

With Residents' Acquiescence, GT Solons Amenable To Commercial Marijuana Sales

Grand Terrace, San Bernardino County's third smallest city populationwise and its smallest in land area, would become the sixth of the county's 24 municipalities to jump on the cannabis-product sales tax revenue bandwagon if its residents are willing to allow that to happen.

Medical marijuana has been legal for sale in California since the

passage of 1996's Proposition 215, the Compassionate Use of Marijuana Act and the sale and use of marijuana for intoxicative effect has been legal in the Golden State since the 2016 passage of Proposition 64, the Adult Use of Marijuana Act.

Both Proposition 215 and Proposition 64 contained provisions that essentially allowed local jurisdictions to main-

tain the sales bans on the drug that had been in place in the state since 1907. For 16 years after the Compassionate Use of Marijuana Act went into effect, there was no local government in San Bernardino County that would permit medical marijuana to be legally sold. All 22 of the county's cities, both of its incorporated towns and the county govern-

ment which holds sway in the 94 percent of the 20,105-square mile county that does not fall within any city or town limits held the line, with controlling numbers of elected officials in every one of those jurisdictions believing that cannabis use represented a deviation from the strictures of an ordered and decent society and accordingly not allowing marijuana

dispensaries to legally operate. Many elected officials expressed the view that marijuana was of dubious medical value to begin with and even if it were conceded that it had efficacy in extreme cases such as serving as a pain palliative and nausea-alleviant for those undergoing chemotherapy, whatever salutary value this represented was **See P 6**

DA Rethinking Selective & Overextended Felony Charges Against Vice Principals

A third member of the staff/faculty at Wilmer Amina Carter High School in Rialto has been criminally charged for failure to properly report that one and perhaps more of the students at the school had been sexually abused.

The addition of a misdemeanor filing against another school staff member at the high school where two school administrators are being subjected to novel felony

prosecutions relating to sexual assaults perpetrated by a student or students against another underage student or other underage students may complicate the ability of the prosecution to obtain convictions of two original defendants in the case.

The three adults criminally charged in the case were not directly involved in nor did they have prior knowledge of those assaults, and their

being held to account when the one known juvenile perpetrator of the assaults is not being charged is creating a stir in both legal and educational circles.

Moreover, the enlargement of the case to a third school employee intensifies already existing questions about why the principal who was in place when the sexual abuse of one of her students was ongoing has not been criminally

charged even as two of her assistant principals were.

Lindsay Morton, who was a counselor at Wilmer Amina Carter High School was formally charged by the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office on March 7 with misdemeanor failure of a mandated reporter to report child abuse or neglect, Penal Code § 11166, stemming from an event which the dis-

trict attorney's office alleges occurred on November 1, 2021. Morton was arraigned before Judge Ingrid Uhler on April 25, 2022.

Morton's travail follows those of David Shenhan Yang and Natasha Harris-Dawson, who on February 23 were each hit with three criminal charges, those being felony willfully causing or permitting a child to suffer, Penal Code § 273 (A), **See P 5**

FPPC Filing Vs. Sheriff's Campaign Revealed & Shuttered This Week

A public interest group this week publicly alleged that \$36,375 of the donations received by San Bernardino County Sheriff Shannon Dicus's campaign committee were inadequately delineated in campaign finance documents provided to the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters' Office.

Dicus's campaign

contested that assertion, which was formalized in a complaint made to the California Fair Political Practices Commission by the local chapter of the National Action Network in a filing made May 11. According to the campaign and a report in the San Bernardino *Sun* which appeared as a posting on the newspaper's website **See P 3**

North Fontana & North Rancho Cucamonga Voters Given Chance To Cast Ballots Twice In June 7 Election

A glitch at the county elections office has created the possibility that the outcome of the contest for Second District county supervisor could be impacted by perhaps as many as 5,000 residents in north Rancho Cucamonga and north Fontana voting twice in the June 7 primary election.

There is, as a conse-

quence of the same mistake, a possibility that some of those voters who were mistakenly provided with the opportunity to vote twice will not have their votes counted at all.

County officials are not disclosing how widespread the error was. What is known is that at least 1,500 incorrect ballots were mailed to vot-

ers in north Fontana and Rancho Cucamonga, and the office followed up later by mailing out what it said were corrected ballots. The office did not say precisely how many faulty ballots were supplied to voters or what error or errors the first set of ballots mailed contained.

The *Sentinel* has obtained a letter **See P 3**

No Kaiser COVID Vaccinations At Any Price In San Bernardino Or Rancho Cucamonga

Kaiser Permanente, which provides health service for a wide cross section of those in San Bernardino County, is no longer providing its members residing in Rancho Cucamonga, where it has medical facilities, and in San Bernardino, where it has medical facilities, with COVID vaccinations.

Those seeking the shots are turned away

at the door and told that they can try to see if they can get an immunization in Fontana or in Palm Springs.

Kaiser officials did not explain why they are discontinuing the injections, other than to say the policy was effective last week.

The move comes as California is experiencing another coronavirus surge. Since the onset

of the pandemic in February 2020, a pattern of summer and winter surges has been shown. There was a summer surge in 2020, a surge in the winter of 2020, one again in the summer of 2021 and then again in winter 2021, with spikes in infection rates and deaths. With the official opening of summer yet more than three weeks distant, California is re-

porting more confirmed cases per day than it did at the peak of the summer 2020 surge, and it is inching up toward summer 2021 surge levels.

There has been mutation of the disease in what epidemiologists detect as a series of strains of the malady over the last two years and four months. Typically, variants evolve and initially lay low and then come to

the fore to become dominant. The variant that's dominant right now, an offshoot of the Omicron strain, is extremely contagious, though it seems to provoke less severe symptoms and is not, perhaps, as deadly as previous forms of COVID-19, according to epidemiologists.

One factor in the reduced mortality rates is that higher **See P 3**

Board Forces Manbahal Out As WVWD Chief Executive



Rickey Manbahal

By Mark Gutglueck

Compounding its already well-established reputation for dysfunctional political and managerial relationships, the West Valley Water District last week accomplished its eleventh detachment from a senior managerial or administrative employee since late 2017, while its seventh board member since 2016 is set to make his departure from the district next month.

Meanwhile, the FBI is scrutinizing the motivation and implication contained within the pattern of parallel firings, hirings, firings, hirings and firings the district has engaged in over the last five years and the seeming use of lucrative employment opportunities with the district as payoffs to politicians for action they took in their elected capacities elsewhere.

On May 19, the board unanimously accepted the resignation of Shamindra "Rickey" Manbahal, who has been serving as the district's general manager since October 2020, paying him over \$290,000 to willingly walk out the door rather than publicly reveal the reasons for his being forced to depart. Simultaneously, it was revealed that Board Member Michael Taylor, whose presence on the board from virtually the day he was **See P 2**

In The WWVD, Board Hired Other Politicians Into Do-Nothing Or Highly Lucrative Assignments In Exchange For The Same Or Favors Elsewhere

from front page

sworn into office in 2017 had resulted in a shifting/purging of personnel from which the district has yet to recover, will voluntarily leave as a board member at the end of June.

For most of its history following its 1952 founding, the West Valley Water District functioned in a relatively low-key fashion, providing water to homes and businesses in a roughly 31-square mile area including the entirety of Bloomington, as well as a portion of the cities of Colton, Fontana, Rialto, a small area of unincorporated San Bernardino County, and a sliver of Jurupa Valley in Riverside County. For more than three decades ending in June 2015, the district was managed by Anthony "Butch" Araiza, who had begun with the district as a young man in 1963. The district hired Thomas J. Crowley to replace Araiza. Shortly after Araiza's departure, perhaps because he took with him so much institutional memory, the district began experiencing difficulties.

To remedy that, Araiza sought election in that year's election to the district board. Crowley took that as a challenge to his professionalism and competence, and with the support of the district's legal counsel, Gerald W. Eagan, raised the question of whether Araiza could run for the board, based upon California Government Code § 87406.3, which prohibits a former administrator with a government agency or entity from going to work with that body. The challenge did not prevent Araiza's candidacy, but it might have influenced the outcome of the election, as, despite his name recognition within the district as well as his command of the issues facing it and the leg up he appeared to have on capturing one of the three seats at stake in

the November 2015 election, Araiza narrowly lost, capturing fifth place among seven candidates who included himself, Alan G. Dyer, future Rialto Councilman Rafael Trujillo, Michael Taylor, Greg Young, Manny Gonzalez and Don Olinger. Victorious were Young, Dyer and Olinger. Araiza finished just 34 votes behind the third-place finisher, Olinger, who had long been a board member with the district. Young and Dyer were the first and second place finishers, respectively. Trujillo, who would the following year succeed in capturing the more prestigious position of Rialto City Councilman, finished fourth.

From that point on, the district appeared to be cursed somehow, as the relatively sedate politics that had existed in the water district appeared to be poisoned. Dyer resigned from the district post in 2016. By 2017, Clifford Young, a board member who had been elected to that position in 2013 and held the distinction of being the first, and so far only, African American to serve on the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors, was embroiled in a dispute with a couple of West Valley employees, ironically one of whom was an African American woman who, among other things, was alleging Young was exhibiting bias against her because of her race and gender.

Cliff Young at that point found himself in conflict with his board colleague, Linda Gonzalez. In the 2017 board race, he vanquished her, gained reelection himself and succeeded in having Taylor, with whom he was then aligned, replace her on the board. Araiza, who again competed in the 2017 election, was unable to achieve election to the board.

Immediately upon the conclusion of the 2017 election, the coalition formed by Young was in ascendancy at the district. Upon Clifford Young and Taylor being sworn in for the four-year term commencing in December 2017, they acted immediately in concert with their two allies on

the board, Greg Young, who is no blood relation to Cliff Young, and Kyle Crowther, who had been voted into office in the November 2017 election for the short term to replace Dyer, to sack, suspend or place on administrative leave district general manager Matthew Litchfield; Assistant General Manager Greg Gage; the district's human resources manager, Karen Logue; and the board's secretary, Shanae Smith. They terminated chief financial officer Marie Ricci. Olinger had been the lone dissident in that vote.

Virtually simultaneously, the district retained a new general legal counsel in the person of Robert Tafoya. Tafoya's hiring occurred amidst a remarkable set of circumstances.

Taylor had been an officer with the Baldwin Park Police Department since 1982. He had made a steady progress up the ranks of the department under police chiefs David Snowden, Richard Hoskin, Carmine R. Lanza, Edward Lopez and Lili Hadsell, and was made police chief in 2013. In 2016, Taylor was fired, just as the City of Baldwin Park began in earnest to litigate a lawsuit brought against it in which Hadsell had alleged gender discrimination that resulted in her being unjustifiably terminated as police chief and that Taylor, as one of her highest-ranking subordinates, had undermined her in tandem with Baldwin Park City Councilman Ricardo Pacheco.

Less than two weeks after Taylor's election to the West Valley Water District Board, on November 15, 2017, the Baldwin Park City Council voted to rehire Taylor as police chief, to return him to his former role under a contract that was to pay him \$183,368.08 in annual salary, another \$8,565.86 in other pay, \$54,782.03 in benefits and a \$47,878.57 contribution to his pension for a total yearly compensation of \$294,594.54. The employment contract with Taylor was worded by Baldwin Park City Attorney Robert Tafoya to preclude the city from fir-

ing Taylor unless he was convicted of a felony, and the contract further prohibited the city council from engaging in annual evaluations of Taylor's performance.

Upon Taylor being sworn in as a member of the West Valley Water District Board of Directors the following month, in December 2017, the board moved to hire Tafoya, who was yet Baldwin Park city attorney, to serve as the water district's general counsel.

