

The San Bernardino County Sentinel

News of Note
from Around the
Largest County
in the Lower
48 States

Friday, August 25, 2017 A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with Countywide News Service 10808 Foothill Blvd. Suite 160-446 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 (951) 567-1936

Nearing Eight Month Milestone, Colonies Corruption Case Goes To Juries

By Ruth Musser-Lopez and Mark Gutglueck

More than eight-and-a-half months after the Colonies Lawsuit Settlement Public Corruption Trial began, the two juries hearing the matter began deliberations this week.

On Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday the final arguments by both defense attorneys and one of the prosecutors reached a fever pitch crescendo, buffeting jurors

with diametrically polar assertions with regard to the largely circumstantial case put on by the prosecution involving 39 witnesses and 1,494 prosecution exhibits. It is now up to each juror to decide if there is an abiding moral certainty that Rancho Cucamonga-based developer Jeff Burum, former board of supervisors member and chairman Paul Biane, one-time sheriff's union president Jim Erwin and

Mark Kirk, who was once the chief of staff in the county's Fourth Supervisorial District, were involved in an elaborate political graft and bribery scheme or variously, that the prosecution failed to convincingly establish any wrongdoing on the part of the four defendants.

The case involves charges that Burum had conspired with Erwin to threaten and blackmail Postmus and Biane into

settling in 2006 a lawsuit his company, the Colonies Partners, had brought in 2002 against the County of San Bernardino and its flood control district over drainage issues at the Colonies at San Antonio residential and Colonies Crossroads commercial subdivisions in northeast Upland. Prosecutors allege that Erwin prepared but ultimately withheld "hit piece" mailers that targeted Postmus, who

was then the board of supervisors chairman as well as the chairman of the Republican Central Committee, and Biane, then the vice chairman of the board of supervisors as well as the vice chairman of the Republican Central Committee. Those mailers, according to prosecutors, took as their subject matter Postmus' homosexuality and methamphetamine addiction and Biane's financial travails **See P 2**

Mountain Spring Flow Near Nestlé's Arrowhead Operation Down To Historic Low

By Amanda Frye

Hidden from site by forest brush is the Strawberry Creek headwater spring. The spring is known only as "A6108." According to the State Water Board, Spring A6108 is appropriated to the San Bernardino National Forest. The Forest Service lists the spring water right priority date as October 31, 1928, which coincides with

"Article X Water" being added to the California Constitution in 1928.

Article X and its key subcomponent Section 2 came about as a consequence of the lawsuit *Herminghaus v. Southern Calif. Edison* filed in 1926 and adjudicated in 1928 which concerned riparian rights on the San Joaquin River and the use of that water to replenish the soil. Article

X Section 2 declares that all uses of water must be for a beneficial purpose and must be reasonable in quantity. Under Article X, while it is assumed the general welfare requires that the water resources in California be put to beneficial use to the fullest extent of which they are capable, the waste or unreasonable use of water is to be prevented and a water

right does not extend to the waste or unreasonable use of water. In this way, multiple uses of water and the reuse and recycling of water is favored and reserving or dedicating water for a single-purpose use is disfavored.

These principles are of relevance in the San Bernardino Mountains.

Occasionally, Spring A6108 has been ref-

erenced as "highway spring" since its diversion provided mountain fresh water for the Red Rock Wall fount along Highway 18 starting in the 1920s. Historic documents list the spring flow as over 9,000-10,000 gallons per day. The United States Geological Survey 1915 California Springs water supply paper describes a "cold spring **See P 3**

SBC Fire/Police Task Force Collars Another Serial Foothill Blaze Arsonist

Less than three weeks after an arsonist believed to have set a rash of seven fires along the foothills from near Devore to Mentone was arrested, another serial arsonist believed to have started at least eight fires in the City of San Bernardino has been taken into custody.

Peter Granados, a 38-year old resident of San Bernardino, was arrested on August 23.

For the past year, San

Bernardino County Fire Department investigators had tracked a subject they believed to have started more than a half dozen fires in the City of San Bernardino.

Five of the eight fires now connected to Granados were started in the foothills above Sterling Ave. and East Foothill Blvd., dating as far back as July 3, 2016. Quick response and actions of firefighters contained seven of the fires

relatively soon, and they were extinguished in each of those cases before any of them consumed eight acres.

On June 27, 2017, however, following the ignition of the Mart Fire in the drainage east of Walmart at Highland Ave. and Frontage Rd., winds quickly carried embers across Hwy. 330 into the dry vegetation. The wind driven Mart Fire quickly spread the fire up the mountain-

side, endangering nearby homes and burning 670 acres.

On August 23, San Bernardino County Fire Division investigators, with the assistance of San Bernardino Police Department investigators, executed a search warrant in San Bernardino at Granados' home, subsequently arresting him for arson. Upon questioning, Granados admitted to setting all eight fires, including the

Mart Fire. Granados was booked into the West Valley Detention Center for PC451(d); arson, with bail set at \$275,000.

Investigators determined Granados used an open flame device to light combustibles on fire. No injuries were sustained as a result of the fires.

On August 3, shortly after what has been designated the Second Bryant Fire started near Yucaipa, **San See P 3**

Five Fontana Schools Earn Accolades for Promoting Positive School Environments

Five Fontana Unified schools were recently recognized by the California Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) Coalition for their efforts in fostering positive and supportive campus environments, leading to a boost in student excellence and a decrease in behavioral issues.

Earning 2016-17 silver certifications were

Sequoia and Truman middle schools and Citrus and Oleander elementary schools while Date Elementary School earned a bronze certification. They are among the state's 807 schools recognized with silver and bronze awards for incorporating intervention strategies to define, teach and support appropriate student behavior, and achieving positive

results.

"We are extremely proud of these five schools along with their teachers and staff for being recognized as leaders in embracing the PBIS philosophy and successfully strengthening their campus culture," FUSD Superintendent Randal S. Bassett said. "It has been a rewarding journey for these schools, which work every day

to create a supportive learning environment that is conducive to academic achievement."

Fontana Unified schools have worked hard to ensure that positive behavior is rewarded and recognized on a regular basis, through campus murals, daily announcements, weekly student recognition programs, and monthly assemblies. Teachers de-

velop a culture designed to discourage negative behavior while encouraging responsible decision-making.

At Sequoia Middle School, students are rewarded with prizes for demonstrating expectations set by the school's Successful, Organized, Always prepared and Respectful (SOAR) program. Students are rewarded with a **See P 16**

SB Council Agrees To Last Minute Loan To Make Apartment Conversion

After continuing its discussion on the issue for five days, the San Bernardino City Council on Monday approved loaning \$880,000 in federal money available to the city for affordable housing efforts to Housing Partners I, a local non-profit involved in renovating an apartment complex in the northeastern quadrant of the city.

The city was under the gun to make the loan because the federal government was poised to take the money back if it were not activated by August 31.

In September 2016, the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino, known as HACSB, purchased the real property commonly known as the Golden Apartments and located at 2312, 2324 & 2336 North Golden Avenue in the San Bernardino for \$2,035,000, using what are called unrestricted local funds. The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino was purposed to complete the sale of the property to Golden Apartments San Bernardino, L.P. a venture under which the project to renovate the property was to be carried out. Previously, the City of San Bernardino committed to make \$880,000 in HOME funds available to the county for having undertaken the project in San Bernardino. The remaining funds due to the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino from the sale will be in the form of what officials termed a "seller carry-back loan" to the Golden Apartments San Bernardino, L.P. in the amount of \$1,155,000.

The HOME Invest-
See P 3

Erwin's Lawyer Berates Prosecu- tion's "Nonsensical" Interpretations Of Evidence *from front page*

which had him on the brink of bankruptcy. On November 7, 2006, the 2006 general election was held, in which Measure P, an initiative sponsored by Biane which raised each supervisor's annual salary from \$99,000 to \$151,000, passed and Postmus was elected county assessor. Three weeks following the election but prior to Postmus transferring to the assessor's office, the board of supervisors voted to confer a \$102 million settlement on the Colonies Partners, bringing the four year-long lawsuit to a close. Postmus and Biane supported the settlement along with Fourth District supervisor Gary Ovitt. Between March 2007 and the end of June 2007, the Colonies Partners endowed political action committees controlled by Postmus, Biane, Erwin and Kirk with \$100,000 each. In a 29-count indictment handed down in May 2011, in which Burum, Biane, Erwin and Kirk were named, it is alleged those \$100,000 donations to Postmus and Biane were bribes provided in return for their votes in support of the settlement and that the \$100,000 do-

nation to Kirk's political action committee was likewise a bribe made in exchange for his having delivered Ovitt's vote in favor of the settlement. Kirk was Ovitt's chief of staff and primary political advisor.

The indictment followed by less than three months Postmus pleading guilty to criminal charges lodged against him in 2010 relating to his settlement vote and his acceptance of the \$100,000 provided by the Colonies Partners to two of his political action committees, which he acknowledged in his plea as a bribe.

The final arguments this and last week, which were punctuated by the prosecution's rebuttal before the two juries hearing the case were given their final instructions, served as a densely-packed, richly-detailed highly-nuanced and intensely passionate and occasionally entertaining encapsulation of the testimony and evidence which flew by at light speed in comparison to the often plodding proceedings in the six-month long trial preceding it, among the longest in San Bernardino County history.

Last week, California Supervising Deputy Attorney General Melissa Mandel, who had prosecuted the case in tandem with San Bernardino County Supervising Deputy District

Attorney R. Lewis Cope, moved through her closing arguments relating to all four defendants. She was followed by attorney Peter Scalisi, representing Kirk, who was followed by Mark McDonald, the attorney for Biane. Raj Maline, Erwin's attorney, then began, but did not complete his closing arguments.

On Monday, Maline concluded his argument, insisting "the government got it wrong." Maline adopted the ploy of using a prop to enhance his closing argument, displaying a stack of 1,000 one dollar bills some five inches high stashed inside a brown paper bag, which he said represented \$100,000 if the greenbacks had been \$100 bills instead, the amount of money the prosecution contends was provided to three of the current defendants – Biane, Kirk and Erwin – as well as Postmus, who in 2011 pleaded guilty to a raft of charges stemming from the circumstances pertaining to the Colonies lawsuit settlement, including bribery, misappropriation of public funds, criminal conflict of interest and conspiracy. He placed the \$1,000 in a bag and handed it to a juror. "Want to go to Wells Fargo?" he asked. Maline's point was that if Burum had been intent on bribing anyone he could have very eas-

ily obtained \$400,000 in cash to distribute without creating the record of the donations to the political action committees Postmus, Biane, Erwin and Kirk controlled.

"Would Mr. Burum have a tough time with that?" Maline asked, referring to obtaining cash from a bank. "Why go through all that rigmarole for years when all you have to do is go to Wells Fargo?"

Maline lambasted the prosecution's contention that Erwin threatened Biane in an effort to obtain his vote in favor of the Colonies settlement. "He didn't do that to Paul Biane," Maline said. "He didn't do that to anyone."

The prosecution, Maline said, had staked the integrity of its case on the testimony of Adam Aleman, Postmus' one-time protégé whom Postmus had elevated at the age of 23 to the position of assistant assessor after Postmus had been elected to that post in 2006 and assumed that office in January 2007. By 2008, revelations that Postmus had staffed the assessor's office with his political cronies, including Aleman, overtook them, and both ended up being prosecuted for a number of offenses that grew out of their allowing the assessor's office to be utilized for partisan political purposes. In the midst of that, Aleman, unbeknownst to his mentor and patron, began cooperating with the district attorney's office, recording or otherwise electronically preserving hundreds of conversations, phone calls, text messages and email contacts he had with Postmus. Indeed, it was Aleman who provided investigators in the district attorney's office with the basic information relating to the Colonies lawsuit settlement that led to Postmus' conviction and the prosecution of Burum, Biane, Erwin and Kirk.

Nevertheless, Aleman's testimony had been provided under a plea deal worked out with prosecutors, and defense attorneys have consistently suggested that Aleman was fabricating much of his testimony against the others caught up in the case as part of an effort to achieve leniency. Aleman offered testimony

The San Bernardino County

Sentinel

Published in San Bernardino County.
The Sentinel's main office is located at 10788 Civic Center Drive in Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
A Fortunado Publication in conjunction with
Countywide News Service

Mark Gutglueck, Publisher

Call (951) 567-1936

**to learn of locations where the Sentinel
is available or to provide news tips**

10808 Foothill Blvd., Suite 160-446

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SBCSentinel@yahoo.com

Legal Notice Department 909 957 9998

Message Line 909-276 5796

that was crucial to the prosecution relating to both the blackmail and bribery elements of the case. According to Aleman, while at the sheriff's deputies union office, Erwin had displayed for him on his computer there mockups of "hit pieces," i.e., political mailers attacking both Bill Postmus and Paul Biane, the dwelling on the former target's homosexuality and drug use and on the latter's dire financial circumstance which had him in arrears on his mortgage, utility, vehicle and other loan payments to the point that he was on the brink of bankruptcy.

Maline suggested those mailers never existed and were a figment of Aleman's imagination.

"There was never any flyer introduced at this trial that would match the ones described by Mr. Aleman," Maline said.

And the prosecution and its investigators never followed up to confirm through other available sources whether Aleman was telling the truth, Maline said. "They could have easily gone to the mail house, or to the computers to corroborate his story," Maline said. "They had recording apparatus," Maline said, referring to the audio recording devices district attorney's office investigators had provided to Aleman, with which he captured statements from Postmus on a regular basis for well over a year. "He could have easily talked about it on the phone. He could have said, 'Bill, remember the flyers that they made, these horrible flyers that were going to expose you?' He

[Postmus] would have said, 'Yes, that was horrible' or he would have said, 'What are you talking about, Adam?' There is no corroboration."

Maline said that the prosecution did not live up to what it had promised during the opening statement made by Cope in January. "Their own theory argued to you in closing is not what they said in opening," he said, intimating that was because both the witnesses and evidence did not live up to their advance billing. At this point, Maline said, after the prosecution had presented a hodgepodge of contradictory evidence and exhibits together with a mélange of testimony that cut both ways, the prosecution is prevailing upon the jurors to assemble the case it failed to assemble for them.

"They are telling you to go figure it out, draw the same nonsensical interpretation that they did," said Maline. "No one testified there were any threats by anyone. Mr. Erwin did not go out and threaten 'Look what I got, look what I got.' There were never any threats, ever – ever!"

Taking stock of the consideration that the case against his client and the other defendants is largely a circumstantial one which requires that the jurors see that all elements of the case are corroborated, Maline said Aleman's reputation for misleading statements prevents him from confirming anything.

"I can't emphasize this enough," Maline said. "You have Mr. Aleman... Don't trust him. Whether or not corroboration is needed under the law, you should not
Continued on Page 4

Inland Empire Bankruptcy Center

Have quick questions?

Have complicated questions?

Need advice on how to prepare before filing?

Want to stop harassing phone calls from creditors?

Need to stop foreclosure?



Call today to get pre-bankruptcy counseling...



909.204.5768

**Work with an experienced professional law firm to
wipe all your debt !**

The longer you wait... the worse it gets!

**INLAND EMPIRE LAW GROUP...
ESTABLISHED SINCE 1967**



SB City Council Makes Loan For Apartment Conversion For Homeless Project *from front page*

ment Partnerships Program (HOME) is a form of United States federal assistance provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to states and local communities to provide affordable housing, particularly housing for low- and very low-income Americans.

As the “seller carry-back loan is repaid over its 57-year term, that money will be invested in other San Bernardino projects that are eligible for HOME funds.

According to Maria Razo, the executive director of the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino, “The Golden Apartments consists of three detached buildings containing 21 townhome style apartment homes. The majority of the construction will be interior, converting 19 townhome units into 38 flat, efficiency units. Exterior renovation will be limited to removal and replacement of existing asphalt, wood and stucco repair, and exterior paint, as required. The converted units will serve as per-

manent supportive housing for chronically homeless individuals and will further the county’s efforts to address chronic homelessness. Each unit will consist of one bedroom, one bathroom, kitchen and a living area. In addition to creating a quality living space, the purpose of this project is also to provide extended social services on-site, in order to better serve the needs of the residents.”

According to Razo, “HACSB is partnering with its affiliate non-profit, Housing Partners I, Inc. (HPI), a community housing development organization, for the purposes of accepting HOME funds from the county, which have been approved in the amount of \$3,158,308.”

“The County HOME funds have been designated for renovation of the Golden Apartments,” said Razo. “In addition to the County’s HOME funds, the city will also be investing HOME funds into the limited partnership in the amount of \$880,000, which will be allocated toward the acquisition costs.”

It was that element of the project’s funding that came before the city council on Monday. The city council previously approved the project to convert all 21 apartments into 38 permanent hous-

ing units for homeless and low-income individuals with the proviso that Housing Partners will attempt to draw its tenants from within the city. Upon completion, the project near the corner of Golden Avenue and Highland Avenue will offer supportive services such as job placement and mental health counseling. Monday’s vote allows \$880,000 in HOME funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to be used as a loan to help the developer acquire the property.

With councilman Henry Nickel absent and councilman Fred Shorrett abstaining because he is Housing Partners I board member, the council voted 4-1 to make the loan. That loan came from a pool of money originating with the federal government that has been available to the city since 2013 for low- and very low-income residents. Its availability would have expired after August 31 if it were not expended. City staff had recommended that the money be provided to Housing Partners I as a 57-year term loan. Staff, in the personages of city manager Andrea Travis-Miller and Community Development Block Grant coordinator Diane Cotto, recommended that the loan be made and that as the money is paid back, it be utilized for homeless housing programs to be approved by future city councils.

