

Shenkman Inadvertently Exposes Religiously Polarized Voting In Loma Linda

By Mark Gutglueck

The City of Loma Linda will become the 17th municipality in San Bernardino County in the last eight years to transition, under the threat of legal action, to by-district elections to select the members of its city council.

As a consequence of the way in which the Malibu-based attorney who forced Loma Linda to make that change utilized the California Voting



Kevin Shenkman

Rights Act to bring the city's political selection process into question, Loma Linda has become the focus of not only the entirety of the

State of California but the nation as well over the way in which it has historically engaged in religiously-polarized voting.

Since 2014, Lancaster-based attorney R. Rex Parris, Los Angeles-based lawyer Milton Grimes, Malibu-based barrister Kevin Shenkman and more recently Los Angeles-based solicitor Matthew Barragan and Northern California-based legal practitioner

Scott Rafferty have collectively generated for themselves over \$7 million in legal fees by exploiting a provision in the California Voting Rights Act that entitles a lawyer seeking to promote so-called protected minority voter empowerment to bill the cities they engage with in that effort.

The California Voting Rights Act categorizes a host of ethnicities and races – including African Americans, Lati-

nos, Native Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders among others – as “protected minorities.” By alleging that certain categories of the population are either not participating in the democratic process or are not adequately represented by politicians who share their ethnicity or race, a lawyer can use the California Voting Rights Act to collect legal fees for his or her advocacy of such protected See P 2

Redlands Officials Seeking To Evade Building Height Limits With Alternate Measure

For the second election cycle in a row, voters in Redlands will be voting in a referendum on whether they consider the city's current crop of elected officials to be out of step with them in terms of the intensity of development they want to see in their community.

Redlands, which was incorporated as San Bernardino County's third city in 1888, was long

considered its grandest and most visually resplendent municipality, located within the heart of the Inland Empire's citrus producing region. With the urbanization of Southern California that began creeping eastward from Los Angeles in the 1950s and which intensified in the 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s and then into the Third Millennium, Redlands residents more than

any others in the region resisted the destruction of the idyllic living ambience that had typified life in their city in the early 20th Century.

Over the decades, a multi-generational contingent of Redlands residents demonstrated themselves to be more committed than any other citizens within San Bernardino County's 24 municipalities to the

concept of attenuating the tenor of development within their locality, as was demonstrated by the city's voters' passage of the controlled-growth or slow-growth Proposition R in 1978, Measure N in 1987 and Measure U in 1997.

In the 1990s, the dichotomy in philosophy and approach toward development and the tempo thereof was illustrated by

the differences between the two leading Redlands politicians of that era, Sven Larson and Bill Cunningham.

Larson, a general contractor, was in favor of reducing all constraints on the construction industry, while Cunningham, a citrus farmer, favored preserving the city's existing groves and limiting to the extent that law and local ordinance See P 4

County Suspends Approvals Of Further Short Term Rental Licenses Until The End Of July

Mounting concern over the impact that short term rentals throughout the county are having on nearby properties and property owners prompted the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors last month to tweak its ordinance pertaining to vacation rental units and simultaneously impose a 45-day moratorium on the approval of new permits allowing

residential properties to be rented out for brief periods of time.

At its June 14 meeting, the board of supervisors agreed to temporary suspend the approval of short-term rental unit permit applications so the county's land use services division can consider how it is to approach zone change applications to allow more short-term rentals to operate and

how the county can up its code enforcement capability to keep pace with the conversion of what were previously residential units to ones now accommodating vacationers. Staff further needs time to figure out how it will go about applying the now approved new ordinance provisions.

The county's Department of Land Use Services – what in See P 5

Ibarra Beyond The Clubhouse Turn In Sprint To Final SB 2nd Ward Victory

With only her performance in office and determination to rely upon, Second Ward San Bernardino Councilwoman Sandra Ibarra, it now appears certain, has overcome long odds to achieve a comeback reelection victory.

A community activist first elected to the council in 2018, Ibarra was initially embraced by what

passed as San Bernardino's political establishment. By the end of Summer 2019, however, she had broken ranks with San Bernardino Mayor John Valdivia and his political machine, which had been counting upon her to back his agenda advancing the interests of his political donors who endowed his political war chest with over \$600,000. See P 3

Upland Settles On Promoting Blanco To Replace Goodman As Police Chief

At the regular Upland City Council meeting on Monday, June 27, 2022, City Manager Michael Blay announced Police Chief Police Captain Marcelo Blanco has been appointed Upland's chief of police, effective Sunday, July 10, 2022.

Blanco, who has been serving as acting police chief since April, will succeed Police Chief Darren Goodman, who

officially left Upland last month to become police chief in San Bernardino.

Blanco, a 30-year veteran of the Upland Police Department, was born in Argentina. At the age of 5, he moved to Madrid, Spain, where he lived for two years before emigrating with his parents to Los Angeles. He grew up in the L.A. area, and during his junior year in high school, he moved to

Upland and eventually graduated from Upland High in 1991. During his senior year in high school, he took a Regional Occupational Program class where he volunteered at the Upland Police Department in the crime prevention unit. Upon graduating high school, he was hired as a police cadet, working in evidence. After two-and-a-half years of being

a cadet, he was hired as a full-time non-sworn community service officer, in which capacity he spent six months as a dispatcher. He subsequently attended the police academy and was hired as a police officer upon graduation.

In 2005, Blanco was promoted to detective, where he worked on various investigative assignments, including

commercial/metal theft, missing persons, auto theft, child/elder abuse, and sex crimes. He was promoted to sergeant, where he was a field supervisor and community resource unit/homeless outreach program supervisor, mobile field force team leader, explorer post coordinator, and terrorism liaison. He was promoted to lieutenant, where he See P 5

Audit Calls For SB School District Ditching 10 Contracts

The San Bernardino City Unified School District should give serious consideration to canceling ten of the 26 contracts it has with outside entities for the provision of extended learning programs and educational augmentation services, according to a recommendation contained in an audit of those contracts requested by the district's now departing superintendent.

According to the audit, the district is currently spending \$12,824,798.20 on those contracts and would see that outlay reduced by \$5,048,201.35, such that the total in contracted-for services would stand at \$7,776,596.85.

Different and more reliable vendors would need to be found to provide some of the services, the auditors said.

Shortly after he took on the position of San Bernardino City Unified School District superintendent in June 2021, Harry “Doc” Ervin carried out what he acknowledges was a quick and superficial survey of the contracts the district has for outside services, including ones related to educational purposes and the maintenance of the district's substantial assets, including its 72 campuses, four learning centers and 15 charter schools.

While Ervin's initial focus fell to preparing for the opening of the district's campuses for the 2021-22 school year, as they had been shuttered beginning in March/April of 2020 as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic and had remained closed throughout the entirety of the 2020/21 See P 3

The California Voting Rights Act Created A Wilderness Of Mirrors That Made It Impossible To Tell Whether The Choices Of Voters Do Or Do Not Reflect Their Interest *from front page* minorities.

Previously, that is prior to 2014, the cities of San Bernardino and Colton were the only municipalities in San Bernardino County which elected their council members under a district or ward system.

By alleging that racially polarized voting had taken place in the cities of Chino Hills, Chino, Upland, Ontario, Rancho Cucamonga, Fontana, Victorville, Hesperia, Barstow, Highland, Redlands, Big Bear, Yucaipa and Twentynine Palms as well as in the towns Apple Valley and Yucca Valley, Parris, Grimes, Shenkman, Barragan and Rafferty forced, or otherwise got the political leadership in those jurisdictions to agree, to shift to by-district or by-ward elections.

The California Voting Rights Act confers upon the plaintiffs in such cases an overwhelming advantage in that though the plaintiff[s] stand to gain or recover all money they expend in paying for their attorneys' efforts, the cities or towns sued under the voting rights act are not eligible to recover their fees if they prevail in the litigation by succeeding in demonstrating that racially polarized or ethnically polarized voting has not occurred in their jurisdictions. Thus, the plaintiff[s] and the lawyer[s] representing them in these legal actions brought under the California Voting Rights Act run no risk. On the other side of the plaintiff/defendant divide, the cities or towns challenged in this way have to defray their own legal expenses if they chose to put on a defense at trial. Thus, even if a city prevails, it sustains unrecoverable legal costs, and if it loses, it stands to suffer costs of hundreds of thousands of dollars and perhaps

beyond a million dollars in legal fees to be paid to the prevailing party. By the time opportunistic attorneys seeking a major payday through threatened or actual lawsuits under the California Voters Rights Act filed to ostensibly counteract racially-polarized or ethnically-polarized voting turned their attention to San Bernardino County beginning in 2014, a handful of California cities elsewhere that resisted challenges made to their election systems under the California Voting Rights Act had already been unsuccessful in their legal defenses and were forced by the law and the courts to pay substantial amounts to cover those legal fees. In a number of San Bernardino County's municipalities, city officials and residents where racially polarized voting had been alleged expressed umbrage at that suggestion and denied the assertions that there was a systemic or institutionalized racial or ethnic bias built into their political establishments. Nevertheless, at that time Parris, Shenkman and Grimes surveyed the San Bernardino County landscape and selected what they considered to be the county's most vulnerable jurisdiction among a handful of cities perceived to have foreclosed minority rights because of the relative scarcity of elected Hispanic office holders locally despite the region's substantial Latino population.

Thus, Parris, Shenkman and Grimes in 2014 settled upon the City of Highland, where despite more than 39 percent of the residents of that city being Latino, no Hispanics at that time were serving on the city council. Highland thus became the first San Bernardino County city served with a demand that it alter the way it elects its council members. Highland responded by placing an initiative, Measure T, on the ballot asking the city's voters whether they wanted to switch to by-district elections. When the city's voters in November 2014 rejected Measure T, with 2,862 votes or 43.01 percent

in favor and 3,793, or 56.99 percent opposed, Parris, Shenkman and Grimes proceeded with the lawsuit on behalf of Lisa Garrett, a resident of Highland who claimed to be politically disenfranchised because she was Hispanic.