Five months after Taylor's and Crowther's elections and Cliff Young's reelection and four months after their swearing-in and Tafoya's hiring as West Valley general legal counsel, Baldwin Park City Councilman Ricardo Pacheco was hired by the West Valley Water District to serve in the post of assistant general manager in April 2018 at an annual salary of \$189,592 plus benefits. Pacheco had little to recommend him for the West Valley Water District position, as he had no expertise, experience or licensing in water operations. Pacheco's lack of qualifications for the post were of little consequence, however, as the job he had been provided with was an essentially do-nothing post, meant as a highly lucrative sinecure with the water district, one that had been arranged in order to reward him for various actions and votes he had taken as a member of the Baldwin Park City Council, as well as a quid pro quo for the rehiring of Michael Taylor as Baldwin Park police chief.

Thereafter, the rapid physical decline and the October 2018 death of Robert Christman, who had been hired as interim general manager at the district under the mutual agreement of Cliff Young, Taylor, Greg Young and Crowther to stabilize the district's circumstance in late 2017, created disarray. In October 2018, coinciding with Christman's death, the alliance between Cliff Young and Taylor dissolved. Less than a year after Cliff Young's triumphant 2017 electoral coup in which he had seen his hold on the district solidified with

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.
The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with
Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

to learn of locations where the Sentinel is available or to provide news tips

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 951 567 1936

Message Line 951-567 1936

the election of his allies Taylor and Crowther, the West Valley Water District's scepter slipped from his grasp as Taylor formed an alliance with Crowther and Olinger and deposed Young as board president. The resultant rift within the district perpetuated a crisis within the district that accelerated an already observable pattern of employee discontinuity that the district has yet to overcome.

Once in control of the district, Taylor moved to hire Clarence C. Mansell Jr. as the district's interim general manager, hinting that upon Mansell proving himself, he might be given the full-fledged general manager's position. Mansell was a journeyman water operations professional with nearly 40 years experience, including work with the Los Angeles County Sanitation District, the cities of Los Angeles, Corona, and Rialto as well as in his role as a water operations troubleshoot consultant. Some saw in the hiring of Mansell, an African American, a gesture intended to goad Cliff Young, a way by which Taylor sought to undercut Young's standing with his black constituents by using his authority as the head of the water district board to hire an African American into the district's top staff position, something Cliff Young had not achieved. For whatever reason or reasons, there appeared to be bad blood between Cliff Young and Mansell from the time Mansell arrived at the district.

Throughout the final months of 2018 and the initial months of 2019,

Cliff Young stewed over the manner in which Taylor had maneuvered to replace him as the president of West Valley Water District's board of directors. In March of 2019, Cliff Young linked up with the water district's chief financial officer, Naisha Davis, and an analyst in the district's administrative services division, Patricia Romero, to file a qui tam legal action in which they alleged Taylor, Pacheco, Tafoya and several district consultants and lawyers were engaged in an elaborate bribery and kickback scheme to divert public money to themselves.

In May 2019, Pacheco was placed on paid administrative leave.

That same month, the West Valley Water District hired another political figure, then-Hesperia City Councilman Jeremiah Brosowske into a newly created assistant general manager post. Like Pacheco, Brosowske had no background, experience, expertise or licensing in water operations. From his district office he served, in essence, as a political operative, working on political campaigns, including that year's water district board contests on behalf of three candidates favored by Taylor.

From May 2019 until November 2019, Pacheco continued to draw his salary and benefits while he was on leave, a total of more than \$135,000. In November 2019, Pacheco's employment with the district was terminated, at which point he was provided with a severance equal to nine months salary, \$146,459.82.

Continued on Page 4

Despite Acceleration In COVID Rates Due To Highly Contagious Variant Now Making The Rounds, Kaiser Discontinues Vaccinations *from front page*

rates of immunity from vaccination or past infections are protecting people who contract the newest permutation of

the disease. What is not charted so far is whether those completely unvaccinated or who have no immunity based upon a past bout with a different strain will have resiliency against the disease in its most recent form or whether they are more likely to perish from their infection than that portion of the population that has immunity either because of being inoculated or having

experienced the coronavirus previously. While a significant number of people were and remain resistant to getting vaccinated, the main contingent of medical professionals see no hazard in the vaccinations. They have been encouraging people to get vaccinations and follow-up booster shots to reduce the numbers of people in whom the disease will flourish and thereby

mutate. Those advocating and pursuing that strategy have warned that having a large segment of the population unvaccinated will create pockets of people in whom the mutation of the disease will take place, giving constant and continuous rise to new and more strains of the disease, some which will be even more deadly offshoots than what has been seen before.

For that reason, Kaiser Permanente's abrupt discontinuation of the policy of providing vaccinations to those who were willing to undergo them is baffling. Reportedly, the U.S. Government has paid for the production and provision of the vaccine, such that Kaiser Permanente's only cost in vaccinating its members extends to employing its medical personnel to administer

the shots. When Kaiser plan members offered to go beyond their normal co-pay for medical service and outright pay for the vaccinations, Kaiser Permanente is still refusing that service to those who live in San Bernardino and Rancho Cucamonga. The vaccination is not available at any price to those who live in those two cities.

-M.G.

Election Office Glitch Provided Some Voters With Two Ballots *from front page*

from Michael Jimenez, San Bernardino County's interim registrar of voters, dated May 13. With the salutation "Dear Voter," the letter states, "Due to a clerical error, the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters inadvertently sent you an incorrect mail ballot, and as a result, a new mail ballot is enclosed. Please disregard the mail ballot you previously received. Please use the replacement mail ballot included with this letter. If you already returned the first mail ballot, it will be canceled. Rest assured that the enclosed ballot is accurate and all valid votes will be counted. Please cast your vote with the enclosed correct mail ballot by using the enclosed envelope

provided to you. If you prefer to vote in person on Election Day, you may find your assigned polling place on the Registrar of Voters website at www.SBCounty-Elections.com/Voting/PPL/2022/0607/. Polling places will be open for in person voting from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. on Election Day, Tuesday, June 7."

According to Jimenez, voters who received the incorrect mail ballots are being sent a new County Voter Information Guide.

The concluding paragraph in Jimenez's May 13 letter reads, "The Registrar of Voters' priority is to be sure you are able to vote on all contests and candidates for which you are eligible to vote. I apologize for any inconvenience or confusion this may have caused you. If you have any questions or concerns about this issue, please call my office at (909) 387-2100."

Despite that invitation,

elections office staff were unable to clarify what the discrepancy was between the original ballots sent to the voters who



Michael Jimenez

had been given incorrect ballots and the corrected ones, how many voters had been given incorrect ballots, which contests on those ballots were affected, what control was in place to prevent voters from mailing in both ballots, whether all of the original ballots deemed to be incorrect will not be counted and whether all secondary ballots will be counted. The office was not able to clarify whether a voter who voted using the first ballot provided him or her who then

does not follow through with voting a second time will lose his or her opportunity to have his or her vote counted. In fielding questions as to how the elections office would be able to prevent voters who received ballots from voting twice, it was not able to explain how it could do so without subjecting each and all voters' privacy of the ballot to at least potential compromise.

At the heart of the matter is that neither the registrar of voters office nor Jimenez nor the county in general is prepared to disclose what protocols and procedures are in place to ensure that the voting that will take place has integrity insofar as preventing double-voting from occurring in a way that will prevent elections office personnel from accessing the ballots in question and identifying which voter cast which ballot, which would subject the voter

to having how he or she cast her votes become known to an agent of the government. Also at issue is how those who have already voted using the erroneously provided ballots can be guaranteed the right to vote in this year's primary, if they indeed do not cast a second ballot.

Voters in north Fontana and north Rancho Cucamonga will have the opportunity, or should have the opportunity, to vote in several races. Even if the number of voters and ballots involved is no more than 1,500, the circumstance carries with it the chance that election outcomes could be changed. Most prominently are three contests: that for Second District county supervisor, in which Dejoae Shaw, Matt Coker, Luis Cetina, Nadia Renner and Jesse Armendarez are competing; Assembly Member in the 50th District, in which Sheela

Stark, Rodgir Cohen and Eloise Gomez Reyes are running; and Congressman in the 33rd District, for which John Mark Porter, Rex Gutierrez, Ernest H. Richter and Pete Aguilar are vying. There are other contests voters in North Fontana are participating in as well, but those – for governor, short and long term senator, lieutenant governor, state controller, state treasurer, insurance commissioner, California Attorney General, superintendent of public education, California Secretary of State, member of the California Board of Equalization, county sheriff, county treasurer, county superintendent of schools and two judgeships are playing out in large venues where the likelihood of swaying the outcome is not as great as it is in the cases of county supervisor, congressman and assembly member.

-Mark Gutglueck

Complaint To FPPC Alleges Finance Reporting Violations In Sheriff's Campaign *from front page*

today, Friday May 27, the commission rejected the complaint.

The National Action Network complaint takes issue less with the documentation/accounting of the money the campaign received which is laid out in the California Form 460 campaign finance reporting documents filed with the county, which the *Sentinel's* independent analysis found to have mathematical integrity, but rather with the provenance of the donors

or claimed donors themselves.

The complaint states that \$11,500 came into Dicus's campaign from



Shannon Dicus

individuals associated with businesses or directly from businesses that were either never properly registered with the California Secretary of State's Office or registrations which have elapsed or which never existed.

Further, according to the complaint, the Form 460s show the campaign receiving \$24,875 from people claiming association with businesses that are either nonexistent or which are inaccurately named.

According to Gail Fry, the spokeswoman for the National Action Network's San Bernardino County chapter, neither Express Gun Locker, which donated \$3,000 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign on February 28, 2022; Home Masters, which donated \$500 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign on entity March 18, 2022; Kendrick, which donated \$500 to the Dicus For Sheriff

Campaign on February 17, 2022; Market Solutions, which donated \$150 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign on October 1, 2021; MCR Property Management, which donated \$500 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign on February 17, 2022; Rancho De Los Sumideros, which donated \$2,000 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign on March 21, 2022 twice; and River Transport, which donated \$4,900 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign on April 29, 2022 exist as legal entities.

Further, according to Fry, the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign is out of compliance with regard to its reporting of dona-

tions on the part of several donors insofar as California Government Code Section 84211 applies. California Govern-



Gail Fry

ment Code Section 84211 requires that "Each campaign statement required by this article shall contain all of the following information:

...g) If the cumulative amount of loans received from or made to a person

is one hundred dollars (\$100) or more, and a loan has been received from or made to a person during the period covered by the campaign statement, or is outstanding during the period covered by the campaign statement, all of the following:

- (1) His or her full name.
- (2) His or her street address.
- (3) His or her occupation.
- (4) The name of his or her employer, or if self-employed, the name of the business."

The National Action Network's May 11 filing stated Abraham Tekin of Ozel Jewelers, who do-

Continued on Page 10

Combination Of Political Patronage & Power Struggles Created A Culture Of Dysfunction Within The West Valley Water District *from page 2*

Cliff Young protested that payout, calling it a “gift of public funds.” Cliff Young further lamented that in the 19 months Pacheco was employed by the district, including the 13 months he was reporting as the assistant general manager and the six months he was on leave, Pacheco “did no work. He simply accepted money for having hired Mike Taylor as police chief in Baldwin Park. In return, Mike Taylor hired him as assistant general manager. It was a straight trade-off. They were giving each other kickbacks in the form of jobs. Robert Tafoya was in on it, when he was hired as our [West Valley Water District’s] general counsel.”

Taylor has asserted that when the decision to hire Pacheco had been made on March 29, 2018, it had occurred in a closed session in which he had not participated.