Because of the sunset of the funds’ availability, the council had to make a decision before August 31, hence the reason for the meeting on Monday night, which was specially scheduled. Four members of the council expressed dismay at having to function under that deadline, saying they resented being stamped into making the approval.

Councilman John Valdivia attempted to explore with Travis-Miller and Cotto other possible projects in which the \$880,000 could be invested. Cotto informed him that the money had to be put into a project that would be actively proceeding by the August 31 deadline, and no such housing projects were in the works that could be actuated by that

date. “This is late notice,” Valdivia lamented. “It’s near the end.” He characterized the rush that was being made toward the approval to get the federal money without regard to the wider implication, saying it was typical of government to act with dispatch on issues of importance internally and involving the expenditure of public money while dragging its feet on issues and projects of importance to residents. “Government moves quickly on a deadline,” he said. “I don’t think this is a good project and I cannot and will not support it tonight,” he said.

Councilwoman Bes-sine Richard expressed a similar sentiment with regard to the rush, as well as expressing her belief that money available to the city for housing programs should be used for upgrading existing dilapidated properties. “Let’s work on what we have and stop building new ones,” she said. She relented, however, saying, “I said that I would support this if they changed the language,” Richard said. “Because you guys changed the language – and this project is going to happen whether we approve it (i.e., the loan to Housing Partners I) or not – I’m going to stick by my word and say since the language was changed I’m going to support it, not happily.”

Richard said that she was disappointed in staff saddling the council with a do-or-die decision at the last minute, and called upon staff to not let projects lapse to the point where the council’s

options are so limited. “I don’t want to see more of these [decisions at the brink of a deadline],” she said. “We’ve got to do better.”

Councilman Benito Barrios echoed that sentiment, saying, “We need to get away from this [decisions just before an expiration date]. What other issues are we going to have to deal with as far as deadlines? I don’t like the position the council is put in at the last moment. I don’t like supporting this project. We need to look at other options. This is the one option we have. It is all we can do and this is where we are going. I feel obligated to support it. I know there is a better approach we could have taken on this. I hope this is the last and we can make a better judgment on what is coming up. We need to get ahead of the game. We are in a position to where this city is rebuilding itself. We are healing. We are moving away from the type of politics we had in the past.” Barrios said the city needed to “get a hold of” its destiny. “You are quarterback to make that happen,” he told Travis-Miller, who was elevated to the position of city manager three weeks ago.

Councilwoman Virginia Marquez was critical, as well, of being called upon to make the call in favor of the loan or have to forfeit the funding, but said, “At the end of the day, we have to spend this money or it will go back to the U.S. Treasury.”

A number of residents expressed opposition to making the loan,

though their rationales did not entirely line up. Some were critical of the city for spending money on what they deemed to be programs with a “socialistic” bent rather than utilizing available money to rejuvenate the economy and spur economic development. Others said there were more efficient housing assistance options that the money should have been used toward.

Resident Deana Adams took issue with the increase in the number of units and the reduction of their size, saying it would result in “squeezing people in like sardines.”

Karmel Roe expressed concern about the 21 families now living at the apartments who are being displaced.

Scott Olsen signaled his disapproval of the city waiting until the last minute to utilize the money on the apartment conversion instead of making a more timely expenditure, saying “City Hall [should have] used that money for what it should have done in the first place.”

One resident, Jim Smith lauded the city for its action, saying “Take this as an opportunity to move our city forward.”

Councilman Jim Mulvihill articulated the justification for making the loan, saying, “What we are doing is essentially sheltering the money and that money will be paid back to us over time. That money [in the form of loan repayments] will be used for other housing purposes.”

-Mark Gutglueck

After Rash Of Fires In And Around San Bernardino Mountain Foothills, Second Arsonist In Three Weeks Caught *from front page*

Bernardino County sheriff’s deputies arrested Jarrod Samra, 22, on suspicion of having set that fire when he was spotted near the conflagration. At the time of his arrest, a task force of sheriff’s department and county fire arson investigators were preparing search warrants and an arrest warrant for him. He is suspected of having set the first Bryant fire which eventually burned 76 acres in the Yucaipa/Mentone area along Highway 38 west of Bryant Street which started on July 7; a fire in Highland referred to

as the Hidden Fire that started on July 12 near the bottom of the Highway 330 near Boulder Avenue, south of the Walmart Supercenter on East Highland Avenue, which charred 43 acres; the so-called Bridge Fire, which started July 14 and consumed 460 acres in a relatively sparsely populated area of East Highland before it was doused; the Seine Fire on July 22 on chaparral-covered property near Piedmont Drive and Seine Avenue, which consumed 26 acres; the Trout Fire in the Foothills above Highland on July 28 and the Helen fire near Devore which erupted three hours later but was like the Trout fire put down in rapid fashion.



Mountain Spring Drying Up *from front page*

of considerable size” in this location. In 1985, this spring ceased being diverted to the red rock wall drinking fountain. The forest service changed the beneficial use to wildlife enhancement and fire protection.

In October 2016, a Spring A6108 site survey was conducted by U.S. Forest Service Hydrologist Robert Taylor along with Michelle Bearmar, Southern California province geotechnical engineer. Field notes describe the spring as “damp” with “no dis-

cernible flow.” Photos reveal a damp moss covered rock face visible through thick riparian vegetation.

Site survey notes confirm the spring is still being put to beneficial use at the diversion point.

Nestlé Waters of North America, Inc. engages in the extraction of approximately 35 million gallons of water annually from Strawberry Canyon/Strawberry Creek in the San Bernardino National Forest by means of boreholes and horizontal wells several hundred feet distant from Spring A6108.

A question among hydrologists and U.S. Forest Service biologists is whether Spring A6108 water output dwindled as the result of Nestlé’s removing millions of gallons of groundwater nearby. Those familiar with the circumstance believe that forest spring’s reduction from a flow of 10,000 gallons per day to only moisture is related to the Nestlé operation.

Nestlé pipes this groundwater down the mountain to trucks, which haul it away to be

Continued on Page 20

Do You Have Information Of Public Interest? Call the *Sentinel* at (951) 567 1936 The *Sentinel* is always looking for information to inform our readership and keep our readers abreast of newsworthy developments.

The *Sentinel* devotes itself to what is happening in and around San Bernardino County. Social events, political news, issues pertaining to education, medicine, industry, commerce, development, real estate, history, culture and entertainment are of interest to us.



San Bernardino County Sentinel

News of Note
from Around the
Largest County
in the Lower
48 States

Let us help you make our readers aware of what is happening in your corner of the world.
Do you have a news tip? Don't hesitate to pick up the phone or drop us a line at
sbcsentinel@yahoo.com to alert us to that fascinating tidbit.

Only Route To Conviction Is Through Discredit- ed Witness Aleman, Maline Asserts *from page 2*

believe him."

The prosecution asserted, Maline said, "that you could convict based solely on his testimony. Don't do that. No one here has suggested that you should rely on Mr. Postmus or Mr. Aleman. Adam Aleman was convicted of crimes, of lying to a grand jury. 'Whether or not Adam Aleman was an accomplice under the law, believe what is believable to you and disregard the rest' is what Ms Mandel told you. Consider he is the best of the best liars. Look at his training. As soon as he stepped into the political arena, his job was to lie and cover up."

Maline suggested that the prosecution's assertions that Erwin threatened and blackmailed Postmus was a consequence of the "tough love" Erwin showed toward Postmus.

Erwin was, Maline said, "the one person in the handling of Mr. Postmus, who actually cared about Mr. Postmus, who actually did something. Everyone saw him sliding downhill, kept noticing him farther and farther down hill. He was talking gibberish sometimes, thrashing around, people noticing. What did people do? Nothing. They commented on it, and talked about it behind his back. Mr. Bob Smith [one of Postmus' staff members and political associates] and Mr. Erwin helped him get into rehab. Bill

promised Mr. Erwin he was going to finish his rehab. That was in 2006. SEBA [the sheriff's deputies union of which Erwin was once president and of which in 2006 he was executive director] backed him in running for the assessor's office. They gave him \$40,000 in 2006. Bill Postmus spent \$4.2 million for that race. That's a lot of money. During that time frame, Jim took him to James Ramos [then the San Manuel Indian Tribe chairman and today the county's Third District supervisor] for the purpose of raising additional money. Mr. Erwin introduced him to James Ramos. That is what he did. When he [Postmus] won the election, he appointed Jim Erwin as assistant assessor. There were no threats. If he had been threatening Mr. Postmus, he would not have appointed Mr. Erwin as the assistant assessor. Mr. Erwin did threaten him, but it was tough love. Mr. Erwin left SEBA as the administrator there to join Mr. Postmus in the assessor's office. But by May of 2007, Mr. Erwin saw things sliding downhill even farther. At that point he wrote Bill a memo."

Maline displayed that memo, dated May 23, 2007, which read, in part, "I am sure that after much reflection you are aware that your conduct has placed into jeopardy your personal and political reputation. [Your] erratic behavior [is risking the livelihoods] of approximately 230 employees of the assessor's office." In the memo Erwin noted that he had personally contacted Chuck Reed, a principal in the Pine Ridge drug recov-

ery operation, which had a satellite clinic in San Bernardino offering outpatient services, to arrange for Postmus to undergo treatment while continuing to function as assessor. Postmus was to take part in a regimen that included weekday group counseling sessions and mandatory random drug screening that would trigger automatic notification of Aleman and Erwin if Postmus were to test positive. "After much deliberation and soul-searching, I have decided in the event that you fail to complete the aforementioned rehabilitation program, formal disclosure to the county of your condition will be required," the memo states. "Tomorrow I will contact Pine Ridge to confirm that you have authorized mandatory disclosure."

Maline said Erwin coordinated Reed and his colleague, Jim East, at Pine Ridge to check Postmus into that clinic and then ensured that Postmus participated in an outpatient program after the initial round of treatment at Pine Ridge. In that memo, Erwin said that he and others, Aleman included, "will do everything in our power to support you" and expressed confidence in the outcome, saying "I know you will conquer" this addiction.

"He (Erwin) did threaten to expose him," Maline said. "Not in the context the prosecution said. It was in the context of a friend. In the end, according to Maline, 'Bill Postmus continued on a path of recklessness, using drugs, not showing up, endangering the assessor's office employees,' under which conditions, 'Mr. Erwin,"

Maline said, "did go to the [DA's] office and told them of the shenanigans and the drug use."

It was Erwin's action in blowing the whistle, Maline said, that led to the investigation of the assessor's office and the undoing of Postmus and Aleman. That Erwin had betrayed Postmus and Aleman was "the first thing that came to their [Aleman's and Postmus'] mind. Adam Aleman then goes to the authorities and blames Jim Erwin. They don't blame themselves. They blame Mr. Erwin for taking down their administration. Bill Postmus has a different view now, but at the time Aleman and Postmus had a mindset that they would get back at Jim Erwin," Maline said.

In this way, Maline suggested, the district attorney's office and the state attorney general's office were carrying out and executing on Aleman's vendetta against Erwin for having exposed the way in which Bill Postmus and Adam Aleman corrupted the assessor's office.

Maline told the juries that in constructing the hit piece mailers targeting Postmus and Biane, both Burum and Erwin were entirely within their rights.

"There were hit pieces," said Maline. "So, what? You can send out negative campaign messages. There were horrible things said in the last [presidential] campaign. That's the way politicians talk about each other. That is okay. It happens all the time with politicians. You can say what you want in politics. You can do what you want."

And, Maline said, in

a political context "money [i.e., donating money to politicians and office holders] is considered speech. Donating is expressing yourself politically." He said the constitutionally protected right of free speech is threatened "anytime you make a law to prohibit that. All this money is in politics. Anytime we try to restrict it by saying 'You can't do this, you can't give that money' is unconstitutional, because when you do that you are trying to limit speech. Jeff Burum did not make these rules. Blame George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, our founding fathers. The prosecution would have a problem with our founding fathers. There were negative hit pieces starting in the 18th Century. There were caricatures of Thomas Jefferson regurgitating \$2 million in gold coins, saying he had made a mistake in the Louisiana Purchase, that he was involved in French despotism. There have long been negative mailers, and they are completely legal. They are okay. This comes from a Supreme Court case. In laymen's terms... even though you are not in politics, the idea you can give money to a politician voting on things that concern you [is legal]. A business can give money to a politician before or after [a decision by that politician relating to that business]. That's no big deal. It might look bad to some. But a vote in favor of [a business or entity or individual who has made a donation to the person voting] is not bribery. That is an unrealistic assessment. In fact, that is typical conduct, and is unavoidable where poli-

ticians are seeking contributions. This doesn't sound right, but the reality is different than instinct. This is the way things are done."

Maline said that reasonable doubt with regard to the prosecution's allegations existed and that the threshold for determining guilt against the four defendants was that there "had to be an agreement," i.e., a quid pro quo, in which Burum and Erwin agreed to give and Biane and Kirk agreed to receive money in exchange for the vote to approve the settlement or to deliver the vote for the settlement.

"The only way you can get to an agreement is through Adam Aleman," Maline said. "So you have to have an abiding conviction with Adam Aleman. That is not proof beyond a reasonable doubt."

Maline reminded the jurors of the commitment they made during jury selection. "We picked you because you would be fair," he said. "You all told me that. If they [the defendants] didn't cross that line, there is no proof beyond a reasonable doubt and they are not guilty."

He told the juries to consider the prosecution's effort. "They did not prove their case," he said. "The government got it wrong. I ask you to vote the correct way."

Stephen Larson, the lead attorney for Jeff Burum and a former federal judge and one-time Assistant U.S. Attorney, began his closing arguments on Monday afternoon. His presentation was the most well-attended portion of the nearly-eight

Continued on Page 5

Prosecution's Echo Chamber Drowned Out Any Voice Of Reason, Larson Tells Juries *from page 4*

month trial. The gallery in Department S-1, the largest courtroom in the 11-story San Bernardino Justice Center which accommodates two side-by-side jury boxes along the courtroom's eastern wall and where, accordingly, the proceedings have been held since January, was filled to capacity, with the lion's share of those in attendance being Burum's friends, business partners, associates and family members. Among them was former Dodgers manager Tommy Lasorda. Larson continued and extended Maline's use of props and filled his speech with literary conceits, illustrations and allusions, and used witticisms and jokes to not just leaven his sometimes intense presentation but to drive home certain points.

One of Larson's conceits was to liken the atmospherics around the prosecution's case to an "echo chamber," suggesting that both the district attorney's office investigators and the prosecutors with the California Attorney General's Office and the district attorney's office had isolated themselves and many of the witnesses into an enclosed chamber in which only expressions of suspicion and guilt were allowed to resonate and from which other reasonable explanations of the evidence were excluded.

Larson suggested that the prosecution had improperly sought to transform the two juries from judicial fact finding panels into an archeological expedition assigned to unearth a set of fossils that were to be assembled to achieve a pre-ordained conception of what is lying in the ground. "You are not archaeologists," Larson said.

To illustrate this he used the prop of a 174-piece Lego Creator dinosaur kit, which depending on which set of instructions were followed, could be pieced together to form a triceratops or, variously, a tyrannosaurus rex. He displayed an alternate

dinosaur of no particular pedigree which his children managed to assemble from the kit. In the same way, Larson suggested, the prosecution had created a monster of its own making based upon its creative arrangement of the testimony and evidence brought out at trial. "You can take these things and create them into whatever you want," he said.

One major literary reference Larson utilized was the Samuel Beckett play, "Waiting for Godot," in which two seemingly homeless main characters, Vladimir and Estragon, interact on a country road by a tree. They are awaiting the arrival of Godot, while engaged in sometimes poetic and other times prosaic musings and exchanges that aimlessly drift from subject to subject in both greater and lesser degrees of understandability. Godot never shows up. Larson's suggestion is that the expected proof of bribery that was the main subject and central element of the trial, just as Godot was supposed to be the centerpiece of the drama, never materialized.

He said Burum has spent the last 18 years fighting county and state government on the Colonies case, first negotiating about the flood basin in 1999, then in civil court in 2002, and now the criminal case.

He said the political action committee (PAC) contributions prosecutors are calling bribes were legal and transparent - an effort by Burum to mend fences as the Colonies project continued in various phases long after the November 28, 2006, settlement. Larson said former county Supervisors Ovitt and Dennis Hansberger testified to that at trial.

It was not only the other three defendants and Postmus who each received \$100,000 from Burum following the settlement, Larson said. Burum also gave four of his employees \$100,000 bonuses shortly after the settlement, Larson said, as a reward to those who stood by him and assisted him during the legal struggle against the county: Lisa Schaefer, his company's controller; his brother, Phil Burum; Lorraine LeClear, the chief of staff at Diversi-

fied Pacific; and Spencer Brown, Burum's man Friday. "They worked very hard through this litigation," Larson said. Two days after the settlement was reached, Burum contributed \$250,000 to a cultural and literacy promotion campaign in Rancho Cucamonga, Larson said. "The first contribution was made on November 30, 2006 - \$250,000 to the PAL Campaign Promoting Arts and Literacy campaign in Rancho Cucamonga," Larson said.

Burum had created a company devoted entirely to building affordable housing, Larson said.

"There was no devil in those numbers. They (Colonies Partners) were just in a different position," Larson said.

Larson said Burum, Biane, Erwin and Kirk are "four innocent men. Their lives are in your hands. This is about liberty. They aren't guilty. The prosecution's case carefully considered renders that result. This process started years ago. It is tough to fight the government."