Upon the matter going to trial, despite making a finding that the socio-economic-based rationale presented by the plaintiff's attorneys to support the need for ward elections was irrelevant and that Garrett's assertion district voting was the only way to cure the alleged violation of the Voting Rights Act was false, San Bernardino Superior Court Judge David Cohn mandated that Highland adopt a ward system. For their efforts, Parris, Shenkman and Grimes experienced a substantial payday.

Thereafter, Parris, Shenkman, Grimes and Matthew Barragan, who was then the staff attorney representing the Mexican American Legal Defense Fund known by the acronym MALDEF, threatened lawsuits under the California Voter Rights Act against the cities of Barstow, Big Bear Lake, Chino, Chino Hills, Hesperia, Rancho Cucamonga, Redlands, Twentynine Palms, Upland and Yucaipa, as well as the towns of Apple Valley and Yucca Valley. Later, such demands would be made against the cities of Fontana and Ontario. Another attorney, one based more than 300 miles from San Bernardino County, Walnut Creek-based lawyer Scott Rafferty, next joined the fray, making a demand against Victorville.

A provision of the California Voting Rights Act was that a city hit with a demand that it move to by-ward or by-district voting could seek a "safe harbor" from the ruinous storm of potential litigation and get out from under the accusation that as a community its members had engaged in racially polarized or ethnically polarized voting by simply agreeing to make the voting system transition to wards or districts and paying the attorney making such a challenge a fee of \$30,000 to

\$45,000.

Despite the assumption of many that ward voting systems are a more democratic alternative in the selection of political leaders and the relative advantage those seeking a transition to ward/district systems are given under the California Voting Rights Act notwithstanding, there is yet considerable debate over the issue of the relative merits of the competing ward vs. at-large voting systems, including whether ward and district systems actually facilitate a fairer representation of the minority population.

Front and center in the debate over voting rights is the contention of some voting rights advocates who maintain that ward-based systems can empower minority voters by making it more likely that a minority candidate in a ward that is more heavily laden with minority voters will be elected than if that minority candidate must stand for election in a contest in which all of the voters of the jurisdiction are participating and thus diluting the minority vote. Nevertheless, some political theorists point out that in certain cases, particularly those in which racial or ethnic heterogeneity is evenly spread throughout the community, an election system changeover from at-large elections to ward elections is more likely to result in fewer minorities being elected.

A recurrent objection to the rush toward ward representation is that the rationale for embracing it is spurious. A substantial element of the population out and out rejects the accusation that polarized voting or racism is institutionalized into their communities. They point out that in a majority of the cities targeted for forced acceptance of ward/district voting systems, members of protected minority groups have achieved elected office.

Beyond the issue of extending representation to a single given element of the population, there are arguments presented against ward or district systems based upon the contention that political

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.

The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with

Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

wards lend themselves to a corruption and bastardization of the political process. The imposition of ward systems, those of this mindset contend, carries with it the potential for creating a set of fiefdoms within a city wherein if not absolute, then near-absolute, power is installed in a single individual within each of those geographical divisions, rendering each such elected official a political boss in effect separately attended by a retinue of political henchmen fiercely loyal to that office holder, who is able to dole out perks and favors at will and empowered to act with utter ruthlessness and efficiency, unchecked by the majority of voters within the city as a whole who must live with the impacts of such depredations. Such historical and ongoing examples of this consist of the political landscapes in cities such as Chicago and Philadelphia, and indeed, closer to home, in the City of San Bernardino, where the voters in last month's election made a rejection of that city's now-lame duck political boss, Mayor John Valdivia, who established himself initially as the lord of the Third Ward in that city before moving up into the mayor's position in 2018, from which perch he initially assembled a ruling coalition of ward-elected councilors, only to see that coalition fall apart when actions he managed to take in his capacity as the city's political boss were engulfed in scandal. Valdivia was rejected by the city's voters in the June 7 primary election concluded last

month, finishing in third place, which precludes him from seeking reelection in the upcoming November general election.

Despite the consideration that Barstow, Chino Hills, Chino and Redlands historically had fielded or at that point included Hispanic members on their city councils and that Upland, Rancho Cucamonga and Fontana historically or at that point had both Latino and African-American members of their city councils, all of those cities and all of those towns complied with the demands for shifts to ward systems. In doing so, those municipalities paid the lawyers that pressed the issue the \$30,000-to-\$45,000 they were eligible to collect under the California Voters Rights Act and which those attorneys sought for forcing those cities and towns into accepting district/ward voting.

Perhaps the most egregious of the cases in which a city had organically elected protected minority members to its council while using an at-large elective process but was still forced to embrace ward system voting involved the City of Victorville, which in the thirty years between 1991 and 2021, had 20 council members, eight of whom were Latino or Latina and two of whom were African American. Earlier this year, Rafferty, alleging that Victorville had been plagued with racially/ethnically-polarized voting, demanded that the city transition to district elections. Despite Victorville City Attorney Andre deBortnowsky's

Continued on Page 6

In Office, Ibarra Ran Head On Into Ruthless Sharks Whom She Resisted. They Resented Her Independence. Nevertheless, She Survived.

from front page

Ibarra's resistance to the pay-to-play ethos that surrounded the mayor earned for her not only Valdivia's enmity, but that of scores of wealthy interests, including developers Jeff Burum, Scott Beard, Jim Previti and David Wiener. Ibarra's iconoclasm and intrepid demonstrations of inde-

pendence rubbed many other powerful entities the wrong way, as when she expressed the view that the San Bernardino Police Department was top heavy, with too much brass in the form of lieutenants, captains and top echelon deputy chiefs and too few officers on patrol and working investigative beats. This was exacerbated in the aftermath of the riots that took place in San Bernardino on the night/early morning of May 31/June 1, 2020 when the protests following the Minneapolis, Minnesota Police Department's murder of George Floyd turned vio-

lent and multiple stores along the Highland Avenue business corridor, a portion of which lies within the Second Ward, were looted. Several business owners were critical of the San Bernardino Police Department's decision not to confront the rioters and stem the looting as it was occurring. When Ibarra at a council meeting made public reference of those store owners' concerns, the police department took that as a personal affront, and publicly condemned her in an open public letter.

When no one in the Second Ward emerged

to oppose Ibarra in this year's election, higher-ups in the police department as well as members of the San Bernardino Police Officers Association prevailed upon Terrance Elliott, a local pastor and one of the department's chaplains, to run against her. Members of the department arranged for Elliott, who actually lived in the city's Seventh Ward, to find living quarters in the Second Ward and arranged to pay his rent or otherwise defray that cost so he could register to vote there and qualify his council candidacy.

The San Bernardino

Police Officers Association endorsed Elliott. Donations in excess of \$35,000, most from those who were established supporters of Mayor Valdivia and the police union poured into Elliott's campaign war chest. In addition, another independent expenditure committee calling itself the Committee for Ethical Government to Support Elliott and Oppose Ibarra for City Council 2022 took in a separate \$22,100 by May 27. That money was used to send out mailers attacking Ibarra.

Ibarra, who ran a grassroots campaign, had spent

through May 27 \$1,352.99 since the beginning of the year, primarily on signs and her filing fee for her candidacy.

Ibarra appeared doomed.

Shortly after the polls closed on Tuesday night, June 7, the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters released its first results, consisting of the mail ballots that had come in up to that point.

Of the ward's 13,263 voters, 670 had sent in mail ballots early enough to arrive before the polls had closed on election

Continued on Page 4

Superintendent Questioned Whether School District Contracts Served Students Or Board Members' Cronies

from front page

school year while students and teachers continued to involve themselves in remote learning, he remained convinced a more in-depth evaluation that included looking at the district's contracts in terms of effectiveness, financial integrity, the degree of student participation in each, return on investment and the district's capacity to provide the contracted-for services internally was long overdue.

At the district's August 17, 2021 school board meeting, while he yet had the confidence of the entire board, Ervin asked for and was given authorization to contract with the Great Gains educational approach advising firm to conduct an audit of the district's financials and performance with regard to the Creative After-School Programs.

Because he was new to the district, Ervin did not fully understand or appreciate what his predecessor as superintendent, Dale Marsden, had come to comprehend, which was that many of the services upon which he was focused were provided by companies owned by individuals or companies who were personal and political associates of members of the school board.

With that audit under way, Ervin held off on reinitiating some of those contracts with the advent of the 2021-22 school year. By Septem-

ber 2021, three of the district's board members – Barbara Flores, Danny Tillman and Abigail Medina – were pressuring Ervin on behalf of their supporters to facilitate the continuation of those contracts. When Ervin refused, at the October 5, 2021 board meeting Tillman, Medina and Flores sought to fire Ervin. Ervin, however, had the solid backing of board members Margaret Hill, Mayra Ceballos, Gwendolyn Dowdy-Rodgers and Scott Wyatt, and was able to remain in place. The progress toward completing the audits being performed continued.

On December 19, Margaret Hill died. Whereas up to that time, Ervin had a 4-to-3 board majority backing his action, an instantaneous 3-to-3 deadlock on the board had come to exist, with Dowdy-Rodgers, Wyatt and Ceballos looking to keep Ervin in place and Medina, Flores and Tillman gunning for his removal. The 3-to-3 board impasse meant that the reform moves, including the audit initiated in August 2021, continued, while Flores, Tillman and Medina were militating to find some way to abbreviate it.

On May 3, Ervin, weary of being in an extended touch-and-go situation and having to risk termination to follow through with the reforms to the district he felt were necessary and in the interest of the district's students,

elected to throw in the towel. He was, he said, resigning as superintendent as of July 1.

Despite his scheduled leaving and the seeming victory that handed to Tillman, Flores and Medina, it kept Ervin in place long enough for him to make certain that the Great Gains audits were completed and presented to the district.