Cliff Young, who in March 2018 was yet on good terms with Taylor, pointed out that in December 2017 Taylor had participated in the 4-to-1 vote with then-Board Member Don Olinger dissenting to hire Tafoya. Cliff Young insisted that decision as well as the March 2018 hiring of Pacheco, with whom Taylor had experience in Baldwin Park, had been made upon Taylor’s recommendations.

Taylor acknowledges making the recommendations, but nevertheless insists he had no part in the actual vote to hire Pacheco.

In April 2020, seven months after Brosowske’s colleagues on the Hesperia City Council had removed him from office on non-residency grounds, the West Valley Water District terminated him, conferring upon him a severance package of \$154,884.80 in doing so. Taken together with the \$173,792.66 in salary he had been paid

for his 11 months with the district together with the \$57,291.66 in benefits he had been provided over those same 11 months, that brought to \$385,969.12 in total compensation Brosowske took from the West Valley Water District to perform tasks which the district now acknowledges Brosowske was not qualified to carry out and was incapable of performing.

A state audit of the West Valley Water District determined that the district had used slipshod hiring practices and favoritism in both its hiring and promotions.

The May 2019 hiring of Brosowske had been accompanied by the hiring of Logan Olds into a senior assistant general manager’s position. Unlike Brosowske, Olds, who had been the general manager of the Victor Valley Waste Water Management Authority for 13 years, had experience, expertise and professional licensing that qualified him for the position he had been given. Nevertheless, Olds lasted only three months before departing abruptly in August 2019. No reason was given for his departure, but reports flourished that he had been unable to accept the degree of incompetence among certain elements of the district’s workforce, in particular key personnel who did not possess the requisite skill to carry out their assignments.

The same month, the district hired Shamindra “Rickey” Manbahal as its chief financial officer, based on his prior experience as finance director with the City of Hawthorne and as a contract financial auditor with the City of Novato.

In the fall of 2019, West Valley Water District Human Resources and Risk Manager Deborah Martinez and her husband, George Martinez, were charged by the California Attorney General’s Office with seven felony counts of filing false tax returns relating to their two businesses, Alliance Distributing and Alliance Building Maintenance, which had been paid more than \$5.6 million by the California Department of Transporta-

tion from 2012 to 2015. Deborah Martinez was Taylor’s close friend and associate. She had begun with the district as a human resources analyst in August 2016 at a pay rate of \$41.76 per hour, prior to Taylor’s tenure on the board. Immediately after Taylor was sworn in in December 2017, she was promoted, following Human Resources Director Karen Logue’s suspension, to interim human resources and risk manager, for which she was given a raise to \$60.19 per hour, a 44 percent pay increase. In April 2018, Martinez, again at Taylor’s bidding, was made full-fledged human resources and risk manager, at which point her pay jumped to \$72.12 per hour, equal to a salary of \$150,000 per year.

When the California Attorney General’s Office charged Martinez and her husband on September 23, 2019, both Taylor and Mansell learned of that development the same day. They withheld that information from others at the district, including the board.

In the run-up to the 2019 election, Taylor pumped close to \$40,000 from his own campaign fund into an effort to keep Olinger and Crowther in office and have Greg Young, considered to be one of Clifford Young’s allies, voted out of office. Crowther was successful in his reelection effort, but Olinger was not, losing to newcomer Channing Hawkins. Greg Young emerged victorious against the candidate Taylor had supported, Angel Ramirez.

In an effort at fence mending, Taylor in early December 2019 cut a deal with Hawkins to have him elevated, immediately upon his swearing in as a member of the board, to the position of board president, an uncommon move given that the board presidency is normally reserved for a board member who has at least two and usually four or more years of experience on the board. In promoting Hawkins into the board presidency, Taylor stepped over Greg Young, who at that point had four years on the board, and his own abid-

ing ally, Crowther, who had two years’ experience on the board.

A day after Hawkins was made board president and both Greg Young and Crowther were bypassed, there was a public disclosure of the charges filed against Martinez. Crowther, who had been vice-president of the board at the time, found himself in negative limelight when Martinez was asked why she had been allowed to remain in her assignment with the district after she had been criminally charged nearly three months previously. She said the top leadership of the district – Taylor as board president, Crowther as board vice president and Mansell as district manager – had consented to her remaining in place. Crowther, who had been kept in the dark over the criminal charges, was livid. Taylor’s dominant position within the district evaporated.

Later in December 2019, 16 of West Valley’s department heads – Public Affairs Manager Naseem Farooqi, General Services Manager Jon Stephenson, Acting Human Resources Manager Paul Becker, Operations Manager Joanne Chan, Engineering Services Manager Linda Jadeski, Business Systems Manager Albert Clinger, Accounting Manager Jose Velasquez, Geographic Information Systems Manager Telat Yalcin, Purchasing Supervisor Al Robles, Production Supervisor Joe Schaak, Water Quality Supervisor Anthony Budicin, Customer Service Supervisor Alberto Yulo, Chief Treatment Plant Operator Ernie Montelongo and Chief Treatment Plant Operator Sergio Granda – signed a letter in which they petitioned the board to terminate Mansell. The letter referenced “extreme concerns with regards to the executive management and overall unsatisfactory performance of General Manager Clarence Mansell, Jr.,” alleging a “lack of transparency, communication, honesty, professionalism and respect for employees” as well as favoritism that entailed “flawed... hiring practic-

es” in which “job description vacancies within our departments are molded to fit specific individuals our general manager desires, most of whom have a personal relationship with him. Often, these employees lack the qualifications and experience required to perform basic tasks and begin at an inappropriately high pay step, creating tension among long-term employees of the district.”

Thereafter, Mansell went into virtual exile, rarely venturing from his office. While he was showing up at the district headquarters, he had no contact of any substance with the personnel of the district and was not performing in the capacity of the district general manager.

In January 2020, Manbahal, in addition to his role as the district’s chief financial officer, took on, without fanfare, the role of de facto general manager of the district. Over the first ten months of 2020, Mansell lodged complaints of workplace harassment and mistreatment against the district. In October 2020, he went out on paid leave, and never returned to the district’s headquarters. On October 12, 2020, Manbahal was officially appointed acting general manager. His title was changed to interim general manager on April 1, 2021. On July 1, 2021, the qualifiers on his title were dispensed with and he became the district’s general manager.

Throughout that time, Mansell, who was out on stress leave, was preparing an in-depth and comprehensive lawsuit against the district that was to allege among workers’ compensation and medical claims, further racial discrimination, breach of contract, creative termination and unjust termination. He asserted he was a whistleblower who was being forced out of his job because he was crusading for decency within the district that was dominated by self-interested criminal types. He opened negotiations with the district toward reaching a settlement in which his straight-out demand was form more than \$1

million. He alleged the 16 department heads who had signed the December 2019 letter were attacking him because he was black and the board had improperly publicly questioned him in his role as general manager. Race was a factor in his no longer being on the job at the district, he maintained, despite the consideration that both Clifford Young and Channing Hawkins were African-American. There was relative confidence that Mansell would not be able to sell a jury on a racial discrimination claim, but district officials and their advisors were nonetheless concerned that the cost of waging a defense against such a lawsuit would be quite expensive. Ultimately, the district reached an out-of-court settlement in which Mansell was paid \$450,000 with an ironclad agreement that he would forsake all possible claims against the district and leave once and for all.

Unbeknownst to most or even all of those engaged in the West Valley Water District’s operations, Pacheco had stepped into legal quicksand, and not only his political but existential footing was sliding sideways on him. At some yet publicly undisclosed point, he found himself at the utter and complete mercy of federal investigators with the Drug Enforcement Agency, the Internal Revenue Service and the FBI. Federal authorities have not disclosed when it was, exactly, that Pacheco began to cooperate with them. It has now been disclosed that federal officials stood by as he tried, and may have even encouraged him, to dissemble when he was questioned about whether he was unburdening his conscience to federal investigators as early as 2019.

In October 2020, FBI agents served a search warrant at Tafoya’s Los Angeles law office based upon information supplied to them by Pacheco.

According to federal investigators, legal documents drawn up by Tafoya are riddled with irregularities. Tafoya and his firm drafted the *Continued on Page 12*

In High School Sex Scandal, Rialto Police & DA Applied An Exacting Standard With Some Faculty & A Different One For The Principal *from front page*

stemming from an incident occurring on September 1, 2021; a misdemeanor charge of failure of a mandated reporter to report child abuse or neglect, Penal Code § 11166, stemming from what was apparently the same incident occurring on September 1, 2021; and another misdemeanor charge of failure of a mandated reporter to report child abuse or neglect, Penal Code § 11166, stemming from an incident occurring on November 5, 2021. Morton, who is represented by attorneys Scott Simmons and Grady Clay Schwartz, entered a not guilty plea.

Yang and Harris-Dawson were arraigned before Judge Uhler on April 28, entering not guilty pleas.

Yang is represented by attorney Joshua Peter Visco

Harris is represented by attorney Gregory Christopher Gardner

Of note is now that Yang and Harris have been bound over for trial, their freedom is no longer conditional upon the posting of bond but have now been provided with cite releases, an extremely rare concession by authorities pursuing felony charges against a defendant. For many observers, that signaled an underlying difficulty with the case, one which Judge Uhler and prosecutors, including District Attorney Jason Anderson, recognize can prove to be highly problematic.

There are a multitude of underlying facts in the case, a few of which are clear and specifically known and far more which are generally known but are opaque. A desperate effort was made, the *Sentinel* is informed, by the Rialto Police Department, Rialto Police Chief Mark Kling and elements within the Rialto Unified School District to contain what at one point threatened to be a scandal that would consume

some of the Rialto community's most reputable, respected and highly thought-of personages as the matter went public. The police department has now effectively thrown a cordon around the case, capping at three the number of district employees who have been criminally charged, while it is simultaneously cooperating with elements outside the department to lionize one district employee on the hope that a narrative in which she is represented as heroically wading into the situation to assist a student will satiate the public curiosity as to what occurred, and stem further examination of the action, or lack thereof, of a host of those involved in minimizing the sexual abuse of children in the community.

On Wednesday, February 23, 2021, Yang and Harris-Dawson, both of whom were up to that point vice principals at Wilmer Amina Carter High School, were arrested and taken into custody by Rialto Police and booked into West Valley Detention Center in Rancho Cucamonga on a single felony count each of child abuse under conditions likely to cause great bodily injury or death, and two misdemeanor counts each of failure to report child abuse or neglect.

What was put out at that time was that both had temporized for nearly five months before reporting that a student they oversaw as educational administrators had raped a student in September and then held off for three months before informing authorities about the same student sexually assaulting another student in November.

There were indications that a wider number of both high school and school district officials as well as members of the police department had the circumstance relating to the student of-

fender brought to their attention last fall.

As of February, the 17-year-old who engaged in the alleged sexual assaults in September and November and another one in January had been contacted by the police but not arrested. He was instead given a citation and released to what the police department indicated were his parents.

School district employees fall within the category of professionals such as social workers, physicians, teachers, educators or counselors who come into contact with what are termed "vulnerable elements of the population," and are accordingly under legal obligation to report to the appropriate state agency any objectively reasonable sign of child abuse or elder abuse that he or she sees or encounters.

By late January 2022, there were reports extant in Rialto about sexual predators at large among the Wilmer Amina Carter student body and that Wilmer Amina Carter High School Principal Robin McMillon was being indolent in the face of what was occurring. Specific incidents were cited, including an alleged rape in September 2021, another sexual assault in November 2021 and a similar incident in January 2022, after which the names of students involved were being freely mentioned among students at the Wilmer Amina Carter campus. Despite that, no police action was taken at that time or the ensuing weeks.