Larson said that with regard to the early discussions between the Colonies Partners and the county flood control district over the arrangements for flood control facilities on the Colonies property, county officials defrauded Burum with regard to the county's intended use of the flood control basin on that property after he voluntarily agreed to the county's terms and to construct the basin. Burum's fight with the government and the government's deceit has resulted in his being prosecuted over his action, Larson said. The prosecution ultimately manifested in the trial at which the evidence of a bribe was to be provided. "Godot is not showing up," Larson said. "We're waiting for evidence of a bribe and it doesn't exist six months later. We could still be going six months from now. The 'Waiting for Godot' has got to stop. This will go on until these two juries hopefully put an end to it. I hope that is what you do. So, where's the beef? How much money and resources have gone into this investigation from November 2008 until now, 2017? Why are we here? We are here be-

cause of an echo chamber."

Larson then referenced Hollis "Bud" Randles, the lead investigator on the case, referring to him as a "big game hunter," which was not intended literally but rather as an allusion to Randles own words in describing to one of the witnesses he interrogated, Postmus' associate Bob Smith, that he and his investigative colleagues were hunting "big game," i.e., high ranking elected officials and politicians. "He [Randles] is a big game hunter, Vietnam vet, served in combat, was with the Los Angeles Police 20-some years," Larson said. "Great cop, good soldier, but he was ill-prepared to put together the largest corruption case in San Bernardino County. He did not understand it. He had biases, and never let them go."

Shortly after the \$102 settlement was voted upon, Larson said, Randles concluded that was an exorbitant amount of money and he became suspicious. But those were just unsubstantiated suspicions, Larson said.

"Only one thing brought this from suspicion to a case: Adam Aleman," Larson said.

Randles had allowed his biases and his suspicion to override his judgment and his professionalism, Larson said, an example of which was that he learned that Burum was in China in 2005 but was not there in 2006, and that supervisor Josie Gonzales was in China in 2006 but was not there in 2005. Yet when the prosecution during trial brought Gonzales in to testify that she had a near encounter with Burum in China in 2005 and studiously avoided him out of fear that doing so would compromise her with regard to voting on the Colonies settlement, Larson said, Randles failed to straighten the prosecution out on that discrepancy and point out that Gonzales and Burum were not in China at the same time.

The use of this false evidence, Larson said, was an indication of the prosecution's "win-at-all-cost" mentality.

"The obligation of the prosecution is not to win

the case, but that justice be done," Larson said. "Has justice been done? I don't have to ask you for you to see that it hasn't."

The prosecution alleged, Larson said, that "Mr. Postmus was taken advantage of, that it was Mr. Burum who was taking advantage of his drug use. That's not true. It was the investigators who took advantage of his drug use."

The district attorney's office, Larson said, clearly knew about Postmus' drug use.

"They prosecuted him for drugs, but didn't test him, didn't provide treatment," Larson said, asserting that it was at that time, while Postmus was in a "paranoid, memory lapsing condition" that he was interrogated and questioned repeatedly, and false ideas consistent with the prosecution's theory of guilt were planted in his addled pate.

"By June 2012 he was clear, but how many times was he interviewed at that time?" Larson asked, and then said, "He was not questioned until he walked into this courtroom." Because he was no longer under the influence of drugs, he was not vulnerable or suggestible, Larson said. "They didn't want to hear that."

Larson took issue with the prosecution's assertion that a search of the computers at Burum's home and office which were seized during the serving of search warrants in September 2001 turned up evidence Burum had done research over the internet in January 2006 on Postmus' and Biane's electioneering funds so he could find entities through which he could launder bribes. Larson suggested that research was being done so Burum or someone in his office could make an accurate report to the California Fair Political Practices Commission on how much money Burum through his companies, Colonies Partners, Colonies Crossroads and Diversified Pacific, had made to various political candidates because, as a "major donor" he had to make those disclosures. That the research was done in late January coincides with the January 31 reporting deadline imposed by the state,

Larson said. What was being looked for, Larson said, "was Paul Biane's ID number and Bill Postmus' ID number." He was referring to the Fair Political Practices Commission identification number issued to candidates.

Larson was even more critical of Mandel having characterized a note in Postmus' handwriting - headed "To do List," as evidence of a bribery scheme that was "really as good as it gets." Those notes referenced land deals involving Jeff Burum and Dino DeFazio, a real estate mogul in San Bernardino's High Desert. Mandel presented no further evidence of land transactions or investments involving Postmus, Burum, and DeFazio or any combination thereof, Larson said. Instead, Mandel made an assertion that something nefarious was going on without marshaling any proof. "Dino DeFazio could have been called [as a witness by the prosecution]," Larson said, and he could have been asked "Is this a land deal? Is it a land deal you want to do with Jeff Burum?"

Larson said the prosecution had raised "two big questions in the case," the first of which was "Why did the supervisors settle the lawsuit with the Colonies Partners on November 28, 2006 and not follow the advice of the county's lawyers to see the litigation through, and the second of which is why did the Colonies Partners make the \$100,000 contributions to the political action committees controlled by Postmus, Biane, Erwin and Kirk in 2007," following the settlement.

Larson suggested that the rationale that Gary Ovitt gave when he testified - that he respected the rights of private property owners and that the Colonies Partners owned the property - was the basis for the answer to the first question. "There is no question who owned the property," Larson said. "The Colonies [partners] owned the property." Larson said the litigation between the Colonies Partners and the county and the later prosecution of the defendants dem-

Continued on Page 6

from page 5

onstrated a “fundamental misunderstanding of these property rights.” He said that the board majority’s willingness to settle the lawsuit was “maybe a conservative Republican, pro-development thing,” which others might disagree with, but that this was a legitimate philosophy that motivated Postmus, Biane and Ovitt, and that it had nothing to do with bribery.

Larson told the jury the consideration of what motivated those who voted for the settlement had nothing to do with “whether or not you subjectively think the settlement was good or not,” but rather with determining whether Postmus and Biane’s vote to settle the lawsuit was consistent with their own philosophy. He said the settlement was consistent with upholding the principle of private property rights and was “objectively reasonable,” the phrase that Mitch Norton, the deputy county counsel whose task it was to represent the county in the lawsuit against the Colonies

Partners and who at the time of the settlement was counseling against it, ultimately used in describing the \$102 million payout.

With regard to the second question, Larson said, the donations to the political action committees were “made to mend fences.” He said that Ovitt, who voted for the settlement, and supervisor Dennis Hansberger, who voted against the settlement, “both talked about mending fences.” After the settlement was effectuated, Larson said, “The need for [the] Colonies [Partners] to have good relations with the board of supervisors did not end.”

Larson acknowledged that Burum has a strong personality.

"Jeff Burum is who he is," Larson said. "He is not someone to hide his feelings. He is not shy. Whether you like it or not, you have to put that aside. It's not easy. We respond to personalities. Some we really like. Some we really don't like. That's not what this is about."

It was Burum's success that allowed him to make the donations he did, according to Larson.

"That Mr. Burum has been successful is not a crime," said Larson, adding that citizens seeking, and gaining influence

over their elected officials is not illegal. Those in the development business, Burum included, communicate with elected officials who make decisions with regard to their projects by donating money, Larson said. "This is just the way land developers are," he said. Larson vectored the juries' attention to one developer, Young Homes and its principal Reggie King, who had evinced largesse toward elected officials in that time frame that was comparable to that demonstrated by the Colonies Partners. On the courtroom's overhead visual display Larson projected a campaign finance reporting document showing Young Homes had given \$135,000 in 2006 to the Committee to Elect Bill Postmus.

He also referenced Mike Gallagher, a developer in the High Desert, who contributed \$110,000 to Postmus in 2006.

"This really is a business as usual circumstance," said Larson.

Burum, Larson acknowledged, was a particularly effective wielder of influence, which was based on his upbringing, personality, personal intensity and the circumstances he found himself in.

"Jeff was at war with

the County of San Bernardino,” Larson said. “You should not hold that against him. Despite the county’s [tactics] and whatever forces were working against him, he had worked himself up from nothing. He had a right. He was in a position [to hand money out to politicians in an effort to buy influence].”

The attorneys working for the county had the ear of the board majority, Larson said, and it was not illegal for the Colonies Partners or its advocate to try to “give the board better information than what they were getting from their lawyers. It is not illegal to have off-calendar meetings. It is not illegal to be sending messages through media consultants.”

Larson reiterated Maline's point that a constituent providing political donations to an elected official either closely before or closely after that official making a decision impacting that donor might have an untoward appearance and look like a conflict of interest but that a Supreme Court decision has deemed such activity legal.

The prosecution has acknowledged, Larson said that the tactics Buring employed toward gaining influence with

the board and in dealing with the county were legal and that each individual act he engaged in had not crossed the line. Nevertheless, Larson said, Mandel and Cope were alleging that the sum total of those was tantamount to a massive criminal conspiracy.

“There have been lots of statements about what is not illegal but somehow all the legal acts [together] constitute the defendants being guilty,” Larson said. “Two wrongs don’t make a right, but somehow in this case, fifty rights make a wrong.”

He then returned to the theme of Burum's success. "The prosecution says it is not illegal to try to influence them [public officials] but if you actually influence them, that is wrong," Larson said. "It is as if you are successful in advocating and you are successful in invoking your First Amendment rights, that is corruption."

Larson said, "There were many reasons for Jeff Burum to make large political contributions to gain influence. There are no witnesses other than the admitted liar, Adam Aleman, testifying there was bribery. There is no evidence of intent to commit the charged crimes. One person connects them, Adam Aleman. That is what this case is about."

Larson said that the prosecution had used emotionally loaded "buzz words" such as "secret" and "political cover" to imply guilt, trying to leave the impression with the juries that "if you are seeking political cover, that is corrupt." Like Maline, he reached for an analogy from American history. "For Abraham Lincoln, freeing the slaves in the south was all about the machinations of creating political cover," Larson said, noting that change often needs to be "achieved in incremental steps. Political cover is not a bad thing. Getting political cover is what politicians do to get things done."

The people who work with Burum, Larson said, describe him as “smart, brilliant, strong, that he is not someone to be pushed around. But he is not the bully described by the prosecution.”

The events that led up to the lawsuit with the county demonstrate that element of Burum's personality, Larson said. "After two-and-a-half years of water summit negotiations, he signed on November 24, 1999 a "consent" agreement with the county over the use of a portion of the Colonies property for a flood control channel. "But then his lawyers figured out he had been lied to and defrauded," Larson said. "He responded with a quiet title action [to clarify ownership and control of the property in dispute]." Larson said there arose allegations of "breach of contract, based on the 1999 fraud. Only then, they [the Colonies Partners] filed an inverse condemnation lawsuit. Jeff was mad about that. Of course, he fought back at that point."

Larson likened Buring to "John Wayne or Jimmy Stewart, the quiet silent type, who when he gets pushed around doesn't back down."

Larson acknowledged that Burum grew visibly disturbed during Aleman's appearances in the courtroom. "It was hard to take Adam Aleman. He [Aleman] lied about him [Burum]. He hurt his family, his life. Is he upset? Yes. You know he is law abiding. How many documents, how many forms [did the investigators go through]? They went over his major donor forms. He was put under a microscope. I wouldn't want someone looking through mine." In the millions of dollars of contributions Burum and his companies made, the reporting was nearly impeccable, Larson said. "One thing falls through, \$2,500 fell through the cracks," he acknowledged.

The prosecution built its case on the lies of Adam Aleman, whom the district attorney's office, Larson said, had "over a barrel," and a "drugged out Bill Postmus. Bill Postmus would say literally anything Bud Randles and [district attorney's office investigator] Bob Schreiber told him. The prosecutors and investigators at best wore blinders and pursued a pre-determined narrative."

The prosecution's
Continued on Page 17





Mi Quince



Jerry D.

INTERNATIONAL
AWARD-WINNING
PHOTOGRAPHER
CALL
1(909)946-2555

jerrydphotography.com















Jerry D.

Jerry D.

Jerry D.

Jerrydphotography.com
(909) 946-2555





Photography and Fine Art




Exclusive To The Sentinel

The Sid Robinson Investigation File

Early this year, the City of Upland’s move to divest itself of its municipal fire department and annex the entirety of the city into a county fire service assess-

the Upland City Council may have engaged in violations of the Ralph M. Brown Act, California’s open public meeting law, which prohibits public

tion widening, information about the district attorney’s office’s inquiries with regard to the Upland City Council and Robinson’s connection to 20/20

Read Martin Thouvenell’s demand for retraction at:
<http://sbcsentinel.com/2017/08/upland-city-manager-martin-thouvenells-demand-for-retraction/>

ment zone to allow the county fire department to assume fire protection duty in Upland led to some residents resisting the change. All five members of the council at that time remained solidly supportive of the changeover. Councilwoman Janice Elliott, however, held a town hall meeting at which some Upland and San Antonio Heights residents questioned the wisdom of the fire department divestment and whether the city and the San Bernardino County Local Agency Formation Commission had the legal authority to impose on the two communi-

agency boards such as a city council from meeting in secret or closed sessions and discussing anything other than potential or ongoing lawsuits, real estate transactions, contract negotiations, employee discipline or firing, and employee public union collective bargaining. The district attorney’s office launched a routine and low key investigation into the

began to circulate around the community. On July 21, the *Sentinel* published an article that reported on the investigations and the issues being focused upon. Steve Lambert, Sid Robinson and Upland City Manager Martin Thouvenell took exception to the *Sentinel*’s report, and in short order lodged demands for retraction from the *Sentinel*. Lambert

Read Sid Robinson’s demand for retraction at:
<http://sbcsentinel.com/2017/08/upland-city-councilman-sid-robinsons-demand-for-retraction/>

tonio Heights residents questioned the wisdom of the fire department divestment and whether the city and the San Bernardino County Local Agency Formation Commission had the legal authority to impose on the two communi-

matter, turning up indicators, such as the In House Policy of the Upland City Council document, that the city council had indeed engaged in discussions in closed sessions beyond the scrutiny of the public that should have

characterized the *Sentinel*’s journalistic coverage of the investigation as irresponsible, misleading, false, damaging to his company’s reputation, defamatory and libelous, as well as “wildly speculative and conspiratorial.”

Read Steve Lambert’s demand for retraction at:
<http://sbcsentinel.com/2017/08/9182/>

ties an assessment district a majority of those residents did not vote to approve. By April senior Upland city staff and Elliott’s council colleagues were growing impatient with her indulgence of residents resisting the fire department closure. At the April 24 and again at the May 8 Upland Council meetings, the city council adjourned

been carried out in an open public session. After the Upland City Council at its June 12 meeting voted to strip councilwoman Elliott of three of her most prestigious adjunct committee assignments in a move widely seen as retaliation against her for having brought the district attorney’s office’s attention to the alleged

Robinson called the article “potentially libelous.” Thouvenell said the article contains “malicious and inaccurate statements.”

Read The *Sentinel*’s Response to the demands for retraction from Msrs Thouvenell, Robinson and Lambert at:

[Thttp://sbcsentinel.com/2017/08/the-sentinels-response-to-the-thouvenell-robinson-lambert-demands-for-retraction/](http://sbcsentinel.com/2017/08/the-sentinels-response-to-the-thouvenell-robinson-lambert-demands-for-retraction/)

into a closed session from which members of the public were excluded but at which the city’s public relations consultant, Steve Lambert of the 20/20 Network, was present. In those closed door sessions, Elliott was taken to task over what members of the city council considered her unwillingness to act as a “team player.” At the May 8 meeting, a protocol for council behavior, titled “In House Policy of the Upland City Council” was reviewed and initialed or signed by all of the council members.

Shortly thereafter, Elliott approached the San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office with concerns that

With the investiga-

district attorney’s office’s case file on the investigation into the Brown Act violation and Sid Robinson’s relationship with the 20/20 Network. The *Sentinel* is doing this so its readership can ascertain for itself whether the July 21 article was in any way unfair to Mr. Lambert, Mr. Robinson or Mr. Thouvenell and if the reporting went beyond the facts contained in the documentation the *Sentinel* relied upon in its research of the issues relating to the investigation. This week the *Sentinel* is publishing Exhibit I, an article written by Steve Lambert and published on January 28, 2014. In that article, Steve Lambert quotes himself as saying

Local Voices (/california/redlands/small-business-voices)

Sid Robinson joins The 20/20 Network

By Steve Lambert (Patch Poster) - (<https://patch.com/users/steve-lambert-9a7655bf>) Updated January 28, 2014 5:26 pm ET

UPLAND, Calif. – Veteran communications and public relations professional Sid Robinson has joined The 20/20 Network, a Southern California-based communications firm specializing in media and community relations, branding, strategic planning and crisis management.

Robinson, whose career has covered a wide range of corporate, nonprofit and agency public relations roles over the past 30 years, was associate vice president for public affairs at Cal State San Bernardino since 2002. Throughout his career, he has managed a variety of communications programs covering many industries and disciplines, from strategic planning and media relations to media training, crisis communications and issues management.

<https://patch.com/california/redlands/sid-robinson-joins-the-2020-network>

“We’re thrilled to have Sid Robinson as part of our growing team,” said 20/20 Network Partner Steve Lambert. “As a newspaper editor, I saw Sid’s work from the other side of the desk, and was always impressed with his expertise and messaging skills. He is a gifted public relations and communications professional who will help us better serve our clients.”

Robinson was account director at Magnet Communications, providing public relations counsel clients such as Technicolor, the Los Angeles Angels of Anaheim, Caltrans and Master-Halco. He specialized in corporate public relations, media training and crisis communications management.

Robinson served as communications and public relations manager at Fairplex and was instrumental in gaining national media coverage for a cattle drive through local streets and in orchestrating the appearance by President George W. Bush at the Los Angeles County Fair during the 2000 presidential campaign.

Robinson was a broadcasting and publications assistant for the Los Angeles Dodgers, overseeing and editing Dodger publications and serving as a liaison with stations throughout the Dodger radio network.