Late last month, those audits were completed, together with recommendations about which programs should continue to be funded and which ones should not. The recommendation was that ten of programs – representing contracts worth \$5,048,201.35 – should be discontinued. Those were:

– A \$400,000 contract with the Akoma Unity Center. “Do not contract,” the recommendation states. “Way too much money for so few students. No demonstrable impact. We also have Rio Vista Elementary next door and Arroyo Valley a block away where similar programming could occur.”

– A \$25,000 contract with the Asian American Resource Center. The recommendation said it was a “homework center” which “very few students attend.”

– A \$1,477,891.20 contract with the Center for Youth and Community Development. “They lost [the] Boys and Girls [Club] charter and have had financial issues where employees' checks bounced. Recently, one principal demanded we no longer use them.”

– A \$25,000 contract with First Congregational Church, which the recommendation said is a “homework center” which “very few students attend.”

– A \$25,000 contract with Lutheran Social Services, which the recommendation said is a “homework center” which “very few students attend.”

– A \$25,000 contract with St. John's Community Success, which the recommendation said is a “homework center” which “very few students attend.”

– A \$92,904.55 contract with Akoma Unity Center at Del Vallejo Middle School. “Why contract with them at Del Vallejo when not really proven at their center,” the recommendation states.

– A \$25,000 contract with the Center for Youth and Community Development Homework center which the recommendation said, “very few students attend.”

– A \$452,406.50 contract with the Youth Action Project. “They have a long history of not listening to us about programming, paying their people properly, mixing Americorps stipends and not being able to explain very well. We took one school away from them and that school is doing much better,” according to the recommendation, which said the provision of the services provided by the Youth Action Project “should move to Project Life Impact.”

– A \$2,500,000 contract with Garner Holt Education through

Imagination. The recommendation stated, “The only reason” the district was using Garner Holt “as a contractor was so that schools could purchase materials without going to bid,” and that the company is “almost a sole source, but now other companies such as Pitsco have similar offerings.” The recommendation characterized the company's “trainings” as “too expensive.” The district could provide the same services and goods on its own more economically, according to the recommendation. “This is really a purchase for materials,” the recommendation states.

According to the audit, canceling the \$452,406.50 contract with the Youth Action Project, canceling the \$1,477,891.20 contract with the Center for Youth and Community Development and canceling the \$92,904.55 contract with Akoma Unity Center at Del Vallejo Middle School would ultimately represent no savings for the district, since the discontinued services would need to be made up by another provider.

The audit recommended that the district continue the contracts of 14 vendors worth \$7,776,596.85.

Those included:

– A \$25,000 contract with Big Brothers and Sisters, since, the auditing team said, “They are self-sufficient and proactive about recruiting and with counselors and administration.”

– A \$54,000 contract with CHORDS Youth

Enrichment, given that, the auditors said, the service is “low cost and serves a music production element to the high schools.

– A \$90,000 contract with Project Fighting Chance, because, the auditors said, of the way it brings “resources to the district and currently” features “5 nationally ranked boxers. Program is unique” and includes chess, guitar and soon-to-come piano lessons, the recommendation noted.

– A \$130,000 contract with Sixty-One Golf, which, the auditing team said “works with 11 middle schools using training equipment” that has been donated to the district.

– A \$291,078.50 contract with Ecclesia Christian Fellowship, which is involved at two schools and, according to the auditors, has “been human resource responsible.”

– A \$797,234.10 contract with Project Life Impact, which auditors said “took over one of our high schools from Youth Action Project and is on its way to be[ing] a model site.”

– A \$13,000 contract with the Regents of the University of California at Davis, that the auditors said provides for a “new project to introduce animal husbandry to Muscoy and Vermont.” The program is “tied to 4H,” the auditors said, stating the district's “Creative After-School Programs should involve themselves with 4H.”

– A \$5,808,843.49 contract with YMCA of the East Valley, what

Continued on Page 12

Redlands Officials Want To 86 Building Height Limitation Referendum In November Through Alternate Measure Or Deal With Propo- nents *from front page*

es could the intensity of growth that was to take place by imposing on the development community defined restrictions on residential density together with demands that those developing property had to provide adequate infrastructure to service new development and ensure that the city's residents did not experience traffic delays or gridlock on the city's streets and its regional highways because those roadways were overwhelmed by more vehicles than they were designed to carry.

While ultimately the general attitude of the residents in Redlands was that growth should be limited to a minimum, oftentimes, as is the case currently, those politicians elected to municipal office in Redlands over time, beset by lobbyists hired by the development community and the generosity developers showed in endowing elected officials' campaign funds, grew sympathetic toward those seeking to convert the remaining groves and undeveloped property into houses, stores, warehouses and factories. Mandates from the statehouse in Sacramento for local communities to accommodate more and more residential development to ease a perceived housing shortage in California have pushed local municipal officials to embrace accelerated construction. In addition, trends in urban planning in recent years have emphasized the need to facilitate heavier use of public transportation, including commuter rail systems. In Redlands, this has manifested as the Transit Villages Concept, which calls for high density – translating into as many as 100 units per acre – residential uses in multi-story structures to be built within walking distance of train stations located near Redlands University, Downtown Redlands and in the New

York Avenue District.

Thus, the balance or even the entirety of the Redlands City Council in recent years is at loggerheads with the attitude of a dynamic cross section of the city's residents, who remain in favor of far less intensive development in the city.

Two years ago, this difference was on display when the city council used its authority to place what was designated as Measure G on the March 2020 ballot. Measure G called upon the city's residents to eliminate, in one fell swoop, the restrictions of Proposition R, Measure N and Measure U, allow developers to construct up to 27 housing units per acre, eliminate height limits on buildings in the city, relieve developers of the requirement that in building their projects they have to provide infrastructure to maintain traffic-bearing capacity on the city's streets equal to what was available prior to the development taking place, permit residential land use designations to be placed into the city's general plan that did not previously exist and abolish the requirement that developers carry out socioeconomic-cost/benefit studies for the projects they are proposing, among other things.

The city's voters soundly rejected Measure G, with 9,321 votes or 64.88 percent opposing it and 5,052 voters or 35.12 percent in favor of it.

Thereafter, faced with the recognition that city officials remain wedded to a pro-development approach and to pushing the Transit Villages concept, two similarly-minded contingents of city residents, one functioning under the banner of Friends of Redlands and another calling itself Redlands for Responsible Growth Management, set about gathering petitions for yet another controlled growth initiative, this one calling for there to be height limitation of 50 feet – essentially three stories or less – on structures to be built in the area around the University of Redlands and Downtown Redlands and no more than 62 feet – tantamount to no more than four stories – in the New York Street district.

On June 7, 2021, members of Friends of Redlands and Redlands for Responsible Growth Management, which included former Mayor Cunningham, turned over to Redlands City Clerk Jeanne Donaldson three large boxes containing petitions calling for a special election to stop tall and dense development to which 7,715 signatures were affixed, which was more than the signatures of 15 percent of the city's voters – 6,409 – needed to force the city to hold the election within 109 days of the requisite number of signatures being verified. In a highly controversial move, the city council voted to delay that special election from 2021, until the November 2022 election.

That delay allowed the city council to consider Village Partners Ventures' proposal to undertake the State Street Village project, a mixed-use commercial/residential project including five-story structures intended to replace the now defunct Redlands Mall in Downtown Redlands. On May 10, 2022, the Redlands City Council and the Redlands Planning Commission during a joint meeting collectively signed off on allowing the State Street Village proposal – including four story residential edifices topped with fifth level rooftop restaurants, accompanied by at least two five-story parking structures – to proceed.

Just as the city council in 2020 sought to have the city's residents approve undoing low growth/controlled growth Proposition R, Measure N and Measure U, the Redlands City Council on June 21 unanimously approved offering the city's residents a more developer-friendly measure as an alternative to the height limitation measure on the November ballot promoted by Friends of Redlands and Redlands for Responsible Growth Management.

Whereas Friends of Redlands' and Redlands for Responsible Growth Management's measure is intended, if it is passed by the city's voters, to limit the height of buildings built in the area around the University of Redlands

and Downtown Redlands to three stories and those in the New York Avenue District to four stories, the city's height limitation measure stipulates a maximum of four stories for buildings near and around the university. The county registrar of voters office has not yet designated a nomenclature, typically a letter, for either of the two measures.

To lend credibility to the initiative they are proposing, city officials brought in Redlands University President Krista Newkirk to state that the Friends of Redlands/Redlands for Responsible Growth Management measure would negatively impact the university.

Newkirk indicated that the University is contemplating residential structures – presumably dormitories for students but potentially living quarters for others to be constructed by the university – that will extend to four stories. Newkirk also mentioned parking structures, the height of which she did not explicitly identify. She said she wanted the university to be free to construct those parking structures to whatever standard the university deemed appropriate. That potentially could be five stories or higher.

Newkirk said “The university [would be] unable to develop the project if we are subject to the constraints of the Redlands for Responsible Growth Management initiative currently on the November ballot.” She said it would be “financially unfeasible to construct the University Village without the ability to

add four-story buildings to a portion of the project.”

Word has reached the *Sentinel* that a potential global compromise is in the works among city leaders and principals with Redlands for Responsible Growth Management and Friends of Redlands by which the city would be given a free hand to construct what in local terms is considered “high rises,” meaning buildings of five and potentially six stories in the area in and around the university, Downtown Redlands and in the New York Avenue District in return for an “ironclad and irrevocable” commitment on the part of city officials, essentially into perpetuity, that the largely undeveloped expanse at the southern end of the city, composed of rolling hills and once-productive expanses of agricultural land where the development standard now stands at one residential unit per acre, be altered so that the maximum density upon development there can be no greater than a single residential unit per five acres.

Cunningham, who is now 95 years old, is considered to be key to whether a compromise, such as the one said to be in he works, can be ironed out.