One rumor or point of speculation was that there was some order of personal involvement, perhaps a guardianship arrangement, between one of the vice principals or the school principal or an individual employed in the district superintendent's office and the alleged perpetrator. Another was that the vice principal that first heard about the sexual assault did not deem the report credible. That version of events is problematic, however, since a second report relating to a sec-

ond victim implicating the alleged perpetrator was received two months after the first, followed by a third report after that.

Events soon overtook the high school, the district and the police department.

On February 20, 2022, Stephanie Olvera under the pseudonym "penelope_73" with the hashtag "justice for Johanna" posted a video to TikTok which captured both the visuals and audio of Olvera confronting an unidentified school official. "How dare you tell me I had nothing to worry about," Olvera can be heard saying. "You told me I didn't need to leave my job. How dare you? My daughter was traumatized by the time I got home."

The school official can be heard on the video asking Olvera to identify herself, to which Olvera responds, "Who am I? Well, what type of mom are you? How dare you? How dare you not call the cops yesterday for her defense?" When the school official says, "I will call them," Olvera replies, "Oh, now you will. I called them already."

During further exchange about her daughter, identified by Olvera as "Joanna," the school official can be heard saying, "Let me tell you, your daughter begged me, 'Please, don't call...'" At that point Olvera and an unidentified man accompanying Olvera react strongly, their utterances drowning out the school official, who attempts to continue. "It doesn't matter," Olvera says. "She's a student." The man can be heard saying, "Your protocol is to call for her. You're not here to be her friend. You're here to do your job. That's it."

With Olvera and the unidentified man, possibly Olvera's husband and Johanna's father, dominating the exchange with the school official, Olvera can be heard saying, "I heard you know about this student already, and nothing's been done."

Accompanying the TikTok video was the

blurb, "[P]lease help me share my daughter [J]ohanna[s] story[. S] he was sexually abused by a student in Carter [H]igh[S]chool and the school failed to contact me and the police to cover up for the student because he was considered a son to the vice principal[. S]o for that reason they convince[d] my daughter to keep quiet[,] if not she would be expelled[. T]hey failed to protect my daughter and she has not been herself ever since[. P]lease help me share so we can get all the staff involved to be accountable for failing to do their job protecting our children."

Despite the rumblings about sexual predators on the Wilmer Amina Carter campus in January, the Rialto Police Department at this point maintains it did not get wind of the sexual assaults until February 16, 2022. At that point, the department was given an explicit report that a 15-year-old girl at Carter High had been sexually assaulted by a 17-year-old student on what the department later said was "several times over the past three months. When detectives investigated the allegations they learned there were two additional female students, ages 15 and 16, who were sexually assaulted by the same suspect."

Within a week, the department assembled a case and took action against both Harris and Yang. The department did not include any other school or district personnel in its action plan, despite information that school staff at a level below Harris and Yang had direct knowledge about the assaults on students and despite information to indicate that Harris and Yang's superior at Wilmer Amina Carter, Principal Robin McMillon, was within the loop and subject to the same reporting requirement that they were. A month previously, Wilmer Amina Carter students and parents of Wilmer Amina Carter students had been criticizing McMillon for her tolerance

of the overly-sexually aggressive students active on the high school campus.

In making the arrests of Harris and Yang, the police department put out a statement. "As mandated reporters, both Harris and Yang are required by California State Law to immediately alert law enforcement of child abuse or neglect," it pronounced. The police department statement did not mention McMillon.

Further, in his statement relating to the arrests of Harris and Yang and the extraordinary move by his office to charge them not just with misdemeanors but a felony, San Bernardino County District Attorney Jason Anderson omitted any reference to McMillon. Anderson did not hesitate in tearing into Harris and Yang, saying that their failure to act destroyed "the trust that students and parents alike should have regarding the safety and protection of all the children in their care. Their failure as mandated reporters to notify law enforcement led to further victimization of two students, and the sexual assault of a third victim, which was preventable."

Similarly, the Rialto Unified School District made no reference to Wilmer Amina Carter High School Principal Robin McMillon in its official statement, which held that "The safety and well-being of students is our number one priority." The allegations against Yang and Harris, the district said, were being "taken with extreme seriousness. The district will continue its internal investigation until all the facts related to this matter are brought to light. We will also continue to work with local authorities as they conduct their own investigation."

Yang and Harris-Dawson, upon being booked, were initially being held on \$150,000 bail. Each spent Wednesday night, February 23 and early Thursday morning in jail. Harris was released shortly before 6 a.m.

Continued on Page 11

In Some Of The Cities In SB County Where Marijuana Is Being Sold, Something Crooked Impacted The Process *from front page*

overwhelmed by the potential that a large segment of the perfectly healthy population, with the assistance of quacks, hucksters, forgers and doctors of questionable moral value willing to use their licenses for profit, would through subterfuge obtain bogus prescriptions to allow them to use the weed for recreational rather than medicinal effect.

In 2012, Needles, San Bernardino County's smallest city in terms of population and one of its most impoverished, took the first step toward marijuana liberalization, allowing dispensaries to operate.

In, 2015, following the 2014 election which brought Rich Kerr into its mayor's position and John Woodard onto its city council, Adelanto embarked on what was initially represented as an effort to generate enough money to sidestep what some auditors said was a looming bankruptcy by permitting marijuana cultivation to take place in a specially earmarked portion of the city's industrial zone. The drug would not be available for retail sales in the city, Kerr, Woodard and City Councilman Jermaine Wright insisted, but the city would see beaucoup tax revenue from the wholesaling of the plants grown indoors within the city to dispensaries outside the city. Thereafter, a frenzy occurred, with applicants for marijuana growing permits forming a line at the city's planning counter that snaked all the way through City Hall and out the door to encircle half the building. Many of those in line carried briefcases full of cash. In the competition for those cultivation operation permits, those who were willing to pay off city officials prevailed and those who would not

were unable to get permits or licenses to operate. In 2016, even before Proposition 2016 passed, Kerr, Woodard and Wright dropped any pretense of limiting Adelanto's commercial marijuana activity to indoor farms and indicated the city was willing to embrace the new culture of marijuana tolerance in California, so much so that it was their intent to transform Adelanto into the marijuana capital of the world, in doing so generating enough money in permit fees, marijuana excise tax and sales tax that Adelanto would go from being the county's poorest city to one that would surpass all 23 other municipalities in its per capita government revenue. Indeed, Adelanto became the city's largest center of commercial cannabis activity when Proposition 64 passed.

In 2017, Wright was arrested by the FBI when it was shown that he was cashing in on the marijuana bonanza himself by shaking down those being permitted and licensed to run farms, distribution operations, cannabis product manufacturing concerns, dispensaries and marijuana shops. The degree to which both Woodard and Kerr were doing the same but flying below the FBI's radar was blatantly obvious to virtually everyone in the city, even as would-be marijuana-based business operators would hand envelopes chock full of cash to Kerr's wife at city council meetings. In May of 2018, City Hall and Kerr's home were raided by the FBI, as were the offices of lawyers representing the owners of commercial marijuana related businesses licensed and permitted in Adelanto. Both Kerr and Woodard were voted out of office by the city's voters in 2018. In August 2021, Kerr was arrested and charged with having accepted \$57,000 in bribes that the FBI's forensic accountants and IRS agents were able to track as having been provided to him by marijuana-related business owners who were operating in the city while he was in office.

Like Adelanto, with

the passage of Proposition 64 in 2016, the City of Needles transitioned, without missing a stroke, from allowing medical marijuana to be grown and retailed within its confines to permitting marijuana intended for pleasure and recreation to be grown and sold in the city.

During the same time-frame, in some of the other cities in the county elected officials were losing their will to hold back the cultural onslaught of marijuana use that pervades a significant element of the population. As early as 2007, the county seat, San Bernardino, was facing the challenge of proliferating unlicensed and unpermitted marijuana dispensaries. The police department acted, forthrightly and sometimes brutally shutting those operations down, but a new generation of San Bernardino residents were not prepared to accept the anti-marijuana ethos of the members of the city council, as both members of the entrepreneurial class and their customers fought back. Virtually every operation to shutter a dispensary was answered with one-and-a-half to two new ones opening up to take their place, while residents continued to frequent those establishments, spending enough money on marijuana so that those businessmen willing to run the gauntlet could make enough money to justify the hassle and expense of being shut down, arrested, prosecuted and fined. At last, then-San Bernardino City Attorney Gary Saenz publicly asserted that the effort to prohibit marijuana use in the city was "futile."

Despite that, the city council continued to press the campaign against dispensaries, which ultimately resulted in the residents of the city qualifying an initiative for the ballot, Measure O, which legalized marijuana sales in the city. It passed in the same November 2016 election that saw the passage of Proposition 64.

In Hesperia, with the death of Mayor Russ Blewett in May 2018,

the staunchly Republican City Council appointed 27-year-old Jeremiah Brosowske, an energetic GOP political operative, to replace him. Once in office, Brosowske revealed himself to be less of a Republican than a Libertarian, one who was determined to end the local government prohibition on marijuana. Working in tandem with the Postmus Cartel, a group of marijuana entrepreneurs who had hired former San Bernardino County Republican Central Committee Chairman/former San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Chairman Bill Postmus to represent them, Brosowske pushed the city council of which he was then a member, to permit marijuana distribution businesses to operate in the city as long as their sales were mobile ones, i.e., functioning from unmarked delivery vans and other vehicles that brought the product to the door of users and did not sell the product out of the brick and mortar establishments, also unmarked, that served as the warehouses where the vans and vehicles picked up the product they were delivering. Postmus politically wired the transition of Hesperia from a city where any sort of commercial marijuana activity was strictly forbidden to one where the drug is now sold, generating tax and permit revenue for City Hall. He did this in two ways. First, he made the assertion that the marijuana cultural evolution is already a reality and the city should not let the opportunity for revenue generation to be squandered or fall to other jurisdictions. Second, he persuaded the decision-makers – the city council members – by providing them with hefty political donations that swayed them into accepting that such operations should be permitted.

In Barstow, city council members of that cash-strapped railroad community took stock of the reality that the municipality needed more money to provide basic services to its residents. Prompted by citizens inquiring as to

when the city was going to get around to updating its ordinances regarding both medical and recreational marijuana sales in the aftermath of the passage of Proposition 64, the city council in early 2019 quietly formed an ad hoc committee to consider allowing marijuana sales within the city's confines. In the March 2020 election, voters in Barstow were asked to consider Measure F, which called for putting a 15 percent tax on marijuana sales in the city. The voters favored it with 1,186 votes or 55.58 percent in support to 846 votes or 44.42 percent opposed. The measure needed two-thirds approval to pass, however. Still, city officials in June 2021 established a policy of permitting both marijuana cultivation and cannabis retail businesses in the city. At present, the city is on a trajectory for two such businesses to open within the next weeks or months.

Thus, Needles, Adelanto, San Bernardino, Hesperia and now Barstow allow commercial marijuana activity. In the county's remaining 19 cities/incorporated towns, as well as in all of the unincorporated communities in the county, the sale of marijuana and cannabis are banned. Nevertheless, in all cities and towns and the county areas, the sale of cannabidiol, which is also known as CBD or CBD oil, is commercially available. Cannabidiol is a derivative of marijuana that has no or only mild psychoactive qualities but which is used for the treatment of seizures and epilepsy, anxiety, pain, a muscle disorder called dystonia, Parkinson's disease, Crohn's disease, and muscle cramps.

Grand Terrace has seen the scope of its municipal operations contract tremendously in recent years. In May 2020, the number of municipal employees had dwindled to just 12 – including administration and its work force – to cover all operations within the 3.5-square mile, 12,584-population city. Facing a projected \$1,116,387 deficit for

Fiscal Year 2020-21, it slashed the number of its employees in half, such that it only has six left. One of those works part time, such that now the city is functioning with five-and-a-half employees.

