 3071 of the Civil Code of the State of California the undersigned will sell the following vehicle(s) at lien sale at said address below on: 07/29/2022 09:00 AM

Year of Car / Make of Car / Vehicle ID No. / License No. (State)

2013 CHRY 2C3CCAAGXDH743194 8RSW984 CA

To be sold by ENRIQUE DURAN RAMIREZ 14881 MERRILL AVE FONTANA CA 92335

Said sale is for the purpose of satisfying lien for together with costs of advertising and expenses of sale.

Published in the Sn Bernardino County Sentinel July 22, 2022

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Sections 3071 of the Civil Code of the State of California the undersigned will sell the following vehicle(s) at lien sale at said address below on: 07/29/2022 09:00 AM

Year of Car / Make of Car / Vehicle ID No. / License No. (State)

1985 VOLVO 8412V51634 9B87076 CA

To be sold by ROBINSON CALF RANCH 8455 SCHAEFER ONTARIO CA 91761

Said sale is for the purpose of satisfying lien for together with costs of advertising and expenses of sale.

Published in the Sn Bernardino County Sentinel July 22, 2022

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Sections 3071 of the Civil Code of the State of California the undersigned will sell the following vehicle(s) at lien sale at said address below on: 07/29/2022 09:00 AM

Year of Car / Make of Car / Vehicle ID No. / License No. (State)

1987 INTERNATIONAL IHSLCZVN8HH507505 81197C1 CA

To be sold by ROBINSON CALF RANCH 8455 SCHAEFER ONTARIO CA 91761

Said sale is for the purpose of satisfying lien for together with costs of advertising and expenses of sale.

Published in the Sn Bernardino County Sentinel July 22, 2022

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Sections 3071 of the Civil Code of the State of California the undersigned will sell the following vehicle(s) at lien sale at said address below on: 07/29/2022 09:00 AM

Year of Car / Make of Car / Vehicle ID No. / License No. (State)

1985 VOLVO 1WUEDE-JE5FN104585 6M30339 CA

To be sold by ROBINSON CALF RANCH 8455 SCHAEFER ONTARIO CA 91761

Said sale is for the purpose of satisfying lien for together with costs of advertising and expenses of sale.

Published in the Sn Bernardino County Sentinel July 22, 2022

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Sections 3071 of the Civil Code of the State of California the undersigned will sell the following vehicle(s) at lien sale at said address below on: 07/29/2022 09:00 AM

Year of Car / Make of Car / Vehicle ID No. / License No. (State)

1985 PETERBUILT 1XP-9D29X9FP193884 8X77034 CA

To be sold by ROBINSON CALF RANCH 8455 SCHAEFER ONTARIO CA 91761

Said sale is for the purpose of satisfying lien for together with costs of advertising and expenses of sale.

Published in the Sn Bernardino County Sentinel July

Public Notices

22, 2022 AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB2209632

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: ALIJAU GULA ZADA / BASIRA filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

ALIJAN GUL ZADA to ALIJAN HOTAK, BASIRA to BASIRA HOTAK, AHMAD ZUBAIR HOTAK to ZUBAIR HOTAK, THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 07/29/2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 06/24/2022 Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2209659

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: LOYCE CAROLYN DAVENPORT filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

LOYCE CAROLYN DAVENPORT to LOIS CAROLYN DAVENPORT

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 9, 2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

John M. Pacheco Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

FBN 20220005199 The following person is doing business as: BIMA SERVICES 3837 N SILVER TREE CT RIALTO, CA 92377

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

FBN 20220005992 The following person is doing business as: INFINITE PHOTO CREATIONS [and] PACMAN POTTERY [and] BRIAN PACMAN 4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY, #464 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709; BRIAN PATAMAKANANTHIN 4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY, #464 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

Public Notices

San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 1, July 8, July 15 & July 22, 2022.