Of major concern is that if four-, five-, six- or even seven-story buildings are allowed to be constructed in the Downtown Redlands District, within a few decades those tenements will devolve into slums which will take generations to be eradicated. Controlled-

growth advocates want to prevent such apartment buildings from being constructed at all. If, however, such structures are given approval, those concerned about the future of the city want the city to obtain a contractual assurance, backed by a perpetual bond, that the owners of the buildings in question will be required to reclaim and refurbish the buildings if they fall into disrepair.

There is little prospect of that, many residents feel, given the way in which the city council on May 10 gave Village Partners Ventures carte blanche on proceeding with the State Street Village project, without a number of the conditions of approval, including architectural design standards and the timeline/deadlines for the project completion, being specified.

Details on any agreement between the city and Redlands for Responsible Growth Management and Friends of Redlands must be arrived at relatively quickly, as any change in the measure to go before Redlands voters in November must be set before the Registrar of Voters deadline for formulating the ballots in August.

If no compromise is reached, the November election will serve as another showdown between the will of the controlled growth forces in Redlands and the city officials who find themselves in the position of advocating on behalf of the development industry seeking to ply its trade in the 36.24-square mile city of 73,168.

—Mark Gutglueck—

reported, showing that just one vote had been cast at the half dozen precincts, that single vote being for Ibarra. That pushed her combined number of 327 mail ballot votes and 59 polling place votes to 386 total votes or 48.43 percent, while Elliott held a majority of the 797 votes cast with 401 or 50.31 percent.

At 4 p.m. on June 8, the tally was updated with incoming mail ballots received and counted. Ibarra received 20 more mail-in votes and Elliott 14. Thus, Elliott remained in front, with 415 of the 831 votes

Initially, Ibarra Was Running Behind *from front page*

day. Elliott was off to a slim nine-vote lead, as he claimed 336 votes or 50.15 percent to Ibarra's 327 votes or 48.81 percent. Another seven votes or 1.04 percent in the form of write-ins went to Alissa Payne.

At 10 p.m. on election night, with ten of Ward Two's 17 precincts' votes counted, Ibarra logged another 30 votes while Elliott claimed 26. Elliot led

by five votes, 362-to-357.

Two hours later, at midnight, one further precinct reported where 65 votes were cast, 28 for Ibarra and 36 for Elliott. Elliott led 398 votes or 50.19 percent to Ibarra's 385 votes or 48.55 percent.

Two hours later, as of 2 a.m. on June 8, no further precincts had reported but three more votes for Elliott were recorded. He was ahead at that point 401 of 796 votes cast, or 50.38 percent to Ibarra's 385 votes or 48.37 percent.

At 4 a.m. June 8, the results from the final six precincts were tallied and

Continued on Page 5

County Adjusts Short-Term Rental Regulations & Temporarily Suspends Licensing New Ones

from front page

other parlance might be referred to as the county's planning division – is continuing to accept and process short term rental unit applications, but for the duration of the moratorium, which is to last until July 30, issue no new permits. The suspension applies only to applications submitted after June 14 and does not apply to applications submitted up to that point or renewal applications on existing short-term rental permits. The changes to the county ordinance will be effective as of July 28.

The amendments approved by the board involve provisions that establish a limit of a single short-term rental for parcels of less than two acres and a limit of two short-term rentals on parcels larger than two acres. Further, the change in the ordinance makes clear that short-term rental permits do not permanently apply to the property where they are permitted and that a permit for a short-term rental is different from a permit for other types of shelters on residential property that are not to be

rented or leased to vacationers or short-term occupants. The amendments include a two persons per bedroom plus two additional guests occupancy limitation. Additionally, no single short-term rental unit can be occupied by more than 12 people, no matter the number of bedrooms in the overall structure.

Short term rental owners/operators are now required to obtain a permit annually rather than biennially, as was the case previously.

To encourage sound reduction and prevent irritation to nearby properties and neighbors, the county included a \$150 permit fee credit/discount to short-term rental permit applicants installing noise monitoring devices in their units.

The county is further requiring that on-line and general short-term rental unit brokers and hosting platforms reference the permit numbers issued by the county when renters make reservations at the units.

While previously issued short-term rental permits are grandfathered in such that those who now have them will be allowed to retain them no matter how many they have, going forward individuals and companies

overseeing investigations, special teams, homeless outreach, records, code enforcement, animal control, police facilities, and the department's budget.

Blanco has an associate degree in liberal studies from Chaffey College,

will be limited to no more than two permits each.

The board stopped short of putting into effect an overall cap on the number of short-term rentals countywide.

Over the last decade, there has been growing concern about the impact of short-term rentals on nearby properties.

Short-term rentals have proliferated in the more exotic areas of the county, such as in mountain resort areas, the desert area particularly around Joshua Tree National Park and near the Colorado River.

At such venues on weekend and vacation getaways, most people are simply after a relaxing and good time, and few problems ensue. Still, with others, especially when alcohol or recreational drugs are involved, the behavior of some is not as civil as their temporary neighbors would prefer them to be. In some cases, quarters that are intended for a few people or a family or two is called upon to accommodate several dozen. That brings with it issues such as noise, overburdened parking space and compliance with rudimentary laws. On rare occasions, with no warning a rave-like event manifests in a place ill-suited for it, and things can quickly rage out of hand.

a bachelor's degree in organizational management from Ashford University and he graduated in May with a master's degree in organizational leadership from California Baptist University.

"Chief Blanco has the necessary education,

Up until this week, six cities in San Bernardino County – Adelanto, Grand Terrace, Loma Linda, Montclair, Needles and Rialto – had city councils with members elected at large. In May, Shenkman sent the City of Loma Linda a letter alleging the city had engaged in racially polarized voting that had resulted in minority vote dilution, thus violating the California Voting Rights Act. He demanded that the city cure the violation by moving to a by-district voting system for its city council mem-

Continued on Page 6

Most of these issues exist in unincorporated areas of the county, such as Mt. Baldy, Joshua Tree, Wrightwood, Crestline, Cedarpines Park, Lake Gregory, Lake Arrowhead, Blue Jay, Valley of Enchantment, Cedar Glen, Sky Forest, Twin Peaks, Arrow Bear, Big Bear City, Angeles Oaks, Running Springs, Green Valley Lake, Cienega Creek, Sugarloaf, Seven Oaks, Barton Flats, Zzyzx, Amboy or Trona. Three incorporated municipalities, however – Big Bear Lake, Yucca Valley, and Twentynine Palms – deal with transitory influxes of visitors on a regular basis.

In Big Bear Lake, the controlling majority on the city council, sensitive to the financial interests of the tourist industry there, over the last year-and-a-half was reluctant to accommodate many of the city's full-time residents who want tough restrictions imposed on both tourists and the owners of vacation rental units. The council majority responded to the calls for a strict ordinance by instituting compromise measures to create a regulatory regime that involves a modest licensing requirement and fines on cabin owners on whose properties problems manifest, with the potential for revocation of

experience, and heart to lead our police department and I am confident he is the right person for the job," said City Man-

Against Odds, Ibarra Now In Comfortable Lead Over Elliott

from page 4

cast or 49.94 percent, with Ibarra trailing by nine with 406 votes or 48.86 percent.

The update that followed 24 hours later at 4 p.m. on Thursday June 9, showed Ibarra picked up 25 more votes from incoming mail-in ballots, pushing her vote total to 431 while Elliott picked up 13 votes, which meant that he had suffered a deficit relative to Ibarra of 12, which dropped him into second place by three votes. At that point, Ibarra was ahead, 431 votes or 49.65 percent to Elliott's 428 or 49.31 percent. Two

those licenses if the nuisances persist on a given property. A contingent of city residents who did not believe that City Hall had gone far enough formally formed a short-term rental regulation advocacy group on April 25, 2021, and ultimately obtained the requisite number of valid signatures of registered voters within the city to put an initiative on the upcoming November ballot calling for a limit on the number of vacation rentals in the City of Big Bear Lake. Those activists further want more vigorous regulation, including an increase in the city-imposed transitory occupancy tax – i.e., the city's bed tax or hotel tax – from 8 percent to 12 percent, based on their argument that 35 percent of the calls for service from the fire department or sheriff's department involve short term rental properties and/or visitors to the city.

At the same election at which the measure is on the ballot, to be held November 8, three of the incumbent council members who have proven reluctant to impose stricter regulations on short-term rentals in Big Bear Lake – Mayor Rick Herrick and councilmembers Randall Putz and Perri Melnick – are up for election.

In May, the Twentynine Palms City Council set set an 8.525 percent cap on the number of the city's housing units that can be utilized as vacation rentals. Practically, that means 500 of the city's 5,797 housing units can be used as vacation rentals.

more write-in votes received at the polls were declared to have been for Payne, giving her a total of nine votes. The other write-in vote that had been cast at one of the precincts was disregarded.

From that point on, Ibarra has remained ahead in the race, though at that time, she did not have a majority of the vote, which is required for outright victory. Under San Bernardino's charter, if a candidate does not capture a majority of the vote in the primary race, he or she must face the second-place candidate in a November run-off.

On Friday, June 10, the mail-in votes that had come in since the previous day – 90 for Ibarra, 90 for Elliott and two write-ins

in ceremony will take place at the regular Upland City Council meeting on Monday, July 11, 2022.

With the advent of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, which resulted in many individuals and families being cooped up at home for an extended period, short-term rentals grew phenomenally popular. As of 2020, there were 1,404 short term rentals registered with the county. By the following year the number had more than doubled to 2,905. A year later, that number exceeds 5,000.

in ceremony will take place at the regular Upland City Council meeting on Monday, July 11, 2022.

At that point, Ibarra was leading, with 521 or 49.62 percent of the 1,050 total votes cast in the Second Ward, with Elliott nipping at her heels with 518 votes or 49.33 percent.