Forced by economic necessity, the council at its May 10 meeting signaled it is open to allowing cannabis entrepreneurs to set up operations and imposing a tax on them that will move the city out of the economic doldrums.

Councilwoman Sylvia Robles indicated she would go along with cannabis-based enterprises if a majority of the city's residents are not opposed to them and they will provide a definite advantage to the city.

"If we wanted some amenities in this town, we don't have the ability to raise any revenues through taxes, maybe for a library or for a community center," Robles said. "I'd like to see what that potential is at least before we say no anything. I'm for something like this being on the ballot, but we have to know what some of the benefits would be."

Ben Jones of the law firm of Aleshire & Wynder, which advises Grand Terrace on legal matters, told the council any companies the city would permit to operate marijuana-related businesses would be licensed through the California Department of Cannabis Control.

He said the city currently prohibits any type of cannabis-related commercial activity, but the city has the option of allowing any of several types of marijuana-based or cannabis-related operations which are permitted under California law to set up in the city. These would include, Jones said, storefront retail concerns in which customers would be welcome inside and be able to make purchases on the spot. Such storefronts could include employees making delivery of the product to customers. Another type of business that could be approved would be one that is limited to delivery only. Jones said the city could

Continued on Page 11

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME CASE

NUMBER CIV CB 2207721

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: Dora Garcia filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Genesis Danielle Garcia to Genesis Danielle Aguilar THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 06/27/2022
Time: 08:00 AM
Department: SIB

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District-Civil Division 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/26/2022

Judge of the Superior Court: John M. Pacheco

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 05/06/2022, 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220003583

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: KJ FLIP FLOPPING, 6302 APPLE AVE, RAILTO, CA 92377

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: 62302 APPLE AVE, RAILTO, CA 92377, JOSEPH F SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RAILTO, CA 92377, KERRI SANCHEZ, 6302 APPLE AVE, RAILTO, CA 92377

Business is Conducted By: A MARRIED COUPLE

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/JOSEPH F SANCHEZ, OWNER This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 04/11/2022

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/06/2022, 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220003232

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LITTLE BEAR BOTTLE SHOP, 28578 STATE HIGHWAY 18, UNIT A, SUITE 3, SKYFOREST, CA 92385

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: PO BOX 857, SKYFOREST, CA 92385, LITTLEBEAR LLC, 28578 STATE HIGHWAY 18, UNIT A, SUITE 3, SKYFOREST, CA 92385

STATE OF INC./ORG./REG.

Public Notices

CA

Business is Conducted By: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/NATHAN SAMUEL HAZARD

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/11/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 06/04/2021

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/06/2022, 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2205209

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: JIEYING YU filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

JIEYING YU to EILEEN JIEYING YU

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:

Date: June 22, 2022

Time: 08:30 AM

Department: S-17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 03/21/2022

John M. Pacheco

Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 6, 13, 20 & 27, 2022.

FBN FBN20220004245

The following person is doing business as: TACOKEYS-DIFIFESTAS 10455 BRYAN ST RIVERSIDE, CA 92505: LUCIANO SOTO VALENCIA 10455 BRYAN ST RIVERSIDE, CA 92505

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 4, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 17913). I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LUCIANO SOTO VALENCIA

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on:

Public Notices

05/04/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By: Deputy J2284

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et. seq. Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 6, 13, 20 & 27, 2022.

T.S. No. 21-20046-SP-CA Title No. 210047684-CA-VOI A.P.N. 1047-191-32-0-000 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE. YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 06/26/2006. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. A public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, (cashier's check(s) must be made payable to National Default Servicing Corporation), drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state; will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to a Deed of Trust described below. The sale will be made in an "as is" condition, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, with interest and late charges thereon, as provided in the note(s), advances, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale. Trustor: Francisco Sandoval, a single man Duly Appointed Trustee: National Default Servicing Corporation Recorded 07/13/2006 as Instrument No. 2006-0474939 (or Book, Page) of the Official Records of San Bernardino County, CA. Date of Sale: 06/16/2022 at 12:00 PM Place of Sale: At the North Arrowhead Avenue entrance to the County Courthouse, 351 North Arrowhead Avenue, San Bernardino, CA 92401 Estimated amount of unpaid balance and other charges: \$689,437.76 Street Address or other common designation of real property: 959 Sycamore Court Upland, CA 91786 A.P.N.: 1047-191-32-0-000 THE undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address or other common designation, if any, shown above. If no street address or other common designation is shown, directions to the location of the property may be obtained by sending a written request to the beneficiary within 10 days of the date of first publication of this Notice of Sale. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee, and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. The requirements of California Civil Code Section 2923.5(b)/2923.55(c) were fulfilled when the Notice of De-

fault was recorded. NOTICE TO POTENTIAL BIDDERS: If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same lender may hold more than one mortgage or deed of trust on the property. NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER: The sale date shown on this notice of sale may be postponed one or more times by the mortgagee, beneficiary, trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about trustee sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call or visit this Internet Web site www.ndscorp.com/sales, using the file number assigned to this case 21-20046-SP-CA. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. Date: 05/04/2022 National Default Servicing Corporation c/o Tiffany & Bosco, P.A., its agent, 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 820 San Diego, CA 92108 Toll Free Phone: 888-264-4010 Sales Line 855-219-8501; Sales Website: www.ndscorp.com By: Rachael Hamilton, Trustee Sales Representative 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022 CPP352453

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220003620

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: NIEVES EL KEVIN, 1115 1/2 WILSON ST, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

LUCIA E ONOFRE, 1115 1/2 WILSON ST, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411, AMANDO DIAZ OLAGUIVER, 1115 1/2 WILSON ST, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92411

Business is Conducted By: A MARRIED COUPLE Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/LUCIA E ONOFRE This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal,

Public Notices

state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220004369

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: COZY LIL LIGHT BUG CREATIONS, 14191 REDONDO COURT, FONTANA, CA 92336

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: PO Box 3594, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91729,

Dawnae B Maldonado, 14191 Redondo Court, Fontana, CA 92336

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/DAWNAE B MALDONADO This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022

SUMMONS - (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIVDS2014180 NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO DEMANDADO): CTX MORTGAGE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; ESTATE OF EVELYN M. TORRES; the Testate and In testate Successors of EVELYN M. TORRES, Deceased, and ALL PERSONS CLAIMING BY THROUGH OR UNDER SUCH DECEDENT; ALEXANDRO TORRES, an individual; LUPE TORRES ISHIZUKA, an individual; TERESA TORRES, an individual; NELLIE TORRES, an individual; KENNY P. TORRES, an individual; MANUEL H. CEBALLOS, an individual; THE SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, a governmental entity; VILLAGE OF PEACHWOOD HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a California Domestic Non-Profit; and DOES I through 20, inclusive YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST COMPANY, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR MORTGAGE ASSETS MANAGEMENT SERIES I TRUST NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self-help), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an

Public Notices

state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-FBN20220004369

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: COZY LIL LIGHT BUG CREATIONS, 14191 REDONDO COURT, FONTANA, CA 92336

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Mailing Address: PO Box 3594, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91729,

Dawnae B Maldonado, 14191 Redondo Court, Fontana, CA 92336

Business is Conducted By: AN INDIVIDUAL Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/DAWNAE B MALDONADO This statement was filed with the County Clerk of SAN BERNARDINO on: 04/18/2022

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: N/A

County Clerk,

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022

SUMMONS - (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (NUMERO DEL CASO): CIVDS2014180 NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO DEMANDADO): CTX MORTGAGE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; ESTATE OF EVELYN M. TORRES; the Testate and In testate Successors of EVELYN M. TORRES, Deceased, and ALL PERSONS CLAIMING BY THROUGH OR UNDER SUCH DECEDENT; ALEXANDRO TORRES, an individual; LUPE TORRES ISHIZUKA, an individual; TERESA TORRES, an individual; NELLIE TORRES, an individual; KENNY P. TORRES, an individual; MANUEL H. CEBALLOS, an individual; THE SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, a governmental entity; VILLAGE OF PEACHWOOD HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a California Domestic Non-Profit; and DOES I through 20, inclusive YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST COMPANY, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR MORTGAGE ASSETS MANAGEMENT SERIES I TRUST NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self-help), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an

Public Notices

attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación Tienes 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entrecruce una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y mas información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mas cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida si secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin mas advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services. (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov), o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación da \$10,000 o mas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso. The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y la dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210 The name, address and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): William G. Malcolm, Esq. MALCOLM & CISNEROS 2112 Business Center Dr., Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949) 252-9400 DATE (Fecha): July 9, 2020 Clerk (Secretario), by Melissa Perez, Deputy (Adjunto) Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 13, 20, 27 & June 3, 2022.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: June 10, 2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S-17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/13/2022

John M. Pacheco

Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 13, 20 & 27 and June 3, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: FELIPE G. PEREZ CASE NO. PROSB2200572 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of FELIPE G. PEREZ has been filed by ROBERT PEREZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ROBERT PEREZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JUNE 6, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: APRIL 22, 2022

REBECCA HERNANDEZ, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may af-

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2205956

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: ROSS EDUARDO CACHO filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

ROSS EDUARDO CACHO to EDUARDO CACHO THE COURT ORDERS

that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: June 10, 2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S-17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415,

San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/13/2022

John M. Pacheco

Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 13, 20 & 27 and June 3, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: FELIPE G. PEREZ CASE NO. PROSB2200572 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of FELIPE G. PEREZ has been filed by ROBERT PEREZ in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ROBERT PEREZ be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JUNE 6, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: APRIL 22, 2022

REBECCA HERNANDEZ, Deputy Court Clerk IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by

Public Notices

fect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: APRIL 22, 2022 Attorney for Robert Perez: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 20, May 27 and June 3, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: CAROL L. ROYAL CASE NO. PROSB2200572 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of CAROL L. ROYAL has been filed by BRET ROYAL in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that BRET ROYAL be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JUNE 8, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: APRIL 27, 2022
NICOLE CART-
WRIGHT, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition

Public Notices

or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: APRIL 27, 2022 Attorney for BRET ROYAL: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373

Phone (909) 328 7000
Fax (909) 475 8800
sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 20, May 27 and June 3, 2022.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER CIV SB 2207605

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: SAMANTHA CHRISTINA HERMANDEZ and JOHN JAMES LU filed with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

KADEN JAMES LU to JAMES CALVIN LU
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: June 13, 2022
Time: 08:30 AM
Department: S-17
The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District-Civil Division

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: 04/06/2022
John M. Pacheco
Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 20 & 27 and June 3 & 10, 2022.

FBN 20220004468
The following person is doing business as: DSA FREIGHT INC 6142 GLEN ABBEY WAY FONTANA, CA 92336: DSA FREIGHT INC 6142 GLEN ABBEY WAY FONTANA, CA 92336
Mailing Address: POST OFFICE BOX 4607 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91729

The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: JANUARY 14, 2012

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ DARLENE S ARITA
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/09/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy J2282

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself au-

Public Notices

thorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 5/20, 5/27, 6/03 & 6/10, 2022.