An award-winning public relations professional with APR accreditation from the Public Relations Society of America, Robinson earned a bachelor’s degree in communications

<https://patch.com/california/redlands/sid-robinson-joins-the-2020-network>

from Cal Poly Pomona and a master’s degree in public relations from the University of Southern California, where the Trojan Fourth Estate named him “Graduate Student of the Year.”

Robinson and his wife Beth live in Upland and have two adult children.

###

About The 20/20 Network

The 20/20 Network is a Southern California-based firm specializing in strategic communications and business development in the public and private sectors. Services include community outreach, media relations, strategic branding, reputation management, social media and audience development. For more information, please visit www.the2020network.com (<http://www.the2020network.com/>)

Exhibit I from the Sid Robinson Investigation file is a January 28, 2014 article by Steve Lambert praising Mr. Robinson’s skills and heralding him as a new member of the 20/20 Network.

“We’re thrilled to have Sid Robinson as part of our growing team.”

While examining the individual investigative file exhibits separately or in installments may provide the casual reader with insufficient context upon which to make any type of judgment, the reader should be able to ori-

ent him or herself to the germane issues by finding a copy of the July 21 *Sentinel* and reading the article “Robinson Denies Upland’s Contract With His Affiliate Constitutes A Conflict” and the July 28, August 4, August 11 and August 18 editions of *Sentinel* which included Sid Robinson investigative

Popular Video



(<https://patch.com/california/redlands/video-this-video-of-almost-every-emoji-is-the-spiritual-experience-you-didnt-know-you>)

Page 1 of 6

Need a Total NLYAr8&__video_id=IFmMt9J2) (https://patch.com/california/redlands/video-showcase?__channel_id=ACNLYAr8&__video_id=IFmMt9J2)

Trending Now Across Patch

- 32M Without Coverage If Obamacare Is Repealed (https://patch.com/california/redlands/s/peal-cbo-estimates-32-million-would-lo-insurance-by-2026)
- Meet The Rats Who Babysit Kittens In I (https://patch.com/california/redlands/s/are-being-cared-for-by-rats-in-the-brookh)
- Florida Seawater Bacteria Claims Life (https://patch.com/california/redlands/s/seawater-bacteria-claims-life)
- Naked Drunk Driver Nabbed At 105 m (https://patch.com/california/redlands/s/drunk-and-fast-driver-nabbed-going-105-i-say)
- Kiss Of Death: Another Baby Killed By I (https://patch.com/california/redlands/s/of-death-baby-killed-by-stranger-with-hei

Page 2 of 6

Featured Events in Redlands-Loma Linda This Week

dlands/calendar/event/20170720/189312/free-ert-hearts-palm-springs)

Jul 20

Free Sizzling Summer Film Series: Desert Hearts - Palm Springs (https://patch.com/california/redlands/calenc/sizzling-summer-film-series-desert-hearts-palm-springs)

dlands/calendar/event/20170722/189313/san-nner-escondido)

Jul 22

San Diego Zoo Safari Park Spirits Dinner: Escondido (https://patch.com/california/redlands/calenc/diego-zoo-safari-park-spirits-dinner-escondido)

Exhibit I from the Sid Robinson Investigation file is a January 28, 2014 article by Steve Lambert praising Mr. Robinson’s skills and heralding him as a new member of the 20/20 Network.

file exhibits showing how the original Brown Act investigation evolved to the point that the circumstance involving councilman Sid Robinson and his relationship to the 20/20 Network came into focus for the investigators.

The *Sentinel* will publish further exhibits.

Public Notices

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER
CIVDS1712501

TO ALL INTERESTED
PERSONS:Petitioner: Bindu
Kannan filed a petition with
this court for a decree changing
names as follows:

Ayanna Aminah Givens to:
Ayanna Aminah Kannan

THE COURT ORDERS
that all persons interested in
this matter appear before this
court at the hearing indicated
below to show cause, if any,
why the petition for change of
name should not be granted.
Any person objecting to the
name changes described above
must file a written objection
that includes the reasons for the
objection at least two court days
before the matter is scheduled to
be heard and must appear at the
hearing to show cause why the
petition should not be granted.
If no written objection is timely
filed, the court may grant the
petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:

Date: 08/28/2017

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Department: S17

The address of the
court is Superior Court of
California,County of San Ber-
nardino, San Bernardino Dis-
trict - Civil Division, 247 W
Third Street, Same as above,
San Bernardino, CA 92415-
0210, San Bernardino

IT IS FURTHER OR-
DERED that a copy of this
order be published in SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY
SENTINEL in San Bernardino
County California, once a week
for four successive weeks prior
to the date set for hearing of the
petition.

Dated: July 03, 2017

Michael A. Sachs

Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in SAN BER-
NARDINO COUNTY SENTI-
NEL On 08/04/2017, 08/11/2017,
08/18/2017, 08/25/2017

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER
CIVDS1714022

TO ALL INTERESTED
PERSONS:Petitioner: Bobbie
Ann Shackelford filed a peti-
tion with this court for a decree
changing names as follows:

Alexianna Skye Shackl-
eford to: Alexianna Skye Pani-
agua

THE COURT ORDERS
that all persons interested in
this matter appear before this
court at the hearing indicated
below to show cause, if any,
why the petition for change of
name should not be granted.
Any person objecting to the
name changes described above
must file a written objection
that includes the reasons for the
objection at least two court days
before the matter is scheduled to
be heard and must appear at the
hearing to show cause why the
petition should not be granted.
If no written objection is timely
filed, the court may grant the
petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:

Date: 09/25/2017

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Department: S17



Public Notices

The address of the
court is Superior Court of
California,County of San Ber-
nardino, San Bernardino Dis-
trict – Civil Division, 247 W
Third Street, Same as above,
San Bernardino, CA 92415-
0210, San Bernardino

IT IS FURTHER OR-
DERED that a copy of this
order be published in SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY
SENTIEL in San Bernardino
County California, once a week
for four successive weeks prior
to the date set for hearing of the
petition.

Dated: July 24, 2017

Michael A. Sachs

Judge of the Superior Court.

Published in SAN BER-
NARDINO COUNTY SENTI-
NEL On 08/04/2017, 08/11/2017,
08/18/2017, 08/25/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-
20170008595

The following person(s) is(are)
doing business as: Self Made Train-
ing Facility, 11553 Foothill Blvd,
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730,
KKG Enterprise, 12672 Limonite
Ave, Num 3E514, Eastvale, CA
92880

Business is Conducted By: A
Limited Liability Company

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW,
I DECLARE THAT ALL INFOR-
MATION IN THIS STATEMENT
IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A reg-
istrant who declares as true infor-
mation, which he or she knows to be
false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code
17913) I am also aware that all infor-
mation on this statement becomes
Public Record upon filing.

s/Grant Wickman

This statement was filed with
the County Clerk of San Bernardino
on: 7/28/2017

I hereby certify that this is a cor-
rect copy of the original statement on
file in my office.

Began Transacting Business:
5/5/2017

County Clerk, s/EF

NOTICE- This fictitious busi-
ness name statement expires five
years from the date it was filed in
the office of the county clerk. A new
fictitious business name statement
must be filed before that time. The
filing of this statement does not of
itself authorize the use in this state
of a fictitious name in violation of the
rights of another under federal, state,
or common law (see section 14400 et.
Seq. Business & Professions Code).
8/4/2017, 8/11/2017, 8/18/2017,
8/25/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-
20170008561

The following person(s) is(are)
doing business as: St. Mina's Clean-
ers, 645 E. Hospitality Lane, Suite A,
San Bernardino, CA 92408, Binh Q
Nguyen, 4552 Mission Inn Ave., Riv-
erside, CA 92501

Business is Conducted By: An
Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW,
I DECLARE THAT ALL INFOR-
MATION IN THIS STATEMENT
IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A reg-
istrant who declares as true infor-
mation, which he or she knows to be
false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code
17913) I am also aware that all infor-
mation on this statement becomes
Public Record upon filing.

s/Binh Q Nguyen

This statement was filed with
the County Clerk of San Bernardino
on: 7/27/2017

I hereby certify that this is a cor-
rect copy of the original statement on
file in my office.

Began Transacting Business:
Not Applicable

County Clerk, s/GA

NOTICE- This fictitious busi-
ness name statement expires five
years from the date it was filed in
the office of the county clerk. A new
fictitious business name statement
must be filed before that time. The
filing of this statement does not of
itself authorize the use in this state
of a fictitious name in violation of the
rights of another under federal, state,
or common law (see section 14400 et.
Seq. Business & Professions Code).
8/4/2017, 8/11/2017, 8/18/2017,
8/25/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-
20170008767

The following person(s) is(are)
doing business as: JMC Beauty, 936
Cone Flower Ln, Ontario, CA 91762,
936 Cone Flower Ln, Ontario, CA
91762, Jessica M Ceja, 936 Cone
Flower Ln, Ontario, CA 91762

Business is Conducted By: An
Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW,

Public Notices

I DECLARE THAT ALL INFOR-
MATION IN THIS STATEMENT
IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A reg-
istrant who declares as true infor-
mation, which he or she knows to be
false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code
17913) I am also aware that all infor-
mation on this statement becomes
Public Record upon filing.

s/Jessica M Ceja

This statement was filed with
the County Clerk of San Bernardino
on: 8/3/2017

I hereby certify that this is a cor-
rect copy of the original statement on
file in my office.

Began Transacting Business:
Not Applicable

County Clerk, s/EG

NOTICE- This fictitious busi-
ness name statement expires five
years from the date it was filed in
the office of the county clerk. A new
fictitious business name statement
must be filed before that time. The
filing of this statement does not of
itself authorize the use in this state
of a fictitious name in violation of the
rights of another under federal, state,
or common law (see section 14400 et.
Seq. Business & Professions Code).
8/4/2017, 8/11/2017, 8/18/2017,
8/25/2017

NOTICE OF PETITION TO
ADMINISTER ESTATE OF
MEREDITH ANN NELSON,
CASE NO. PROPS1700791 To
all heirs, beneficiaries, credi-
tors, and contingent creditors
of MEREDITH ANN NELSON
and persons who may be oth-
erwise interested in the will or
estate, or both: A petition has
been filed by JOHN KEITH
NELSON in the Superior Court
of California, County of SAN
BERNARDINO, requesting
that JOHN KEITH NELSON be
appointed as personal represen-
tative to administer the estate of
MEREDITH ANN NELSON.
Decedent died intestate. (The
petition requests authority to
administer the estate under the
Independent Administration of
Estates Act. This will avoid the
need to obtain court approval
for many actions taken in con-
nection with the estate. Howev-
er, before taking certain actions,
the personal representative will
be required to give notice to
interested persons unless they
have waived notice or have con-
sented to the proposed action.
The petition will be granted
unless good cause is shown
why it should not be.) The peti-
tion is set for hearing in Dept.
No. S35 at SUPERIOR COURT
OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY
OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN
BERNARDINO DISTRICT –
PROBATE DIVISION 247
W. 3rd STREET SAN BER-
NARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on
NOVEMBER 15, 2017 at 08:30
AM

IF YOU OBJECT to the
granting of the petition, you
should appear at the hearing
and state your objections or
file written objections with the
court before the hearing. Your
appearance may be in person or
by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDI-
TOR or a contingent creditor of
the deceased, you must file your
claim with the court and mail a
copy to the personal represen-
tative appointed by the court
within the later of either (1) four
months from the date of first
issuance of letters to a general
personal representative, as de-
fined in subdivision (b) of Sec-
tion 58 of the California Probate
Code, or (2) 60 days from the
date of mailing or personal de-
livery of the notice to you under
Section 9052 of the California
Probate Code.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the
file kept by the court. If you are
interested in the estate, you may
request special notice of the
filing of an inventory and ap-
praisal of estate assets or of any
petition or account as provided
in Section 1250 of the Califor-
nia Probate Code.

Petitioner: JOHN KEITH
NELSON 27640 TEMPLE ST
HIGHLAND CA 92346 Tele-
phone: 909-742-0483 IN PRO
PER

Published in the San Ber-
nardino County Sentinel 8/11,
8/18 & 8/25, 2017.

NOTICE OF PETITION TO

Public Notices

ADMINISTER ESTATE OF
ROGER LEE FOX, CASE NO.
PROPS1700793 To all heirs,
beneficiaries, creditors, and
contingent creditors of ROGER
LEE FOX and persons who may
be otherwise interested in the
will or estate, or both: A peti-
tion has been filed by ROGER
WILLIAM FOX in the Superior
Court of California, County of
SAN BERNARDINO, request-
ing that ROGER WILLIAM
FOX be appointed as personal
representative to administer
the estate of ROGER LEE FOX.
Decedent died intestate. (The
petition requests authority to
administer the estate under the
Independent Administration of
Estates Act. This will avoid the
need to obtain court approval
for many actions taken in con-
nection with the estate. Howev-
er, before taking certain actions,
the personal representative will
be required to give notice to
interested persons unless they
have waived notice or have con-
sented to the proposed action.
The petition will be granted
unless good cause is shown
why it should not be.) The peti-
tion is set for hearing in Dept.
No. S35 at SUPERIOR COURT
OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY
OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN
BERNARDINO DISTRICT –
PROBATE DIVISION 247
W. 3rd STREET SAN BER-
NARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on
NOVEMBER 9, 2017 at 08:30
AM

IF YOU OBJECT to the
granting of the petition, you
should appear at the hearing
and state your objections or
file written objections with the
court before the hearing. Your
appearance may be in person or
by your attorney.

IF YOU ARE A CREDI-
TOR or a contingent creditor of
the deceased, you must file your
claim with the court and mail a
copy to the personal represen-
tative appointed by the court
within the later of either (1) four
months from the date of first
issuance of letters to a general
personal representative, as de-
fined in subdivision (b) of Sec-
tion 58 of the California Probate
Code, or (2) 60 days from the
date of mailing or personal de-
livery of the notice to you under
Section 9052 of the California
Probate Code.

YOU MAY EXAMINE the
file kept by the court. If you are
interested in the estate, you may
request special notice of the
filing of an inventory and ap-
praisal of estate assets or of any
petition or account as provided
in Section 1250 of the Califor-
nia Probate Code.

Petitioner: ROGER WIL-
LIAM FOX 18075 SPRING ST
FONTANA, CA 92335 Tele-
phone: 909-666-0481 IN PRO
PER

Published in the San Ber-
nardino County Sentinel 8/11,
8/18 & 8/25, 2017.

APN: 0209-303-05-0-
000 TS No: CA08000329-17-
1 TO No: 8695596 NOTICE
OF TRUSTEE'S SALE (The
above statement is made pursu-
ant to CA Civil Code Section
2923.3(d)(1). The Summary
will be provided to Trustor(s)
and/or vested owner(s) only,
pursuant to CA Civil Code
Section 2923.3(d)(2).) YOU
ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER
A DEED OF TRUST DATED
September 7, 2005. UNLESS
YOU TAKE ACTION TO PRO-
TECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT
MAY BE SOLD AT A PUB-
LIC SALE. IF YOU NEED
AN EXPLANATION OF THE
NATURE OF THE PROCEED-
INGS AGAINST YOU, YOU
SHOULD CONTACT A LAW-
YER. On September 12, 2017 at
02:00 PM, on the Southside of
the main entrance to the Chino
Public Library, 13180 Central
Avenue, Chino, CA 91710,
MTC Financial Inc. dba Trustee
Corps, as the duly Appointed
Trustee, under and pursuant
to the power of sale contained
in that certain Deed of Trust
recorded on September 15,
2005 as Instrument No. 2005-
0687751, of official records in

Public Notices

the Office of the Recorder of
San Bernardino County, Cali-
fornia, executed by JULIA A.
FROBE, AN UNMARRIED
WOMAN, as Trustor(s), in
favor of MORTGAGE ELEC-
TRONIC REGISTRATION
SYSTEMS, INC. as nominee
for BNC MORTGAGE, INC., A
DELAWARE CORPORATION
as Beneficiary, WILL SELL AT
PUBLIC AUCTION TO THE
HIGHEST BIDDER, in lawful
money of the United States, all
payable at the time of sale, that
certain property situated in said
County, California describing
the land therein as: AS MORE
FULLY DESCRIBED IN SAID
DEED OF TRUST The property
heretofore described is being
sold "as is". The street address
and other common designation,
if any, of the real property de-
scribed above is purported to
be: 8547 CLUB HOUSE DR,
RANCHO CUCAMONGA , CA
91730 The undersigned Trustee
disclaims any liability for any
incorrectness of the street ad-
dress and other common desig-
nation, if any, shown herein.
Said sale will be made without
covenant or warranty, express
or implied, regarding title, pos-
session, or encumbrances, to
pay the remaining principal
sum of the Note(s) secured by
said Deed of Trust, with interest
thereon, as provided in said
Note(s), advances if any, under
the terms of the Deed of Trust,
estimated fees, charges and
expenses of the Trustee and of
the trusts created by said Deed
of Trust. The total amount of
the unpaid balance of the ob-
ligations secured by the prop-
erty to be sold and reasonable
estimated costs, expenses and
advances at the time of the in-
itial publication of this Notice
of Trustee's Sale is estimated to
be \$173,783.56 (Estimated). How-
ever, prepayment premiums,
accrued interest and advances
will increase this figure prior
to sale. Beneficiary's bid at
said sale may include all or part
of said amount. In addition to
cash, the Trustee will accept a
cashier's check drawn on a state
or national bank, a check drawn
by a state or federal credit union
or a check drawn by a state or
federal savings and loan as-
sociation, savings association
or savings bank specified in
Section 5102 of the California
Financial Code and authorized
to do business in California, or
other such funds as may be ac-
ceptable to the Trustee. In the
event tender other than cash
is accepted, the Trustee may
withhold the issuance of the
Trustee's Deed Upon Sale until
funds become available to the
payee or endorsee as a matter of
right. The property offered for
sale excludes all funds held on
account by the property receiv-
er, if applicable. If the Trustee
is unable to convey title for any
reason, the successful bidder's
sole and exclusive remedy shall
be the return of monies paid to
the Trustee and the successful
bidder shall have no further
recourse. Notice to Potential
Bidders If you are considering
bidding on this property lien,
you should understand that
there are risks involved in bid-
ding at a Trustee auction. You
will be bidding on a lien, not on
the property itself. Placing the
highest bid at a Trustee auction
does not automatically entitle
you to free and clear ownership
of the property. You should also
be aware that the lien being au-
ctioned off may be a junior lien.
If you are the highest bidder at
the auction, you are or may be
responsible for paying off all
liens senior to the lien being
auctioned off, before you can re-
ceive clear title to the property.
You are encouraged to investi-
gate the existence, priority, and
size of outstanding liens that
may exist on this property by
contacting the county record-
er's office or a title insurance
company, either of which may
charge you a fee for this infor-
mation. If you consult either of
these resources, you should be
aware that the same Lender may
hold more than one mortgage or
Deed of Trust on the property.