The next update came on Monday June 13 at 6 p.m., at which point 215 votes poured in for Ibarra, giving her 736 votes while Elliott added 173 votes to his total, bringing him to 626 votes. Payne claimed four further write-in votes, such that she had 13 votes. For the first time, Ibarra eclipsed 50 percent, with 51.11 percent. Elliott stood at 47.99 percent. Payne claimed 0.9 percent.

The next day, Tuesday June 14, at 11 a.m., another count was made. Elliott

Continued on Page 12

Blanco Named Upland Police Chief

from front page

worked as a patrol watch commander. In 2018, Blanco advanced

to captain, where he has been the administrative service commander

With Ten Of Its 20 Council Members Over Three Decades Having Been Ethnic Or Racial Minorities, Victorville Was Yet Accused Of Racially Polarized Voting

from front page

insistence that the city had not engaged in racially/ethnically polarized voting, he nevertheless recommended that the city knuckle under and accede to moving to ward system voting as Rafferty was proposing, since even were the city to roll the dice and prevail in resisting the changeover, it would not be able to recoup the legal costs of engaging in that defense, given the

terms of the California Voting Rights Act. Moreover, if Rafferty was able to prevail in convincing the court that the city should create voting districts and carry out its city council elections in that fashion, the city would be on the hook for hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal billings made by Rafferty. Thus, Victorville will hold its first by-ward election in November.

It Remains Unclear Whether Shenkman, Who Cited Racially Polarized Voting In Loma Linda, Recognized Religiously Polarized Voting For What It Was *from page 2*

bers.

Loma Linda and San Bernardino are the only cities in San Bernardino that hold their elections corresponding with the primary election – alternately, in presidential election years in March and in gubernatorial election years in June. As such, Shenkman’s demand came too late to require that the wards or districts be in place for this year’s contest in Loma Linda, which was won by incumbents Phill Dupper and Ron Dailey last month.

There was an intensive question as to whether the assertions in Shenkman’s letter alleging polarized voting were factual and whether they supported the demand for a transition to district voting. Historically, Loma Linda has elected members of protected minorities to its city councils, as when Charles Umeda, a Japanese American/Asian American, was elected in 1996 and appointed to the council in 2005, and Bhavin Jindal, an Indian American/Asian American, was elected in 2020. Moreover, the protected class Shenkman was referencing – Hispanics – do not reside anywhere within Loma Linda in sufficient concentration to logically justify the creation of districts or wards to ensure the electability of a Latino candidate. Demographic data shows that between 22 percent and 23 percent of the city’s population is Hispanic. That subset of the population, however, is relatively uniformly and evenly distributed throughout the 7.64-square mile, 24,791-population city. Thus, it is unlikely that the city could, without engaging in blatant gerrymandering, be divided into five wards such that one would be constituted by a majority Latino electorate.

Nevertheless, upon receipt of the letter, Loma Linda officials went into an immediate clinch, bringing the curtain

down on the matter to prevent any public scrutiny. Despite the public nature of the letter that Shenkman had written to the city, officials at once deemed it to be subject to the confidentiality protections provided for in California Government Code § 54956.9, allowing the council to hold a closed session to confer with, or receive advice from, its legal counsel regarding pending litigation when discussion of the matter in an open public session could potentially prejudice the position of the city in litigating the matter.

Undisclosed to the public was that it was the use of the term “polarized voting” which had thrown Loma Linda city officials into a paroxysm.

While the City of Loma Linda could make a strong argument that it was not engaged currently nor in the past in racially polarized voting, there exists an open-and-shut case that in insular Loma Linda the city has consistently since its 1970 incorporation and without exception engaged in religiously polarized voting. Since 1970, involving 26 elections and over 30 elected officials, there has never been a member of the Loma Linda City Council who was not a Seventh-day Adventist.

Loma Linda is one of several Adventist communities throughout the United States, including Walla Walla, Washington; Battle Creek, Michigan; Berrien Springs, Michigan; Angwin, California; Rapidan, Virginia; Amity, Arkansas; Weimar, California; Takoma Park, Maryland; and South Lancaster, Massachusetts, among others. Adventist communities are typically built around a substantial medical facility coupled with a college, medical school or medical science training facility. The church and its support mechanisms have made substantial investments in those communi-

ties and has engaged in much to protect those investments and maintain control over them.

Loma Linda and Loma Linda University Medical Center are a quintessential example of this. As such, Loma Linda is a company town, and the company is the Adventist Church/Adventist Health System. Loma Linda is a charter city. The city charter provides the city council with the authority to engage in issuing bonds on behalf of the city and for that bond financing to be used to build or expand the medical center.

Reportedly, the number of Adventists living among Loma Linda’s residents exceeds fifty percent of the city’s 24,784 population. The Seventh-day Adventist Church has an energetic international outreach/missionary/conversion arm. As such, Loma Linda has become a magnet for large numbers of foreign-born converts, many of whom have become naturalized American citizens. This has made Loma Linda one of the more diverse communities anywhere. Large numbers of those living in Loma Linda are thus protected minorities. This greatly complicates the application of the California Voting Rights Act. Mathematically, given that there are only five members of the Loma Linda City Council, it is impossible to extend representation involving a member of every protected minority class and every subset of a protected minority class residing in Loma Linda to the Loma Linda City Council simultaneously.

Those considerations made Shenkman’s demand letter to the city and its city council highly problematic, such that no matter what the city did, it could not ensure by any action it might take that members of each and all of the city’s protected minorities would attain berths upon the city council. Still, the prospect that Shenkman might leap from his focus on “racially polarized” voting to “religiously polarized” voting was mortifying to

city officials. The city, in a series of highly irregular moves, sought to divert attention from the matter. Apparently, the city council engaged in a closed-door discussion of the matter at both its June 14 and June 28 meetings, but no overt mention of the subject matter was provided in the agendas for those meetings. Loma Linda City Clerk Lynette Arreola did not post the agendas for the meetings nor the back-up material for those agendas to the city’s website, nor a copy of Shenkman’s letter nor any documents generated by the city in response to it.

With the public and the Loma Linda community at large in the dark, the council met in closed session on June 28 and voted unanimously to comply with Shenkman’s demand, making arrangements to spend as much as \$30,250 to carry out demographic surveys and draw up map configuration options so a city elective district system involving five wards can be created and to pay Shenkman \$30,000 under the “safe harbor” provision of the California Voting Rights Act to settle the matter short of going to court. Absolutely no discussion of the matter took place in the city council’s open session.

The hope on the part of Loma Linda officials is that now that the city has “complied” with or is in the process of complying with Shenkman’s demand letter and he has been provided with his 30 pieces of silver, he will simply go away.

After Tuesday night’s meeting, Loma Linda city officials doctored the video of the meeting so that no reference to the council’s action relating to Shenkman’s demand letter is available to the public.

There is some mystery as to whether Shenkman in formulating his demand letter was aware of Loma Linda’s history of religiously polarized voting. He did not use the term “religiously polarized” in making his demand. If he indeed understood the crisis behind closed doors at Loma Linda City Hall

he had precipitated with his demand letter, he was able to angle his approach perfectly to ensure that he milked the circumstance for a \$30,000 payday.

There comes now a question of whether the way in which the districts or wards to be drawn in Loma Linda will continue to maintain the religiously polarized voting of the past – which seems to be a violation of the California Voting Rights Act in spirit if not as to the letter of the law – even as the city will imperfectly at best seek to comply with the letter of the law in ensuring that racially polarized or ethnically polarized voting does not take place.

The *Sentinel*, which because of the secrecy by which the City of Loma Linda and its city council dealt with Shenkman’s demand learned of the city’s move toward adopting a district/ward voting system late this week, sought clarification from Shenkman, both by phone and in an email.

The *Sentinel* asked Shenkman whether, now that he has profited by virtue of the City of Loma Linda’s past peculiarities with regard to that city’s voting patterns, he feels he has a moral responsibility to have Loma Linda live up to the idealized standard of the California Voting Rights Act or as close to that ideal as is possible.

The *Sentinel* asked Shenkman if he was aware of the religiously polarized voting that took place in Loma Linda historically to this point and if it was his belief, now that the religiously polarized voting that took place in the past has been clearly enunciated, if he believes that Loma Linda is required or should be required to prevent religiously polarized voting in the future.

The *Sentinel* asked Shenkman if at this point an effort is under way to prevent religiously polarized voting from taking place in Loma Linda in the future and what he was personally doing to prevent religiously polarized voting from taking place in Loma Linda going forward.

The *Sentinel* asked Shenkman how, in practical terms, religiously polarized voting can be prevented from taking place in Loma Linda and what the efforts to prevent religiously polarized voting from taking place in Loma Linda are to consist of.

The *Sentinel* sought from Shenkman whether he believes the community of Loma Linda, or any community for that matter, is at liberty to show favoritism toward one particular religious sect in terms of electing members of that sect to local political office if the community collectively does so in a way that is openly disclosed and codified into the local municipality’s laws and charter.

Corollarily, the *Sentinel* asked Shenkman if in his view the community of Loma Linda, or any community, is at liberty to elect as its political representatives members of any one particular ethnicity or race if the members of that community collectively deem those politicians qualified to represent them.

Shenkman was asked to formulate a response to those who have suggested that he failed to sufficiently research ahead of time and thereby properly inform himself of the entirety of the circumstances relating to polarized voting of all sorts that took place in the community of Loma Linda before he penned his demand letter.

Shenkman was asked if, had he known of the religiously polarized voting that took place in Loma Linda historically prior to writing his demand letter, whether he would have proceeded differently in writing the letter.

Shenkman was asked what his response would be to those who now say that he is shirking his responsibility – since he took it upon himself to raise with his demand letter the issue of racially polarized voting in Loma Linda – to redress the issue of religiously polarized voting in Loma Linda, as well.

By press time, Shenkman had not responded to the *Sentinel*’s inquiry.