FBN 20220005199 The following person is doing business as: BIMA SERVICES 3837 N SILVER TREE CT RIALTO, CA 92377

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/27/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J1677

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

FBN 20220006123 The following person is doing business as: SHOTSBYTYM-MISHA 2770 W CALLE VISTA DR RIALTO, CA 92377; TYM-MISHA Q ROBERTSON 2770 W CALLE VISTA DR RIALTO, CA 92377

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2209561

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ to ZAMORA GILAH ISRAEL

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 9, 2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

John M. Pacheco Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

FBN 20220005992 The following person is doing business as: INFINITE PHOTO CREATIONS [and] PACMAN POTTERY [and] BRIAN PACMAN 4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY, #464 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709; BRIAN PATAMAKANANTHIN 4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY, #464 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

Public Notices

comes Public Record upon filing. s/ BRIAN PATAMAKANANTHIN, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/21/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy 15199

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

FBN 20220005944 The following entity is doing business as: ETE SOLAR 1155 S. MILLIKEN AVE., SUITE E ONTARIO, CA 91761; EARTHTECH ENTERPRISE INC 1155 S. MILLIKEN AVE., SUITE E ONTARIO, CA 91761

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2209561

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ to ZAMORA GILAH ISRAEL

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 9, 2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

John M. Pacheco Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

FBN 20220006123 The following person is doing business as: SHOTSBYTYM-MISHA 2770 W CALLE VISTA DR RIALTO, CA 92377; TYM-MISHA Q ROBERTSON 2770 W CALLE VISTA DR RIALTO, CA 92377

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/1, 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2209561

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MONIQUE JAZEL JIMENEZ to ZAMORA GILAH ISRAEL

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 9, 2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

John M. Pacheco Judge of the Superior Court.

Public Notices

San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: June 22, 2022 Judge John Pacheco Angelica Segura, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 8, July 15, July 22 & July 29, 2022.

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): 30-2021-01224356-CL-BC-CJC

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO DEMANDADO): WEI ZHENG, an individual; GEXIN ZHAO, an individual

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ELA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): FUYI GAO, an individual

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelp-california.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.su-

corte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.suocorte.ca.gov), o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de vaoir recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corta pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la direccion de la corte es): ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 700 CIVIC CENTER WEST SANTA ANA, CA 92701

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la direccion y el numero de telefono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Pu Huang, Esq. Law Offices of Pu Huang 2102 Business Center Dr. Suite 105 Irvine, CA 92612 Phone: 626-378-5287 DATE (Fecha): 10/05/2021 Clerk (Secretario), by DAVID H. YAMASAKI Clerk (Deputy) by: Lirio Sanchez

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 04/29/2022, 05/06/2022, 05/13/2022 & 05/20/2022 and corrected on July 8, July 15, July 22 & July 29, 2022.

FBN 20220006519 The following person is doing business as: UPLAND INDUSTRIAL HEALTH SERVICES INC 921 W FOOTHILL BLVD #2 UPLAND, CA 91786 UPLAND INDUSTRIAL HEALTH SERVICES INC 921 W FOOTHILL BLVD #2 UPLAND, CA 91786

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/8, 7/15 & 7/22, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: John Gerardo Caldera Case NO. PROSB2200925

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of John Gerardo Caldera

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Nicollasa Calderain the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Nicollasa Caldera be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 07/28/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of

Public Notices

San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: June 22, 2022 Judge John Pacheco Angelica Segura, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 8, July 15, July 22 & July 29, 2022.

SUMMONS – (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): 30-2021-01224356-CL-BC-CJC

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO DEMANDADO): WEI ZHENG, an individual; GEXIN ZHAO, an individual

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ELA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): FUYI GAO, an individual

Public Notices

California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

MARK W. REGUS II
1365 W. FOOTHILL BLVD., STE. 2, UPLAND, CA 91786
Telephone No: 909-938-2289
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

MOSES OROZCO SAMARIN (aka MOSES O. SAMARIN)

Case NO. PROSB2100104
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MOSES OROZCO SAMARIN (aka MOSES O. SAMARIN)

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by MARTHA MA MORALES DE SAMARIN in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MARTHA MA MORALES DE SAMARIN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 10/06/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, CENTRAL at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of

Public Notices

the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

MARK W. REGUS II
1365 W. FOOTHILL BLVD., STE. 2, UPLAND, CA 91786
Telephone No: 909-938-2289
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: EARL ELMER KNODEL