Public Notices

Notice to Property Owner The
sale date shown on this Notice
of Sale may be postponed one or
more times by the Mortgagee,
Beneficiary, Trustee, or a court,
pursuant to Section 2924g of the
California Civil Code. The law
requires that information about
Trustee Sale postponements be
made available to you and to the
public, as a courtesy to those
not present at the sale. If you
wish to learn whether your sale
date has been postponed, and,
if applicable, the rescheduled
time and date for the sale of this
property, you may call In Source
Logic at 702-659-7766 for infor-
mation regarding the Trustee's
Sale or visit the Internet Web
site address listed below for
information regarding the sale
of this property, using the file
number assigned to this case,
CA08000329-17-1. Informa-
tion about postponements that
are very short in duration or that
occur close in time to the sched-
uled sale may not immediately
be reflected in the telephone
information or on the Internet
Web site. The best way to ver-
ify postponement information
is to attend the scheduled sale.
Date: July 31, 2017 MTC Finan-
cial Inc. dba Trustee Corps TS
No. CA08000329-17-1 17100
Gillette Ave Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: 949-252-8300 TDD:
866-660-4288 Myron Ravelo,
Authorized Signatory SALE
INFORMATION CAN BE OB-
TAINED ON LINE AT www.
insourcelogic.com FOR AU-
TOMATED SALES INFOR-
MATION PLEASE CALL: In
Source Logic AT 702-659-7766
Trustee Corps may be acting
as a debt collector attempting
to collect a debt. Any infor-
mation obtained may be used
for that purpose.ISL Number
33381, Pub Dates: 08/11/2017,
08/18/2017, 08/25/2017, SAN
BERNARDINO SENTINEL

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-
20170008884

The following person(s)
is(are) doing business as: MV
Investigations, 10808 Foothill
Blvd Suite 160, Rancho Cucam-
onga, CA 91730, Marcos
Vargas, 10808 Foothill Blvd
#160, Rancho Cucamonga, CA
91730

Business is Conducted By:
An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING
BELOW, I DECLARE THAT
ALL INFORMATION IN
THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE
AND CORRECT. A registrant
who declares as true infor-
mation, which he or she knows to
be false, is guilty of a crime.
(B&P Code 17913) I am also
aware that all information on
this statement becomes Public
Record upon filing.

s/Marcos Vargas

This statement was filed
with the County Clerk of San
Bernardino on: 8/7/2017

I hereby certify that this is a
correct copy of the original
statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Busi-
ness: 1/2/2007

County Clerk, s/SW

NOTICE- This fictitious
business name statement ex-
pires five years from the date
it was filed in the office of the
county clerk. A new fictitious
business name statement must
be filed before that time. The
filing of this statement does
not of itself authorize the use in
this state of a fictitious name in
violation of the rights of another
under federal, state, or common
law (see section 14400 et. Seq.
Business & Professions Code).
8/11/2017, 8/18/2017,
8/25/2017, 9/1/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT FILE
NO-20170008939

The following person(s)
is(are) doing business as: Holly

Public Notices

Land Home Care, 2044 Holly
Ave, Ontario, CA 91762, KHT,
2044 Holly Ave, Ontario, CA
91762

Business is Conducted By:
A Corporation

Signed: BY SIGNING
BELOW, I DECLARE THAT
ALL INFORMATION IN
THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE
AND CORRECT. A registrant
who declares as true infor-
mation, which he or she knows to
be false, is guilty of a crime.
(B&P Code 17913) I am also
aware that all information on
this statement becomes Public
Record upon filing.

s/Mark Altwal

This statement was filed
with the County Clerk of San
Bernardino on: 8/8/2017

I hereby certify that this is a
correct copy of the original
statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Busi-
ness: 7/11/2017

County Clerk, s/EF

NOTICE- This fictitious
business name statement ex-
pires five years from the date
it was filed in the office of the
county clerk. A new fictitious
business name statement must
be filed before that time. The
filing of this statement does
not of itself authorize the use in
this state of a fictitious name in
violation of the rights of another
under federal, state, or common
law (see section 14400 et. Seq.
Business & Professions Code).
8/11/2017, 8/18/2017,
8/25/2017, 9/1/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT FILE
NO-20170008909

The following person(s)
is(are) doing business as: Divine
Auto Mall, 5031 Woodley Ridge
Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA
91739, Divine Auto Mall, LLC,
5031 Woodley Ridge Drive,
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91739

Business is Conducted By:
A Limited Liability Company

Signed: BY SIGNING
BELOW, I DECLARE THAT
ALL INFORMATION IN
THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE
AND CORRECT. A registrant
who declares as true infor-
mation, which he or she knows to
be false, is guilty of a crime.
(B&P Code 17913) I am also
aware that all information on
this statement becomes Public
Record upon filing.

s/Ahmad Salamah

This statement was filed
with the County Clerk of San
Bernardino on: 8/8/2017

I hereby certify that this is a
correct copy of the original
statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Busi-
ness: Not Applicable

County Clerk, s/TY

NOTICE- This fictitious
business name statement ex-
pires five years from the date
it was filed in the office of the
county clerk. A new fictitious
business name statement must
be filed before that time. The
filing of this statement does
not of itself authorize the use in
this state of a fictitious name in
violation of the rights of another
under federal, state, or common
law (see section 14400 et. Seq.
Business & Professions Code).
8/11/2017, 8/18/2017,
8/25/2017, 9/1/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-
20170008883

The following person(s)
is(are) doing business as: Un-
faithful Spouse PI, Cazadores
De Infiles, 10808 Foothill Blvd
Suite 160, Rancho Cucamonga,
CA 91730, Marcos Vargas,
10808 Foothill Blvd #160, Ran-
cho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Business is Conducted By:
An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING
BELOW, I DECLARE THAT
ALL INFORMATION IN
THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE
AND CORRECT. A registrant
who declares as true infor-
mation, which he or she knows to
be false, is guilty of a crime.

Public Notices

(B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Marcos Vargas
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/7/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 4/5/2007
County Clerk, s/SW

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
8/11/2017, 8/18/2017, 8/25/2017, 9/1/2017

APN: 0201-632-48-0-000 TS No: CA08003749-14-2 TO No: 12-0038274-02 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE (The above statement is made pursuant to CA Civil Code Section 2923.3(d)(1). The Summary will be provided to Trustor(s) and/or vested owner(s) only, pursuant to CA Civil Code Section 2923.3(d)(2).) YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED June 27, 2005. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. On September 14, 2017 at 02:00 PM, on the Southside of the main entrance to the Chino Public Library, 13180 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710, MTC Financial Inc. dba Trustee Corps, as the duly Appointed Trustee, under and pursuant to the power of sale contained in that certain Deed of Trust recorded on June 30, 2005 as Instrument No. 2005-0468915, of official records in the Office of the Recorder of San Bernardino County, California, executed by MARTIS ALAN LAWSON, AN UNMARRIED MAN, as Trustor(s), in favor of MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. as nominee for FIRST MORTGAGE CORPORATION as Beneficiary, WILL SELL AT PUBLIC AUCTION TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, in lawful money of the United States, all payable at the time of sale, that certain property situated in said County, California describing the land therein as: AS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN SAID DEED OF TRUST The property heretofore described is being sold "as is". The street address and other common designation, if any, of the real property described above is purported to be: 10769 ZINFANDEL STREET, RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91737 The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any, shown herein. Said sale will be made without covenant or warranty, express or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the Note(s) secured by said Deed of Trust, with interest thereon, as provided in said Note(s), advances if any, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, estimated fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee and of the trusts created by said Deed of Trust. The total amount of the unpaid balance of the obligations secured by the property to be sold and reasonable estimated costs, expenses and advances at the time of the initial publication of this Notice of Trustee's Sale is estimated to be \$623,531.88 (Estimated). However, prepayment premiums, accrued interest and

Public Notices

advances will increase this figure prior to sale. Beneficiary's bid at said sale may include all or part of said amount. In addition to cash, the Trustee will accept a cashier's check drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the California Financial Code and authorized to do business in California, or other such funds as may be acceptable to the Trustee. In the event tender other than cash is accepted, the Trustee may withhold the issuance of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale until funds become available to the payee or endorsee as a matter of right. The property offered for sale excludes all funds held on account by the property receiver, if applicable. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. Notice to Potential Bidders If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a Trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a Trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same Lender may hold more than one mortgage or Deed of Trust on the property. Notice to Property Owner The sale date shown on this Notice of Sale may be postponed one or more times by the Mortgagee, Beneficiary, Trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about Trustee Sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call In Source Logic at 702-659-7766 for information regarding the Trustee's Sale or visit the Internet Web site address listed below for information regarding the sale of this property, using the file number assigned to this case, CA08003749-14-2. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. Date: August 8, 2017 MTC Financial Inc. dba Trustee Corps TS No. CA08003749-14-2 17100 Gillette Ave Irvine, CA 92614 Phone: 949-252-8300 TDD: 866-660-4288 Myron Ravelo, Authorized Signatory SALE INFORMATION CAN BE OBTAINED ON LINE AT www.insourcelogic.com FOR AUTOMATED SALES INFORMATION PLEASE CALL: In Source Logic AT 702-659-7766 Trustee Corps may be acting as a debt collector attempting to collect a debt. Any information obtained may be used for that purpose.ISL Number 33478, Pub Dates: 08/18/2017, 08/25/2017, 09/01/2017, SAN BERNARDINO SENTINEL

Public Notices

APN: 0202-053-05-0-000 TS No: CA05000226-17-1 TO No: 170029921 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE (The above statement is made pursuant to CA Civil Code Section 2923.3(d)(1). The Summary will be provided to Trustor(s) and/or vested owner(s) only, pursuant to CA Civil Code Section 2923.3(d)(2).) YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED May 1, 2004. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER. On October 10, 2017 at 02:00 PM, on the Southside of the main entrance to the Chino Public Library, 13180 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710, MTC Financial Inc. dba Trustee Corps, as the duly Appointed Trustee, under and pursuant to the power of sale contained in that certain Deed of Trust recorded on May 19, 2004 as Instrument No. 2004-0349828, of official records in the Office of the Recorder of San Bernardino County, California, executed by MARJORIE HIGGINS, A WIDOW AND PHILIP HIGGINS, A SINGLE MAN AS JOINT TENANTS, as Trustor(s), in favor of FINANCIAL FREEDOM SENIOR FUNDING CORPORATION, A SUBSIDIARY OF LEHMAN BROTHERS BANK, FSB as Beneficiary, WILL SELL AT PUBLIC AUCTION TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, in lawful money of the United States, all payable at the time of sale, that certain property situated in said County, California describing the land therein as: AS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN SAID DEED OF TRUST The property heretofore described is being sold "as is". The street address and other common designation, if any, of the real property described above is purported to be: 9217 LOMITA DRIVE, ALTA LOMA, CA 91701 The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any, shown herein. Said sale will be made without covenant or warranty, express or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the Note(s) secured by said Deed of Trust, with interest thereon, as provided in said Note(s), advances if any, under the terms of the Deed of Trust, estimated fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee and of the trusts created by said Deed of Trust. The total amount of the unpaid balance of the obligations secured by the property to be sold and reasonable estimated costs, expenses and advances at the time of the initial publication of this Notice of Trustee's Sale is estimated to be \$220,169.63 (Estimated). However, prepayment premiums, accrued interest and advances will increase this figure prior to sale. Beneficiary's bid at said sale may include all or part of said amount. In addition to cash, the Trustee will accept a cashier's check drawn on a state or national bank, a check drawn by a state or federal credit union or a check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association or savings bank specified in Section 5102 of the California Financial Code and authorized to do business in California, or other such funds as may be acceptable to the Trustee. In the event tender other than cash is accepted, the Trustee may withhold the issuance of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale until funds become available to the payee or endorsee as a matter of right. The property offered for sale excludes all funds held on account by the property receiver, if applicable. If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall

Public Notices

be the return of monies paid to the Trustee and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse. Notice to Potential Bidders If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a Trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a Trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same Lender may hold more than one mortgage or Deed of Trust on the property. Notice to Property Owner The sale date shown on this Notice of Sale may be postponed one or more times by the Mortgagee, Beneficiary, Trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about Trustee Sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call In Source Logic at 702-659-7766 for information regarding the Trustee's Sale or visit the Internet Web site address listed below for information regarding the sale of this property, using the file number assigned to this case, CA05000226-17-1. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale. Date: August 9, 2017 MTC Financial Inc. dba Trustee Corps TS No. CA05000226-17-1 17100 Gillette Ave Irvine, CA 92614 Phone: 949-252-8300 TDD: 866-660-4288 Stephanie Hoy, Authorized Signatory SALE INFORMATION CAN BE OBTAINED ON LINE AT www.insourcelogic.com FOR AUTOMATED SALES INFORMATION PLEASE CALL: In Source Logic AT 702-659-7766 Trustee Corps may be acting as a debt collector attempting to collect a debt. Any information obtained may be used for that purpose.ISL Number 33507, Pub Dates: 08/18/2017, 08/25/2017, 09/01/2017, SAN BERNARDINO SENTINEL

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF HIAM A. FEHMI, CASE NO. PRO PSI700795
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, and contingent creditors of HIAM A. FEHMI and persons who may be otherwise interested in the will or estate, or both: A Petition for Probate has been filed by AMINE P FEHMI in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN BERNARDINO, requesting that ALAN YAGHDJIAN be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of HIAM A. FEHMI. The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking

Public Notices

certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.)

The petition is set for hearing in Dept. No. S36 at SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT – PROBATE DIVISION 247 W. 3rd STREET SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0212 on NOVEMBER 8, 2017 at 08:30 AM IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor of the deceased, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in subdivision (b) of Section 58 of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery of the notice to you under Section 9052 of the California Probate Code. YOU MAY EXAMINE the file kept by the court. If you are interested in the estate, you may request special notice of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Section 1250 of the California Probate Code. Attorney for Petitioner: JOHN G. YPHANTIDES 539 WEST GRAND AVENUE ESCONDIDO, CALIFORNIA 92025 Telephone: (760) 738 -1328 Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel August 18, 25 and September 1 & 8, 2017

FBN Statement 20170009195

The following person is doing business as: EZ FUNDINGS HOME LOANS 8577 HAVEN AVENUE SUITE #201 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 EZ FUNDINGS INC. 8577 HAVEN AVENUE SUITE #201 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

This business is conducted by: A CORPORATION.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 11/18/2011

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ Dritan Kapidanja
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 8/14/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel August 18, 25 and September 1 & 8, 2017

FBN Statement 20170008386
The following person is doing business as: WHAT'S POPPING 2016 HUNTER ROAD COLTON, CA 92324 DONALD A RAMOS JR III 2016 HUNTER ROAD COLTON, CA 92324

This business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious

Public Notices

business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ Donald A. Ramos Jr. III
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 7/24/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel August 18, 25 and September 1 & 8, 2017

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER
CIVRS1700128
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:Petitioner: Vanessa Castaneda, Mario Castaneda filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

Valerie Ivy Rose Castaneda to: Valerie Rose Acevedo

THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 09/25/2017
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District - Civil Division, 247 W Third Street, Same as above, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210, San Bernardino

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SENTINEL in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: August 10, 2017
Michael A. Sachs
Judge of the Superior Court.
Published in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SENTINEL On 08/18/2017, 08/25/2017, 09/01/2017, 09/08/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-20170009349

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: Dolphin Trucking, 1309 W Mission Blvd Unit. 148, Ontario, CA 91762, 1309 W Mission Blvd Unit. 148, Ontario, CA 91762, Jose L Mendez Lopez, 1309 W Mission Blvd Unit. 148, Ontario, CA 91762

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Jose L. Mendez Lopez
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/17/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/EG

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

8/18/2017, 8/25/2017, 9/1/2017, 9/8/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-20170008753

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: R&G Transpor-

Public Notices

tation, 873 Walnut St, Colton, CA 92324, P.O. Box 1781, Colton, CA 92324, Ramon Pineda, 873 Walnut St, Colton, CA 92324

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Ramon Pineda
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/3/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: 8/2/2017

County Clerk, s/EF

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

8/18/2017, 8/25/2017, 9/1/2017, 9/8/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-20170009233