FBN 20220004511
The following person is doing business as: PLUM TREE MARKET 6325 PUMA PLACE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91737: GEORGE ROLAND SLIVKA 6325 PUMA PLACE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91737
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: MAY 2, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ GEORGE ROLAND SLIVKA
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 05/11/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy 18296

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 5/20, 5/27, 6/03 & 6/10, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: EDWARD LEE HOOVER CASE NO. PROSB2200681 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of EDWARD LEE HOOVER has been filed by CHRISTINE MARIE BOBICK aka CHRISTINE MARIE HOOVER in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that CHRISTINE MARIE BOBICK aka CHRISTINE MARIE HOOVER be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JUNE 20, 2022 at 9:00 A.M. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Christine Marie Bobick aka Christine Marie Hoover:

Neil Hedtke, Esquire
SBN 273319
820 North Mountain Avenue
Upland, CA 91786
(909) 579 2233 Fax (909) 618 1622 hedtkelg@gmail.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 27, June 3 & June 10, 2022.

Public Notices

tative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Christine Marie Hoover:

Neil Hedtke, Esquire
SBN 273319
820 North Mountain Avenue
Upland, CA 91786
(909) 579 2233 Fax (909) 618 1622 hedtkelg@gmail.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 27, June 3 & June 10, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MARGARET JEAN BARRETT CASE NO. PROSB2200683 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MARGARET JEAN BARRETT has been filed by GLORIA JEAN ERAZIM in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that GLORIA JEAN ERAZIM be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JUNE 16, 2022 at 9:00 A.M. in Dept. No. S35 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a

Public Notices

person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Eric Orozco:

Leah Larkin (SB# 231329)
Law Offices of Leah Larkin
873 Beaumont Avenue
Beaumont, CA 92223
Phone (951) 845 5930 Fax (951) 845 5407 Leah@inland-law.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 27, June 3 & June 10, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: RAGNAR JOSEF SCHNEIDER, RAGNAR J. SCHNEIDER, aka RAGNAR SCHNEIDER CASE NO. PROSB2200734 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of RAGNAR JOSEF SCHNEIDER, RAGNAR J. SCHNEIDER, aka RAGNAR SCHNEIDER has been filed by ERIC OROZCO in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that ERIC OROZCO be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JUNE 30, 2022 at 9:00 A.M. in Dept. No. S36 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a

person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Eric Orozco:

Leah Larkin (SB# 231329)
Law Offices of Leah Larkin
873 Beaumont Avenue
Beaumont, CA 92223
Phone (951) 845 5930 Fax (951) 845 5407 Leah@inland-law.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 27, June 3 & June 10, 2022.

Public Notices

person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Eric Orozco:

Leah Larkin (SB# 231329)
Law Offices of Leah Larkin
873 Beaumont Avenue
Beaumont, CA 92223
Phone (951) 845 5930 Fax (951) 845 5407 Leah@inland-law.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 27, June 3 & June 10, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF: MIKE GARZA aka MICHAEL GARZA CASE NO. PROSB2200669 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of MIKE GARZA aka MICHAEL GARZA has been filed by SUSAN KNOBLER in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO. THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that SUSAN KNOBLER be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available

for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held JUNE 14, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. No. S37 at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, San Bernardino District.

Filed: MAY 6, 2022
VALARIE GOLDSTEIN, Deputy Court Clerk
IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a

person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: MAY 6, 2022 Attorney for Susan Knobler: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Public Notices

you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Filed: MAY 6, 2022 Attorney for Susan Knobler: R. SAM PRICE SBN 208603 PRICE LAW FIRM, APC 300 E STATE STREET SUITE 620 REDLANDS, CA 92373 Phone (909) 328 7000 Fax (909) 475 8800 sam@pricelawfirm.com

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on May 27, June 3 & June 10, 2022.

FBN 20220003723
The following person is doing business as: SANCHEZ CARRIERS WAREHOUSE 14587 VALLEY BLVD. FONTANA, CA 92335: YOER SANCHEZ-GOMEZ 8971 ROBINA ST FONTANA, CA 92335 [and]
BRENDA G ORTIZ VILLEGAS 8971 ROBINA ST FONTANA, CA 92335

Mailing Address: 8971 ROBINA ST FONTANA, CA 92335
The business is conducted by: A MARRIED COUPLE

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ YOER SANCHEZ-GOMEZ
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 04/21/2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy G8420

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on 5/20, 5/27, 6/03 & 6/10, 2022.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF IRENE GUEVARA

Case No. PROSB2200719
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of IRENE GUEVARA

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Michelle Salguero in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Michelle Salguero be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause

Public Notices

why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on the petition will be held on June 21, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Dept. No. S37 located at 247 W. Third St., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for petitioner: SHAAN A ANSARI ESQ SBN 229761 WADE LAW OFFICES 505 N TUSTIN AVE STE 154 SANTA ANA CA 92705 CN987032 GUEVARA May 27, 28, Jun 3, 2022

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF:

David Westcott Eichler Case NO. PROSB2200705 To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both of David Westcott Eichler

A PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Layla Eichler in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino.

THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Layla Eichler be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.

THE PETITION requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in Dept. S36 at 09:00 AM on 06/23/2022 Room: at Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino District-Probate Division at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415 IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the

Public Notices

petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code.

Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Anna Sacco-Miller 38975 Sky Canyon Drive, Suite 104, Murrieta, CA 92563 Telephone No: (951) 296-1775 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel on: 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022

FBN 2022000423 The following person is doing business as: JGL RECYCLING, 1114 EMPORIA ST ONTARIO, CA 91761 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO JGL INVESTMENTS, LLC 15741 WOODRUFF AVE STE D BELLFLOWER, CA 90706; 1114 EMPORIA ST ONTARIO, CA 91761; . The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 04, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202209MT

FBN 20220004302 The following person is doing business as: CENTURY 21 THEVEGETEAM. 10557 JUNIPER AVE SUITE G1 FONTANA, CA 92337 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO THE ONEDAY DYNASTY GROUP 10557 JUNIPER AVE SUITE G1 FONTANA, CA 92337; 10557 JUNIPER AVE SUITE G1 FONTANA, CA 92337; . The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 05, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or com-

Public Notices

mon law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202208MT

FBN 20220004437 The following person is doing business as: SHIMMER HAIR SALON. 559 N MOUNTAIN AVE UPLAND, CA 91786 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO MARSHA L CONAWAY 111100 4TH ST APT 2205 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MARSHA L CONAWAY, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 09, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202207IR

FBN 20220004441 The following person is doing business as: LIL MUNCHKINS INDOOR PLAYGROUND. 461 TENNESSEE STREET UNIT A REDLANDS, CA 92373 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO LIL MUNCHKIN PLAYGROUND LLC 504 S MARVIN DRIVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410; 461 TENNESSEE STREET UNIT A REDLANDS, CA 92373; . The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 09, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202206IR

FBN 20220004102 The following person is doing business as: MOUNTAIN VIEW CONSTRUCTION. 12852 N. RIM WAY RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO MARK A SANABRIA 12852 N. RIM WAY RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 02, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202204IR

FBN 20220003632 The following person is doing business as: AZLAN. 9956 POULSEN AVE MONTCLAIR, CA 91763 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO MANUEL V MORONES 9956 PULSEN AVE MONTCLAIR, CA 91763; MARIA E

Public Notices

MORONES 9956 POULSEN AVE MONTCLAIR, CA 91763. The business is conducted by: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ MANUEL V MORONES, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 19, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202203MT

FBN 20220003664 The following person is doing business as: SHANE/S BOU-TIQUE. 12638 CAMPANA ST VICTORVILLE, CA 92394 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO TAMMIE S MCDONALD 12638 CAMPANA ST VICTORVILLE, CA 92394. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ TAMMIE S MCDONALD, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 19, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202202MT

FBN 20220003568 The following person is doing business as: XTREME CLEANING SERVICES. 945 E HARVARD PLACE ONTARIO, CA 91764 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO ROSA PALACIOS 945 E HARVARD PLACE ONTARIO, CA 91764. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ROSA PALACIOS, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 18, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/13/2022, 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022 CNBB19202201IR

FBN 20220004651 The following person is doing business as: HIGHLAND VILLAGE DENTISTRY. 7291 BOULDER AVE STE 2B HIGHLAND, CA 92346 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO CHANDRA CHOKALINGAM 7291 BOULDER AVE STE 2B HIGHLAND, CA 92346. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement

becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ CHANDRA CHO-KALINGAM, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 13, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202207IR

Public Notices

The following person is doing business as: PACIFIC WEST HVAC-R. 790 N TULARE WAY UPLAND, CA 91786 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO FIDEL MEZA JR 790 N TULARE WAY UPLAND, CA 91786. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ FIDEL MEZA JR, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 02, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202216IR

FBN 20220004151 The following person is doing business as: VENTURA'S TOWING & TRANSPORTATION LLC. 451 W. 9TH STREET APT 2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401; 451 W. 9TH STREET APT 2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401; . The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ VENTURA'S TOWING & TRANSPORTATION LLC 451 W. 9TH STREET #2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401; 451 W. 9TH STREET APT 2 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401; . The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 03, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202215MC

FBN 20220004628 The following person is doing business as: ZENJAY CONTRACTING. 14050 CHERRY AVE SUITE R #333 FONTANA, CA 92337 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO

Public Notices

ZENJAY 12300 MORRISON ST MORENO VALLEY, CA 92555; 14050 CHERRY AVE SUITE R #333 FONTANA, CA 92337; . The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 12, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202207MT

FBN 20220004570 The following person is doing business as: LEOS AUTO BODY. 2018 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO ABEL TOROSIAN 2018 W FOOTHILL BLVD UPLAND, CA 91786. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ ABEL TOROSIAN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 12, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202206MT

FBN 20220004415 The following person is doing business as: NEW PROPERTY MANAGEMENT. 517 N MOUNTAIN AVE NUM 104 UPLAND, CA 91786 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO NEW PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LLC 517 N MOUNTAIN AVE NUM 104 UPLAND, CA 91786; 517 N MOUNTAIN AVE NUM 104 UPLAND, CA 91786; . The business is conducted by: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ NEW PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LLC 517 N MOUNTAIN AVE NUM 104 UPLAND, CA 91786; 517 N MOUNTAIN AVE NUM 104 UPLAND, CA 91786; . The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ TOMOTHY S TIMMERMAN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Ber-

Public Notices

under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202205MT

FBN 20220004476 The following person is doing business as: THE LIGHT HOUSE. 23964 SPRUCE DRIVE GREEN VALLEY LAKE, CA 92341 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO TEAM SHAKE & BAKE ESTATE INC 33078 ARROWBEAR DRIVE RUNNING SPRINGS, CA 92382; 23964 SPRUCE DRIVE GREEN VALLEY LAKE, CA 92341; . The business is conducted by: A CORPORATION. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ THE LIGHT HOUSE, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 10, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202204SB

FBN 20220005194 The following person is doing business as: DANNY KATY TRUCKING. 7231 BOULDER AVE #171 HIGHLAND, CA 92346 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO KATHLEEN A. HARPER 6934 SEINE AVE HIGHLAND, CA 92346. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ KATHLEEN A. HARPER, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 04, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB20202203MT

FBN 20220004542 The following person is doing business as: T'S CONSTRUCTION. 32798 KENTUCKY ST YUCAIPA, CA 92399 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO TOMOTHY S TIMMERMAN 32798 KENTUCKY ST YUCAIPA, CA 92399. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ TOMOTHY S TIMMERMAN, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Ber-

Public Notices

San Bernardino on: MAY 11, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB202202202MT

FBN 20220004274
The following person is doing business as: OMO-OYE ENTERPRISE. 16405 VALENCIA AVE #206 FONTANA, CA 92335 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO OYEJIDE S OYENPEMI 16405 VALENCIA AVE #206 FONTANA, CA 92335. The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL. The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and

Public Notices

correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing. s/ OYEJIDE S OYENPEMI, OWNER Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 05, 2022 I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code). Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/20/2022, 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022 CNBB202202201MT

FBN 20220004298
The following person is doing business as: TRAPGUMMIES 15847 ARBURY ST HESPERIA, CA 92345 PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO ANDRE VICTOR PEREZ

Public Notices

15847 ARBURY ST HESPERIA, CA 92345
The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: APR 29, 2022

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LUIS MATA, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 05, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022

Public Notices

CNBB22202204MC
FBN 20220004682
The following person is doing business as: STUFF BOYS. 7537 MCKINLEY AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701

PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO LUIS MATA 7537 MCKINLEY AVE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410.