CASE NO. PROSB2201026
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of EARL ELMER KNODEL A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by MARK JAMES WACK II in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MARK JAMES WACK II be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-36 at 9:00 a.m. on SEPTEMBER 8, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District. IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court

within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Public Notices

within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Mark James Wack II:

ANTONIETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624) 1894 S. COMMERCIAL WEST, SUITE 108 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 Telephone No: (909) 890-2350 Fax No: (909) 890-0106 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 15, 22 & 29, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2212948

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: RAFAEL JEREMIAH LUMPKIN filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

RAFAEL JEREMIAH LUMPKIN to AZAREL JOSIYAH ISRAEL

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: AUGUST 18, 2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino,

247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: July 1, 2022 Judge John Pacheco Rosanna Gaitan, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 16, July 22, July 29 & August 5, 2022.

FBN ABANDONMENT FBN 20220006536 STATEMENT OF ABANDONMENT OF USE OF FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

The following entity is doing business as TRAP HOUSE PEST CONTROL 3781 KERN RD. CHINO, CA 91710: MIGUEL ALVARENGA 3527 LILA ST RIVERSIDE, CA 92504

Public Notices

Mailing Address: 3527 LILA ST RIVERSIDE, CA 92504

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MIGUEL ALVARENGA JR, Owner
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/11/2022 Original Filing: FBN 20200003534 Date of Current/Original Filing: MARCH 18, 2020

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy I5199

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/15, 7/22, 7/29 & 8/5, 2022.

FBN 20220006574

The following entity is doing business as STILL CRUISIN' 8389 MONTARA AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: ROBERTO MUNGUIA III 8389 MONTARA AVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JULY 9, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ROBERTO MUNGUIA III, Owner

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 07/11/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/ Deputy J2525

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/15, 7/22, 7/29 & 8/5, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF VIVIAN HAMILTON

Case No. PROSB2200989

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of VIVIAN HAMILTON

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Marchello James Bollatti in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Marchello James Bollatti be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, how-

Public Notices

ever, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on August 10, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S37 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: RICHARD F NEVINS ESQ

SBN 137261 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD F NEVINS 3895 BROCKTON AVENUE RIVERSIDE CA 92501 CN988670 HAMILTON Jul 15,22,29, 2022

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIVSB 2211239

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Claudia Lorena Guevara filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

Claudia Lorena Guevara to Claudia Lorena Avila Avila THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 08/09/2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Public Notices

DERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 06/03/2022 Judge of the Superior Court: JOHN M. PACHECO

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

Judy Rae Darroch Case NO. PROSB2201003
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of Judy Rae Darroch

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Deanna Marie Longin in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Deanna Marie Long be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S35 at 09:00 AM on 08/25/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Probate Division of San Bernardino District at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Deborah Tafolla 6777 Ridgeline Ave, San Bernardino, CA 92407 Telephone No: 9096932491

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 7/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER

Public Notices

Telephone No: 9094342640
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 7/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

Ramona Tafolla Case NO. PROSB2200530
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of Ramona Tafolla

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Deborah Tafollain the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Deborah Tafolla be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 08/25/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Deborah Tafolla 6777 Ridgeline Ave, San Bernardino, CA 92407 Telephone No: 9096932491

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 7/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER

Public Notices

ESTATE OF: BONNIE LEE CHRISTOPHERSON CASE NO. PROSB220053 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of BONNIE LEE CHRISTOPHERSON has been filed by LEE CHRISTOPHERSON in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that LEE CHRISTOPHERSON be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held NOVEMBER 28, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: April 13, 2022
AMY GAMEZ-REYES, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: April 13, 2022

Attorney for Lee Christopherson: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 22, 29 & August 5, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF

Public Notices

NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2213999

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: CHRISTINE HUNT DOMINGUEZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

CHRISTINE HUNT DOMINGUEZ to CHRISTINE HUNT SWAIN

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: SEPTEMBER 6, 2022

Time: 08:30 AM Department: S-17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino,

247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Filed: July 18, 2022
Judge John Pacheco Rosanna Gaitan, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on July 22 & July 29 and August 5 & August 12, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2213530

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Burt Lavarez Labios filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

Burt Lavarez Labios to Norberto Lavarez Labios THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 08/24/2022 Time: 08:30 AM Department: S16

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino County Superior Court 8303 Haven Avenue Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 06/23/2022 Judge of the Superior

Public Notices

Court: John M. Pacheco Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

SUMMONS - (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO) 37-2021-00034610-CU-PANC

NOTICE TO ALREDO ALVAREZ VAZQUEZ AND DOES 1 TO 10 (AVISO DEMANDADO):

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): APRIL E. ORTIZ

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una repuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefonica no le protegen. Su repuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia.