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: LKKL Brothers, 3410 S Arcadian Shores Ave, Ontario, CA 91761, 3410 S Arcadian Shore Ave, Ontario, CA 91761, Veronica Reyes, 3410 S Arcadian Shores Ave, Ontario, CA 91761

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Veronica Reyes
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/15/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/JV

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

8/18/2017, 8/25/2017, 9/1/2017, 9/8/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-20170008501

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: Med Dental Billing Solutions, 4816 Condor Ave, Fontana, CA 92336, Nearyrath Patton, 4816 Condor Ave, Fontana, CA 92336

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Nearyrath Patton
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 7/26/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/RS

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

8/18/2017, 8/25/2017, 9/1/2017, 9/8/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT FILE NO-20170009486

The following person(s) is(are)

Public Notices

doing business as: JMC 744 W CEDAR ST ONTARIO, CA 91762 8333 ROCKINGHORSE CIR JURUPA VALLEY, CA 92509 JOSE MARIO CALVILLO MENCHACA 8333 ROCKINGHORSE CIR JURUPA VALLEY, CA 92509

Business is Conducted By: An Individual
Began Transacting Business On: 12/01/2009

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Jose Mario Calvillo Menchaca
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/22/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/GA

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/25/2017, 9/01/2017, 9/08/2017 & 9/15, 2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
FBN 20170008776

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: HOME AND MIND ALIGNED 27515 CLOVERLEAF DRIVE HELENDALE, CA 923432 P.O. BOX 1971 HELENDALE CA 92342 ERIKA L DOMINGUEZ 27515 CLOVERLEAF DRIVE HELENDALE, CA 92342

Business is Conducted By: An Individual
Began Transacting Business On: N/A

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Erika L. Doniguez
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/03/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/GA

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/25/2017, 9/01/2017, 9/08/2017 & 9/15, 2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
FBN 20170008776

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: HOME AND MIND ALIGNED 27515 CLOVERLEAF DRIVE HELENDALE, CA 923432 P.O. BOX 1971 HELENDALE CA 92342 ERIKA L DOMINGUEZ 27515 CLOVERLEAF DRIVE HELENDALE, CA 92342

Business is Conducted By: An Individual
Began Transacting Business On: N/A

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Erika L. Doniguez
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/03/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/GA
NOTICE- This fictitious busi-

Public Notices

ness name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/25/2017, 9/01/2017, 9/08/2017 & 9/15, 2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
FBN 20170008772

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: PHOENIX 1 AUTO SALES 161 W. MILL ST. SUITE 204A SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408 JOSHUA R PHOENIX 25652 RIMGATE DR UNIT 4G LAKE FOREST, CA 92630

Business is Conducted By: An Individual
Began Transacting Business On: N/A

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Joshua R. Phoenix
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/03/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/GA

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/25/2017, 9/01/2017, 9/08/2017 & 9/15, 2017

Fictitious Business Name Statement
FBN 20170008690

The following person is doing business as: JOIOS SOLAR SHINE 465 W. VICTORIA ST. RIALTO, CA 92376 ERNESTO J RAMIREZ 465 W. VICTORIA ST. RIALTO, CA 92376

This business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A.

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ Ernesto J. Ramirez
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 8/01/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel August 25, and September 1, 8 & 15, 2017

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
CASE NUMBER
CIVDSI715608

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner: MOHINI ROHITKUMAR PATEL filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

MOHINI ROHITKUMAR PATEL to: MOHINI SUCHAK
THE COURT ORDERS that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above

Public Notices

must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 09/28/2017
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Department: S17

The address of the court is Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino District - Civil Division, 247 W Third Street, Same as above, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210, San Bernardino

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be published in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SENTINEL in San Bernardino County California, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing of the petition.

Dated: August 17, 2017
Michael A. Sachs
Judge of the Superior Court.
Published in SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SENTINEL on 08/25/2017, 09/01/2017, 09/08/2017 & 09/15/2017.

SUMMONS
CITACIÓN
NOTICE TO RESPONDENT: RICARDO SANCHEZ, an individual; JESUS E. RAMOS, an individual; OTTO R. BRAVO, an individual; CARLOS BARBA, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive.
CIVDSI617843

You are being sued by plaintiff: Lo esta demandando el demandante: VARDAN ISAYAN, an individual.

Notice! You have been sued. Read the information below. Lo han demandado. Lea la información a continuación
Case number:
CIVDSI617843

Filed Superior Court of California County of San Bernardino San Bernardino District OCTOBER 25, 2016 by Clerk (Secretario) VERONICA GONZALEZ, Deputy (Adjunto) NOTICE!

You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

NOTE:
The court has a statutory

Public Notices

lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO!
Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta.

Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is San Bernardino Superior Court 247 West Third Street San Bernardino, California 92415 Civil Division

The name, address, and telephone number of the plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, are: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): WARD & WARD 202 E. AIRPORT DRIVE SUITE 120 SAN BERNADINO, CA 92408 TELEPHONE: (909) 381-8350

Date (Fecha): OCTOBER 25, 2016

Clerk, by (Secretario,) VERONICA GONZALEZ, Deputy (Adjunto)

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25/2017, 09/01/2017, 09/08/2017 & 09/15/2017.

SUMMONS
(Parentage—Custody and Support)

CITACIÓN
(Paternidad Custodia y Manutención)
NOTICE TO RESPONDENT: JOSE PEREZ
AVISO AL DEMANDADO (Nombre): JOSE PEREZ
FL-210
SUMMONS

You have been sued. Read the information below and on the next page.

Lo han demandado. Lea la información a continuación y en la página siguiente.

Public Notices

Petitioner's name: EVELYN CORDONA
El nombre del demandante: EVELYN CORDONA
CASE NUMBER: FAMSS 1707219

You have been sued. Read the information below and on the next page.

Lo han demandado. Lea la información a continuación y en la página siguiente.

Petitioner's name: EVELYN CORDONA
CASE NUMBER: FAMSS 1707219

To: JOSE PEREZ
Para: JOSE PEREZ

You have 30 calendar days after this Summons and Petition are served on you to file a Response (form FL-220 or FL-270) at the court and have a copy served on the petitioner. A letter, phone call, or court appearance will not protect you.

Tiene 30 días de calendario después de haber recibido la entrega legal de esta Citación y

Petición para presentar una Respuesta (formulario FL-220 o FL-270) ante la corte y efectuar la entrega legal de una copia al demandante. Una carta o llamada telefónica o una audiencia de la corte no basta para protegerlo.

If you do not file your Response on time, the court may make orders affecting your right to custody of your children. You may also be ordered to pay child support and attorney fees and costs.

Si no presenta su Respuesta a tiempo, la corte puede dar órdenes que afecten la custodia de sus hijos. La corte también le puede ordenar que pague manutención de los hijos, y honorarios y costos legales.

For legal advice, contact a lawyer immediately. Get help finding a lawyer at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courts.ca.gov/selfhelp), at the California Legal Services website (www.lawhelpca.org), or by contacting your local bar association.

Para asesoramiento legal, póngase en contacto de inmediato con un abogado. Puede obtener información para encontrar un abogado en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en el sitio web de los Servicios Legales de California (www.lawhelpca.org), o poniéndose en contacto con el colegio de abogados de su condado.

NOTICE: The restraining order on page 2 remains in effect against each parent until the petition is dismissed, a judgment is entered, or the court makes further orders. This order is enforceable anywhere in California by any law enforcement officer who has received or seen a copy of it.

AVISO: La orden de protección que aparece en la pagina 2 continuará en vigencia en cuanto a cada parte hasta que se emita un fallo final, se despid a la petición o la corte dé otras órdenes. Cualquier agencia del orden público que haya recibido o visto una copia de estas orden puede hacerla acatar en cualquier lugar de California.

FEE WAIVER:

If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the clerk for a fee waiver form. The court may order you to pay back all or part of the fees and costs that the court waived for you or the other party.

EXENCIÓN DE CUOTAS:
Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario un formulario de exención de cuotas.

La corte puede ordenar que usted pague, ya sea en parte o por completo, las cuotas y costos de la corte previamente exentos a petición de usted o de la otra parte

Filed Superior Court county of San Bernardino San Bernardino District

by Denaya Robinson, Deputy

Date (Fecha): AUGUST 18, 2017

The name and address of the court are: (El nombre y di-

Public Notices

rección de la corte son:) SAN BERNARDINO SUPERIOR COURT 351 N. ARROWHEAD AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA 92410

The name, address, and telephone number of petitioner's attorney, or petitioner without an

attorney, are: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del

demandante si no tiene abogado, son:) EVELYN CORDONA 2122 CHESTNUT ST. #3203 SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA 92410
Date (Fecha): AUGUST 18, 2017

Clerk, by (Secretario, por) Denaya Robinson, Deputy (Asistente)

This case is assigned to Harrison Dept S54 for all purposes and is subject to CCP 170.6 (2)

STANDARD RESTRAINING ORDER (Parentage—Custody and Support) FL-210

NOTICE

Starting immediately, you and every other party are restrained from removing from the state, or applying for a passport for, the minor child or children for whom this action seeks to establish a parent-child relationship or a custody order without the prior written consent of every other party or an order of the court.

This restraining order takes effect against the petitioner when he or she files the petition and against the respondent when he or she is personally served with the Summons and Petition OR when he or she waives and accepts service.

This restraining order remains in effect until the judgment is entered, the petition is dismissed, or the court makes other orders.

This order is enforceable anywhere in California by any law enforcement officer who has received or seen a copy of it.

ORDEN DE RESTRICCIÓN ESTÁNDAR

(Paternidad—Custodia y Manutención) Aviso de FL-210

En forma inmediata, usted y cada otra parte tienen prohibido llevarse del estado a los hijos menores para quienes esta acción judicial procura establecer una relación entre hijos y padres o una orden de custodia, ni pueden solicitar un pasaporte para los mismos, sin el consentimiento previo por escrito de cada otra parte o sin una orden de la corte.

Esta orden de restricción entrará en vigencia para el demandante una vez presentada la petición, y para el demandado una vez que éste reciba la notificación personal de la Citación y Petición, o una vez que renuncie su derecho a recibir dicha notificación y se dé por notificado.

Esta orden de restricción continuará en vigencia hasta que se emita un fallo final, se despid a la petición o la corte dé otras órdenes. Cualquier agencia del orden público que haya recibido o visto una copia de esta orden puede hacerla acatar en cualquier lugar de California.

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
FILE NO- 20170008598

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: Golden State Services, 1752 E Lugonia Ave #117 - 70, Redlands, CA 92374, 1752 E Lugonia Ave #117 - 70, Redlands, CA 92374, Edwin Rivera, 1752 E Lugonia Ave #117 - 70, Redlands, CA 92374

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Edwin Rivera
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 7/28/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business:

Public Notices

Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/EF
NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
8/25/2017, 9/1/2017, 9/8/2017, 9/15/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
FILE NO- 20170009579

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: Jev's Place, 8345 Durango Ave, Fontana, CA 92335, 4866 Camino De Madera, Jurupa Valley, CA 91752, Monica M Berumen, 4866 Camino De Madera, Jurupa Valley, CA 91752

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Monica M. Berumen
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/23/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/ADC

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
8/25/2017, 9/1/2017, 9/8/2017, 9/15/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
FILE NO- 20170009554

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: WHEPAT&CO., 1657 Pinehurst Dr, Upland, CA 91784, Martha P Wheeler, 1657 Pinehurst Dr, Upland, CA 91784

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Martha P. Wheeler
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 8/23/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office.

Began Transacting Business: Not Applicable
County Clerk, s/RS

NOTICE- This fictitious business name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see section 14400 et. Seq. Business & Professions Code).
8/25/2017, 9/1/2017, 9/8/2017, 9/15/2017

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
FILE NO- 20170008600

The following person(s) is(are) doing business as: Kaynes Kreations, 665 N Mulberry Ave, Rialto, CA 92376, Kena L Poole, 665 N Mulberry Ave, Rialto, CA 92376

Business is Conducted By: An Individual

Signed: BY SIGNING BELOW, I DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT. A registrant who declares as true information, which he or she knows to be false, is guilty of a crime. (B&P Code 17913) I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/Kena L Poole
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on: 7/28/2017

I hereby certify that this is a correct copy of the original statement on

Public Notices

s/ ALAN A CONTRERAS
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/02/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321727

FBN 20170008750
The following person is doing business as: BETETA'S TRANSPORTATION 14396 ARROW BLVD FONTANA CA 92335
This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ MANUEL M BETETA
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/02/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321728

FBN 20170008720
The following person is doing business as: HAIR CREATIONS 5768 RIVERSIDE DR CHINO CA 91710
This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to

Public Notices

transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 08/01/2017
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ CONSUELO GRANADOS
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/02/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321729

FBN 20170008687
The following person is doing business as: MARISCOS DEL PACIFICO 17500 FOOTHILL BLVD SPC A6A FONTANA CA 92335
This business is conducted by an: A CORPORATION.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ DEL PACIFICO CORPORATION
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321731

Public Notices

seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321730
FBN 20170008685
The following person is doing business as: MARISCOS DEL PACIFICO (UN RINCONCITO SINALOENSE) 17500 FOOTHILL BLVD SPC A6A FONTANA CA 92335
This business is conducted by an: A CORPORATION.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ DEL PACIFICO CORPORATION
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321731

FBN 20170008651
The following person is doing business as: MATCH PLAY TENNIS ACADEMY 1749 GARDEN ST REDLANDS CA 92373
This business is conducted by an: INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ GABRIEL B JACOB
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is

Public Notices

a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321732

FBN 20170008665
The following person is doing business as: YUCAIPA POOL SERVICE; HIGHLAND POOL SERVICE 33848 AVE G #14 YUCAIPA CA 92399
This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ GARY B AMPARAN
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321733

FBN 20170008659
The following person is doing business as: AMERICANS TRAVEL 209 W FRANCIS ST ONTARIO CA 91762
This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 07/24/2017
By signing, I declare that all

Public Notices

information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ BIBIANA DAVIS
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017.. CNBB321734

FBN 20170008648
The following person is doing business as: VERSATILE DELIVERIES 2731 SECURITY AVE LOT B COLTON CA 92324
This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 9/20/2016
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ ANNA M SANTOS
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321735

Public Notices

FBN 20170008653
The following person is doing business as: REDLANDS YOUTH TENNIS 1749 GARDEN ST REDLANDS CA 92373
This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ GABRIEL B JACOB
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321736

FBN 20170008695
The following person is doing business as: DAN123YAL TOYS+ 5198 COOPER CT RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91739
This business is conducted by an: A MARRIED COUPLE.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ DEBRA A QURESHI; KHURRAM S QURESHI
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the

Public Notices

date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321737
FBN 20170008679
The following person is doing business as: CHAVEZ TRANSPORT 15777 MESA ST HESPERIA CA 92345
This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A
By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.
s/ LUIS E CHAVEZ
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/01/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy
Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 8/18; 08/25; 09/01 & 09/08/2017. CNBB321738

FBN 20170008944

The following person is doing business as: SKUNK'S AUTO & TRUCK PARTS 14675-59 WHITTRAM AVE FONTANA CA 92335
This business is conducted by an: A CORPORATION.
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious

ONTARIO DENTAL
CENTER

1-888-650-3034

www.OntarioDentalCenter.com

COME AND EXPERIENCE THE FUTURE OF DENTISTRY

DIGITAL X-RAY
(LOW RADIATION)

INTRA-ORAL CAMERA

ANXIETY FREE DENTISTRY

ONTARIO DENTAL
CENTER

FREE
TEETH WHITENING KIT

FOR NEW INSURANCE PATIENTS ONLY AFTER
COMPLETION OF RECOMMENDED TREATMENT.
SOME RESTRICTIONS APPLY. LIMITED TIME ONLY

CHILDREN'S
DENTISTRY

AVAILABLE

WE OFFER

- ROOT CANALS
- VENEER
- CLEAR BRACES
- BONDING
- SEDATION
(ORAL, LAUGHING GAS)

- FILLINGS
- EXTRACTION
- DENTURES
- WISDOM TEETH
EXTRACTION
- ZOOM (1 Hr. Whitening)

ONTARIO DENTAL
CENTER

\$20
EXAM, X-RAY

RESTRICTIONS APPLY. LIMITED TIME ONLY

WE ACCEPT ALL PPO Plans: Delta, Cigna, Aetna, Guardian, MetLife, United Concordia and Many More Medical (21 years & Under), Healthy Families By Delta Dental, and Union Plan.