Public Notices

NOTICE OF SALE OF VESSEL

Notice is hereby given the undersigned will sell the following vessel and trailer at lien sale at said address below on:

07/15/2022 9:00 am
VESSEL 96 BOMB
0455NU ZZND1714K596 CA
DATE OF SALE-
07/15/2022
TIME OF SALE-09:00 AM

LOCATION OF SALE-14038 SEA SHELL ST FONTANA CA 92335

To be sold by JV MOTORSPORTS1744 S WILLOW AVE RIALTO CA 92376

Said sale is for the purpose of satisfying lien for together with costs of advertising and expenses of sale.

NOTICE OF SALE OF AUTOMOBILE

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Sections 3071 of the Civil Code of the State of California the undersigned will sell the following vehicle(s) at lien sale at said address below on:

07/15/2022 09:00 AM
Year of Car / Make of Car / Vehicle ID No. / License No. (State)

18 CHEV / IGIZF5SU-2JF224705 8FNS967 CA

To be sold by AIR EXPRESSWAY TOWING 2521 MAIN ST BARSTOW CA 92311

Said sale is for the purpose of satisfying lien for together with costs of advertising and expenses of sale.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV BA 2200218

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: PAULA ISHAK BEKHEET DAWOOD filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

PAULA ISHAK BEKHEET DAWOOD to MASRI ISHAK DAWOOD

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: July 18, 2022
Time: 1:30 P.M.
Department: B-2
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 235 East Mountain View Street, Barstow, CA 92311, Barstow District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 05/18/2022
Judge James R. Baxter
Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 10, 17 & 24 and July 1, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220004676

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: L.A. Marketing Group, 10950 ARROW RTE #1962, RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA

Public Notices

91729 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: , JOEL D QUIROZ, 10950 ARROW RTE #1962, RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91729

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDIAL

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/JOEL QUIROZ, OWNER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 05/13/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/06/2022, 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022

AMENDED FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220003232

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LITTLE BEAR BOTTLE SHOP, 28578 STATE HIGHWAY 18, UNIT A, SUITE 3, SKYFOREST, CA 92385

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: PO BOX 857, SKYFOREST, CA 92385, LITTLEBEAR LLC, 28578 STATE HIGHWAY 18, UNIT A, SUITE 3, SKYFOREST, CA 92385

STATE OF INC./ORG./REG. CA

Business is Conducted By: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/NATHAN SAMUEL HAZARD, CEO
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/11/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 06/04/2021

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/06/2022, 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022

AMENDED FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220003583

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: KJ FLIP FLOPPING, 6302 APPLE AVE, RAILTO, CA 92377

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: 6302 APPLE AVE, RAILTO, CA 92377, JOSEPH F SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RAILTO, CA 92377, KERRI SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RIALTO, CA 92377

Business is Conducted By: A MARRIED COUPLE

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Public Notices

with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 04/11/2022

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/06/2022, 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JAMES HERCHEL CARTER CASE NO. PROSB2200842

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JAMES HERCHEL CARTER A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by MICHAEL JAMES CARTER in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that MICHAEL JAMES CARTER be appointed as personal representatives to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S-35 at 9:00 a.m. on JULY 14, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Filed: MAY 31, 2022

Attorney for Stephanie Louise Poulin: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 17, 24 & July 1, 2022.

ANTONIETTE JAUREGUI (SB 192624) on 1894 S. COMMERCENT-ER WEST, SUITE 108

Public Notices

SANBERNARDINO, CA 92408 Telephone No: (909) 890-2350 Fax No: (909) 890-0106 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 17, 24 & July 1, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JAMES HOMER BOARTS aka JAMES H. BOARTS CASE NO. PROSB2200807

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JAMES HOMER BOARTS aka JAMES H. BOARTS has been filed by STEPHANIE LOUISE POULIN in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that STEPHANIE LOUISE POULIN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JULY 11, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: MAY 31, 2022

SASHA RODRIGUEZ, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Filed: MAY 31, 2022

Attorney for Rebecca Aranda: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 17, 24 & July 1, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: DEBRA HENRY CASE NO. PROSB2200811

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of DEBRA HENRY has been filed by JASON JOSE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

Public Notices

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: RONNIE WAYNE YBARRA SR. CASE NO. PROSB2200812

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of RONNIE WAYNE YBARRA SR. has been filed by REBECCA ARANDA in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that REBECCA ARANDA be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JULY 11, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: JUNE 2, 2022

Attorney for Jason Jose: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 17, 24 & July 1, 2022.

Public Notices

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that JASON JOSE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JULY 6, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: JUNE 2, 2022

Attorney for Jason Jose: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 17, 24 & July 1, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: WILLIAM CLAUDE REIGLE III CASE NO. PROSB2200674

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of WILLIAM CLAUDE REIGLE III has been filed by DAVID JOHN REIGLE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that DAVID JOHN REIGLE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions,

Public Notices

however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JULY 25, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S36 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: MAY 6, 2022

SASHA RODRIGUEZ, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Filed: MAY 6, 2022

Attorney for David John Reigle: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 17, 24 & July 1, 2022.

T.S. No. 21-00589-CP-CA Title No. 240189 A.P.N. 1008-632-18-0-000 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE. YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 12/02/2010. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. A public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, (cashier's check(s) must be made payable to National Default Servicing Corporation), drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state; will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to a Deed of Trust described below. The sale will be made in an "as is" condition, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed

Public Notices

of Trust, with interest and late charges thereon, as provided in the note(s), advances, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale. Trustor: Loa J. Boice, a widow Duly Appointed Trustee: National Default Servicing Corporation Recorded 12/08/2010 as Instrument No. 2010-0519398 (or Book, Page) of the Official Records of San Bernardino County, CA. Date of Sale: 07/21/2022 at 1:00 PM Place of Sale: At the Main (South) Entrance to the City of Chino Civic Center, 13220 Central Avenue, Chino, CA. 91710 Estimated amount of unpaid balance and other charges: \$223,524.41 Street Address or other common designation of real property: 9528 Carrillo Ave Montclair, CA 91763 A.P.N.: 1008-632-18-0-000 The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address or other common designation, if any, shown above. If no street address or other common designation is shown, directions to the location of the property may be obtained by sending a written request to the beneficiary within 10 days of the date of first publication of this Notice of Sale. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee, and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. The requirements of California Civil Code Section 2923.5(b)/2923.55(c) were fulfilled when the Notice of Default was recorded. NOTICE TO POTENTIAL BIDDERS: If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same lender may hold more than one mortgage or deed of trust on the property. NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER: The sale date shown on this notice of sale may be postponed one or more times by the mortgagee, beneficiary, trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about trustee sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call or visit this Internet Web site www.ndscorp.com/sales, using the file number assigned to this case 21-00589-CP-CA. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. NOTICE TO TENANT*: You may have a right to purchase this property after the trustee auction pursuant to Section 2924m

Public Notices

of the California Civil Code. If you are an "eligible tenant buyer," you can purchase the property if you match the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. There are three steps to exercising this right of purchase. First, 48 hours after the date of the trustee sale, you can call 888-264-4010, or visit this internet website www.ndscorp.com, using the file number assigned to this case 21-00589-CP-CA to find the date on which the trustee's sale was held, the amount of the last and highest bid, and the address of the trustee. Second, you must send a written notice of intent to place a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 15 days after the trustee's sale. Third, you must submit a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 45 days after the trustee's sale. If you think you may qualify as an "eligible tenant buyer" or "eligible bidder," you should consider contacting an attorney or appropriate real estate professional immediately for advice regarding this potential right to purchase. *Pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code, the potential rights described herein shall apply only to public auctions taking place on or after January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2025, unless later extended. Date: 06/08/2022 National Default Servicing Corporation c/o Tiffany & Bosco, P.A., its agent, 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 820 San Diego, CA 92108 Toll Free Phone: 888-264-4010 Sales Line 855-219-8501; Sales Website: www.ndscorp.com By: Jennifer Hamlin, Trustee Sales Representative 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022 CPP352635

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF DOROTHY LOLLIS

Case No. PROSB2200799
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of DOROTHY LOLLIS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Earl Whitehead in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Earl Whitehead be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on July 6, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S37 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the

Public Notices

California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner:
LARRY D LEWELLYN
ESQ

SBN 141687
LAW OFFICES OF
LARRY D LEWELLYN
2305 TORRANCE BLVD
TORRANCE CA 90501
CN987495 LOLLIS Jun
17,24, Jul 1, 2022

FBN 20220004878
The following entity is doing business as COMPLETE PROPERTY SERVICES 10837 LAUREL ST #200 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730: SOLAR SOLUTIONS INC 10837 LAUREL ST #207 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of California 2152736

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 31, 2009

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MARY PENG, Secretary
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/23/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy 11327

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 6/17, 6/24, 7/1 & 7/8, 2022.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO. FBN20220005019

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: BODYBAR BY MARI, 17070 WALNUT VILLAGE PKWY SUITE J, FONTANA, CA 92336

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: , MARY E TORRES, 8393 CHERRY AVE 401, FONTANA, 92336

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/MARY E. TORRES, OWNER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 05/25/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 01/24/2021

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section

Public Notices

14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

RONALD LEE RODDEN a/k/a RONALD L. RODDEN

Case NO. PROSB2200872

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of RONALD LEE RODDEN a/k/a RONALD L. RODDEN

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by SCOTT E. FIEDLER in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that SCOTT E. FIEDLER be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S35 at 09:00 AM on 08/15/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Stacie Lynn Power, ESQ
1058 Mangrove Ave, Suite C, Chico, CA 95926

Telephone No: 530-576-5740
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

Ralph George Agostini, Sr., aka Ralph G. Agostini, Sr., aka Ralph Agostini

Case NO. PROSB2200866

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of Ralph George Agostini, Sr., aka Ralph G. Agostini, Sr., aka Ralph Agostini

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Yvonne Brusky and Rick Brusky in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Yvonne Brusky and Rick Brusky be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

The petition is set for hearing in Dept. No. S35 at SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT - PROBATE DIVISION 247 W. 3rd STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on JULY 26, 2022 at 09:00 AM

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you

Public Notices

Henry Mead Jr.