Public Notices

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corta pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es):

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

325 S. MELROSE DRIVE VISTA, CA 92801 NORTH COUNTY DIVISION

The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

EDWARD ALBEROLA, ESQ.; BAR NO: 243431 CARLTON & ALBEROLA 23792 ROCKFIELD BLVD, SUITE 101 LAKE FOREST, CA 92630

949-356-6444 Telephone: 949-356-6444 DATE (Fecha):08/13/2021 Clerk (Secretario), by ASHLEY CARINI Published in the SAN BERNARDINO SENTINEL on: 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

STATEMENT OF DAMAGES (PERSONAL INJURY OR WRONGFUL DEATH) CASE NUMBER: 37-2021-00034610-CU-PANC TO ALVAREZ VAZQUEZ AND DOES 1-10 THE PLAINTIFF APRIL E. ORITZ SEEKS DAMAGES IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED ACTION, AS FOLLOWS:

1. GENERAL DAMAGES: A. PAIN, SUFFERING, AND INCONVENIENCE FOR \$500,000.00

2. SPECIAL DAMAGES: A. MEDICAL EXPENSES (TO DATE) FOR \$10,000.00

B. FUTURE MEDICAL EXPENSES (PRESENT VALUE) FOR \$50,000.00

C. LOSS OF EARNINGS (TO DATE) FOR \$4,000.00

D. LOSS OF FUTURE EARNING CAPACITY (PRESENT VALUE) FOR \$125,000.00

DATE: 08/13/2021 s/EDWARD ALBEROLA, ESQ.

Published in the SAN BERNARDINO SENTINEL on: 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FBN20220006147

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LUNA PARTY RENTALS, 121 W CARLTON ST, ONTARIO, CA 91762

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Public Notices

Mailing Address: LUNA PARTY RENTALS CORP 121 W CARLTON ST, ONTARIO, CA 91762

State of Inc./Org./Reg. CA, Inc./Org./Reg. No. 5101746 Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ANGELICA CASTRO, CEO This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 06/27/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk, NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

AMENDED FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220003583

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: KJ FLIP FLOPPING, 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377; JOSEPH F SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377, KERRI SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377, Business is Conducted By: A MARRIED COUPLE

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/JOSEPH F SANCHEZ, OWNER This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 04/11/2022

County Clerk, NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 05/06/2022,05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022; Corrected on 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022 Corrected on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FBN20220006844

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: NORTON DELGADO FAMILY MEDICINE 8283 GROVE AVE STE 202 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 917309; NORTON DELGADO FAMILY MEDICINE 8283 GROVE AVE STE 202 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 917309

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377, Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 3720499

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P

Public Notices

Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/LAURA A. NORTON, CEO

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/20/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk G8420, NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FBN20220006714

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LOTUS GARDEN 1639 N MOUNTAIN AVE UPLAND, CA 91784 THE 168 TRADING, INC 5547 BRISAS CT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739

Business is Conducted By: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California as 4697804

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/JUN XU, President This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/20/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FBN20220006319

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LUNA CINEMATICS 4195 CHINO HILLS PKWAY #405 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709; MICHAEL LUNA 4195 CHINO HILLS PKWAY #405 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/MICHAEL C. LUNA This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 06/30/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: June 14, 2022

County Clerk J2530

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FBN20220006875

Public Notices

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: SMILE321 PHOTO BOOTHS 6851 HUDSON COURT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701 DANDY J SANSOME 6851 HUDSON COURT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701

[and] APRIL C SANSOME 6851 HUDSON COURT RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Business is Conducted By: A MARRIED COUPLE

BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ DANDY J SANSOME This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 07/21/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: June 12, 2022

County Clerk G8420

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 7/22/2022, 7/29/22, 8/5/22 & 8/12/22.