Public Notices

clares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ VICTORIA LOPEZ
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 8/10/2017
I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331722

FBN 20170009042

The following person is doing business as: 3D WRAPZ 5637 ARROW HWY SUITE D MONTCLAIR CA 91763

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ANDREW S PELTEKCI
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/10/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331723

FBN 20170009037

The following person is doing business as: PET SCIENCE BATH AND BOUTIQUE 31776 YUCAIPA BLVD, UNIT 9 YUCAIPA CA 92399

This business is conducted by an: A MARRIED COUPLE

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ DANIEL G BERRY & SHAWNA M BERRY
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/10/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331724

FBN 20170009025

The following person is doing business as: RL LANDSCAPING 1784 ALSTON AVE COLTON CA 92324

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also

Public Notices

aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ROBERTO P LEON
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/10/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331725

FBN 20170009063

The following person is doing business as: CLASSY GLAMMED 14751 CSRMEL ST FONTANA, CA 92335

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ BRITTANY L FRENCHER
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/10/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331726

FBN 20170009001

The following person is doing business as: BELLA VIE NAILS & SPA 25749 BARTON ROAD LOMA LINDA, CA 92354

This business is conducted by an: A CORPORATION.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 07/07/2017

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ BELLA VIE NAILS AND SPA CORPORATION
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/09/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331727

FBN 20170008974

The following person is doing business as: 2 T CANIL PAINTING & CLEANING 3260 LYNWOOD DR HIGHLAND CA 92346

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 12/08/2016

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also

Public Notices

aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ TOMAS T CANIL
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/09/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331728

FBN 20170008996

The following person is doing business as: FLOODWAY BOOKS 24818 REDLANDS BOULEVARD LOMA LINDA CA 92354- 4002

This business is conducted by an: COPARTNERS.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 08/09/2017

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ LELAND LUBINSKY & PESACH LUBINSKY

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/09/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331729

FBN 20170009004

The following person is doing business as: ALADDIN EXPRESS 7249 SUITE A4 BOULDER AVE HIGHLAND CA 92392

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JIHAD ALTAIBA
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/09/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331730

FBN 20170008993

The following person is doing business as: PACKAGING SPECIALTIES 6 CENERPOINTE DR SUITE 700 LA PALMA CA 90623

This business is conducted by an: A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he

Public Notices

or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ PACK-RITE SOURCING, LLC.
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/09/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331731

FBN 20170008971

The following person is doing business as: ENDEAVOR P. C 563 N. CENTRAL AVE UPLAND, CA 91784

This business is conducted by an: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ RONALD A MCCOLLUM & NOEMI R PEREIRA

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/09/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331732

FBN 20170008963

The following person is doing business as: JRC HOUSING 4077 GRAND FIR LN SAN BERNARDINO CA 92407

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 07/01/2000

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JOHN R CHRISTIAN
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 07/01/2000

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331733

FBN 20170008892

The following person is doing business as: RA. GAMES 1964 W. 9TH ST SUITE F UPLAND CA 91786

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he

Public Notices

or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ RANA SAFR
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/07/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331734

FBN 20170009115

The following person is doing business as: M&MV CONSTRUCTION CLEAN UP 1318 RIALTO AVE COLTON, CA 92324

This business is conducted by an: A MARRIED COUPLE.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ MAURO VALDEZ SAUCE-DO & MARTHA CRUZ

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/11/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331735

FBN 20170009099

The following person is doing business as: V V PAINT 777 W CITRUS ST COLTON, CA 92324

This business is conducted by an: A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ YOLANDA SANCHEZ & MANUEL VELASQUEZ
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/11/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331736

FBN 20170009101

The following person is doing business as: WIENERSCNITZEL #588 4605 ONTARIO MILLS PKWY ONTARIO CA 91764

This business is conducted by an: A CORPORATION

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who de-

Public Notices

clares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ HDAG, INC.
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/11/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331737

FBN 20170008869

The following person is doing business as: PACKAGING SPECIALTIES; PACK-RITE SOURCING 6 CENTERPOINTE DR. SUITE 700 LA PALMA CA 90623

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: 12/20/2016

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ JUAN D BARREDA BARRIOS

Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/07/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name

Fontana Schools Recognized
from front page

BMX bike show and an end-of-year PBIS celebration. Since implementing the PBIS program in 2014-15, the school has seen a 46 percent decrease in the school-wide suspension rate.

“Students who are struggling to meet expectations are given interventions and a chance to come to our Eagle Success Panel where students and staff members work together to help students get back on track,” Sequoia Middle School counselor Jessica Gonzales said. “Together, our staff and students are creating a positive culture and climate at Sequoia Middle School.”

Similarly, Truman Middle School’s Caught Being Good (CBG) program enlists the help of all substitute teachers, parent volunteers, custodians, cafeteria workers, campus security, clerical staff and other adults who are trained to recognize good student behavior. The school also holds behavior expectation assemblies where students are reminded of the expectations, including arriving to class on time or taking care of equipment. This is the school’s second year of earning a silver status from the Coalition.

“At Truman, we always aim to recognize students who abide by our school-wide expectations: Be Ready, Be Respectful and Be Responsible,” Principal Kim Hall said. “I want to thank our Truman community of teachers and staff for embracing this program and helping our students be the best they can be in class, on campus, and at home.”

Schools will be acknowledged at the California PBIS Coalition conference Oct. 11-12 in Sacramento.

Public Notices

statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).
Published in the San Bernardino County Sentinel 08/25; 09/01; 09/08 & 09/15/2017. CNBB331738

FBN 20170008967

The following person is doing business as: DIAMOND AUTO TRANSPORT 16364 WASHINGTON DR FONTANA CA 92335

This business is conducted by an: AN INDIVIDUAL.

The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on: N/A

By signing, I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime (B&P Code 179130. I am also aware that all information on this statement becomes Public Record upon filing.

s/ ABRAHAM C TORRES
Statement filed with the County Clerk of San Bernardino on 08/09/2017

I hereby certify that this copy is a correct copy of the original statement on file in my office San Bernardino County Clerk By:/Deputy

Notice-This fictitious name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the county clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed before that time. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (see Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professions Code).

No First Amendment Right To Commit Bribery, Prosecutor Tells Colonies Jurors

from page 6

case, Larson insisted, “is not logical. There was a massive number of contradictions, inconsistencies, missing links and holes in the evidence presented. It really has been a nightmare for Jeff Burum. He’s innocent. So is Paul. So is Mark. So is Jim. They are good men. They did not commit this crime. They made mistakes along the way.”

Burum, Larson said, was a hard working and resourceful individual “who doesn’t break the law, but he is going to use every tool that this great country, made by the men and women who came before him, offers.” Now, with the prosecution relentlessly bearing down on him to convict him of a crime he did not commit, Larson said, Burum was standing up against the corruption of the prosecution, which is hellbent on getting a conviction at all costs. “Thank God we at least have a system where, if someone has the resources and the wherewithal, they get to stand up like Mr. Burum has done,” said Larson.

He told the jurors “to rely on ... your common sense in returning not guilty verdicts.”

After Larson’s power-packed presentation, Mandel on Wednesday afternoon gave her rebuttal, the last presentation made with both juries present in the courtroom before Judge Michael Smith gave the final jury instructions to the panel considering the case against Burum, Biane and Kirk.

She cited as key to the case the testimony of Bill Postmus pertaining to his having been threatened, blackmailed and extorted by Erwin to settle the lawsuit prior to the vote to do so and his testimony that prior to the settlement vote Burum promised to take care of him politically and financially once the settlement was made. She acknowledged that Postmus went along with the defense theory that some of his testimony was “false memory”

planted by district attorney’s office investigators in his drug-crazed mind. That theory was promulgated by one of Burum’s attorneys, Jennifer Keller, during her cross examination of Postmus. Nevertheless, Mandel said, that testimony at that moment on the witness stand by a man who had been broken by years of drug abuse and was under a withering cross examination by a hostile lawyer must be compared to the testimony he provided at other times, including at the trial, to the grand jury, to the FBI and in his own plea agreement when he acknowledged taking bribes. His response to Keller on the stand, Mandel said, was the single “conflicting statement” to the version elicited from him in all the other contexts. “Only one version can be true,” Mandel said. “Examine Mr. Postmus. You have a lot of information, what was on his mind, what was going on in his life at various times, what happened in this courtroom when he said his memory was planted. That is the truth speaking process. Truth emerges from all of the lies.”

She and Cope had managed to put the case before them, Mandel told the jurors, despite having to use a significant number of witnesses who are sympathetic to the defendants. Even so, she said, those witnesses supplied information that was relevant to the guilt of those charged. “This case was presented through many hostile witnesses,” she said. “The prosecution had witnesses, some who were involved, some who had deep friendships, long lasting loyalty, [knowledge about] crimes that happened long ago that was concealed and discovered. Even when it was discovered, the prosecution was looking back at events years ago.” But those events and actions could be put into perspective, she said, and the “exhibits, submitted by both parties, looked over by the court, are there for your review. Those are a guide post to look at.” She referenced Maline’s and Larson’s use of props. “What we saw yesterday was fun to watch, \$1,000 handed to a juror, some of those

props, but your decision must be based on the evidence.”

Mandel continued, “I want to talk about the First Amendment. Despite the argument that we are trying to trample First Amendment rights, I want you to know we all care about the First Amendment. Mr. Cope, my bosses, the judge, want you to know you do not in the state of California have a First Amendment right to commit bribery. The law you are going to be given, what we are talking about, is finding the facts of the law. You go through the process. You will be honoring the defendants’ First Amendment rights because there is no First Amendment right to commit bribery. You can feel comfortable, if you find the defendants guilty, that there is no First Amendment issue involved.”

The defense, Mandel said, had boiled its case down to the quintessence, from which it had constructed campaign slogans: “Zero evidence there was a bribe” and “Adam Aleman, a liar, is the narrator of this story.”

But evidence of the bribes had been presented, Mandel said, despite the defense’s false rhetoric, and Adam Aleman, while being “part of the story” was an element who supported the prosecution’s case based on documentation. “Mr. Larson acknowledged [in his closing argument] that this has always been a paper case,” Mandel said. “The paper in this case established a timeline that helps you navigate through the testimony, when things happened and how it was heard. No matter how much of a campaign slogan they tell you, how Adam Aleman is the narrator of this story, Adam Aleman is an important character of this story. So is Bill Postmus. But it is not a story that is told by Mr. Adam Aleman. He pointed the investigators in the right direction. He did it for his own selfish purposes, but he did it. The investigation expanded because of it.” That did not make what the investigators uncovered, the documents upon which the case is based, invalid, she insisted. Indeed, she said, those documents

validate the case and that is why, Mandel told the jurors, the defense has repeatedly sought to divert their attention from the documents – the paper – to Aleman.

She tore into Larson for complaining that she had referenced in her final argument passages from 26 prosecution exhibits admitted without testimony. “They were admitted by stipulation,” Mandel said, “slipped under the door, Mr. Larson said. Then he slipped some under the door because he needed to talk about it.”

She continued, “Mr. Larson said he was ‘flying in the dark’ during his closing arguments. The defense investigators interviewed witnesses. The defense gets discovery. They get everything we have. All interviews are recorded. Some are video-recorded. There is no information that you see that the defense didn’t have.”

Mandel acknowledged as true Maline’s and Larson’s assertion to the juries that if they are confronted with two reasonable conclusions from the evidence, one pointing to innocence and one pointing to guilt, they must vote to acquit. Still, she said, “when considering circumstantial evidence you must accept only reasonable conclusions and reject any that are unreasonable.” In this way, she said, the defense was demanding of the jurors that they make unreasonable interpretations of the evidence when the more reasonable conclusions were that the defendants were guilty as charged. She then sought to use Larson’s Lego dinosaur construction kit prop against him. “From that box of Legos we saw yesterday, you can make a reasonable dinosaur out of it, and another [grotesque construction],” she said. “You go with the one that is reasonable. Assess only conclusions that are reasonable. Reject unreasonable conclusions.”

In its responses to the evidence and case put on by the prosecution, Mandel said, the defense attorneys utilized smoke and mirrors to divert the juries from a focus on the indicators of guilt. In several instances, she said, the defense neither addressed nor chal-

lenged the implication of guilt.

“What was the explanation of any of the four defense attorneys for why the contributions were made into political action committees when defendants had active campaign accounts?” she asked. “None. The inference is there was intentional concealment of large contributions to the same individuals who got the Colonies settlement done,” i.e., Postmus, Biane and Kirk. In this way, she said, the contributions were not meant to “mend fences,” but as secret rewards, e.g., bribes, to the recipients.

“What was the explanation ... as to why Adam Aleman was posing as Dino DeFazio to run the Inland Empire PAC [political action committee] secretly controlled by Postmus?” Mandel asked. “None. The inference is the PAC is a sham to conceal a bribe. There was no alternative inference as to why Adam Aleman would do that. What was the explanation ... as to why Kitty Stinnett was listed as an officer of the Alliance For Ethical Government PAC [controlled by Kirk] without her knowledge, and transactions conducted in her name without her knowledge? None. The inference is the PAC is a sham to conceal a payoff to Mark Kirk for his role in getting the settlement approved. What was the explanation... as to why Matt Brown and Tim Johnson [the highest ranking members on Biane’s supervisory staff] opened a PAC secretly controlled by Paul Biane? None. The inference is the PAC was a sham to conceal a bribe. These people already have accounts. Why open a PAC? This inference of guilt is not challenged. What was the explanation of any of the four defense attorneys for how \$100,000 [donated from the Colonies Partners] to a drug addicted assessor who had no vote on future projects [Postmus] helped mend fences for ongoing projects? None. The inference is that the contribution to Postmus was not for mending fences or ongoing projects but to conceal a bribe. Bill Postmus was at the height of his drug addiction. What was the

explanation for giving money to Bill Postmus when he was at the assessor’s office, when his drug addiction was at the worst and he has no votes on anything that Jeff Burum is doing? There is no explanation for that.”

Mandel displayed the May 23, 2007 email from Erwin to Postmus confronting him with a demand that he take measures to overcome his drug addiction which Maline had referenced. This proved, Mandel said, that there was widespread knowledge about Postmus’ drug problem. “One month later, a payment of \$100,000 [went to Postmus’ two political action committees, the Conservatives for a Republican Majority and the Inland Empire PAC, in \$50,000 increments],” Mandel said. “This is a bribe payment in return for the \$102 million settlement. That is the only possible explanation for Mr. Burum giving money to a druggie. That is not even consistent with their own case. It is a payoff.”

Continuing, Mandel asked, “What was the explanation of any of the four defense attorneys as to why \$100,000 was given to Mark Kirk, the chief of staff for a sitting county supervisor who had no votes, no influence? How is that going to mend fences? What was the reason the defense offered to you as the reason why Mark Kirk got \$100,000 from Jeff Burum? What they told you is that was because Mark Kirk wanted to run for supervisor. But there was already an account: Mark Kirk for Supervisor. That account got zero dollars from Mr. Burum.” Mandel said the money Burum gave to Kirk went to his sham political action committee, the Alliance for Ethical Government, of which he was not listed as an officer, because that was part of an effort to hide the money he was receiving and disguise the bribe as something else. “The Alliance for Ethical Government was a sham and this was a payoff,” said Mandel.

Mandel said the defense had offered no explanation as to why all five of the political action committees – Biane’s

Continued on Page 18

San Bernardino County Coroner Reports

Coroner Case #701705825 On Sunday, 08/20/2017, at 11:41 PM, officers with the Rialto Police Department and paramedics with Rialto Fire Department responded to the intersection of Spruce Avenue and West Grovewood Street in Bloomington for a shooting. Paramedics located 20 year old Anthony Villanueva of Bloomington with traumatic injuries. On Monday, August 21, 2017, at 12:01 AM, Anthony was pronounced deceased at the scene. The Rialto Police Department is investigating the incident. [08212017 1055 SC]

Coroner Case #701705775 On 08/19/2017, at 3:12 am, the California Highway Patrol received a call of a traffic collision on State Route 38 near Front Line Road in Angelus Oaks. Officers arrived to find a 1995 Gold Lexus was traveling westbound on the State Route 38 when, for an unknown reason, the driver lost control of the vehicle, struck a guard rail, causing the vehicle to overturn. The driver, identified as Christopher Moe age 22 of Tustin, was ejected from the vehicle. Moe was pronounced dead on scene at 4:00 am. The California Highway Patrol is investigating the collision. [082017 0400 TC]

Coroner Case #701705656 On 08/13/2017, at 10:06 PM, a two vehicle traffic collision occurred at the intersection of Main Street and Timberlane Avenue, in the City of Hesperia. The collision resulted in Doug Daniel Mann, a 37-year-old resident of Hesperia, being transported to the Desert Valley Hospital, where he was declared dead at 11:39 PM. The San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, Major Accident Investigation Team is investigating the incident. [081717 2200 TC]

The Coroner Reports are reproduced in their original format as authored by department personnel.

Lies By Defendants Implicate Them In Bribery Scheme, Prosecutor Says

from page 17

San Bernardino County Young Republicans, Postmus' Inland Empire PAC, Postmus' Conservatives for a Republican Majority, Erwin's Committee For Effective Government and Kirk's Alliance for Ethical Government – were all originally set up to hide Biane's, Postmus', Erwin's and Kirk's involvement in them, and that the files or incorporating documents of three of those committees – , Postmus', Erwin's and Kirk's – contained forgeries. “The inference is that they were all deceiving their accountant as to their degree of control over the funds,” Mandel said.

Mandel referenced a newspaper article in which Burum claimed that he did not know who was in control of the PACS. Burum was quoted in the article as saying “When we give money to PACs, it's in support of causes, issues and candidates who support policies that make the Inland Empire stronger.” He claimed in the article that he did not monitor who the people are who serve on the committees, only what they are promoting. “If I believe in what they pitching me on, I write a check. I don't follow what they do with it,” Burum was quoted as saying.

Mandel contrasted that with Burum's defense team's assertion

that the donations he made to the PACs were done so with the intention of mending fences with Postmus, Biane and Kirk.

“He lied to the press about his knowledge of the PACs' ownership and control at the beginning of the investigation,” said Mandel. “The inference is he was lying to conceal his aiding and abetting of bribery.” This belies the defense's characterization of Aleman as an untrustworthy liar, Mandel said. “Adam Aleman tipped off Bud Randles,” she said. “Bud Randles and his team searched Betty Presley's office [Betty Presley was the accountant/treasure for many politicians' campaign accounts, including all five PACs involved in the Colonies case]. All of this comes out. There is a flurry of activity.” Later in 2009, Mandel said, the principals had “to admit the PACS were theirs.” It was Aleman telling the truth and the others who were lying, she implied.