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Desiree Melissa Meadin the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Desiree Melissa Mead be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S35 at 09:00 AM on 12/12/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

RICHARD G. ANDERSON
1365 WEST FOOTHILL BLVD., SUITE 2, UPLAND, CA 91786

Telephone No: 909-949-2226
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JUBILEE GARCIA aka JUBILEE WHITE

Case NO. PROSB2200851

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of JUBILEE GARCIA aka JUBILEE WHITE

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ROBIN LYNNE WHITE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

The petition is set for hearing in Dept. No. S35 at SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT - PROBATE DIVISION 247 W. 3rd STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on JULY 26, 2022 at 09:00 AM

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you

Public Notices

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 07/20/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 24, July 1 & July 8, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JORGE PHILIP GOMEZ

Case NO. PROSB2200910

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, and contingent creditors of JORGE PHILIP GOMEZ, and persons who may be otherwise interested in the will or estate, or both: A petition has been filed by JORGE J. GOMEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO, requesting that JORGE J. GOMEZ be appointed special administrator to administer the estate with general powers.

The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action. The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

The petition is set for hearing in Dept. No. S35 at SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT - PROBATE DIVISION 247 W. 3rd STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on JULY 26, 2022 at 09:00 AM

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you

Public Notices

an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JULY 13, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: JUNE 9, 2022
BRITTNEY SPEARS,

Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 24, July 1 & July 8, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: JORGE PHILIP GOMEZ

Case NO. PROSB2200910

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, and contingent creditors of JORGE PHILIP GOMEZ, and persons who may be otherwise interested in the will or estate, or both: A petition has been filed by JORGE J. GOMEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO, requesting that JORGE J. GOMEZ be appointed special administrator to administer the estate with general powers.

The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action. The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

The petition is set for hearing in Dept. No. S35 at SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT - PROBATE DIVISION 247 W. 3rd STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on JULY 26, 2022 at 09:00 AM

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you

Public Notices

should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the deceased, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in subdivision (b) of Section 58 of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery of the notice to you under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are interested in the estate, you may request special notice of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Section 1250 of the California Probate Code.

Attorney for the Petitioner: MARY M. BADER 9227 HAVEN AVENUE, SUITE 368 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 Telephone: (909) 945-2775 Fax: (909) 945-2778

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 24, July 1 & July 8, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2211806

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: JAVIER PEREZ filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

JAVIER PEREZ to JAVIER ESTRADA

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: JULY 26, 2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S-17
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,
San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 06/06/2022
John M. Pacheco
Judge of the Superior Court.
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 24, July 1, July 8 & July 15, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2205795

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: TONY DENG filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

TONY DENG to TAO DENG

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person

Public Notices

objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: AUGUST 26, 2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S-17
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,
San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/08/2022
John M. Pacheco
Judge of the Superior Court.
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on June 24, July 1, July 8 & July 15, 2022.

FBN 20220006077
The following person is doing business as: N&N CONSULTING 1974 E LYNWOOD DRIVE, 13A SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404: N&N PROPERTY SOLUTIONS, LLC 1974 E LYNWOOD DRIVE, 13A SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92404

The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY registered with the State of NEVADA 201521710013.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ NEILAND DERRY, Managing Member
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/23/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy 15199

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 6/24, 7/1, 7/8 & 7/15, 2022.

FBN 20220005883
The following person is doing business as: SMILE STORE MANAGEMENT 9591 CENTRAL AVE. MONTCLAIR, CA 91763: CUNNING ENTERPRISES INC 9591 CENTRAL AVE. MONTCLAIR, CA 91763

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION registered with the State of CALIFORNIA C1332706.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ KEITH CUNNING, President
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 06/17/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy J2530

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of

Public Notices

the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 6/24, 7/1, 7/8 & 7/15, 2022.

T.S. No. 22-00318-QQ-CA Title No. 2088424 A.P.N. 1048-071-41-0-000 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE. YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 08/03/2018. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. A public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, (cashier's check(s) must be made payable to National Default Servicing Corporation), drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state; will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to a Deed of Trust described below. The sale will be made in an "as is" condition, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, with interest and late charges thereon, as provided in the note(s), advances, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale. Trustor: William E. Copeland And Denyse E. Copeland, Husband And Wife Duly Appointed Trustee: National Default Servicing Corporation Recorded 08/14/2018 as Instrument No. 2018-0295681 (or Book, Page) of the Official Records of San Bernardino County, CA. Date of Sale: 08/09/2022 at 9:00 AM Place of Sale: Chino Municipal Court, North West Entrance in the Courtyard, 13260 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710 Estimated amount of unpaid balance and other charges: \$250,592.73 Street Address or other common designation of real property: 223 Plaza Serena Ontario, CA 91764-2724 A.P.N.: 1048-071-41-0-000 The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address or other common designation, if any, shown above. If no street address or other common designation is shown, directions to the location of the property may be obtained by sending a written request to the beneficiary within 10 days of the date of first publication of this Notice of Sale. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee, and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. The requirements of California Civil Code Section 2923.5(b)/2923.55(c) were fulfilled when the Notice of Default was recorded. NOTICE TO POTENTIAL BIDDERS: If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the prop-

erty itself. Placing the highest bid at a trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same lender may hold more than one mortgage or deed of trust on the property. NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER: The sale date shown on this notice of sale may be postponed one or more times by the mortgagee, beneficiary, trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about trustee sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call or visit this Internet Web site www.ndscorp.com/sales, using the file number assigned to this case 22-00318-QQ-CA. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. NOTICE TO TENANT*: You may have a right to purchase this property after the trustee auction pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code. If you are an "eligible tenant buyer," you can purchase the property if you match the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. If you are an "eligible bidder," you may be able to purchase the property if you exceed the last and highest bid placed at the trustee auction. There are three steps to exercising this right of purchase. First, 48 hours after the date of the trustee sale, you can call 888-264-4010, or visit this internet website www.ndscorp.com, using the file number assigned to this case 22-00318-QQ-CA to find the date on which the trustee's sale was held, the amount of the last and highest bid, and the address of the trustee. Second, you must send a written notice of intent to place a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 15 days after the trustee's sale. Third, you must submit a bid so that the trustee receives it no more than 45 days after the trustee's sale. If you think you may qualify as an "eligible tenant buyer" or "eligible bidder," you should consider contacting an attorney or appropriate real estate professional immediately for advice regarding this potential right to purchase. *Pursuant to Section 2924m of the California Civil Code, the potential rights described herein shall apply only to public auctions taking place on or after January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2025, unless later extended. Date: 06/27/2022 National Default Servicing Corporation c/o Tiffany & Bosco, P.A., its agent, 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 820 San Diego, CA 92108 Toll Free Phone: 888-264-4010 Sales Line 855-219-8501; Sales Website: www.ndscorp.com By: Jennifer Hamlin, Trustee Sales Representative 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022 CPP352703

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF MICHAEL PRESTON GRIFFITHS

Case No. PROSB2200524
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of MICHAEL PRESTON GRIFFITHS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Beatrice Fabela Griffiths in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Beatrice Fabela Griffiths be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 08/03/2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Ryan E. Fender
Law Office of Ryan E. Fender
300 E. State St., Suite 200, Redlands, CA 92373
Telephone No: 909726-9580 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS
Case NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S37 at 9 a.m. on AUGUST 2, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing

Public Notices

Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 08/03/2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Ryan E. Fender
Law Office of Ryan E. Fender
300 E. State St., Suite 200, Redlands, CA 92373
Telephone No: 909726-9580 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS
Case NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S36P located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Ryan E. Fender
Law Office of Ryan E. Fender
300 E. State St., Suite 200, Redlands, CA 92373
Telephone No: 909726-9580 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS
Case NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S37 at 9 a.m. on AUGUST 2, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing

Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 08/03/2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Ryan E. Fender
Law Office of Ryan E. Fender
300 E. State St., Suite 200, Redlands, CA 92373
Telephone No: 909726-9580 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS
Case NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S37 at 9 a.m. on AUGUST 2, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing

Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S37 at 9 a.m. on AUGUST 2, 2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing

Public Notices

Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 08/03/2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Ryan E. Fender
Law Office of Ryan E. Fender
300 E. State St., Suite 200, Redlands, CA 92373
Telephone No: 909726-9580 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARIA G. ARIAS
Case NO. PROSB2200891
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARIA G. ARIAS

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ANACELIA BOJORQUEZ aka ANACELIA GONZALEZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. No. S36 at 09:00 AM on 08/03/2022 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available

Public Notices

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022 CNBB230221HMT

FBN 20220005116 The following person is doing business as TORRES GLASS & WINDOW, 1084 BELVAN AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005190 The following person is doing business as ESTRELLAS SHOP, 16194 TAWNEY RIDGE LN VICTORVILLE, CA 92394... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005195 The following person is doing business as DONA MARIA, 9134 FOOTHILL BLVD RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005291 The following person is doing business as THE DELI STATION, 3990 CONCOURS ST SUITE 108 ONTARIO, CA 91764... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

Public Notices

which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing...