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LUIS MATA, OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 13, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious

Public Notices

business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022 CNBB22202203CV

FBN 20220003956
The following person is doing business as: JOY B JEWELRY. 10935 TERRA VISTA PKWY #264 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO MOHAMMAD JOYBARI 10935 TERRA VISTA PKWY #264 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730.

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MOHAMMAD JOYBARI,

Public Notices

OWNER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: APRIL 27, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022 CNBB222022021R

FBN 20220004790
The following person is doing business as: CORNER EATERY. 6889 RAMONA AVE ALTA LOMA, CA 91701311 W CIVIC CENTER DR STE B SANTA ANA, CA 92701

PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS SAN BERNARDINO LEAH G SUMPTER 6889 RAMONA AVE ALTA LOMA, CA 91701.

The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to

Public Notices

transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LEAH G SUMPTER, OWNER

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: MAY 18, 2022

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 05/27/2022, 06/03/2022, 06/10/2022, 06/17/2022 CNBB22202201FA

FPPC Makes 11th Hour Rejection Of Campaign Finance Irregularity Claim Against Sheriff from page 3

ated \$300 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Anthony Cinque of Rialto Jewelry, who donated \$1,000 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Bernie Gossert of Party Plus, who donated \$125 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Armando Ramos of Executive Fitness, who donated \$4,900 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; David Jacobson of Ozel Jewelry, who provided \$300 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Earl Graham of Grahams Hay Sales, who donated \$4,900 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Harry C. Crowell of Harry C. Builders, who donated \$600 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Jack Betterly of Dan Smith Realty, who provided the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign with \$1,500; James Strangio of HTW Wheel & Tire, who donated \$500 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Peter Pirritano of Pirritano Insurance Agency, who donated \$500 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Randall Lipton of the Lipton Group, Richard Lawrence of Express Gun Locker, who donat-

ed \$250 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Richard Romero of Oremor Automotive Group, who donated \$1,000 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Steve Pontell of National Core, who donated \$250 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Vanessa Ramos of Pepito Mexican Restaurant, who donated \$4,900 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; Victor Marabella of Marabella Construction, who donated \$2,900 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign; and Yuri Vanetik of Dominion Partners, who donated \$500 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign were all either misidentified as to the business entity they are affiliated with or were identified as affiliated with a business that was not properly registered, or in the case of Vanetik, described as an attorney when he is not a lawyer. In the case of Michael Prescher, who gave \$1,000 to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign, he was not properly identified as to any business association, according to the National Action Network complaint to the California Fair Political Practices Commission.

The original complaint filed on May 11 also claimed that a \$5,000 donation from Kristina Rucker exceeded San Bernardino County's \$4,900 cap on campaign donations. Fry told the *Sentinel*, however, that the complaint was in er-

ror on that issue, since Rucker had not in fact donated \$5,000, though a double entry into the Form 460s of the \$2,500 Rucker had donated on March 15, 2022 to Dicus's campaign made it appear so.

Fry said an important part of analyzing whom a voter should support with his or her vote included knowing who is supporting the various candidates who are up for election. "That's why it's important to look at the campaign contributions," she said. "Candidates and their campaigns are required under the Political Reform Act to provide the true source of their donations and they are supposed to provide the legal entity that they are known by."

Fry said Dicus's campaign's failure to accurately describe the donors and their business affiliations "leaves questions as to who is really donating that money."

The May 11 complaint, signed by Fry, stated, "All of San Bernardino County Sheriff Shannon Dicus's campaign finance records omitted street addresses for his contributors in violation of Government Code Section 84211. I obtained the 460 forms from the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters and I researched the data to verify its accuracy. In doing so, I discovered verifiable evidence that would show false

information was reported [and] street addresses were omitted."

Fry, who has worked as a journalist for various publications, including the *Sentinel*, noted that "In 2012, the FPPC cited that San Bernardino County had been the subject of several high-profile corruption cases" as the basis for AB 2146, which would allow "San Bernardino County and the FPPC to enter into an agreement that provides for the FPPC to enforce the county's local campaign finance reform ordinance."

According to the Dicus For Sheriff Campaign, "These baseless accusations against Sheriff Shannon Dicus and his campaign are nothing more than a political hit job. His campaign complies with all California Fair Political Practices Commission regulations to ensure the public has full access to his contributions. It is standard practice to redact the personal home addresses of campaign contributors, although this information is available through the FPPC should an interested party seek it. We would recommend looking at the San Bernardino County Registrar of Voter's website to review other California Form 460 and 497LCMs. When you examine other campaign contribution forms, one can easily understand that redacting the personal addresses

of contributions is standard practice. In fact, the sheriff's opponent has done the same thing. The link can be found here: <https://public.netfile.com/pub2/?aid=SBD>"

The complaint itself reflects that Dicus, who is running against Cliff Harris, who was formerly a deputy with the San Bernardino County and Riverside County sheriff's departments, has wide ranging backing, according to the campaign. The campaign hinted, without saying directly, that the National Action Network was militating on Harris's behalf and that it was affiliated with the Democratic Party.

"Sheriff Shannon Dicus is proud to be supported by a broad group of individuals, community leaders, and small businesses throughout our region," the campaign stated. "He believes in taking off the red and blue jerseys to focus on keeping the residents of San Bernardino County safe. Frankly, his campaign is not interested in the partisan politics that his opponents are playing."

The campaign's response to the *Sentinel's* inquiry came in just prior to its Friday deadline. It contained as an attachment the FPPC's letter, signed by Angela J. Brereton, the chief of the Fair Political Practices Commission's enforcement division, rejecting

the complaint.

"After review of the complaint and evidence provided, the enforcement division will not pursue an enforcement action in this matter," the letter, dated May 26, stated. Brereton's letter does not provide a reason for the rejection.

It its statement to the *Sentinel*, the campaign said, "We ask that you reach out to those groups and individuals who are making these baseless [accusations] who are, in fact, well aware that the California Fair Political Practices Commission has already determined that there are no issues with our financial reporting and are not investigating the campaign."

The National Action Network's press conference relating to its complaint was held on May 25 in San Bernardino, two weeks after its complaint had been lodged, while no determination by the Fair Political Practices Commission with regard to the complaint had been announced.

"In a few days, the voters of San Bernardino County will decide who they want to serve as their elected sheriff," the campaign said. "Sheriff Shannon Dicus strongly believes that he possesses the necessary experience to run the department, add deputies to patrol our communities, and bolster reentry programs for the incarcerated."

—Mark Gutglueck

Many Of His Deputy Prosecutors Unwilling To Support DA Anderson In Pressing Felony Charges Against Vice Principals Over Aggressive Sexual Advances And Attacks Made By High School Students Against Their Classmates *from page 11*

February 24, after someone posted bail on her behalf. Yang was let go after a bond was pledged on his behalf around 9 a.m. February 24.

By March 9 the court had moved to exonerate bail for both Yang and Harris-Dawson, a first sign that the case against them was running into stiff headwinds.

The Rialto Unified School District quietly moved to transfer McMillon out of the principal's slot at Wilmer Amina Carter High School, sending her to a middle school. The district then promoted Johanna Cuellar, one of the three assistant principals serving under McMillon, to step up into the acting principal position at Carter High. Simultaneously, a legend in which Cuellar is celebrated as having done the right things is being widely circulated in the Rialto Community. According to that narrative, when one of the students who had been victimized by the 17-year-old perpetrator was seen by a teacher cowering and crying after one of his onslaughts,

the girl was sent to Cuellar, who without hesitation contacted the student's mother and angled the matter toward an investigation by the Rialto Police Department.

Cuellar's advancement in the aftermath of the arrests of her fellow and sister vice principals and the exiling of the school's principal raises a certain question as to how it was that the district and the police department waltzed past a serious examination of how much the senior administrative level at Carter High knew about sexual assaults and sexual batteries that some of the school's students were being subjected to and which students were openly discussing. Since February, McMillon has avoided questions, posed by the *Sentinel* and others, as to how she escaped the criminal charges that have been lodged against Yang and Harris-Dawson.

Now acknowledged or alleged is that all three of the school's vice principals knew of the sexual assaults that were taking place on the school

campus and that two of those vice principals were criminally culpable by failing to act and one acted responsibly and rightly under the law. Undealt with is the implication that either McMillon, too, failed to act or that she was so indifferent to and disengaged from what was going on at the high school where she was principal that she had no conception of what her three immediate underlings knew pertaining to the sexualized abuse of students at her school.

Reports are that the police department, from Lieutenant James Mills, who was assigned to investigate the assaults, to Police Chief Mark Kling, to the school district, including board members Edgar Montes, Stephanie Lewis, Nancy O'Kelley, Dina Walker and Joseph Martinez, as well as Superintendent Cuauhtémoc Avila, to Rialto's mayor and city council members were highly averse to dragging McMillon, who has been with the district for some time and who has a doctorate in education, through the mud. Reportedly, McMillon was given an opportunity to lawyer up before her culpability in the affair was probed by the police department, a courtesy that was not extended to

Yang and Harris.

The police department insists that its investigation, which allegedly turned up that a student who had been victimized by the 17-year-old had told Morton of her ordeal after which Morton failed to follow through with a report to the police, need go and will go no further than it already has. Morton's failure to report what was told to her about the assault is the basis of the charge against her. In assigning blame for the reporting failures and delays, the department is unwilling to go beyond circumscribing Yang, Harris and Morton.

It is unclear where in the informational loop Morton stood in relation to Yang and Harris-Dawson. It is alleged in a lawsuit filed on May 19 by attorneys Michael Alder and Samantha Hernandez-Ortega on behalf of one of the 17-year-old's victims that three days after she was "sexually, physically and verbally assaulted" on November 5, 2021, the victim/plaintiff spoke with Yang about what had occurred. Yang, according to the suit, had the victim put into writing what she had experienced, after which he summoned Harris-Dawson. Harris-Dawson offhandedly dismissed what the

girl had gone through, according to the suit, indicating the students preying upon the female students "are just boys." Harris-Dawson, according to the suit, questioned whether the victim "was seeking attention." Harris-Dawson also questioned whether the victim had told her assailant "No" loud enough and repeatedly enough, according to the lawsuit. At some point, Harris-Dawson stated, according to the lawsuit, that she did not want to know any more about the situation and that she was not prepared to do anything about it.

According to the lawsuit, Yang told the girl that he and Harris-Dawson would have a word with the 17-year-old. It is not clear whether the misdoer was given a talking-to.

The suit filed by Alder and Hernandez-Ortega does not make any reference to Morton.

Word has reached the *Sentinel* that Anderson is having difficulty finding a deputy prosecutor to take on the case, as concern is growing that it represents one of selective prosecution, by which individual staff members at Carter High as culpable in what the district attorney's office is alleging against Yang and Harris-Dawson and

now Morton have not been similarly charged. The reports that came over from the Rialto Police Department and upon which the prosecutor's office is proceeding, the *Sentinel* was told, are patently incomplete. The Superior Court record for the cases against Yang, Harris and Morton does not provide the identities of the deputy prosecutors assigned to the case. This is because, the *Sentinel* was told, Anderson bit off more than he could chew by making the felony filings against Yang and Harris. Anderson shortly after the headline-grabbing February 23 arrests came to realize he had overcharged the two vice principals, the *Sentinel* is informed, and that is why on March 7, a mere 12 days later, he refrained from charging Morton with a felony, confining the case against her to a misdemeanor.