Similarly, she said, Biane had stated publicly in 2009 that he was connected to the PACs and said he “welcomes the investigation of Colonies and said he had nothing to do with the campaign accounts,” Mandel said. “At this this time, they had not gotten their story straight.” She noted that in an April 22, 2009 interview with district attorney's office investigators, Kirk said he did not know why the Colonies Partners gave his PAC \$100,000. She noted that around that time Kirk had gone on the record

saying that there was a problem with PACs in that “quite often people are able to form them and no one knows who's behind them.” Kirk called it “a loophole in the law.”

These statements were part of Kirk's eventually successful push for greater disclosure and transparency with regard to campaign donations to county officials.

“Neil Derry [who was elected to the board of supervisor in 2008] used Bill Postmus' PAC to do exactly that,” Mandel said. “People who are trying to avoid transparency required by the laws at that time, such as Mark Kirk and the sophisticated defendants, knew that this was a loophole in the law that they capitalized on. They can't have it both ways. They can't stand up here and say that they are mending fences and then say that they don't know what they do with the money or who they are.”

Mandel said evidence of blackmail and intimidation, extortion and bribery existed in Biane telling the press in October 2006 that the proposed settlement numbers were “astronomical” and “out of the stratosphere,” and his acceptance, a little more than a month, of the settlement on the Colonies Partners' terms.

“Aiding and abetting receiving a bribe requires something more than just paying a bribe,” said Mandel. The evidence of those threats ahead of the settlement meets that requirement, she said.

“Mr. Burum and Mr. Erwin orchestrated this entire thing,” she said. “One big thing they did is threatening to expose [Postmus with regard to his drug use and sexuality and Biane with regard to his personal finances]. Adam Aleman was called out as a liar. If Adam Aleman is a liar, then Paul Biane is a liar because he is saying similar things to the press. If Adam Aleman is a liar, then Patrick O'Reilly [Borum's publicist/public relations consultant] is a liar. Mandel reminded the jury that O'Reilly testified he was with Burum and Erwin at the Double Tree Hotel in Ontario on the night of October 18, 2006, just before a mediation session with the board of supervisors was to be held nearby the following day. O'Reilly's testimony extended to his presence at that “late night meeting where Spencer Brown, Jeff Burum's driver, his employee, shows up and drops off a bag of hit pieces,” Mandel said. These were, she said, “hit pieces, personal attacks Adam Aleman said were the nasty ones that Jim Erwin was talking about.”

The Colonies Partners had been a major campaign donor to Biane and had helped to put him into office. But in 2006, Mandel said, Paul Biane was looking toward “a future political career” and he was hyper-conscious that giving the Colonies partners the \$102 million the company was demanding would not be likely “to propel him into Congress.” Bi-

ane wanted to settle the case, but not for \$102 million, Mandel said. “Paul Biane was looking for that sweet spot [i.e., enough money to please the Colonies Partners but not so much as to enrage the public with the give-away of public money].” The questions Biane was wrestling with were, Mandel said, “How much public money do I give to Jeff Burum so he continues to fund my political future?” and “At what point is this going to collapse because it is just too big? That is what is going on between Jeff Burum and Paul Biane in 2006.”

The hit pieces Aleman and O'Reilly testified about were those pertaining to Biane. Mandel said those were the trigger – the threats – that caused Paul Biane to fold, to knuckle under and support the \$102 million settlement and ultimately accept the \$100,000 bribe in the form of a political contribution for having done so.

Mandel reiterated for the juries Patrick O'Reilly's testimony that

Jeff Burum intended to pass large amounts of money through SEBA, the Safety Employees Benefit Association, to the county supervisors. SEBA is the county's sheriff's deputies union, of which Erwin was once president. In 2006 he was SEBA's executive director and had tremendous sway over the hundreds of thousands of dollars it had in its political action committee coffers. The defense did not cross examine O'Reilly and let his testimony on direct examination stand. O'Reilly's uncontested testimony demonstrated, Mandel said, that Jeff Burum and Jim Erwin conspired to funnel money secretly from the Colonies Partners to the board of supervisors. The coup de grâce in this respect consists of the testimony of Colin McKenzie, SEBA's treasurer at that time, that two \$75,000 contributions from the Colonies Partners to SEBA in the 2006 time frame were not reported at that time, according to Mandel.

Continued on Page 19

Green Life Tree Service

-Tree Trimming

-Tree Topping

-Tree Thinning

-Fruit Pruning

-General Clean-Up

-Hauling



Ask for John
(909) 532-3330

Expert, Reasonable, Reliable, Quality Work
at a Competitive Price

Defense Left Questions Unanswered, Mandel Claims

from page 18

Meanwhile SEBA funneled money to Biane and Postmus, she said.

“What was the explanation for the six month delay in reporting, which meant that SEBA’s contribution to Paul Biane’s newly opened PAC could not be traced to [the] Colonies [Partners]?” asked Mandel. “There was none. The inference is that Jim Erwin was concealing that the Colonies money was going to Paul Biane.”

Mandel noted for the juries that Patrick O’Reilly testified that Burum told him that Paul Biane had financial problems. “This corroborates Adam Aleman’s and Bill Postmus’ testimony that Jeff Burum threatened to expose Mr. Biane’s financial problems if the settlement was not reached,” she said.

Mandel noted that in his closing argument, Biane’s attorney, Mark McDonald called Aleman’s assertion that Biane had financial difficulties that were being exploited by Burum and Erwin a “damned lie.” But, Mandel said, “Patrick O’Reilly said the hit pieces attacked Paul Biane’s finances.” This shores up Aleman’s credibility on that score, Mandel suggested.

Mandel returned to the questions of “Why did the supervisors settle on November 28, 2006

and “Why did the Colonies Partners make PAC payments in 2007.” The answer to the first question, Mandel said, “is some of them were expecting payoffs.” The answer to the second is “The supervisors that were bribed were receiving payoffs.”

The litigation the county was involved in with the Colonies Partners was never fully adjudicated, Mandel said, having been resolved by the settlement. Ultimately, she said, the jury could conclude “they [the supervisors] did the right thing in settling and still find that they committed bribery when they did that.”

Along those lines, Mandel told the jury that “Mr. Larson now admits it matters why Postmus and Biane settled,” and that they had reasonable grounds for doing so. “The other side of the story,” Mandel said, “is Bill Postmus and Paul Biane acknowledge it wasn’t settled because it was a good deal. Bill Postmus told the FBI on October 14, 2011 he had heart-to-heart discussions with Paul Biane.” She then quoted a statement Postmus made during that interview: “We were being bent over big time,” Postmus said. “We felt like \$102 million was a ridiculous amount of money, astronomic.”

Mandel said it is “the people’s burden to prove every single element beyond a shadow of a doubt. We take that seriously, embrace it, and understand it is critical

to the job we do. I attempted to show you in the first part of closing argument last week that we met that burden through the evidence, testimony and exhibits. The defense requires us to do that. There is no requirement that they call a single witness, but they can. Mr. Larson told you in his opening statement that they would. The reason I point this out is not because they have some burden. They can sit there. They don’t have to call any witnesses. They can hold us to our burden. What I have heard is that there is no evidence.”

She then ran through the witnesses that Larson said he would call, including the lead attorney for the Colonies Partners in the civil case against the county, Scott Sommer. “You didn’t hear from Scott Sommer in this trial,” she said. “They decided we didn’t prove our case. They can think that to the end. There is no obligation that they bring this witness. The entire reason Paul Biane did this settlement is that he was relying on advice of their attorney, Scott Sommer.” She pointed out that Biane and Postmus and the county’s attorneys went into a March 25, 2005 meeting with Burum, co-managing Colonies Partners principal Dan Richards, Sommer, and Colonies Partner Heidi Timken, with Biane looking forward to showing that a recent appellate court ruling establishing the county had intact flood control easements on the Colonies Partners’ property, and this could establish the county owed the Colonies Partners nothing. But Postmus dismissed the lawyers from the meeting and allowed the Colonies Partners’ consultant, Jim Brulte, to referee a negotiating session between Biane and Postmus representing the county and Burum and Richards representing the county. Biane emerged from that meeting amenable to settling the case by giving the Colonies Partners \$22 million in cash and \$55 million in land. Some “magic math” had been used to convince Biane to cave in like that and it was Larson who chose to not have Sommer testify for the defense and be

subject to cross examination by the prosecution, Mandel said.

Larson had said during his January opening statements that he would call other witnesses such as the investors in the Colonies Partners. He never did, Mandel pointed out. “They were supposed to come in here and say we got it wrong,” she said. “He did not call Spencer Brown. He chose not to call Spencer Brown, who delivered a Kinko’s bag [which contained hit pieces relating to Paul Biane], according to the uncontradicted testimony of Patrick O’Reilly. That bag contained hit pieces attacking Mr. Biane’s finances, which were to be used to get him to settle the Colonies case. They could have called Spencer Brown and asked him what was in the bag. They did not. That leaves the testimony of Patrick O’Reilly as to what was in the bag uncontradicted.”

Moreover, Mandel said, “They did not call Dan Richards.”

Mandel quoted Larson’s assertion “This is just the way land developers and real estate developers do things,” and then said, “That is not a defense. If something doesn’t add up, it can’t be excused as ‘politics as usual.’” She said the Colonies Partners and their public relations machine had desensitized the public by throwing around large numbers.

“\$200 million, \$250 million, \$300 million,” she said. “Talking in extremes can make things that are unacceptable seem acceptable. You need to rely on common sense and experience. Approximating in ranges of millions, tens of millions and hundreds of millions of dollars can minimize the significance of each incremental step in that range. Public officials owe a fiduciary duty to the citizens. \$102 million is a lot of money.”

Mandel said, “Paul Biane and Bill Postmus received bribes. Jeff Burum and Jim Erwin aided and abetted them in receiving the bribes. They used a carrot and stick approach: ‘We can make you or break you.’ Jeff Burum and Jim Erwin were fully engaged. They are all guilty of the same charges, Penal

Code 86 and 165 [bribery and bribery related receiving of money or something of value to influence a vote]. In aiding and abetting and conspiracy, a person is equally guilty of a crime whether he commits it personally or aided and abetted or was a member of criminal conspiracy.”

Aiding and abetting, Mandel said, can consist of an act to “facilitate, promote, encourage or instigate the perpetrator’s commission of a crime by using threats intimidation or coercion to compel the perpetrator to commit that crime. Since Mr. Burum and Mr. Erwin worked together, they are also guilty of bribery as co-conspirators.” Mandel told the jurors to “focus on each person. What is their understanding? What is it that they are agreeing to do? There was a coordinated effort to receive the money into the PAC. That shows a consciousness of guilt. You don’t hide money that is legal. They were taking extreme measures to hide money from prosecution. The defense is trying to rewrite the law on quid pro quo. The defense wants you to believe they had to agree in advance on the \$100,000 to break the law.” That the precise amount of the bribe was not set in stone in advance does not make it not a bribe, Mandel said. “At the time, they don’t know how much they are getting,” she said. “Otherwise, developers could say to officials, ‘I am going to give them something, but I’m not going to tell you what it is.’ The suggestion is that they had to know it was going to be \$100,000. No, it just had to be something of value.”

As to Mark Kirk, Mandel said, “Count 9 is not the crime of changing Gary Ovitt’s mind. The crime has nothing to do with the relationship between Mr. Kirk and Mr. Ovitt. The crime relates to Mark Kirk and Jeff Burum. He doesn’t need to change Gary Ovitt’s mind. We can’t prove that Mark Kirk changed Gary Ovitt’s mind. You don’t need that. What you need is an agreement between Mark Kirk and Jeff Burum that he was going to deliver Gary Ovitt’s vote.”

Mandel returned to Postmus’ handwritten

“to do list” discovered by investigators.

“It was written by Bill Postmus when he was leaving office,” Mandel said, and it corroborates Aleman’s testimony that Burum had made offers of future support to Postmus whether he remained in office or left office, and it corroborates Bill Postmus’ testimony that Burum made a three-part offer to 1) support him in future political efforts, 2) help him in private sector endeavors once he was no longer in office or 3) that he might get him a board position on one of the nonprofit companies Burum had set up. Postmus’ testimony, because he was an accomplice, is insufficient to convict Burum, Mandel explained, without corroboration.

“Postmus is an accomplice [in receiving a bribe],” Mandel said. “Say you do believe him when he said Mr. Burum made that three part bribe offer. You can’t accept that to convict the defendant, unless you have corroboration of Bill Postmus’ statement. Here he is talking about land deals. He is leaving office. He is thinking about land deals with Jeff Burum. It shows us what is in his mind, in handwritten form when he is preparing to leave for the assessor’s office. We don’t know if deals ever happened, but do know that was what was on his mind.”

The defendants and their legal teams are demonizing Aleman now, but that wasn’t always the case, Mandel said. “Adam Aleman they called liar, liar, liar. When he was committing perjury and falsifying documents, he was hanging out with Bill Postmus and Jeff Burum. They knew about it. They weren’t calling him that when they were bailing him out of jail or offering help on his case in 2008. What I am saying is that Adam Aleman pointed investigators in the right direction. The fact is when he was doing all those things, he was hanging out with them.”

Jeff Burum, Mandel said, offered Postmus “things if he got the Colonies settlement done.” She said he clearly tes-

Continued on Page 20

Chino Chiropractic Office

Dr. Dean Kerr

Palmer Chiropractor



Phone: 909 627-3633
Pager: 909 464-7246

Serving the entire Chino Valley

13039 Seventh Street
Chino, CA 91710

California Style Bells

By Grace Bernal

Bell bottom or wide-legged jeans tend to drift in and out of fashion. They are back again or will soon be. There are different cuts, or versions of this classic, with some that have a more accentuated, and others a more acute angle. Bell bottom pants tend to run

slimmer on the top leg and wider at the bottom, and wide legged pants tends to have a more even flow to the cut from top to bottom,. Since the wide/bell-leg was queen in the 2000s, around the



2010s came skinny and it took over. Lately there's no set rule, meaning the kids are experimenting more, and making the upcoming season more fun.



For the upcoming fall season, I am predicting both light and dark are going to be in. These are going to look great paired with cropped, boxy jackets along with embroidery adorning some denim pants, too.

I can already see the cowgirls in their plaid shirts and high-waisted wide/bell legged denim. Another neat top is the matching denim button-up shirt, and to complete the ensemble a pair of boots.

For now you can style bell/wide/flared jeans with sandals and a fa-



vorite shirt or blouse, but come cooler days, you can coordinate them with boots and turtle-necks. These hems look lovely with a tee during summer, but when winter shows up, you can even layer up with sheer midi dresses. Have fun in your bells and keep the fashion coming!



"I wish I had invented blue jeans. They have expression, modesty, sex appeal, simplicity - all I hope for in my clothes."
Yves Saint Laurent

As always, if there's anything you need, I'd love to hear from you: Greygris@aol.com or visit my page I Love Your Style on Facebook Copyright Grace Bernal all rights reserved

Once Free-Flowing Spring Near San Bernardino Mountain Arrowhead Water Operation Down To A Trickle from page 3

bottled and sold as "Arrowhead 100% Mountain Spring Water." Nestlé continues to

drain the forest ground-water despite expired permits, drought, and no solid proof of water rights in this National Forest location. Nestlé's pipeline right-a-way permit annual fee is \$524. This permit expired in 1987 and has been under National Environmental Policy Act review by the Forest Service for the last several years. A

federal lawsuit over the expired permit was filed by Center for Biodiversity, Story of Stuff and Campaign Courage and is currently in the appeal process. The State Water

Board's Natalie Stork said "We are currently investigating water rights issues in the East Twin Creek watershed," which includes upper Strawberry Creek where Nestlé's borehole

wells and tunnels are located. According to the SWRCB data base, A6108 is the only appropriated water right in this section of the San Bernardino National Forest and the Forest Service is

the rightful owner. Hydrologists believe that if Nestlé's borehole and well operations cease, Spring A6108 will return to its historical flow rate.



There Is No Big-Shot Exception To California's Bribery Law, Colonies Prosecutor Says from page 19

tified to that during the trial, said the same thing to the district attorney's office investigators, as well as to the grand jury and the FBI. "It was very clear there would be contributions after the settlement. His first claims of false memory came on cross examination by a defense attorney." Mandel told the jurors, "You are not sitting in moral judgment on these defendants. You are just deciding if they committed the acts they are charged with. Judge [Michael A.] Smith will decide the penalty. You are the deciders of facts, you alone. Our system of

justice places enormous trust in a jury to decide truth from falsehood." Mandel said, "The defense asked you to return a quick verdict and send a message. I am not concerned how long you take. Weigh all the evidence from both sides. Your verdict is not a message. Your verdict is whether or not they committed a crime. "They asked you to send a message," Mandel continued. "What would it be? That local, state and federal law enforcement officials are political pawns? A message of hopelessness? Your officials are bought and paid for? Or is it a message that if you want to get a job done in this county, go threaten them? Land developers and politicians are subject to the same laws everyone in California is subject to. There is no exception

for special people who think they are shielded by their wealth or their position. This is not a world of big money, big politics where some are above the law. There is no big-shot exception to the bribery law. Your message is to determine whether Jeff Burum, Paul Biane, Mark Kirk

and Jim Erwin committed the crimes they are charged with. That is your job." Separate juries, one for Erwin and one for the other three defendants, are now in deliberations.



Read the *Sentinel*
On The World Wide Web!

To visit our blog, simply type <http://sbcsentinel.com/> into your URL box and hit enter. You can view the *Sentinel*, read individual articles, offer comments and search our archives from the convenience of your pc, laptop, Blackberry or iPhone.



BIG ISLAND
Climber on the Mainland Inc
Treeworks
St. Forestry Lic. B 3064
CA State Contractors Lic. 954467
Fully Insured
(909) 337-6485