FBN 20220006229

The following person is doing business as: G&R AUTO TRANSPORT 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO; [MAILING ADDRESS 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408] RODICA CIRDEI 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ RODICA CIRDEI

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 28, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office

San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202202MT

FBN 20220006097

The following person is doing business as: SD&R PROPERTIES 23300 WESTWOOD GRAND TERRACE, CA 92313 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO RICHARD L ATKINSON 23300 WESTWOOD STREET GRAND TERRACE, CA 92313

The business is conducted by: A TRUST The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 22,2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ RICHARD L ATKINSON, TRUSTEE Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 23, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a

Public Notices

County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 07, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CNBB29202204MT

FBN 20220006427

Public Notices

The following person is doing business as: CALI DETAILING. 15957 SAN LEANDRO DR FONTANA, CA 9236311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92336 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO FABIAN PICON 15957 SAN LEANDRO DR FONTANA, CA 92336. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ FABIAN PICON, OWNER Statement filed with the

Public Notices

County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 06, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CNBB29202203CV

FBN 20220006384

Public Notices

The following person is doing business as: ALEX TRAILERS AND REPAIRS. 10916 ALDER AVE BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JANETH AYALA FLORES 10916 ALDER AVE BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316; JOSE A FLORES 10916 ALDER AVE BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316. The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JANETH AYALA FLORES, WIFE Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 05, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022

Public Notices

CNBB29202202MT FBN 20220006542 The following person is doing business as: GOMEZ CAD SERVICES. 3045 SANGABRIEL ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO RAYMOND R GOMEZ 3045 SAN GABRIEL ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Public Notices

Public Notices

s/RAYMONDR GOMEZ, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JULY 11, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/22/2022, 07/29/2022, 08/05/2022, 08/12/2022 CNBB292022011R

Some, Including A Few SB City Officials, Aren't Buying The Department's Contention That Adams, Even If He Was An Armed Criminal, Had To Be Shot & Killed from page 6

come out of the car and the one officer begins firing immediately. He became the judge, jury and executioner."

The *Sentinel* asked Gage if he believed that the officer who killed Adams was deliberately targeting Adams because of some past untoward interaction Adams had with him or other members of the department.

"Since I don't know the name of the officers in the shooting, I can't tell you if there was any specific animus involved," Gage said. "I can tell you there certainly seems to be a perception on the part of that officer that someone running away was deemed suspicious."

Gage said there could well be racial overtones to the shooting.

"I believe there have been racial issues with the department in the past," Gage said. "There was a black female lieutenant with the department who made very specific claims in that regard. So, I do believe race is part of that, the problems with bias in the department's policing of the community. We would like to believe that it is the content of our thoughts and our acts by which we are treated and judged and not the color of our skin. Unfortunately, racial inequality and racism exists. Chief Goodman is obviously Black, and he was in part hired to root out those

sorts of problems. The fact of the matter is he is new. He only got here last month. He has not had time to implement any of those revisions if that is what is on his agenda. On paper he has some very good credentials and I applaud San Bernardino for bringing in an African American as police chief. That he is a Black police chief in this case is irrelevant to what happened on Saturday night."

At the same time, Gage said, the race of the officer who shot Adams could have significance.

"From what we can see on the video, he does not appear Black," Gage said.

The department is selectively presenting evidence to support its contention that the shooting was justifiable, Gage said.

"If you look at the press [debrief] video, part of it is pixeled out," Gage said. "They are saying he had a gun in his hand, and they are also claiming he reached into his back pocket for a gun. When the officer came up to him lying on the ground, there is no gun. What you see on the ground to the side of the pixelation is not a gun but money bags."

Equally troubling, Gage said, is the delay that took place in rendering Adams adequate medical assistance after he was shot multiple times. At one point, the video depicts the officer who shot Adams and another person, either a policeman or perhaps a firefighter, hoisting Adams and virtually running with him to a waiting fire department paramedic unit.