FBN 20220005292 The following person is doing business as THE LOADED HOAGIE, 3281 E GUASTI RD SUITE 150 ONTARIO, CA 91761... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005282 The following person is doing business as HABLAME/TALK FOR ME, LANGUAGE SERVICES (HTFM), 17230 CORONADO AVE FONTANA, CA 92336... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005082 The following person is doing business as CAMILAS REGISTRATION, 16375 ARROW BLVD SUITE B FONTANA, CA 92335... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

Public Notices

under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022, 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022 CNBB23022041R

FBN 20220004935 The following person is doing business as NINEPOINT, 997 W GROVE ST RIALTO, CA 92377... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220004886 The following person is doing business as LSGFITCO, FOSTER AGENCY, FOSTER GOODS, SHADOW DESIGN, LYONS DESIGN, 10800 ARROW RTE 1612 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005562 The following person is doing business as LOGOS BIBLE TRAINING INSTITUTE, 10822 YOLO ST RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91701... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

Public Notices

NARDINO, CA 92408; MICHAEL BUNCH 378 MOUNTAIN VIEW AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005555 The following person is doing business as THE FLOWER SPOT, 134 S WILLOW AVE RIALTO, CA 92376... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005674 The following person is doing business as EMPANADA LOCA, 876 SIERRA VISTA DR TWIN PEAKS, CA 92391... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005581 The following person is doing business as D C AUTO BODY REPAIR, 1364 CAMINO REAL STE 120-125 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005832 The following person is doing business as JULIO C DEL CID, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 08, 2022

Public Notices

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk...

FBN 20220005580 The following person is doing business as BALLET FOLKLORICO MI ALEGRIA, 670 N COLTON AVE COLTON, CA 92324... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220006089 The following person is doing business as TAPIA'S RED CABIN RESTAURANT, 31956 HILLTOP BLVD RUNNING SPRINGS, CA 92282... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005834 The following person is doing business as BABY SHARK TRUCKING, 11100 FOURTH ST APT #C202 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

Public Notices

as RHINO FASTENERS, INC. 15080 HILTON DR FONTANA, CA 92336... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005400 The following person is doing business as RE/MAX TIME 10535 FOOTHILL BLVD STE 460 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005404 The following person is doing business as 99 CENT N GO DISCOUNT STORE, 8515 CITRUS AVE B FONTANA, CA 92335... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005412 The following person is doing business as ROGER INVESTMENTS, ROGER ENTERPRISES 6324 RUSTIC LN RIVERSIDE, CA 92509... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

Public Notices

in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing...

FBN 20220005177 The following person is doing business as D&D ELECTRONICS&CELLPHONES, 1390 N D ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005472 The following person is doing business as BEAR VALLEY APARTMENTS, LLC 11724 3RD AVE HESPERIA, CA 92345... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

FBN 20220005656 The following person is doing business as CHIEF CHAR-IOT 2150 COMSTCK STREET #710973, SANDIEGO, CA 92111... I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office...

Public Notices

MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 09, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB25202209MT

FBN 20220005471 The following person is doing business as: BIRDDOGG101 7426 CHERRY AVE #210-725 FONTANA, CA 92336 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO DISTRESS HOME BUYERS LLC 9572 MAGNOLIA ST BLOOMINGTON, CA 92316 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ ARMANDO CASTILLO, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 06, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 06/24/2022, 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB25202210MT

FBN 20220006229 The following person is doing business as: G&R AUTO TRANSPORT 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MAILING ADDRESS 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 RODICA CIRDEI 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ RODICA CIRDEI Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 28, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202202MT

FBN 20220006097 The following person is doing business as: SD&R PROPERTIES 23300 WESTWOODGRANDTERRACE, CA 92313 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO RICHARD L ATKINSON 23300 WESTWOOD STREET GRAND TERRACE, CA 92313 The business is conducted by: A TRUST The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 22, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/RICHARDLATKINSON,TRUSTEE

Public Notices

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 23, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202203MT

FBN 20220006279 The following person is doing business as: FAMILY INCOME TAX 229 S RIVERSIDE AVE STE A RIALTO, CA 92376 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JESUS OCHOA 441 E KING ST RIALTO, CA 92376 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: NOV 15, 2013 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ JESUS OCHOA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 29, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202204MT

FBN 20220006280 The following person is doing business as: STEEL FAB INDUSTRIES 14563 MANZANITA DR FONTANA, CA 92335 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ZENON MOTA 11563 SEAPORT CIRCLE MORENO VALLEY, CA 92557 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 28, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ ZENON MOTA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 29, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202205MT

FBN 20220006303 The following person is doing business as: SPONGE SCRUB CLEANING 147 S LOUIS AVE AZUSA, CA 91702 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO PRO HOME SERVICES LLC 147 S LOUISE AVE AZUSA, CA 91702 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 28, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ WILLIAN ALBERTO PINE-DA, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk

Public Notices

of San Bernardino on: JUNE 30, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202206MT

FBN 20220006257 The following person is doing business as: G&R AUTO TRANSPORT 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO GABRIEL C BELA 1743 WALLACE CT SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JUN 29, 2022 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ GABRIEL C BELA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 29, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202207MT

FBN 20220006222 The following person is doing business as: RIGHTEOUS THE MOVEMENT 366 W JACKSON ST RIALTO, CA 92376 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO BERNICE S BROWN 366 W JACKSON ST RIALTO, CA 92376 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ BERNICE S. BROWN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 28, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202208MT

FBN 20220006059 The following person is doing business as: LERMA'S ENTERPRISES 354 S 7TH ST COLTON, CA 92324; EL SOMBRERO BANQUET HALL COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO LERMA'S ENTERPRISES, LLC 12036 LOYOLA CT FONTANA, CA 92337 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: OCT 05, 2017 By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ MARCELA G. LERMA, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 22, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original state-

Public Notices

ment on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202209MT

FBN 20220006067 The following person is doing business as: NEW PROPERTY MANAGEMENT 517 N MOUNTAIN AVE #104 UPLAND, CA 91786 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO WENDY Y MEDINA 517 N MOUNTAIN AVE #104 UPLAND, CA 91786 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ WENDY Y. MEDINA, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 28, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CN-BB26202210MT

FBN 20220006233 The following person is doing business as: V77 RENTAL CARS; VICIOUS GLOBAL MARTS 601 E. OLIVE ST. SUITE 105 A SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MAILING ADDRESS P.O. BOX 881671 LOS ANGELES, CA 92410; VICTOR C ASIANGWO 601 E. OLIVE ST. SUITE 105 A SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ VICTOR C. ASIANGWO, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 28, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CNB-B26202211IR

FBN 20220006165 The following person is doing business as: CTC TRANSPORTATION LLC 7635 SUN PETAL CT FONTANA, CA 92336 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CTC TRANSPORTATION LLC 7635 SUNPETAL.CTFONTANA,CA92336 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ DANIEL RODRIGUEZ, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 27, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Public Notices

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CNB-B26202212IR

FBN 20220005935 The following person is doing business as: AB FLEET WASH L.L.C. 2016 OGDEN ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ABFLEETWASH.L.L.C.2016OGDEN ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ SERGIO ALVIZAR, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 21, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CNB-B26202213IR

FBN 20220005933 The following person is doing business as: ALLCAREPHARMACY 12998 HESPERIA RD. #102 VICTORVILLE, CA 92395 The following person is doing business as: CTC TRANSPORTATION LLC 7635 SUN PETAL CT FONTANA, CA 92336 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO CTC TRANSPORTATION LLC 7635 SUNPETAL.CTFONTANA,CA92336 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ DANIEL RODRIGUEZ, MANAGING MEMBER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 27, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Public Notices

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO P.H.N PHARMACIES INC. 580 FOREST SHADE RD. #8 USPS-BOX 2220 CRESTLINE, CA 92325 The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ PHUO NGUYEN, PRESIDENT Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 21, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CNB-B26202214IR

FBN 20220006196 The following person is doing business as: AL STILOO 3523 BOND ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO STACEY R ANDRADE 3523 BOND ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405; ALEXIS ANDRADE 3523 BOND ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405 The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ STACEY R. ANDRADE, GENERAL PARTNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 27, 2022

Public Notices

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CNB-B26202215IR

FBN 20220006031 The following person is doing business as: RUIZ ESTATES 15550 WEIMER AVE PARAMOUNT, CA 90723 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO OIR RUIZ LLC 15550 WEIMER AVE. PARAMOUNT, CA 90723 The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing, s/ JERMY RUIZ, MANAGER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: JUNE 22, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 07/01/2022, 07/08/2022, 07/15/2022, 07/22/2022 CNBB26202216CH

School District Audit Recommends Ending Ten Contracts from page 3

the auditors called "our largest provider and most professional and financially viable," which is active in the Redlands Unified School District, as well. - A \$50,000 contract with Artists in Residence, which the auditing team said, "will have working artists teach in our high school program." A \$132,990.85 contract with Athletes for Life, which is run by ex-NFL player Greg Bell. "Needs cultivating," the auditors stated. "New provider, but [has] experience with other school districts." -A \$50,000 contract with Transforming Arts & Minds

LLC/Film Society. The programming, in real time at Indian Springs High School will "happen at other high schools through virtual [hook-up]," the auditors noted, and will offer "internships and industry instructors." - Another \$300,000 contract with Transforming Arts & Minds LLC/Broadway Now! "We have a lot of input and collaboration with Tony Plana," the Cuban American actor, the auditing team said. In addition, the audit recommended that the district maintain contracts in the amounts of \$7,150, \$9,000, \$6,500 and \$10,800 with Charles B. Allen, Inland Empire Soccer, Inland Volleyball Officials and Keith B. Weeks Enterprises, respectively, for sports referees. -Mark Gutglueck

Ibarra Overcomes Odds & Array of Establishment Opposition To Vanquish Elliott from page 3

picked up 13 votes, Ibarra 11 votes and Payne none. Ibarra led with 747 or 51.02 percent. On June 16 a few more straggling mail-in votes were counted, with six of those going to Elliott and 19 registering for Ibarra. Ibarra was on top, with 766 or 51.44 percent to Elliott's 710 votes or 47.88 percent. With the next update on Thurs-

day June 23, 2022, Elliott gained 17 votes, bringing him to 727 votes or 47.61 percent as Ibarra increased her total by 21 votes to 787, putting her at 51.54 percent. Yesterday, June 30, the last tally before the election is to be certified later this month, six more votes came in for Elliott while seven came in for Ibarra and one for Payne. Thus, as of today, Ibarra is on a trajectory to win the race, with 794 votes or 51.52 percent to Elliott's 733 votes or 47.57 percent. -Mark Gutglueck