The *Sentinel* this week made eight phone calls to Rialto Police Chief Mark Kling, seeking clarification as to why his department's report did not extend to McMillon. Kling did not return any of those calls.

McMillon ignored a *Sentinel* request for a statement and did not consent to have her lawyer speak on her behalf.

-Mark Gutglueck

Out Of Financial Desperation, GT Ready To Permit Selling Weed *from page 6*

permit the cultivation of marijuana and could authorize manufacturing of products using marijuana derivatives. Testing labs could also function in the city. Microbusinesses, which combine the various types of commercial cannabis activity, could also locate in the city, Jones said.

Any businesses set up in the city would be subject to tracking by the California Department of Cannabis Control, Jones said.

Jones said a municipally-imposed 15 percent excise tax on marijuana and cannabis products is

typical.

Councilman Bill Hussey said marijuana is available elsewhere and that it does not need to be sold in the city.

"I think we got enough around us," Hussey said. "I'm not sure why we're entertaining putting them here. I don't see a cannabis dispensary being good in Grand Terrace."

Councilman Doug Wilson concurred, saying "Beyond my personal feeling, I think I have good experience in relation to whether good fruit can come from further intoxicants and I don't believe so. So, I would oppose anything like this or further research on it. The collateral damage of intoxicants outgrows any considerations for even just the immediate situation. My own personal

opinion is vehemently against anything in relation to intoxicants and I believe I represent a good 20 percent of this community's feeling."

Councilman Jeff Allen indicated he was not enthusiastic about retail establishments selling marijuana or cannabis products in the city, but said he knew of examples of cannabis-related businesses elsewhere that are kept out of public view, such as cultivation, manufacturing and testing facilities that are very low profile but will employ people and generate tax revenue.

There are all kinds of intoxicants, Robles said.

"We have all these liquor stores and all this other stuff," she said. "I frankly think liquor is worse than cannabis, but

I'm not here to worry about that. I don't know if we have enough cannabis shops around here or not. I would think in our deliberative process we should go a little further. One of the things could be we don't do anything unless the voters agree. My thing is I don't think we should do any growing or manufacturing or any of that. The most it should be is retail. There's suitable areas in Grand Terrace that aren't in the main square or near schools, away from the freeway where it would be very discreet."

Mayor Darcy McNaboe said, "There are a lot of different licenses to consider and I think that's far more than a council meeting has an opportunity for examination. I would like to see, if we

move forward with any further discussion, actually its own stand-alone meeting."

In determining what sort of businesses the city will permit, McNaboe

said, the city should allow for a comprehensive ballot that would allow the city's residents to weigh in or outright make that decision.

-Mark Gutglueck

Reliable Responsible
Bonded Conscientious

**Property
Caretaker**

Call (951) 567 1936

Ask For Adam

In Making Reforms, Manbahal Ran Into The Board's Micromanaging; Now He Has No Job from page 4

City of Baldwin Park's commercial cannabis licensing program, which was approved by the city council in 2017. Elements of that program, it is alleged, involve conflicts of interest and favoritism toward businesses which are willing to engage in out-and-out bribery.

Baldwin Park Police Lieutenant Christopher Kuberry, who oversaw the city's cannabis businesses inspections, in 2020 filed a sworn declaration in which he said three different operators of commercial marijuana-related concerns told him they were put in the position of "having to pay \$250,000 in a brown paper bag to city officials." Under the city's licensing program, a company, Rukli Inc., was given an exclusive franchise as the sole transporter/distributor of marijuana permitted to operate in the city, such that cultivators and manufacturers had to use Rukli to transport their wares.

While he was still on the Hesperia City Council and employed by the West Valley Water District, Brosowske was advocating that Hesperia permit commercial marijuana activity within the High Desert city's confines, at which point he was simultaneously associated with the Bill Postmus Cartel and its subsidiary, Mountain States Consulting Group, which have militated on behalf of commercial cannabis concerns seeking to establish exclusive licensing and permits to operate marijuana cultivation and retail businesses by multiple means, including identifiable monetary donations to various politicians' campaign coffers and through the provision of laundered money to elected officials in San Bernardino County.

Federal authorities are exploring whether Baldwin Park, Hesperia and West Valley Water District officials used public money that originated

from West Valley Water District ratepayers to supply bribes, laundered as employee salaries and benefits, to individuals who had traded votes while serving as elected officials to provide marijuana purveyors with licensing to allow commercial sales of the drug to take place.

Until relatively recently, Manbahal appeared to hold a safe post in the capacity of West Valley's executive manager. Though he was not steeped in water operations, his command of financial issues and his ability to delegate responsibility with regard to the specific areas of the district's function to those who possess the requisite degree of expertise made it seem as if he was able to keep the district on track. He had, while filling in for Mansell, made multiple reforms of which the board indicated it approved. Upon Mansell's exit, he carried out the board's instructions and directives, putting into place policies that clearly conveyed the board's intent to district employees at all levels. Manbahal claimed the issues with employee morale that had resulted in the December 2019 letter of no confidence in Mansell from department heads had been in large measure resolved. Manbahal's hiring of assistant general managers overseeing operations and engineering who had impeccable technical credentials reduced or eliminated the perception that the district was a bastion of political appointees whose incompetence threatened not only the efficient operations of the district but had created a public health hazard. He was lauded for having brought in a new human resources and risk manager dedicated to a hiring meritocracy. The district's progress toward meeting fundamental goals of efficiency, economy, transparency and accountability under Manbahal were hailed.

Manbahal's efforts at managing the district were constantly brushing up against what was characterized as Board President Channing Hawkins' attempts

at micromanagement, those intimately involved with district operations say. Early in his tenure as a board member, Hawkins established his primacy at the district when he arranged with Taylor to be appointed, within 15 minutes of his swearing-in as a board member, to be named board president. Thereafter, the district hired a San Diego-based public relations firm, Chamberlayne PR, run by Charles Chamberlayne, who had befriended Hawkins when they were students at Howard University, which Hawkins attended from 1996 until 2001 before matriculating at the university's School of Law in 2007. Hawkins also succeeded in getting another of his college acquaintances, Rodney Diggs, employment with the district when he induced its management and board to contract with Diggs' law firm, Los Angeles-based Ivie McNeill Wyatt Purcell & Diggs. The Ivie McNeill Wyatt Purcell & Diggs firm had hosted a political fundraiser for Hawkins in September 2019 during his successful campaign for the West Valley Water District Board.

Hawkins landed a job as a special assistant to San Bernardino County Fifth District Supervisor Joe Baca Jr, shortly after Baca's November 2020 election. The post in Baca's office provided Hawkins with a salary of \$119,690 and benefits of \$59,262 for a total compensation package of \$178,952 with the added perquisite of the use of a county-supplied portable communications device. Thereafter, Hawkins sought, from behind the scenes and outside the bounds of votes officially recorded by the West Valley Water District Board, to dictate district policy, particularly with regard to matters impacting development schemes or other matters favorable to Baca's political donors. Manbahal sought to work his way around Hawkins' unauthorized instructions, in some cases complying with Hawkins' demands and in other cases being unable to do so. Hawkins, whose demon-

strated ability for inside political and bureaucratic manipulation and maneuvering serves him well, was able to keep his fingerprints off of virtually all of the questionable actions he pushed the board into taking, as when he convinced his board colleagues to hire Chamberlayne and Diggs, and recused himself from the votes to do so, or in distancing himself from the motion and second to accept Manbahal's resignation, which was done by Greg Young and Taylor.

Hawkins, since passing the bar in February, has resigned his position with Baca's office and was able to immediately parlay his political position and connections into being hired as a staff attorney with the San Bernardino County Employees' Retirement Association.

Reportedly, there was some transgression, one which district officials claim the rules of public employee confidentiality prohibit them from disclosing, justifying Manbahal being forced to leave.

On May 19, the board held a special meeting during which the specific issues to be discussed were an evaluation of Manbahal's performance as general manager and potentially disciplining him or dismissing him. Prior to the meeting, Manbahal was confronted with what was plainly resolve on the part of the board to see him leave the district's employ. In lieu of being terminated, he agreed to tender his resignation, conditional upon the district conferring upon him a favorable severance package, one which involved a mutual release of claims, "known and unknown," which either Manbahal might in the future make against the district or which the district could conceivably make against him. The agreement also contained a gag order – a confidentiality clause – that prohibits Manbahal from disclosing "any and all action taken by the WVWD [West Valley Water District] and the releasees in accordance with this agreement," such that any information he is privy to as a conse-

quence of his time with the district "shall not be discussed, disclosed or revealed by Manbahal or any other person or entity except Manbahal's spouse, accountant (if any), tax consultants (if any), financial advisor (if any), or his attorneys. In the event Manbahal discloses any provision of this agreement with his spouse, accountant (if any), tax consultants (if any), financial advisor (if any), or his attorneys, Manbahal shall notify each of them that the provisions disclosed shall be kept confidential, and shall not be disclosed, discussed or revealed by any of them." Further language in the release states that it is a "com- promise and settlement of disputed claims being released herein, and therefore this agreement and the payment provided for in this agreement do not constitute an admission of liability on the part of the WVWD or its officers, directors, Board President, board members, agents, employees, insurers, attorneys or representatives, or an admission, directly or by implication, that any of them has violated any law, statute, rule, regulation, policy or any contractual right or other obligation owed to any party or to Manbahal. Manbahal specifically by this agreement denies all allegations of improper conduct made by the WVWD, if any are alleged. The WVWD specifically by this agreement denies all allegations of improper or unlawful conduct made by Manbahal, if any."

Of note, in the litany of individuals associated with the district listed as those not making an admission of liability, board president is the lone entity capitalized, giving it special emphasis.

Under the terms of the separation agreement with the district, Manbahal was provided with ten month's worth of his \$241,259.19 annual salary, that is a lump sum of \$201,049.33 plus 10 months of health insurance coverage, valued at, according to the independent government finance accountability website Transparent California,

\$89,988.38. In addition Manbahal is to be permitted to cash out his unused vacation and administrative leave time and the district is to provide him with a letter of recommendation.

In the past five years, the water district has paid out more than \$2 million to eleven former high-ranking employees, primarily those who served in the administrative echelon, including Manbahal, Mansell, Litchfield, Martinez, Brosowske and Pacheco. Pacheco is not abiding by his confidentiality agreement, and is providing information to the FBI.

It is not just staff at the water district that has undergone a substantial changeover. Since 2017, Robert Bourland, Linda Gonzalez and Don Olinger have been voted off of the board by voters; and since 2016 Alan Dyer, Cliff Young and Kyle Crowther have resigned from the board.

In quick succession early this year, both Crowther and Cliff Young tendered their resignations from the board and were replaced, respectively, by Angela Garcia and Kelvin Moore. Crowther left his post, he said, to take a job outside the state. Cliff Young resigned following the death of his wife and the onset of health challenges of his own.

It is now known that Taylor is to resign by June and is intent on moving to Arkansas.

The district will not have the option of appointing Taylor's replacement. Under the California Government Code, a governmental board consisting of elected officeholders cannot be populated by a majority of officeholders who are appointed and not elected. Since Garcia and Moore are appointed, the board cannot seat another appointed board member. As the district moved from elections held in odd-numbered years to even-numbered years, Taylor was scheduled to stand for reelection this year. The board will remain at four-fifths strength until this December, after the election to replace Taylor is held in November.