"You also see that

in the body cam video of the officers running with Rob to the paramedic vehicle, they have edited out a chunk of time," Gage said. "Many minutes were taken out. This is very misleading. In that respect, you can tell by how much darker it is. It is light at the time of the shooting, which is between 7:59 [pm] and 8:03 [pm]. By the time you see them carrying him away, it is getting dark. At around 2 minutes and 50 seconds into their [debrief] video, from the time you see the money, the sun is starting to go down. That tells me it was later. The fire department is there already. I am not sure why they didn't get a stretcher. They could have brought a gurney to him. You can see that there was a big delay between the time he was shot and the time he was given over to the paramedics based on how dark the sky is getting. That is called deliberate indifference to medical needs."

Gage said that Goodman was seeking to vilify Adams, and had exaggerated or outright misstated his criminal history, confusing him with other family members or those of no relation to him who had convictions. Gage acknowledged Adams had a robbery conviction but said that was the extent of his criminal record. He called Goodman's statement about Adams on that score "false and defamatory. Perhaps he got him confused with other people named Robert Adams. Perhaps he just wanted to mislead. But whatever the reason for them putting out that misinformation, we

will be making a public records request and we will be asking for all information that supports that."

Three of the members of the San Bernardino City Council, Kimberly Calvin, Damon Alexander and Ben Reynoso, are African American.

Councilwoman Calvin characterized what Adams' family is going through as "horrific."

Councilman Alexander, who is a retired federal law enforcement agent, called the shooting death of Adams "a traumatic incident" and said the community wanted and had to be provided "transparency. There's a lot of tension in the city right now. You want to see something happen with your police department and city council."

Alexander said the public should know that determining what happened "is on the forefront of this mayor and council's agenda. I want to be sure that the public understands that this is something that is not going to be swept under the carpet, something that is not going to go away. It is important for us to follow this. It is important for us to continue to let you know what is going on. Answers are going to be had."

Alexander implied but did not state directly that the officer who shot Adams would be held to account.

"Things are going to be said, but you have to give us some time," Alexander said.

Reynoso, while accepting the police department's assertion that Adams was armed, nevertheless went on record as condemning his shooting as unjustified.

"Robert Adams was carrying a firearm when an unmarked Nissan Altima approached," Reynoso stated in a Twitter posting. "Police then exited the unmarked vehicle and Adams fled. Adams did not aim his weapon or fire a single shot. Police began firing immediately upon exiting the unmarked vehicle, striking Adams in the back while fleeing— [from] which he ultimately died. Being that Adams never aimed the gun, was approached by an unmarked vehicle, and was shot while fleeing, plausible criminality does not exist. In the end, Robert Adams is dead at the hands of the San Bernardino Police Department. In Tennessee v. Garner, the U.S. Supreme Court struck down a Tennessee statute that permitted police to use deadly force against a suspected felon fleeing arrest."

Councilman Ted Sanchez said he would withhold judgment and comment.

"The police chief asked us to wait until the investigation is concluded and I want to respect that," Sanchez said. "Chief Goodman is relatively new and we all have high hopes for him, so I will let the investigation run its course without any interference."

Councilman Fred Shortt said of Goodman, "I had an extensive conversation with him before he assumed the police chief position. Based on that, I believe he is not just highly qualified but well-suited for the challenges of that job, which is one of tremendous strain and responsibility. Based on that and his previous experience, I had confi-

dence he will do a great job for our community. Because the mayor and mayor pro tem were not here on June 15, as the senior member of the council, I was honored to have sworn him in as our police chief. Those two things helped create a bond between us, but beyond that, I don't have enough experience with the new chief. This incident is certainly a huge thing for him to have to cut his teeth on. So, until the investigation is completed and we learn more – and I think there is much more we need to know – I am going to hold off on any comment or criticism or accolades. I don't think it is appropriate for any of us on the council to comment. I think we should just let the investigation go forward."

The *Sentinel* was unable to reach Goodman directly. Word has, however, come in to the *Sentinel* that Goodman has expressed the view, outside of any public forum, that the officer who shot Adams initiated gunfire too early.

While he had rigidly taken the position in the debrief video that the shooting was justified, that the department's preliminary investigation into what had happened was sound and that all of what he was asserting was factual, in speaking with CBS News and its reporter Nicole Comstock, Goodman backtracked somewhat late on July 19. "I am not by any stretch saying that I'm justifying anything, but I'm telling you that is not to be condemned at this point," Goodman told Comstock on video in an interview conducted in his office.